1	Wednesday, 7 June 2017	1	Q. In front of you, there's a bundle. If you turn to
2	(10.10 am)	2	tab 11A, there should be a page number at the top right,
3	MR WASTELL: Sir, we are continuing with the first police	3	page 71. Do you have that?
4	attenders on the seen and the first witness this morning	4	A. Yes, I do.
5	is PC Sarah French.	5	Q. Is that a statement made by you on 17 January 2013?
6	THE CORONER: Just before we do that, tomorrow, I hope	6	A. Yes.
7	everybody knows, we won't be able to start until 11.00	7	Q. It's a four-page statement, correct?
8	because we have a valedictory hearing in here which if	8	A. Yes.
9	anybody wants to come they are of course welcome to come	9	Q. Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and
10	to, but that means two things.	10	belief?
11	First of all where is Jo? There she is. She	11	A. Yes.
12	will tell you precisely what's required but there will	12	Q. Then turning over behind tab B, we see there, do we, an
13	be an extent to which we need to tidy up papers in here	13	extract from your notebook?
14	at the end of the day but Jo will know how much tidying	14	A. Yes.
15	needs to be done.	15	Q. You have the original in court with you, do you?
16	The second thing is this, and I just say it so you	16	A. Yes, I do.
17	can all consider it and the stenographers can see what	17	Q. On 10 November 2012 you were on duty with PC Pasley; is
18	they can manage. It's obviously an important day, every	18	that right?
19	day is an important day but there's plenty to get	19	A. Yes, I was.
20	through, I suspect, tomorrow, and we will be a little	20	Q. You attended an address at Granville Road, Weybridge?
21	late starting.	21	A. Yes.
22	What I'm hoping we might be able to do where is	22	Q. What was the reason for your attendance?
23	Ms Hill? She isn't here, it particularly affects her	23	A. We were called as paramedics were dealing with a male,
24	probably. What I am hoping we might be able to do is to	24	treating a male, at the scene, who had been found laying
25	sit on after 4.00 if we can, and perhaps as late as we	25	on the ground by a male by the name of Liam Walsh. We
	Page 1		Page 3
1	did the other day, on Monday, which I think was	1	were required to attend and deal with what was occurring
2	6 o'clock, which then gives us a bit longer at the end	2	at that time.
3	of the day.	3	Q. Yes. We will come to that in a moment, but in terms of
4	The other possibility, I am going to leave this to	4	the timings, if you turn to tab 15C of the bundle in
5	everybody to think about if you think this can be done.	5	front of you, page 122 at the top right corner, we have
6	The other possibility is that we might perhaps just	6	there a document provided to us by Surrey Police,
7	be half an hour, on the basis that all of you will at	7	a dispatch log. I think you said your number was 4610.
8	least have only started at 11 o'clock, we might perhaps	8	Correct?
9	just be half an hour at lunchtime, that would give us,	9	A. Yes.
10	as it were, an extra two and a half hours on top of	10	Q. The entry at 17.21 on 10 November, at 17.21.29, shows,
11	otherwise an 11 o'clock start. Can I just leave that	11	"Dispatched collar 4610 and 4633". That's you and
12	with everybody, I appreciate it puts great burdens on	12	PC Pasley being dispatched; do you agree?
13	everybody and I am not unconscious of those, it is just	13	A. Yes.
14	I am anxious, as I say, to get as much in as we can	14	Q. Then we see, three lines below, at 17.34.42, the
15	because it's an important day and so that everybody has	15	dispatcher marks "Arrived". Again, your number and
16	the best opportunity.	16	PC Pasley's?
17	Yes, you did say.	17	A. Yes, that's correct.
18	MR WASTELL: Yes, I did, sir, PC French.	18	Q. Subject to any delay in you letting the dispatcher know
19	PC SARAH FRENCH (affirmed)	19	when you arrived it looks like you reached the scene at
20	Questions from MR WASTELL	20	about 5.34?
21	MR WASTELL: Can you state your name for the court, please.	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes, PC 4610, Sarah French.	22	Q. You saw a male on the ground, you say. Were the
23	Q. The time of the events in question, November 2012,	23	paramedics still treating him when you arrived?
24	I think you went by the name of Wilson, is that right?	24	A. Yes, they were, yes.
25	A. Yes, that's correct.	25	Q. Where in the road was he, the middle, the side, do you
ı			D 4
	Page 2		Page 4

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

1	remember?	1	Mr St Clair-Ford?
2	A. Yes. So, as I looked down the road, he was more towards	2	A. Yes.
3	the right-hand side. Just off the centre line of the	3	Q. You spoke to a lady called Iris Da Silva?
4	road towards the right-hand side.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. You may not be able to remember but do you recall	5	Q. Who told you that she lived and worked in Hillcrest
6	when you say the right-hand side, do you mean the side	6	Cottage?
7	with the property known as Hillcrest Cottage on? Do you	7	A. Yes, she did.
8	remember?	8	Q. The only other two people you spoke to, I think, were
9	A. I can't remember where Hillcrest Cottage was.	9	two women who were in a car that they told you was sat
10	Q. You describe his clothing, I think at the time you saw	10	behind the ambulance. Is that right?
11	him he was wearing shorts but had no top on. Is that	11	A. Yes.
12	right?	12	Q. It didn't seem, therefore, that they had arrived before
13	A. Yes.	13	the ambulance?
14	Q. You describe his stomach. Just tell the coroner what	14	A. No, perhaps not if the ambulance had arrived and then
15	you saw?	15	their vehicle was behind.
16	A. His stomach was significantly bloated I would	16	Q. Yes. You then went into Hillcrest Cottage to speak to
17	probably describe it as bulbous.	17	Mr Da Silva and take a statement from her?
18	Q. Now, how are you able to distinguish that from, say, his	18	A. Yes.
19	usual paunch or belly?	19	Q. Then I think after that you say that you came out to
20	A. I couldn't; I've never seen this male before.	20	hold a cordon as directed by is it Sergeant Jones or
21	Q. So it could have been how he always looked?	21	Inspector Jones?
22	A. Perhaps.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. How close did you get to the body or to the man being	23	Q. Just looking at the times of that, if you turn to
24	treated as you arrived?	24	tab 15A, this is described as the "Major incident scene
25	A. Perhaps within four metres.	25	log", page 104 at the top right. Do you see that?
	Page 5		Page 7
			-
1	Q. Right. You didn't get up close and examine him	1	A. Yes.
2	presumably because he was still being treated?	2	Q. If you turn to page 106, do you see an entry there at
3	A. Yes, that's correct.	3	18.45?
4	Q. You saw a line of people in front of a property called	4	A. Yes.
5	Hillcrest Cottage. Correct?	5	Q. "PC Wilson completes statement with Iris Da Silva."
6	A. Yes.	6	Yes?
7	Q. You spoke to them and your job was to go and see who	7	A. Yes.
8	they were. Correct?	8	Q. Then at 18.50:
9	A. Yes, it was.	9	"PC Wilson replaces security at outer cordon."
10	Q. You spoke to a gentleman in chef's whites called	10	Yes?
11	Mr Liam Walsh?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. So about an hour and 20 minutes after you've arrived you
13	Q. We heard from him yesterday but you described him as	13	are on the cordon, according to the log?
14	appearing distressed?	14	A. According to the log, yes.
15	A. Yes, he was very distressed.	15	Q. You were approached by somebody at the cordon, weren't
16	Q. Why was that?	16	you?
17	A. He spoke very quickly you know, he was desperate to	17	A. Yes, I was.
18	tell me what had happened, you know.	18	Q. A man by the name of Eugene Elias?
19	Q. Did he have a particular concern?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. He did tell me that he had sick in his mouth and that he	20	Q. What did he tell you?
21	had been giving CPR to the male on the ground that's	21	A. He told me that he'd he first asked me what was going
22	what he told me.	22	on and he asked me, you know he was obviously
23	Q. You directed him to the paramedics, I think?	23	concerned that something had happened and he
24	A. Yes, I did.	24	Q. Just one moment. If we look at your statement, I think
25	Q. You also spoke or took details from a man called	25	it would appear before you started taking a note of what
	Page 6		Page 8
	1 age 0		1 age 0

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

1	he said, this is the second page of your statement, the	1	a little mark, do you see? (Indicated)
2	paragraph above the split in the page where it says "End	2	A. Yes, I can see it now, yes.
3	of page 1 of 2", you're describing there:	3	MR WASTELL: Do you see Granville Road and Hillcrest
4	"I stood at the cordon, was approached by a male who	4	Cottage?
5	later identified himself to me as Eugene Elias."	5	A. Yes.
6	Do you see that?	6	Q. At the top of Granville Road, which we know from the
7	A. Yes.	7	compass on the left is north, unfortunately the
8	Q. "He asked me what was going on in Granville Road and	8	photocopying is bad but the road that is the T-junction
9	said he had seen a man earlier who looked in pain and	9	at the top says "Granville Close".
10	wondered whether it was related to that."	10	A. Yes, I can see that.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. Mr Elias is describing to you, according to your note,
12	Q. Yes, you see that?	12	driving from the top south down Granville Road. Yes?
13	You asked him to be more specific and then you made	13	A. Yes.
14	notes in your police notebook?	14	Q. Does that accord with your recollection?
15	A. Yes, I did.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. The first thing he's saying to you is, "I'm wondering	16	Q. Thank you. Just going back to your note, there were
17	whether this is related to the person I saw in pain	17	some family members in the car, we don't need to
18	before this ambulance"?	18	identify them. Turning over the page, just pick up from
19	A. Yes.	19	what he saw, please.
20	Q. Okay, so he's already made a connection. Now let's look	20	A. So he told me that he'd seen a woman walking back and
21	at your notebook behind tab B, or use your original if	21	forth 200 yards from the junction.
22	you prefer. It's page 77 of the bundle, internal	22	Q. That's the junction of Granville Close and
23	page 38, so the right-hand page of the two. Do you see	23	Granville Road, isn't it?
24	four lines down you've recorded Mr Elias's name, yes?	24	A. I can't be sure about what he's specifically referring
25	A. Yes.	25	to here.
	Page 9		Page 11
	0.771 1 1 1 10 1 11 1 1 1 1 1	١.	O.F. W. I.
1	Q. Then a phone number halfway down and below that, "At	1	Q. Fine. Yes, please continue.
2	16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?	2	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he
2 3	16.40 hours driving". Do you see that? <b>A. Yes.</b>	2 3	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was
2 3 4	<ul><li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to</li></ul>	2 3 4	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li><li>A. Yes, I was.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.</li><li>Q. Yes.</li><li>A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.</li> <li>Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.</li> <li>Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.</li> <li>A. Completely exhausted.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.</li> <li>Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.</li> <li>A. Completely exhausted.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.</li> <li>Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.</li> <li>A. Completely exhausted.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.</li> <li>Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.</li> <li>A. Completely exhausted.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. And then he described his appearance to me.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it? I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it?  I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map of St George's Hill's estate. If you look in the top</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it?  I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map of St George's Hill's estate. If you look in the top left-hand corner, we have had identified to us that the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. The clothing, white t-shirt, navy shorts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it?  I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map of St George's Hill's estate. If you look in the top left-hand corner, we have had identified to us that the road running from the top left down is Granville Road</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. The clothing, white t-shirt, navy shorts?  A. Yes, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it?  I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map of St George's Hill's estate. If you look in the top left-hand corner, we have had identified to us that the road running from the top left down is Granville Road and there's a property marked about two inches down with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. The clothing, white t-shirt, navy shorts?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Just read out the last bit how he looked to Mr Elias as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it?  I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map of St George's Hill's estate. If you look in the top left-hand corner, we have had identified to us that the road running from the top left down is Granville Road and there's a property marked about two inches down with the name Hillcrest Cottage. I don't know whether you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. The clothing, white t-shirt, navy shorts?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Just read out the last bit how he looked to Mr Elias as you recorded it at the time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it? I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map of St George's Hill's estate. If you look in the top left-hand corner, we have had identified to us that the road running from the top left down is Granville Road and there's a property marked about two inches down with the name Hillcrest Cottage. I don't know whether you can see that. Do you see the top left</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. The clothing, white t-shirt, navy shorts?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Just read out the last bit how he looked to Mr Elias as you recorded it at the time?  A. He described him to me as white and that he looked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>16.40 hours driving". Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it?  I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map of St George's Hill's estate. If you look in the top left-hand corner, we have had identified to us that the road running from the top left down is Granville Road and there's a property marked about two inches down with the name Hillcrest Cottage. I don't know whether you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. The clothing, white t-shirt, navy shorts?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Just read out the last bit how he looked to Mr Elias as you recorded it at the time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you making these notes as Mr Elias is speaking to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just help me with what you've recorded. We're hoping to hear from Mr Elias later in the week, but just help me with what you've written at the time.</li> <li>A. So</li> <li>Q. Just start with "At 16.40 hours".</li> <li>A. So Mr Elias told me that he was driving from Granville Close up Granville Road in his vehicle</li> <li>Q. Okay, just pause there. Can I just show you a map, just so we can be clear what Mr Elias has told you. I'm hoping it's out in front of you, there should be a colour map. Do you see it? I'm sorry to spring this on you, but this is a map of St George's Hill's estate. If you look in the top left-hand corner, we have had identified to us that the road running from the top left down is Granville Road and there's a property marked about two inches down with the name Hillcrest Cottage. I don't know whether you can see that. Do you see the top left</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. So he told me that as he had driven over the hill, he saw a man running up the hill who looked like he was really struggling and in pain.  Q. Yes.  A. And he told me that he looked exhausted.  Q. "Completely exhausted" I think is the phrasing you used.  A. Completely exhausted.  Q. Yes.  A. His wife made a comment to him, saying, "He should be walking not running".  Q. Yes.  A. And then he described his appearance to me.  Q. Yes, and you've recorded that he was holding in his hand — what does that say?  A. An iPod and he possibly had earphones in his ears.  Q. Yes. And he's described him as a male, black hair, 5-foot 11-inches tall, thin build. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. The clothing, white t-shirt, navy shorts?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Just read out the last bit how he looked to Mr Elias as you recorded it at the time?  A. He described him to me as white and that he looked

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1	Q. So his face looked white, you've recorded?	1	do with, you know, whether it was her husband involved,
2	A. Yes.	2	and he said to her the person is deceased.
3	Q. Noticeable that the male looked unwell?	3	Q. Yes, and she reacted, understandably, badly to that?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yes, she was hysterical and the 8-year old child that
5	Q. Thank you. You went to Mr Elias's house to take	5	was present with her, who I believe was her daughter,
6	a statement from him; is that right?	6	was also very upset, to the point where I held on to
7	A. Yes, I did.	7	her.
8	Q. You were interrupted in that process by some	8	Q. Yes. She was told that by CID I think they were
9	information.	9	unsure whether her husband was connected to the incident
10	A. Yes.	10	and wanted to go to the house to check.
11	Q. What was that?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. I had heard over the radio that PC Clark, who was down	12	Q. You went with them to help?
13	on the cordon, had what he thought potentially was the	13	A. Yes, I did.
14	next of kin.	14	Q. You asked her, when at the house, whether she had
15	Q. Yes.	15	a photo of her husband, which she duly produced?
16	A. So I left Mr Elias and went straight to the cordon to	16	A. Yes.
17	assist.	17	Q. At that stage, I think you've recorded that CID weren't
18	Q. Yes, and you spoke to the lady.	18	prepared to confirm whether or not that was her husband
19	A. Yes, I spoke to her. She had her 8-year old daughter	19	at the scene from the photograph. Is that right?
20	with her and she was asking me what had happened up the	20	A. No, they didn't confirm whether it was.
21	road, because from where we were stood you could see in	21	Q. You then left the property
22	the distance you know, over the hill you couldn't	22	A. Yes.
23	actually see anything apart from blue flashing lights,	23	Q and went back to finish Mr Elias's statement?
24	which were touching the trees, perhaps. And she was	24	A. Yes.
25	asking me what had happened, so I told her that I would	25	Q. Did you have any further involvement with this incident?
	$D_{a \sim a}$ 12		Page 15
	Page 13		1 age 15
1	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually,	1	A. No.
1 2	<u> </u>	1 2	
	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually,	1	A. No.
2	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.	2	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you
2	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?	2 3	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.
2 3 4	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone	2 3 4	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were,
2 3 4 5	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because	2 3 4 5	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived,
2 3 4 5 6	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had	2 3 4 5 6	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave
2 3 4 5 6 7	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived,  I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?  A. Yes.  Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?  A. Yes.  Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?  A. Yes.  Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?  A. I don't remember when he arrived.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?</li> <li>A. I don't know who was running</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?</li> <li>A. I don't know who was running</li> <li>Q. There came a time when you met with Mr Elias and it fell</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?  A. Yes.  Q. At that point, had she been told anything about whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?</li> <li>A. I don't know who was running</li> <li>Q. There came a time when you met with Mr Elias and it fell to you to take his witness statement, as you've</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?  A. Yes.  Q. At that point, had she been told anything about whether that was likely to be her husband?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?</li> <li>A. I don't know who was running</li> <li>Q. There came a time when you met with Mr Elias and it fell to you to take his witness statement, as you've described?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?  A. Yes.  Q. At that point, had she been told anything about whether that was likely to be her husband?  A. The only information that had been passed to her at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?</li> <li>A. I don't know who was running</li> <li>Q. There came a time when you met with Mr Elias and it fell to you to take his witness statement, as you've described?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?  A. Yes.  Q. At that point, had she been told anything about whether that was likely to be her husband?  A. The only information that had been passed to her at that cordon was information from DC Burden and he told her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?</li> <li>A. I don't know who was running</li> <li>Q. There came a time when you met with Mr Elias and it fell to you to take his witness statement, as you've described?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I would like to just show you that statement and just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?  A. Yes.  Q. At that point, had she been told anything about whether that was likely to be her husband?  A. The only information that had been passed to her at that cordon was information from DC Burden and he told her that there had been an incident and, when she asked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I don't remember when he arrived.</li> <li>Q. But you do remember that he was there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?</li> <li>A. I don't know who was running</li> <li>Q. There came a time when you met with Mr Elias and it fell to you to take his witness statement, as you've described?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I would like to just show you that statement and just make sure it's the one that you took. It's in what we</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?  A. Yes.  Q. At that point, had she been told anything about whether that was likely to be her husband?  A. The only information that had been passed to her at that cordon was information from DC Burden and he told her that there had been an incident and, when she asked for further information, which I can't be specific as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?  A. Yes.  Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?  A. I don't remember when he arrived.  Q. But you do remember that he was there?  A. Yes.  Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?  A. I don't know who was running  Q. There came a time when you met with Mr Elias and it fell to you to take his witness statement, as you've described?  A. Yes.  Q. I would like to just show you that statement and just make sure it's the one that you took. It's in what we call the hearing bundle which I think is in front of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	call for assistance from CID who were present, actually, you know, at the scene.  Q. At that stage, how did she appear to you?  A. She was very upset, telling me that her husband had gone out running at 5 o'clock and she was concerned because she didn't know where he was and she was asking what had happened.  Q. She gave you her husband's name, I think?  A. Yes, she did.  Q. You passed that on to control and you asked for CID to attend; is that right?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And officer DC Burden who again we will hear from came to the cordon to speak to her; is that right?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. You all went off to her house?  A. Yes.  Q. At that point, had she been told anything about whether that was likely to be her husband?  A. The only information that had been passed to her at that cordon was information from DC Burden and he told her that there had been an incident and, when she asked for further information, which I can't be specific as to what she actually asked, but I you know, I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No.  MR WASTELL: Thank you. I have no further questions, if you wait there, there may be some further questions.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Officer, just so that we can, as it were, orientate ourselves in point of time, you arrived, I think, together with Police Constable Pasley, who gave evidence yesterday?  A. Yes.  Q. And I think at about the same time, there's a sergeant, Detective Sergeant Nettlingham, do you remember that?  A. I don't remember when he arrived.  Q. But you do remember that he was there?  A. Yes.  Q. He was for a while the senior officer on site as a sergeant, as it were in charge?  A. I don't know who was running  Q. There came a time when you met with Mr Elias and it fell to you to take his witness statement, as you've described?  A. Yes.  Q. I would like to just show you that statement and just make sure it's the one that you took. It's in what we call the hearing bundle which I think is in front of you at page 307.

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

1	us about so far.	1	walking and staggering."
2	Have you found that?	2	That's possibly a slightly unusual description,
3	A. Yes, I have.	3	"staggering", did you enquire of him what he meant or
4	Q. There's no manuscript writing on it but you will see	4	ask him to elaborate on that, do you remember?
5	it's got signature witnessed by 4610, which I think was	5	A. No.
6	your shoulder board number at the time, probably still	6	Q. Then he goes on:
7	is?	7	"She was not on a power walk and didn't have a dog."
8	A. Yes.	8	Is he saying it wasn't obvious what she was doing,
9	Q. Does that help you to identify that this is a, obviously	9	is that the sense you got?
10	reformatted, version of the statement that you took?	10	A. It's not, again, something I can answer. Perhaps
11	A. Yes.	11	Mr Elias could could confirm.
12	Q. I'm interested in what Mr Elias was telling you about	12	Q. Anyway, this appears to be quite an important potential
13	the lady that he'd seen it's in the first paragraph:	13	witness. Did anyone do anything about it?
14	"As we were driving along Granville Road,	14	A. I didn't do anything about it.
15	approximately 200 yards from the start of the junction,	15	Q. No. Did you tell anyone that Mr Elias had said that?
16	I saw a female walking along the left-hand side of the	16	A. I can't remember.
17		17	Q. No. I've seen a document in the case that was produced
	road on the grass."	18	weeks and weeks after this event in which the officers
18	You've already mentioned that he did say that, now	19	
19	that's a place which is pretty close to where the body		involved, which would include you, were asked to recall
20	was found.	20	what Mrs Perepilichnaya was wearing when she was seen on
21	A. I can't quite be sure where specifically he was talking	21	the evening of the incident, obviously I would think
22	about when he described the junction.	22	with a view to eliminating her totally from
23	Q. No, and also pretty close, I would suggest, to	23	identification with this lady.
24	Mr Perepilichnyy's home?	24	Was that a question that was ever put to you?
25	A. Again, I can't confirm or	25	A. I don't remember.
	Page 17		Page 19
1	Q. You of course went with DC Burden to Mr Perepilichnyy's	1	Q. Do you remember I do not think anybody has ever asked
2	home in order to speak with his wife. How far away from	2	you this what Mrs Perepilichnaya was wearing when you
3	the scene of the death was that? Was it a minute's	3	saw her?
4	walk, five minutes' walk or what?	4	A. No, I don't.
5	A. Are you talking about the property?	5	Q. Not at all, whether she was formally dressed,
6	Q. Yes.	6	informally, you can't say?
7	A. From where the deceased	7	A. I don't remember at all.
8	Q. Yes.	8	Q. You went to her house with DC Burden?
9	A. Okay. (Pause) I can't answer that question because	9	A. Yes, I did.
10	I can't be specific.	10	Q. And there was quite a lot of conversation, which he will
11	Q. I have the impression that these events all took place	11	tell us about, but it's right, isn't it, that no formal
12	within a pretty small compass, that's to say the	12	statement was taken from her?
13	situation of the house, the situation of the death and	13	A. Not at the time that I was there, no.
14	the place where this lady was seen walking were all	14	Q. Well you say not at the time you were there, I mean as
15	pretty close to one another.	15	far as you know no statement was ever taken from
16	A. They were close; it was walking distance.	16	Mrs Perepilichnaya?
17	Q. Yes, then he goes on to say:	17	A. Again I don't know, because I was there for a short
18	"I saw a female walking along the left-hand side of	18	period of time.
19	the road. She wore a long-sleeved Oxford white shirt	19	Q. Anyway, not that evening.
20	and possibly a pair of jeans."	20	You say that, when you arrived, the deceased was
21	That was a pretty good description, down to the	21	still being treated. I have the impression that
22	precise type of shirt?	22	a decision was made to stop efforts at resuscitation at
23	A. Yes.	23	about the time that you arrived. Was that something you
24	Q. Then he goes on:	24	were aware of or did that happen without that
25	"She appeared to be in her 50s, she appeared to be	25	decision happen without you being particularly aware of
	D 46		D 00
	Page 18		Page 20

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

1	it?	1	tell you that the male looked so unwell and that the way
2	A. I would have been informed as part of the ongoing	2	the male looked was so significant that it caused him,
3	circumstances that the male had been declared deceased,	3	Mr Elias, and his wife to comment between them?
4	but the decisions weren't with me.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. No, of course not, but the point is this, that when you	5	MR STRAW: Okay. Thanks very much.
6	arrived, that the focus of attention no doubt was on	6	Questions from MR BEGGS
7	trying to resuscitate, but very shortly after you	7	MR BEGGS: Officer, in your statement, which in the bundle
8	arrived, I was suggesting, that the focus changed and	8	is at page 73, you describe, as you did in your
9	you now have someone who was sadly deceased?	9	testimony, the female, who we know to be the widow, as
10	A. Could you just clarify the question for me, please?	10	being hysterical and screaming when she approached the
11	Q. Yes. When you arrived, all the focus was obviously on	11	cordon. Do you see towards the bottom of that page,
12	the efforts at resuscitation, so you were arriving at	12	three or four lines from the bottom?
13	a scene where attempts were being made to resuscitate	13	A. Yes.
14	someone. What I'm suggesting to you is that very	14	Q. Then if we move from there, please, to page 85 of the
15	shortly after you arrived, I think the times indicate	15	same bundle, if you turn it so it's horizontally, on the
16	this, that ceased to be the case and what you were	16	left-hand side of your notebook we can see the origins
17	looking at was someone who was deceased and perhaps the	17	of the MG11 can't we, on the top half of the left-hand
18	focus now on how did that come about.	18	side.
19	A. The paramedics were involved with treating the male and	19	A. Yes.
20	it wasn't my decision as to the medical attention that	20	Q. It's thereafter that there was uncertainty from your CID
21	he was receiving at the time.	21	colleagues as to who she was in relation to the body.
22	Q. Of course not, I do appreciate that.	22	A. Yes.
23	A. I was involved in assisting with the investigation.	23	Q. They decided to go to the lady's house, didn't they?
24	That was my focus and priority at that time.	24	A. Yes.
25	THE CORONER: You told us you were talking to other people	25	Q. And you very sensibly, if I may say so, said it might be
	Page 21	_	Page 23
1	and so on that's what you were doing?	1	useful if you attended by reason of you being a woman?
1 2	and so on, that's what you were doing?  A. Yes, I was, yes.	1 2	useful if you attended by reason of you being a woman?
2	A. Yes, I was, yes.	2	A. Yes.
2 3	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.	2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li></ul>
2 3 4	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
2 3	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where  Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where  Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where  Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where  Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where  Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as staggering?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where  Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much. Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes. Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as staggering?  Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.     Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what     Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering     and walking back and forth close to where     Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes. Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as     staggering? Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't     believe so, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much. Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes. Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as staggering?  Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where  Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as staggering?  Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't believe so, no.  Q. Or whether she was holding anything?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.    Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what    Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering    and walking back and forth close to where    Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as    staggering?  Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't    believe so, no.  Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.     Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what     Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering     and walking back and forth close to where     Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes. Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as     staggering? Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't     believe so, no. Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest     that she was. Q. Did you discuss that? I mean did he say, "She wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And obviously that is going to raise with her, isn't it,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.     Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what     Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering     and walking back and forth close to where     Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes. Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as     staggering? Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't     believe so, no. Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest     that she was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.     Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what     Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering     and walking back and forth close to where     Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes. Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as     staggering? Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't     believe so, no. Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest     that she was. Q. Did you discuss that? I mean did he say, "She wasn't     holding anything" or was it just not discussed?  A. I don't believe it was discussed at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And obviously that is going to raise with her, isn't it, the suspicion that the person lying on the ground is her husband?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.  Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what  Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering and walking back and forth close to where  Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as staggering?  Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't believe so, no.  Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest that she was.  Q. Did you discuss that? I mean did he say, "She wasn't holding anything" or was it just not discussed?  A. I don't believe it was discussed at all.  Q. A separate issue about what he told you about the man	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And obviously that is going to raise with her, isn't it, the suspicion that the person lying on the ground is her husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.     Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what     Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering     and walking back and forth close to where     Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as     staggering?  Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't     believe so, no.  Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest     that she was.  Q. Did you discuss that? I mean did he say, "She wasn't     holding anything" or was it just not discussed?  A. I don't believe it was discussed at all.  Q. A separate issue about what he told you about the man     who had collapsed, Mr Perepilichnyy. What Mr Elias told	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And obviously that is going to raise with her, isn't it, the suspicion that the person lying on the ground is her husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think that at this stage, as you record on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.     Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what     Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering     and walking back and forth close to where     Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes. Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as     staggering? Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't     believe so, no. Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest     that she was. Q. Did you discuss that? I mean did he say, "She wasn't     holding anything" or was it just not discussed?  A. I don't believe it was discussed at all. Q. A separate issue about what he told you about the man     who had collapsed, Mr Perepilichnyy. What Mr Elias told     you about Mr Perepilichnyy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And obviously that is going to raise with her, isn't it, the suspicion that the person lying on the ground is her husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think that at this stage, as you record on the right-hand side of that same page, you were feeling</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.     Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what     Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering     and walking back and forth close to where     Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes.  Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as     staggering?  Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't     believe so, no.  Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest     that she was.  Q. Did you discuss that? I mean did he say, "She wasn't     holding anything" or was it just not discussed?  A. I don't believe it was discussed at all.  Q. A separate issue about what he told you about the man     who had collapsed, Mr Perepilichnyy. What Mr Elias told	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And obviously that is going to raise with her, isn't it, the suspicion that the person lying on the ground is her husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think that at this stage, as you record on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes, I was, yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much.     Questions from MR STRAW  MR STRAW: Officer, firstly just a question about what     Mr Elias said about the woman who was seen staggering     and walking back and forth close to where     Mr Perepilichnyy collapsed.  A. Yes. Q. Did Mr Elias say anything about her demeanour?  A. Are we talking about the female that's been described as     staggering? Q. That's right, yes.  A. (Pause) Could you just bear with me. (Pause) I don't     believe so, no. Q. Or whether she was holding anything?  A. I don't believe there was any information to suggest     that she was. Q. Did you discuss that? I mean did he say, "She wasn't     holding anything" or was it just not discussed?  A. I don't believe it was discussed at all. Q. A separate issue about what he told you about the man     who had collapsed, Mr Perepilichnyy. What Mr Elias told     you about Mr Perepilichnyy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And because there was also a child involved?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You went to her house, and perhaps we can just gently follow it in your notebook, at the top of page 86 in the bundle, page 47 of your notebook, on the left-hand side. It seems that there was initially a little bit of a silence, a stilted silence, because you record nothing was being said?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a highly distressed woman with her distressed 8-year old, nothing's been said by anyone, so you, again very sensibly, broke the ice, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you asked the sensible question: do you have a photograph of your husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And obviously that is going to raise with her, isn't it, the suspicion that the person lying on the ground is her husband?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think that at this stage, as you record on the right-hand side of that same page, you were feeling</li> </ul>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

1	interacting with this woman?	1	officer for forensic purposes, logged as entering the
2	A. Yes, I did.	2	scene, so that there's a proper forensic record, and
3	Q. May I make it clear there's no criticism of you for	3	asked to identify the body?
4	having that feeling because I think to put it shortly,	4	A. As a PC on a cordon, it would not be my call to say
5	you felt that her anxiety was inevitably being raised by	5	whether or not a member of the public, who we have not
6	the presence of police officers and the nature of the	6	formally identified
7	question, but nothing was being done to alleviate that	7	THE CORONER: You wouldn't take just a member of the people
8	same anxiety?	8	just to show them a body, would you?
9	A. That's correct.	9	A. No, we wouldn't, and it's certainly not in my
10	Q. You thought it might have been better to tell her a few	10	position it would be a more senior officer who would
11	of the facts, in other words a male had collapsed and	11	be more in charge of the investigation who would make
12	that he was dead, despite the best efforts of those in	12	that call.
13	attendance?	13	Q. Yes. But very shortly after this gentleman's collapse
14	A. Yes, that would have been more appropriate.	14	and attendance by other members of the public, his name,
15	Q. Yes. You felt that she could have been told that she	15	or a name, was identified from his mobile phones, did
16	would be kept updated as to progress with identification	16	you know that?
17	and so forth?	17	A. I can't remember that information.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. I ask, in a spirit of exploration, would there have been
19	Q. May I just ask, the scene, or the cordon, whichever you	19	any reason forensically not to have taken his two mobile
20	like to call it, it doesn't strike me from the papers as	20	phones to the lady in the house and say: do you
21	being a completely nailed-down crime scene. Do you	21	recognise those? They could still be in their bags,
22	understand what I mean? It wasn't impervious, was it?	22	their forensic bags.
23	A. I can't remember, I'm afraid.	23	A. Again, it wouldn't be my decision to take action on any
24	Q. If you can't remember, do say, but would you agree with	24	information
25	me that it does seem that a number of police officers	25	Q. Understood. Applying your mind now four years and seven
	Page 25		Page 27
1	for around a view normitted to enter the scane?	,	months later with greater appearance can you think of
1 2	for example were permitted to enter the scene?	1 2	months later with greater experience, can you think of any reason why the exhibit could not, for identification
3	A. I was on the cordon at the end of the road on that	3	or elimination purposes, be shown to this distressed
4	junction and I don't recall letting anyone past that cordon.	4	woman and said: do you recognise these phones?
5	Q. I see.	5	
6		6	A. When an investigation is being done in the police, you
7	A. I do remember officers involved in the investigation	7	know the timing of what's disclosed and what's not
8	being further up the road, like I described earlier,	8	to members of the public is done appropriately and, again, I'm not involved in that area.
9	where I could see blue flashing lights touching the trees.	9	THE CORONER: It sounds, on the face of it, like a slightly
10	Q. There was a scene loggist, wasn't there, in place, you	10	more sensitive way of dealing with things than simply
11	would expect?	11	taking someone to look at a body in the road, doesn't
12	A. Yes.	12	it?
13	Q. If police officers entered the scene, as the incident	13	
14	report appears to record on several occasions, one	14	A. Yes, it does, sir.  MP DECCS: You can see why that might have been an ention
15	assumes the loggist would therefore record the fact of	15	MR BEGGS: You can see why that might have been an option,
16	a police officer entering the scene?		that's all I am saying, it's not a big point. It might
		16	have been an option because if she recognised the phones
17	A. Yes, that's the process.	17	as being her husband's that would have been in effect
18	Q. That's the process. It follows from what we have just	18	a manner of identification, wouldn't it?
19	said that there was no total prohibition on people	19	A. Yes, it would have been, yes.
20	entering the scene, officers were permitted to enter the	20	Q. It comes to this, that when you went back to take
21	scene for good reason?	21	a statement from the member of the public, Eugene, you
22	A. Again I don't know because I wasn't controlling the	22	couldn't concentrate due to the distress that you had
23	scene log throughout the entire period.	23	witnessed?
24	Q. Understood. Can you think of any reason why this woman	24	A. I couldn't, no.
25	couldn't be taken to the scene, controlled by a police	25	Q. Again no criticism of you. And you say in your notebook
	Page 26		Page 28

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

1	at the time, the distress the family had been left with,	1	A. Talk up.
2	which could have been resolved. That was your opinion	2	MR SKELTON: I will keep reminding you if you go down again.
3	at the time?	3	Ms Kaye, I would like you to also open you should
4	A. Yes, it could have been.	4	have another file which is called "Miscellaneous" next
5	MR BEGGS: Thank you very much.	5	to you. Do you have that?
6	MS BARTON: I have no questions. Thank you, sir.	6	A. Yes.
7	Questions from THE CORONER	7	Q. Great. Could you open up tab 19 of that because I'm
8	THE CORONER: Do you have page 73 there? Just come 13 lines	8	going to ask you about quite a few of the documents in
9	down. Do you remember you were asked some questions	9	there.
10	about whether you had ever recorded or remembered	10	Do you have that?
11	anything about what the lady was wearing, so the lady	11	A. Yes.
12	you spoke to?	12	Q. Good. To start with, just the first things that you say
13	A. Yes.	13	in your statement really are about the background to
14	THE CORONER: In fact I think the answer is you did, didn't	14	your work. At the time that you knew Mr Perepilichnyy
15	you, you put down that she wore a coat you could	15	you were working for a Swiss bank. Could you just
16	remember that.	16	explain what that bank is and what kind of customers
17	A. Yes, now my mind has been prompted by my statement, yes.	17	they have?
18	THE CORONER: Thank you very much, that's all.	18	A. So I used to work for a bank called EFG Private Bank,
19	MR SKELTON: The next witness is Elizabeth Kaye.	19	which was a subsidiary of EFG International, which is
20	MS ELIZABETH KAYE (sworn)	20	a Swiss private bank. And we looked after high net
21	THE CORONER: Can you see it's a very big room, I'm afraid	21	worth individuals, mainly on the wealth management side
22	you need to turn the volume up considerably, all right,	22	but we also, within the wealth management, obviously
23	so that everybody can hear what you have to say.	23	also assisted them on their mortgages and their IFA
24	Questions from MR SKELTON	24	planning as well.
25	MR SKELTON: You should have two files in front of you,	25	Q. You are, I think, or were, the client relationship
	Page 29		Page 31
1	Ms Kaye. One is a witness bundle and under tab 12 you	1	officer?
2	should find your own statement.	2	A. That's correct, yes.
3	A. There's nothing in here, but I do have my own statement	3	Q. What does that mean?
4	here.	4	A. The client relationship officer is the person who
5	Q. It's not in there, did you say?	5	effectively is assigned to look after that client. So
6	THE CORONER: No, it was added later, I think.	6	I would take the calls, I would you know, see what
7	MR SKELTON: Not to worry. But you have a copy in front of	7	the client wanted, initially, on day one, I would help
8	you?	8	to do the due diligence, submit that to the compliance
9	A. I do, yes.	9	department, who would then get the account signed off by
10	Q. Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and	10	the management and thereafter once the client was signed
11	belief?	11	off, either give the client investment advice, introduce
12	A. To the best of my knowledge it's complete, yes.	12	them to our discretionary team or alternatively if they
13	Q. Just for clarification, this is the one that was signed	13	needed a loan I would prepare and arrange for that loan
14	on 2 June this year?	14	to be submitted and then drawn down if required.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. In terms of advice, you could give advice on
16	MS BARTON: Sorry to interrupt, I note the witness is	16	investments, could you?
17	optimistically leaning towards the microphone	17	A. I could, yes.
18	THE CORONER: Yes, those ones are just making sure that what	18	Q. On loans, could you approve a loan?
19	you say is recorded all right, so I'm afraid that's not	19	A. I couldn't approve a loan, I could submit a loan. That
20	going to help us. It will be your own steam that does	20	loan would then be taken to the credit department, they
21	it.	21	would review it, then you would go to credit committee,
22	A. Okay, no problem.	22	which I attended. If credit committee then approved
23	MR MOXON BROWNE: Not only am I having difficulty in	23	that loan, I would then go back to the client, I would
24	hearing, I can't hear a word.	24	advise them, the credit department would
25	THE CORONER: All right. Can you	25	Q. Slow down, as well as speaking loudly, sorry.
	Page 30		Page 32
	rage 50	1	1 age 32

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

A. Sorry. The credit department would then prepare the 1 A. That is information that will ultimately get fed into 2 2 loan documentation, which would then be handed to the the CPR, yes. 3 3 Q. Who fills in that profile? client. 4 Q. Is it right that you weren't able to give advice about 4 A. So -- well either my assistants or myself. 5 5 Q. Based on information you've obtained independently and life insurance and the like? 6 based on information from the prospective client? 6 A. That's correct, I wasn't ever authorised to give advice 7 7 on packaged products. So if somebody ever came to me A. That's correct, yes. 8 Q. Is that a permanent record of that person's background? 8 and asked me for information which related to the IFA 9 9 A. It is a permanent record, yes. area I would then make an introduction to one of the 10 employees of EFG IFAs for them to be able to assist the 10 Q. Then I think in your statement, is it after you finished 11 that initial profile that compliance has to sign off? 11 12 12 Q. Jonathan Benson, who is someone you talk about, is such A. Okay, so the way that it would work for a Russian 13 13 a person, is he? client, any Russian client, is that you do your initial 14 14 fact find, so long as there's nothing adverse, you would A. That's correct, yes. 15 15 Q. Just going back to the types of clients that you have. then ask for the Proximal report, which you have here. 16 16 High net worth, roughly what does that mean? Once we get back the Proximal report we would 17 17 A. People who have aspects in excess of, let's say, corroborate the information against what the client told 18 18 \$2 million and up. us on day one --19 Q. The process of becoming a client of the bank. You start 19 Q. Proximal report, please explain? 20 20 A. You have in the -- the background, the intelligence off with a meeting --21 A. So --21 22 Q. Intelligence report? 22 Q. -- someone comes in and sees you? 23 23 A. Yes. A. Correct, yes. 24 Q. You take a view about whether or not you're the right 24 Q. Conducted by a separate team? 25 25 A. By a separate -- it's a paid for report, the bank would fit? Page 33 Page 35 1 1 A. Well the first thing that happened is if I'm in this pay an independent company -- on this occasion 2 case for instance, a lawyer phones up, says, you know, 2 Proximal -- to have a look into the background of the 3 3 that this client would like to come and visit you, would individual and see whether there's any adverse media. 4 like to consider you, specifically in this case was for 4 Q. So Proximal is in fact the name of the company? 5 an investor visa. I would then do my initial Googles on 5 A. It's the name of the company, yes. that individual, make sure that there was nothing 6 6 Q. Thank you. Carry on. 7 adverse in the media. 7 A. Sometimes when those Proximal reports came back there 8 In addition to that, on this particular occasion, 8 were things that we were unaware of and therefore would 9 Q the client had already come into the bank in, I think it not proceed. But the majority of the time, once we 10 was 2003, if I remember correctly. His name was already 10 receive the Proximal report, we would have a look at 11 on our database --11 what it said in the report and have a look what the 12 Q. You are starting to go into questions about 12 client had told us in the beginning. We would then go 13 Mr Perepilichnyy --13 back to the client, we would sit down with them and make 14 A. No, but I would look on the database to make sure that 14 sure that the story flowed in the same way as what they 15 no one else had ever met that particular client, whoever 15 had originally told us. Q. You said for Russian clients, what was special about 16 that client happened to be. 16 17 17 If they were on the database, I would check with the Russian clients? 18 person who they previously saw to make sure that they 18 A. They were all considered to be high risk and therefore 19 wouldn't have an issue with me taking this forward. On 19 there was enhanced due diligence. 20 some occasions that person would say, "You know what, 20 Q. High risk of what? 21 I'll pick up with it". On this occasion they didn't. 21 A. High risk in terms of risk of money laundering, risk 22 The client would then come in, we would then have 22 of ... you know, corruption, and therefore banks in the 23 a fact finding mission, in terms of their background --23 UK have to take additional precautions when taking on 24 Q. Is that information that gets fed into the CIP, the 24 those types of clients to make sure their source of 25 25 wealth is clean. client information profile?

Page 36

Page 34

1

5

8

15

16

17

- Q. Did you have a lot of Russian clients?
- 2 A. I had a number of Russian clients, yes.
- 3 Q. Sorry, you said?
- 4 A. I had quite a number of Russian clients, yes.
- 5 Q. They all went through this process?
- A. They all went through this process, yes.
- 7 Q. Did the process if there was something untoward usually 8
- 9 A. Yes. I mean, I can't tell you that ... I can't sign off 10 100 per cent, but I'm not aware of occasions where
- 11 something negative came up that either we couldn't
- 12 corroborate or, alternatively, we turned the client
- 13 down.
- 14 Q. Was your practice in respect of the Russian clients 15 something in line with national guidelines or was it
- 16 something which you as a bank had decided to do?
- 17 A. So we had to comply with both national guidelines and 18 Swiss guidelines, because being a subsidiary of a Swiss
- 19
- 20 Q. Particularly in relation to money laundering?
- 21 A. Particularly in relation to money laundering, yes.
- 22 Q. So you get your Proximal report, you have a dialogue
- 23 with the client if issues with raised and after that
- 24 dialogue is concluded?
- 25 A. Then you start to write up the CIP.

- Q. Generally speaking, does all that research get fed into
- 2 the documents that we have before us in respect of
- 3 Mr Perepilichnyy, in terms of the final report?
- 4 A. No, there's -- well in terms of the final report, yes,
  - but I can tell you that there would have been a lot of
- 6 other documents that would have been submitted in the 7
  - file that are not in this folder.
  - Q. So all the searches on him, bank statements?
- 9 A. All the searches on him, his background, he would have 10 had to submit his income statements, he would have had
- 11 to send us things like his -- sometimes it's tax return,
- 12 sometimes it's the company accounts. All these types of
- 13 documents we would have reviewed at the take on stage.
- 14 Q. How long does this process normally take?
  - A. It can take anything up to six to nine months; it's not a quick process.
  - Q. Nor, presumably, cheap process to conduct?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You charge, Proximal are going to charge --
- 20 A. Proximal do charge but we can't charge the client for
- 21 Proximal reports because obviously if something negative
- 22 comes back from Proximal, then you would effectively be
- 23 taking the proceeds of crime so ... the bank has to pay 24
  - for the Proximal report. Therefore, you don't want to

Page 39

negative is going to come up, then you are not going to

Q. Would you ordinarily, or routinely, take on clients who

25 instruct a Proximal report if you think that something

## Page 37

1 O. Yes.

3

10

- 2 A. That CIP is then submitted to the compliance department
  - for their first review. Then there's normally ...
- 4 bits -- you know, kind of -- the paper goes backwards
- 5 and forwards until the compliance department are happy.
- 6 It was then submitted to the management, the management
- 7 would then themselves have to debate it and they
- 8 themselves would then have to sign it off, in a -- they
- 9 had a twice-weekly meeting specifically to sign off all
  - new clients.
- 11 Then for all Russian clients, again, there was
- 12 a Russian compliance department in Switzerland who
- 13 would, again, have to sign off on every case. If they
- 14 were considered to be very high risk, or PEP clients
- 15 there was then an executive committee --
- 16 Q. Or what clients, sorry?
- 17 A. Politically exposed persons. This client was not
- 18 a politically exposed person.
- 19 Q. How did the Swiss side do the compliance? Did they rely
- 20 on the Proximal report themselves or did they do their
- 21 own investigations?
- 22 A. I believe, and I don't know because this is a compliance

Page 38

- 23 area, that they did some of their own independent
- 24 verification, along with -- they had copies of all the
- 25 searches that we had done as well.

- 4
  - 5 A. Yes.

1

2

3

10

17

22

- 6 Q. So people who don't in fact have any money in the UK but
- 7 have a lot of money outside?

want to deal with the client.

have assets outside the jurisdiction?

- 8 A. Yes, that's very much the case. I mean a lot of the
- 9 people who used to bank, and I presume still do bank
  - with EFG, were non-UK resident and non-UK domiciled.
- 11 Q. I should clarify, you are not longer with the bank?
- 12 A. I'm no longer with the bank; I left in 2015.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 Just staying with that subject in terms of
- 15 investment, we've seen in Mr Perepilichnyy's case that
- 16 a loan was made for £1 million after he became a client?
  - A. No, to Mrs Perepilichnaya.
- 18 Q. To Mrs Perepilichnaya?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. That loan was for what reason?
- 21 A. So pre-2013, if you wanted an investor visa you could go
  - what was called the loan route you had to prove that you
- 23 had assets outside the UK of £2 million and on that
- 24 basis the bank could -- so the client would send us
- 25 £100,000/£150,000 and we could use that as part of the

Page 40

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

investment for — into a gilt, which had to be worth in excess of \$1 million and had to stay above the value of \$1			1	
3 ft million for the five years that the client held that 4 gilt. 5 Q. They proved their assets out of the jurisdiction — 6 A. Correct. 6 Q. Page? 7 Q. — you by gills with a loan that you have given — 8 A. That's correct. 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. — and that's held in their name — 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. — and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction — 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. — that allows them to get an investor's visa, because that that is an investment? 14 that is an investment? 15 A. Yes, that's correct — or that was correct until 2013 the hundred to the loan troute. 16 Q. You said in this case it was Mas Persplichmays. In the town changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan troute. 17 go the loan troute. 18 Q. You said in this case it was Mas Persplichmays. In that around this go do are was at the time, and obviously the clients of the low was at the time, and obviously the clients give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor, we didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor, we didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor, we didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor, we didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor, we didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor, we didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor, we didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor were didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor were didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor were didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor were didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend the formal mayor with a family 2003.  10 Q. Thank you. Can Lask you to look at the client formal payor with the family 2003.  21 A. That's correct, So tometimes when a client would in the family 2004. 22 A. That	1	investment for into a gilt, which had to be worth in	1	initial conversations. On this occasion, from what
4 was a piece, just bear with me (Pauso) 5 Q. They proved their assets out of the jurisdiction — 5 A. Correct. 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. — you buy gits with a loan that you have given — 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. — and that's held in their name — 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. — and mounts to assets within the jurisdiction — 12 A. Yes. 11 Q. — and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction — 12 A. Yes. 11 Q. — that allows them to get an investor's visa, because that is an investment? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. — that allows them to get an investor's visa, because that is an investment? 14 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan route. 16 Q. Yos aid in this case it was Mrs Pereplichnyap. Is that a routine thing to do or was at the time. 17 go in the aroutine thing to do or was at the time. 18 diverse was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband and make a could depend themselves and the legal advice, husband and the lega	2	excess of £1 million and had to stay above the value of	2	I can see, is that actually the client did go through
4 was a piece, just bear with me (Pause)  6 A. Currect.  7 Q. – you buy gibts with a loan that you have given—  8 A. Thar's correct.  9 Q. – and drafts held in their name—  9 Q. – and drafts held in their name—  10 A. Yes.  11 Q. – and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction—  12 A. Yes.  13 Q. – that allows them to get an investor's visa, because that is an investment?  14 A. Yes.  15 A. Yes. that's correct—or that was correct until 2013 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the on route.  18 Q. You said in this case it was Mrs Pereptilichnaya. Is that a routine thing to do or was at the time?  20 A. It was at the time cause—and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time cause—and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time cause—and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the law was at the time cause—and my understanding of the law was at the time law and the law of the law was at the time law and the law of the law was at the time law and the law of the law was at the law of the law of the law was at the law of the law of the law was at the law of the law of the law was at the law of t	3	£1 million for the five years that the client held that	3	the full process, because it does actually say there
5 O. They proved their assets out of the jurisdiction — 6 A. Correct. 7 O. — you buy gilts with a loan that you have given — 8 A. That's correct. 8 O. — and dust's held in their name — 10 A. Yes. 11 O. — and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction — 12 A. Yes. 13 O. — that allows them to get an investor's visa, because 14 that is an investiment? 14 that is an investment? 15 A. Yes, that's correct — or that was correct until 2013 owher the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan route. 16 O. You said in this case it was Mrs Pereplichnaya. Is 19 that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the 20 primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is 21 that a routine thing to do a was at the time. 22 A. It was at the fitne because — and my understanding of the meselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 25 themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend 25 Q. Thank you. The life there — we have Mr Pereplichnaya and nationality, 8 country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it san an attaining the clients were aware of it, in 2003, and it is a paparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't a spaper of have gone through and then led find the arrow have gone to have gone through and then led find the arrow have gone to have gone through and then led find the arrow have gone to have gone through and then led find the arrow have gone to have gone through and then led find the arrow have proved by the find work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you? 2 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come him after that. 2 O. He didn't pot mough the fill work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you? 3 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come him after that. 3 O. Have facility the medianed and account with you? 4 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would c	4		4	was a piece, just bear with me (Pause)
6 A. Correct. 7 Q. —you by gilts with a loon that you have given— 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. — and thin's held in their name— 9 Q. — and thin's held in their name— 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. — and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction— 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. — that allows them to get an investor's visa, because that is an investment? 14 that is an investment? 15 A. Yes, that's correct—or that was correct until 2013 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan route. 18 Q. You said in this case it was Mrs Pereptitichnaya. Is that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 21 A. It was at the films because—and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, busband—a wife could depend  Page 41  1 on her husband's assets to show that she had the 2 timing to a continuous of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, busband—a wife could depend  Page 41  1 on her husband's assets to show that she had the 2 timing to do or was at the client of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, busband—a wife could depend  Page 41  1 on her husband's assets to show that she had the 2 timing to do or was at the client of the client of the law was at the client of the law was at the client of the law was the law and the client of the law was the law of th	5		5	
7 A. — on page 113. So that would have been the very initial write up when the account was opened. 9 Q. — and that's held in their name — 9 Q. Sorry, just clarify. Page 113, which hil? 10 A. Yes. 110 Q. — that allows them to get an investor's visa, because 111 make is an investmen? 112 A. Yes. 113 Q.— that allows them to get an investor's visa, because 113 drinks is an investmen? 115 A. Yes, that's correct — or that was correct until 2013 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan route. 118 Q. You said in this case it was Mrs. Pereptilichnaya Is 18 that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 119 that notwitistanding the field it's her husband who's the 20 primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is 21 that ar outine thing to do or was at the time? 119 the tow was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the client 120 the law, you. Can I ask you to look at the	6		6	·
8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. — and that's held in their name — 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. — and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction — 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. — that allows them to get an investor's visa, because 14 that is an investment? 15 A. Yes, that's correct — or that was correct until 2013 16 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go rous aid in this case it was Mrs Pereptilichnaya. Is that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 18 Q. You said in this case it was Mrs Pereptilichnaya. Is that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 19 that aroutine thing to do or was at the time? 20 primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 21 themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wrife could depend 22 themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wrife could depend 23 themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wrife could depend 24 minomation profile that starts on page 111. 25 A. Yes, I hawe it. 26 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client on the remaining of the client starts on page 111. 27 A. A. Yes, I have it. 28 Q. Thank you. The tille there — we have Mr Pereplichnay mentioned and Tainana Pereplichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact, breading the first way and the didn't apparent to have gone through and then he didn't hear from a paparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparent to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that. 29 Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of fit, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues — who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apaparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparent	7		7	
9 Q and thut's held in their name - 10 A. Yes. 11 Q and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction - 12 A. Yes. 13 Q that allows them to get an investor's visa, because 14 that is an investment? 15 A. Yes, that's correct - or that was correct until 2013 16 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan route. 17 go the loan route. 18 Q. You said in this case it was Mrs Pereplichmaya. Is 19 that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the 20 primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is 21 that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 22 A. It was at the time, and obviously the clients 23 the was at the time, and obviously the clients 24 themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, busband - a wife could depend 25 give them legal advice, busband - a wife could depend 26 a. Yes, I have it. 27 Q. Thankyou. The title there - we have Mr Pereplichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Pereplichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In 28 fact you can see that it says: 29 Q. a relationship officer? 29 A. That's correct, yes. 30 Q. Thankyou. The title there - we have Mr Pereplichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Pereplichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In 39 fact, you can see that it says: 30 Q. Tay ou just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it? 31 A. That's correct, yes. 32 Q. Tay ou just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it, in 2003, the fact, by reading the fact its appear to have gone through and then be didn't hear from him after that. 32 Q. Having been introduced by a stiring clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants. 33 A. To. 34 C. To. 35 Q. Canyou just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it, in 2003, the fact, by reading the fact its was a three fact, by reading the fact its was a three fact, by reading the fact its was a three	8		8	• •
10 A. Yes. 11 Q. – and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction — 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. – that allows them to get an investor's visa, because 14 that is an investment? 15 A. Yes, that's correct — or that was correct until 2013 16 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to 17 go the loan route. 18 Q. You said in this case it was Mrs. Pereplichmaya. Is 19 that notivithstanding the fact it's ber husband who the 19 primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is 21 that a routine thing to do or was at the time; 22 a. It was at the time, and obviously the clients 23 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 24 themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 25 give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 26 an mary 2010 — 27 a. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client 28 information profile that starts on page 111. 29 Q. Thank you. The tiltle there — we have Mr Pereplichmaya and nationality, 20 country of residence, various other details there. In 21 A. Thank you. The tiltle there — we have Mr Pereplichmay mentioned and Taitian Pereplichmay and nationality, 29 country of residence, various other details there. In 29 fact you can see that it says: 20 Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does 21 A. Than's correct, yos. 22 A. Than's correct. 23 Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it; 24 A. Than's correct, yos. 25 A. Than's correct you directly? 26 A. Than's correct, yos. 27 A. Than's correct. 28 Q. Are you an introduction only bank? 39 A. To. 40 Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does 40 introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by introduced b	9	O and that's held in their name	9	•
11 Q. — and amounts to assets within the jurisdiction — 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. — that allows them to get an investor's visa, because that is an investment? 14 A. Yes, that's correct — or that was correct until 2013 15 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan route. 16 Q. Yos. said in this case it was Mrs Pereplichnaya. Is that notwithstanding the fact it's he husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is 21 that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 22 A. It was at the time because — and my understanding of the area of the meselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't 25 give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 26 the meselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't 27 give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 27 give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 28 give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 29 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 29 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 29 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 29 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 29 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 20 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 20 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 20 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 20 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 20 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 21 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 22 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 23 them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend 24 minuted by a county of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  10	10		10	
12   A. Yes.   13   Q - that allows them to get an investor's visa, because   14   that is an investment?   14   Q. Yes.   15   A. Yes, that's correct – or that was correct until 2013   16   when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to   17   go the loan route.   18   Q. You said in this case it was Mrs Pereplitchnaya. Is   18   that notwithstanding the fact it's bet husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is   18   that a routine thing to do or was at the time?   20   primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is   19   26   amany 2010   20   Q. By ManCo?   21   A. Is the management committee. So this client had been approved back in July 2003.   22   and then it's black] an intermediary with a family   18   G. Yes.   A. And it says – and then it turns – then if you go back to page 111, the clients were approved – under 26   amany 2010 – 20   Q. By ManCo?   21   A. Is the management committee. So this client had been approved back in July 2003.   22   A. It was at the time, and obviously the clients   22   themselves rear advised by their own lawyer, we idin't   22   22   million.   23   Q. Hawing been introduced by Mike Page?   24   A. Mike Page being my colleague who also has left the bank.   25   25 million.   2   Q. Inank you. Can I ask you to look at the client   24   A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.   2   Q. Introduced to, I should say.   3   A. To.   4   Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary?   7   A. That's correct.   9   A. Yes.   1   A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.   Q. Introduced to, I should say.   3   A. To.   Q. Wou can contact you directly?   7   A. That's correct.   9   A. Yes.   1   A. O. Yes   A. Yes.   1   A. Yes   A. Yes   A. Yes   A. Yes   A. Yes				•
13 Q —that allows them to get an investor's visa, because 14 that is an investment? 15 A. Ves, that's correct—or that was correct until 2013 16 when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to 17 go the loan route. 18 Q. You said in this case it was Mrs Pereplichnaya. Is 19 that notwithstanding the fact it's her hisband who's the 20 primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is 21 that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 22 A. It was at the time because—and my understanding of 23 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 24 themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't 25 give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend 26 mon her husband's assets to show that she had the 2 12 million. 27 Page 41 28 On her husband's assets to show that she had the 28 12 million. 29 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client 20 q. Thank you. The lide there—we have Mr Pereplichnaya 21 mentioned and Tatiana Pereplichnaya and nationality, 22 mentioned and Tatiana Pereplichnaya and nationality, 23 mentioned and Tatiana Pereplichnaya and nationality, 24 no finds, you. The lide there—we have Mr Pereplichnaya 25 mentioned and Tatiana Pereplichnaya and nationality, 26 can you just give me the background to that initial 27 introduction so far, as you were aware of it? 28 A. That's correct, so and a law of a parpear of have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that. 29 Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you? 30 A. That's correct, so sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system 31 Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you? 31 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system 32 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system 35 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you woul		•		•
that is an investment?  A. Yes, that's correct—or that was correct until 2013  when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan route.  Q. You said in this case it was Mrs Pereplichnaya. Is that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that a routine thing to do or was at the time?  A. It was at the time because—and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients the awas at the time, and obviously the clients give them legal advice, husband—a wife could depend  Page 41  on her husband's assets to show that she had the fight in the page of the state of				
15   A. Yes, that's correct — or that was correct until 2013   16   20   Yes.				
when the law changed. After that you weren't allowed to go the loan route.  Q You said in this case it was Mrs Perepilichnaya. Is that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that not withstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that not withstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is to page 111, the clients were approved – under 26 January 2010 – 20. By MancCo?  A. It was at the time because – and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients there approved back in July 2003.  Q. Having been introduced by Mike Page?  Q. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.  Q. Introduced to, I should say.  A. To.  Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  Q. A. Okay, so if's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  A. Okay, so if's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  A. Okay, so if's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  A. Okay, so accountance of my ex colleague				
17 go the loan route. 18 Q. You said in this case it was Mrs Perepilichnaya. Is 19 that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the 20 primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is 21 that a routine thing to do or was at the time? 22 A. It was at the time because – and my understanding of 23 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 24 themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't 25 give them legal advice, husband – a wife could depend 26 January 2010 – 27 Q. By ManCo? 28 A. It was at the time, and obviously the clients 29 the law was at the time, and obviously the clients 29 give them legal advice, husband – a wife could depend 20 on her husband's assets to show that she had the 21 find minormation profile that starts on page 111. 29 A. Yes, I have it. 20 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client 20 Q. Thank you. The title there – we have Mr Perepilichnyy 21 mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, 22 country of residence, various other details there. In 23 Q. Car you can see that it says: 24 A. That's correct, yes. 25 Q. Are you an introduction only bank? 26 January 2010 – 27 Q. By ManCo? 28 A. That's correct yes. 29 A. That's correct, yes. 30 A. To. 40 Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary? 41 A. That's correct, yes. 42 A. That's correct, yes. 43 A. To. 44 Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who – and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that. 45 A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who – and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that. 46 A. Okay,				
that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that a routine thing to do or was at the time?  A. It was at the time because – and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients give them legal advice, husband – a wife could depend  Page 41  On her husband's assets to show that she had the £2 million.  Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client information profile that starts on page 111.  A. Yes, I have it.  Q. Thank you. The title there – we have Mr Perepilichnyy mentioned and Tatina Perepilichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction was made back then?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  a third party to one of my ex colleagues. — who – and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your				-
that notwithstanding the fact it's her husband who's the primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that a routine thing to do or was at the time?  A. It was at the time because – and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband – a wife could depend  Page 41  On her husband's assets to show that she had the £ million.  Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client information profile that starts on page 111.  A. Yes, I have it.  Q. Thank you. The title there – we have Mr Perepilichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003." A. That's correct, yes.  A. That's correct, yes.  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduction so far, as you were aware of it; appare to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to te point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  Description of the sum and pagain. It is also and the client to make the proved back in July 2003.  A. It was the time because – and my understanding of the direct where he abolation and the page heing my colleague to Mike Page?  A. A relationship officer,  A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.  Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary?  A. That's correct.  Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary?  A. That's correct.  Q. A ves.  Q. A ves.  Q. You can contact you directly?  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the major				·
primary asset holder outside the jurisdiction, again is that a routine thing to do or was at the time?  A. It was at the time because – and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband – a wife could depend  Page 41  On her husband's assets to show that she had the £2 million.  Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client information profile that starts on page 111.  A. Yes, I have it.  Q. Thank you. The title there – we have Mr Perepilichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003."  A. That's correct, yes.  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who – and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. Having been introduced by Mike Page?  A. Mike Page being my colleague who also has left the bank.  25 Q. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.  2 Q. Introduced to, I should say.  A. To.  4 Q. By the person you have mentioned use.  5 not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary?  7 A. That's correct.  8 Q. Are you an introduction only bank?  A. Yes.  9 A. Yes.  10 Q. You can contact you directly?  11 A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  15 Q. Lius to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  18 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  24 A. We started from		•		
that a routine thing to do or was at the time?  A. It was at the time because — and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband — a wife could depend  Page 41  On her husband's assets to show that she had the finding formation profile that starts on page 111.  A. That's correct, yes.  Thank you. The title there — we have Mr Pereplichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Pereplichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003."  A. That's correct, yes.  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  D. Having been introduced by Mike Page?  A. Mike Page being my colleague who also has left the bank.  A. A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.  D. A. Tealtionship officer, he was a CRO.  D. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not papear on the face of the document, the intermediary?  A. That's correct.  D. A. Yes.  D. You can contact you directly?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  D. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiterso — and you would have your  21 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiterso — and you would have your		C		•
22 A. It was at the time because – and my understanding of the law was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband – a wife could depend  Page 41  Page 43  1 on her husband's assets to show that she had the 2 £2 million. 2 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client 3 A. To. 3 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client 4 information profile that starts on page 111. 5 A. Yes, I have it. 6 Q. Thank you. The title there – we have Mr Pereplichnyy mentioned and Tailand Pereplichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says: 10 "Created 24 July 2003." 11 An initial introduction was made back then? 12 A. That's correct, yes. 13 Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it; 14 A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it; 15 A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it; 16 the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who – and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that. 2 Q. He didn't go through the fill work up process to the 12 A. A relationship officer?  Page 43  1 A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO. 2 Q. Introduced to, I should say. 3 A. To. 4 Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary? 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Are you an introduction only bank? 6 Q. You can contact you directly? 8 Q. You can contact you directly? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. You can contact you directly? 11 A. Olay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants. 15 Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would 16 the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all 17 been done then and then left				
the law was at the time, and obviously the clients themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband – a wife could depend  Page 41  1 on her husband's assets to show that she had the £2 million. 2 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client information profile that starts on page 111. 3 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client information profile that starts on page 111. 4 Q. Thank you. The title there – we have Mr Perepliichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Perepliichnyy mentioned the says:  9 A. Yes. 10 You can see that it says: 11 A. That's correct. 20 Q. You can contact you directly? 21 A. That's correct, yes. 21 A. That's correct, yes. 22 A. That's correct, yes. 23 Q. You can contact you directly? 24 A. We started by Mike Page being my colleague who also has left the bank. 25 Q. Introduced by Mike Page being my colleague who also has left the bank. 26 Q. Introduced to, I should say. 27 A. To. 28 Q. Provo an contact you directly? 29 A. Yes. 29 Q. You can contact you directly? 29 A. Yes. 21 A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it; in 2003, the client, the majority of clients are either introduced by a lawyers, by accountants. 28 Q. Areyou an introduction only bank? 29 Q. You can c				_
themselves were advised by their own lawyer, we didn't give them legal advice, husband – a wife could depend  Page 41  On her husband's assets to show that she had the 2 2 million.  Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client information profile that starts on page 111.  A. Yes, I have it.  Q. Thank you. The title there – we have Mr Pereplichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Pereplichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  Q. Can you an see that it says:  Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a a third party to one of my ex colleagues who – and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  A. Mike Page being my colleague who also has left the bank.  Q. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.  Q. Introduced to, I should say.  A. To.  A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.  Q. Introduced to, I should say.  A. To.  A. To.  A. To.  A. To.  A. To.  A. That's correct.  Q. Are you an introduction only bank?  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure — I think it had been archived. So what —		•		
Page 41  Page 43  Page 43  A. A relationship officer?  Page 43  A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.  Information profile that starts on page 111.  A. Yes, I have it.  O. Thank you. The title there — we have Mr Perepilichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, fact you can see that it says:  Created 24 July 2003."  A. That's correct, yes.  O. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction was made back then?  A. That's correct, yes.  O. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it;  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparent to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  O. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  D. A. That's correct, yes.  D. A. Ordously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by apparent to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  D. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your		•		
Page 41  1 on her husband's assets to show that she had the 2 £2 million. 3 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client 4 information profile that starts on page 111. 5 A. Yes, I have it. 6 Q. Thank you. The title there – we have Mr Perepilichnyy 7 mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, 8 country of residence, various other details there. In 9 fact you can see that it says: 10 "Created 24 July 2003." 11 An initial introduction was made back then? 12 A. That's correct, yes. 13 Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it? 14 introduction so far, as you were aware of it? 15 A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who – and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that. 20 He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you? 21 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  22 million. 2 Q. Introduced to, I should say. 3 A. To. 4 Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary? 4 A. That's correct. 5 not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. Are you an introduction only bank? 4 A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants. 5 Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure – I think it ha				
on her husband's assets to show that she had the  from illion.  A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.  Q. Introduced to, I should say.  A. To.  Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary?  A. To.  Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the intermediary?  A. That's correct.  Q. Are you an introduction only bank?  A. Yes, I have it.  Q. Thank you. The title there — we have Mr Perepilichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In  fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003."  An initial introduction was made back then?  A. That's correct.  Q. You can contact you directly?  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't have see subsequently from later?  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct.  Q. Are you an introduction only bank?  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think live only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently		gree them regardance, nassana a trine could depend	20	Q. III omnonomp omoor.
2		Page 41		Page 43
2	1	on her husband's assets to show that she had the		A. A relationship officer, he was a CRO.
Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client information profile that starts on page 111.  A. Yes, I have it.  Q. Thank you. The title there — we have Mr Perepilichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003."  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  Q. You can contact you directly?  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparent to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct.  3 A. To.  Q. By the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the interpolacy interpolacy on the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the interpolacy interpolacy on the person you have mentioned, I think his name does not appear on the face of the document, the interpolacy of the completion of appear on the face of the document, the interpolacy of appear on the face of the document, the interpolacy.  A. That's correct.  8 Q. Are you an introduction only bank?  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure — I think it had been archived. So what — we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started				
4 information profile that starts on page 111. 5 A. Yes, I have it. 6 Q. Thank you. The title there — we have Mr Perepilichnyy 7 mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, 8 country of residence, various other details there. In 9 fact you can see that it says: 10 "Created 24 July 2003." 11 An initial introduction was made back then? 12 A. That's correct, yes. 13 Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial 14 introduction so far, as you were aware of it? 15 A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, 16 the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by 17 a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and 18 apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't 19 appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from 10 him after that. 20 Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the 21 point where he obtained an account with you? 22 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come 23 in, you would set up the client's name on the system 24 which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your 25 which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your	3	O. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at the client		- ·
A. Yes, I have it.  Q. Thank you. The title there — we have Mr Perepilichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003."  An initial introduction was made back then?  A. That's correct.  Q. You can contact you directly?  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure — I think it had been archived. So what — we started from scratch effectively.  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for				
O. Thank you. The title there — we have Mr Perepilichnyy mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003."  A. That's correct.  Q. Are you an introduction only bank?  A. Yes.  Q. You can contact you directly?  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure — I think it had been archived. So what — we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	5			
mentioned and Tatiana Perepilichnaya and nationality, country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003."  An initial introduction was made back then?  A. That's correct, yes.  Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't apparently from later?  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct.  A. Ova, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure—  I think it had been archived. So what—we started from scratch effectively.  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	6			**
country of residence, various other details there. In fact you can see that it says:  "Created 24 July 2003."  An initial introduction was made back then?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system  which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	7		7	
fact you can see that it says:    10	8		8	
10 "Created 24 July 2003." 11 An initial introduction was made back then? 12 A. That's correct, yes. 13 Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial 14 introduction so far, as you were aware of it? 15 A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, 16 the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by 17 a third party to one of my ex colleagues who – and 18 apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't 19 appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from 20 him after that. 21 Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the 22 point where he obtained an account with you? 23 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come 24 in, you would set up the client's name on the system 25 which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your 26 A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one 27 walk-in client, the majority of clients are either 28 introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by 29 lawyers, by accountants. 20 Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would 21 the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all 22 been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later? 29 A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that 20 Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure – 21 I think it had been archived. So what – we started 22 from scratch effectively. 23 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come 24 in, you would set up the client's name on the system 25 which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your 26 A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on 27 again, we started – you know, we asked the client for		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I _	
An initial introduction was made back then?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so and you would have your  A. Okay, so it's very rare, I think I've only ever had one walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure  I think it had been archived. So what we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started you know, we asked the client for	10			
A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your  a walk-in client, the majority of clients are either introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure — I think it had been archived. So what — we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	11	An initial introduction was made back then?		
Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial introduction so far, as you were aware of it?  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your  13 introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by lawyers, by accountants.  14 lawyers, by accountants.  15 Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure — I think it had been archived. So what — we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	12	A. That's correct, yes.	12	
A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure — I think it had been archived. So what — we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	13	Q. Can you just give me the background to that initial	13	introduced by existing clients, by people you know, by
A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by a third party to one of my ex colleagues who — and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your  A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003, the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by the work up, the due diligence, compliance, have all been done then and then left or is the material that we see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure — I think it had been archived. So what — we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	14			
a third party to one of my ex colleagues who and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so and you would have your  appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure I think it had been archived. So what we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started you know, we asked the client for	15	A. Obviously at the time I was not aware of it, in 2003,	15	Q. Just to clarify what would have happened in 2003, would
a third party to one of my ex colleagues who and apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so and you would have your  appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure I think it had been archived. So what we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started you know, we asked the client for	16	the client, by reading the CIP, was introduced by		
apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  18 see subsequently from later?  A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure –  1 I think it had been archived. So what – we started from scratch effectively.  Q. Pardon me?  A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started – you know, we asked the client for	17			
appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from him after that.  20 A. So, I was never handed a copy of the compliance that 21 Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  22 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system 23 A. That's correct. So sometimes on the system which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your 24 A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	18	apparently he wanted a loan for a property, which didn't	18	see subsequently from later?
him after that.  Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your  20 Mike depended on because by that time I am not sure —  21 I think it had been archived. So what — we started from scratch effectively.  22 G. Pardon me?  23 A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	19	appear to have gone through and then he didn't hear from		
21 Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the 22 point where he obtained an account with you? 23 A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come 24 in, you would set up the client's name on the system 25 which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your 26 27 I think it had been archived. So what – we started 27 28 from scratch effectively. 28 Q. Pardon me? 29 4 A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on 29 again, we started – you know, we asked the client for	20			
point where he obtained an account with you?  A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  22 from scratch effectively.  23 Q. Pardon me?  24 A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started – you know, we asked the client for	21	Q. He didn't go through the full work up process to the		
A. That's correct. So sometimes when a client would come in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so – and you would have your  23 Q. Pardon me?  24 A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started – you know, we asked the client for	22			
in, you would set up the client's name on the system which is called Jupiter so — and you would have your  24 A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on again, we started — you know, we asked the client for	23		23	•
	24	in, you would set up the client's name on the system	24	A. We started from scratch, when we started the take on
Page 42 Page 44	25	which is called Jupiter so and you would have your	25	again, we started you know, we asked the client for
Page 42 Page 44				
		Daga 42	1	Dago 44

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

1	all the information as if he had never walked into the	1	A. Pounds, yes.
2	bank before.	2	Q. Yes, I thought you had said dollars at the start, the
3	Q. What we see later we will come on to the investment	3	pounds for the laundering?
4	profile is at the time of your introduction and	4	A. That's correct no, you asked me what type of clients
5	involvement?	5	would constitute a high net worth client, and the answer
6	A. That's correct, yes.	6	is it is somebody who is worth in excess of \$2 million,
7	Q. Thank you. The reintroduction was made I think in 2009?	7	but for an investor visa we had to prove that they were
8	A. Yes.	8	worth in excess of £2 million, for this specific client.
9	Q. By a solicitor called Mr	9	Q. I understand. Then there's reference, which we will
10	A. Roger Gherson.	10	come back to in due course when it comes to the profile,
11	Q. Who's an immigration solicitor?	11	to quite a few offshore companies relating to his
12	A. That's correct.	12	businesses in food and real estate. You can see they're
13	Q. Who we understand had a lot of Russian clients, did	13	listed at the bottom of 112 and going on to 113?
14	a lot of them come your way?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. I think that he divided the clients up fairly evenly	15	Q. Then you also see the sort of various companies that are
16	amongst many different banks, I think that he was	16	associated with or are within the Horus Group, as
17	working with Barclays, with HSBC, it wasn't just with	17	well that are mentioned?
18	us, no.	18	A. Yes.
19	,	19	Q. Is it common to have a client who has this complexity of
	Q. Thank you. Just staying with the client information	20	dealings?
20	profile, what we see is reference to businesses, as we	21	C
21	continue reading on to page 112. <b>A. Mm-hmm.</b>	22	A. Yes, from that region and of that wealth, yes.
22		23	Q. Including offshore investments?
23	Q. And including his business history, how he first made	24	A. Yes.
24 25	money, which is from a company that closed and this	25	Q. Designed presumably to assist with tax affairs?
23	is back during the Gorbachev era?	23	A. Not necessarily. I mean it was quite normal in those
	Page 45		Page 47
1	A. Yes.	1	days, and it was totally within the regulations, that
2	Q. Then more recently through the 1990s in the Horus Group,	2	you would hold a Russian company through a Cypriot
3	based in Moscow.	3	company, which was held by a BVI company, that was often
4	Then you can see references to other companies, an	4	held by a trust. This was standard tax planning in
5	engineering business, midway down, another business that	5	Russia. It changed in 2013, I think 2014,
6	invests money in the Russian Stock Exchange and private	6	I can't remember the exact date.
7	equity investments, funded through that. A company	7	Q. After the events that we're concerned about?
8	called Euroventures, you see?	8	A. Well after the event.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Yes. What did he say to you about the reason he wanted
10	Q. Then there's a conclusion about two thirds of the way	10	to come and become a client?
11	down:	11	A. That his wife wanted an investor visa.
12	"He's a wealthy individual. Client has completed	12	Q. What about his own visa position?
13	a PSA."	13	A. He wasn't asking for himself initially but from a bank
14	A. The personal statement of address, but that's not	14	point of view if we're going to give a loan for an
15	attached to any of these documents.	15	investor visa we always wanted to have other assets that
16	Q. What would that have contained?	16	we were not just there to give out loans. So therefore
17	A. Assets and liabilities and it would detail out like the	17	we insisted on having another private banking
18	addresses of houses, the names in which they were held,	18	relationship.
19	the various businesses.	19	Q. In terms of what he then did with your bank and
20	Q. Personal and business?	20	investments, beyond the borrowing of the 1 million, how
21	A. Well, let's say he would own a company called X so the	21	did that evolve?
22	shares of that company would be worth Y.	22	A. So initially we had another account, which was the joint
23	Q. You can see there it says his assets are very	23	account, and I'm saying I don't remember it, I'm
24	substantial indeed, far in excess of the \$2 million	24	pretty sure so he put in a sum of money into that
25	that's required for your	25	joint account and which he effectively used for
	1		•
	Page 46		Page 48

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

foundary analysis trading he arrived foundary analysis	1	would have had to do an independent Provincel years to
foreign exchange trading, he enjoyed foreign exchange	1 2	would have had to do an independent Proximal report on
trading.	3	her as well.
Q. Did you give him some advice about that?  A. He pretty much knew what he wanted to do. He had his	4	Q. So from your perspective, her wealth came entirely from her relationship with her husband?
5 own ideas.	5	A. That's correct, yes.
	6	Q. Was she a sort of nominal director or shareholder in any
6 Q. I understand. How did you make your money from those investments?	7	way as far as you could see in his assets?
8 A. So on foreign exchange trading, he would do a trade, we	8	•
9 would agree a price and we had a pre-agreed an	9	A. Not that I can remember, but I believe after he passed away that his assets were I was led to believe that
	10	•
		his assets had been passed on to her and she became
and above that particular price. So if I had agreed	11	a shareholder in several of the companies in Russia.
with him, and I can't remember the prices now, but let's		MR SKELTON: Sir, it might be appropriate to have a break
say it was a trade for \$1 million, let's say I would	13	just for the stenographers' sake, just for ten minutes?
have taken five pips which is 0.0005 in addition to	14	THE CORONER: Certainly, we'll do that.
whatever the price was on that particular transaction.	15	MR SKELTON: Sir, would you give the warning, sorry to
But we always pre-agreed it with the client in advance,	16	remind you.
because we had to be transparent on pricing.	17	THE CORONER: Not at all. Will you just be careful, as you
8 Q. I understand, thank you. Can I ask you about the	18	are in the middle of giving your evidence just not to
9 investment profile, which you will find at page 128 and	19	talk to anybody about it.
0 following.	20	A. Yes.
This is an investment profile form, non-managed	21	THE CORONER: All right.
clients, for advisory or execution only mandates, it	22	(11.20 am)
3 says.	23	(A short break)
4 A. Yes.	24	(11.40 am)
Q. Who completes this form?	25	MR SKELTON: Ms Kaye, we were just looking briefly at the
Page 49		Page 51
1 A. So the client would have – I would have completed this	1	investment profile form and I would like just a couple
with the client in a meeting.	2	more questions about that.
Q. Is this your writing?	3	You see on page 113 there are some boxes about
4 A. It's my writing, yes.	4	Mr Perepilichnyy's investment experience and he has
Q. Again, it's for both Mr and Mrs.	5	ticked several boxes experienced in equities and
A. Because it was a joint account	6	corporate and government bonds, commodities, private
7 Q. Yes.	7	equity, FX trading, options and warrants and futures, so
8 A so therefore we had to complete it for the joint	8	quite a lot of experience?
9 account.	9	A. Yes.
Q. Did you actually have much contact with	10	Q. But he has then said "no" to the question: are you an
1 Mrs Perepilichnaya?	11	active investor?
2 A. A little only really after her husband passed away.	12	A. An "active investor" would be somebody who's probably
Prior to that we met on one or two occasions. As you	13	doing 10 trades a week. Just because you are active
can see, only Mr Perepilichnyy went and signed this	14	just because you have knowledge and experience, doesn't
document and he was the sole signatory on the account so	15	ultimately mean that you're actively trading on
6 he was allowed to do that.	16	a day-to-day basis as a day trader, effectively.
Q. Would your due diligence also have looked into her	17	Q. Thank you.
8 background or	18	Overleaf on the other side at 134 you can see he has
A. So as far as we were led to believe, and we couldn't	19	ticked or someone has ticked "moderate knowledge" there,
of find anything different, and even on the Proximal report	20	and then "high risk". Could you just explain the high
we had asked Proximal for, you know, guidance on her,	21	risk element?
2 she didn't have any independent source of wealth and	22	A. So because he was wanting to trade in foreign exchange
she'd never made any money other than being his wife.	23	on margin he would have to be deemed to have sufficient
But, yes, if she was known to be I don't know, if	24	knowledge and experience to be able to trade in foreign
she had a business, if she had a career of her own, we	25	exchange. Therefore, out of the three categories, if he
Page 50		Page 52
But, yes, if sh	ne was known to be I don't know, if	ne was known to be I don't know, if 24 siness, if she had a career of her own, we 25

1 wanted to only ever invest in government bonds he would 1 Q. The date of this is 14 December 2009. 2 have ticked "low". If he wanted to buy certain 2 3 corporate bonds and certain equities, then he would have 3 Q. It's 11 pages long and it's written by Proximal 4 been "medium" and if you wanted to do anything such as 4 Consulting? 5 AIM shares or complex funds or foreign exchange trading 5 A. Yes. 6 and options then that's high risk. So that's the box he 6 Q. Who you have, for shorthand, called "Proximal"? 7 7 wanted to tick. A. Yes. 8 And, to be fair, once he had ticked that box we 8 Q. It starts with the scope of the review: 9 9 would have to be satisfied that the two things matched "Due diligence review in relation to money 10 up against each other in terms of, you know, did he have 10 laundering regulations and best practice concerning the the knowledge and the experience, really, to be able to following individual." 11 11 12 understand the risks associated with investing in, let's 12 Again you've explained the background to that. 13 13 say, foreign exchange trading. It gives his full name, nationality, date of birth, 14 Q. Did you get to the point of taking a view on that? 14 passport number, et cetera. And then starts to explain 15 A. Yes, I mean he did have the knowledge and experience for 15 his background in a narrative. Similarly to what we've foreign exchange trading. If he'd asked me for instance 16 16 seen on the client information --17 to start investing in AIM listed shares then maybe we 17 A. That's correct. So what happens is, it is the 18 would have had a different conversation. 18 compliance department who instruct this report. They're 19 Q. Overleaf, you can see "Party investment requirements and 19 provided with a very short background it -- or short 20 objectives", and he gives his approximate time horizons 20 CV-type background on the client. They're given a copy 2.1 being three to five years? 21 of the gentleman's external and internal passports, 22 22 A. Yes. which are then provided to Proximal. I don't get to see 23 23 Q. What does that really mean in terms -the letter which they instruct Proximal with. 24 A. That's if he was going to start investing in bonds and 24 Q. There's description of some of the businesses, including 25 shares, that he really had a time period over which he 25 his original business back at the time in the Gorbachev Page 53 Page 55 1 1 era, and then subsequently a reference to a title deed might need the money, probably over a five-year period. 2 You would normally say if somebody -- the majority of 2 in Dubai of a property. 3 3 A. Yes. clients would put three to five years, just so you know, 4 4 Q. Again, all information that you gather about any form of but it's the average duration of a bond. You know, he 5 would be able to buy a ten-year bond but he would also 5 personal assets anywhere in the world presumably? 6 6 A. Yes, I would ask clients for -- you know, to give me as be able to buy a one-year bond, because on average 7 7 much detailed information as possible because, again, that's a five-year duration. 8 Q. Is that his signature overleaf --8 when we're doing our fact find we have to make sure how 9 g A. Yes, it is. did they make the money to be able to go and buy these 10 Q. -- dated 26 October 2011? 10 assets. 11 11 Q. Overleaf on page 93, there's more detail about his A. Yes, it is. 12 12 domestic passports in the Russian Federation, and Just so you know, he would have signed something 13 13 previously USSR. It says: similar at the account opening as well. 14 14 Q. In 2010? "Our associates have established 15 A. Yes. 15 Alexander Perepilichnyy has been legitimately issued Q. And probably in 2003 or thereabouts as well, would he? 16 16 with the following passports." 17 17 That's part of the due diligence to find out if he's A. There was -- possibly. The forms changed over the 18 18 really the person he's claiming he is? period so there would have been another form. 19 19 Q. But as at October 2011 he was looking at, at least at A. Correct. I mean that's Proximal would have provided 20 that point, investments for three to five years? 20 that information to us. 2.1 A. Correct. 21 Q. Details of a properties, including a property owned in 22 Q. May I ask you to look at the due diligence report that 22 Moscow and indeed a search on his vehicle, as you can 23 we have, or review I think it's called. It's page 91, 23 24 so back a bit, please. Do you have that? 24 Then there is some narrative at paragraph 2.9 on 25 25 A. Yes. page 94 about his companies. Some of which you've Page 54 Page 56

1 1 That qualification, is that any cause for alarm or already discussed, and then more detail of current 2 2 business interests, and there's a long section starting is that consistent with what you would expect? 3 3 A. That's consistent with what you would expect because as paragraph 2.10 on page 94, a lot of comments about the 4 Horus Group and then various other businesses as well. 4 I said at that particular point in time most Russian 5 5 businessmen had their Russian Holdings held by your Then, going overleaf, page 95, much more detail 6 6 Cypriot holding, held by your BVI holding. So that about the companies, including places of registration, 7 7 would have been standard. numbers of registration and the like. You can see all 8 8 Q. Are you or was this consulting firm able to find out of that? 9 9 A. Yes. more about overseas or offshore entities or is there 10 Q. There's no need, I think, to take you in detail to all 10 a limit to what you can actually get hold of? 11 A. There's a limit to what you can get hold of and that was 11 of these companies but may I ask you to look at page 99, 12 further on, this is at the end of the long section about 12 the point at which if we had any suspicion or we were 13 13 all of the various companies, where there's a section nervous about anything we would obviously have to go 14 starting "Other research and investigations". 14 back to the client. We would have to understand how he 15 A. Yes. 15 held his businesses and that would have been in some of 16 16 the documents that you don't have in this file. Q. Then there's an isolated reference as the contact at the 17 Moscow office of EVU Management, and then there's 17 Q. In paragraph 2.23 it says: 18 "We have found no information to cast doubt as to 18 details that. Then it says: 19 "Both our associates and ourselves have carried out 19 the probity of Alexander Perepilichnyy." 20 That's the overall conclusion? 20 extensive searches of various English, non-English and 21 Russian language databases and other sources available 21 A. Correct. 22 22 Q. Then specifically it says: to us. We confirm that this research has not identified 23 23 any references, detrimental or otherwise, relating to "Additionally we have carried out a comprehensive 24 Alexander Perepilichnyy." 24 set of verifications utilising confidential catalogues 25 Just that word "references", what could that 25 and lists containing extensive intelligence on organised Page 57 Page 59 1 1 crime and money laundering and we have identified no encompass? 2 A. Basically anything, I mean it's broad, it's -- you know, 2 references to Alexander Perepilichnyy." 3 3 we leave it to Proximal to try and find out the A. That's correct. 4 information that we can't find on open -- the open web. 4 Q. Clean bill of health? 5 Q. So not just the sort of basic Google search that we do, 5 A. Yes. 6 6 Q. Then it mentions a whole load of organisations in the it's a deeper search for information on the man, 7 Alexander Perepilichnyy, and any contacts he may have 7 United States, overseas, some intranational or 8 with people, media reporting and that kind of thing? 8 international organisations that have also been 9 9 A. Correct. I mean we didn't have at that point, or at consulted or checked with. 10 least I'm not aware that the bank had -- didn't 10 A. Yes. 11 necessarily use Lexus Nexus, although I think that let's 11 Q. Again, nothing untoward whatsoever? 12 say Geneva possibly did use Lexus Nexus, but they would 12 A. That's correct. 13 go through lots of different data sources that we didn't 13 Q. Can I just ask you when he died, within a few weeks, it 14 14 have access to to try and get further information. became reported that he had been involved with a company 15 Q. Overleaf it clarifies that he has no company positions 15 called Hermitage Capital Management? Had you heard of 16 them prior to his death? 16 found in the UK, Germany, Austria, France or 17 Switzerland. Not unusual, presumably, for your Russian 17 A. I had heard of Hermitage, Bill Browder is well known in 18 clients? 18 Russian circles because of Magnitsky. 19 19 A. That's correct. Q. Did you know about the Magnitsky affair, if I may use 20 Q. Then it says in the first substantive paragraph: 20 that shorthand, ie the fraud which Mr Magnitsky was 21 "We have not identified any additional information 21 allegedly investigating? 22 in relation to him. However we qualify these results as 22 A. It's been very well documented in the press over the 23 we have identified various references to suggest that 23 years and given the fact I had Russian clients I would 24 Mr Perepilichnyy may conduct some of his financial and 24 take an interest in reading up about what's going on in 25 business affairs through offshore entities." 25 the country.

Page 60

Page 58

1	Q. Am I right in thinking that at the time that	1	Q. May I ask you about what he told you about investments
2	Mr Perepilichnyy became a client of yours, which evolved	2	for individuals. The focus of what I've taken you to in
3	through 2009 into 2010 when he signed up as it were,	3	the documentation, the client information profile,
4	that you weren't aware that he had any connection with	4	investment form and the due diligence review is really
5	Hermitage?	5	on corporate investments or investments through
6	A. I had absolutely no idea at all. Nothing.	6	a corporation of some kind. Were you aware that he was
7	Q. What was your reaction when you read that reporting?	7	investing money from or for individual Russians, either
8	Admittedly it's reporting, but what was your reaction?	8	personally or through those companies?
9	A. I was really surprised because I mean, he was	9	A. No. My understanding was that at the point when I met
10	a really lovely, lovely man, I mean, he always seemed to	10	him, the majority of his of what he was doing was to
11	be totally open when he came into the bank and I was	11	do with the the in the yoghurt business, in the
12	just very upset that effectively there was this	12	milk business, as opposed to being anything other than
13	different person going on in the background, things	13	the milk and vegetable business.
14	I really wasn't aware of that you know, I mean,	14	Q. There are quite a few references I won't take you
15	to yes.	15	back to them unless you need me to to companies which
16	Q. One must be careful because it's not the purpose of this	16	invest in markets and included property in Moscow and
17	court to try Mr Perepilichnyy in his absence, but were	17	Ukraine and elsewhere?
18	it to have been the case that he had invested money that	18	A. Yes, but often when you're investing in property it's an
19	came from that fraud on behalf of the fraudsters, that	19	investment, it's like a personal investment that you're
20	presumably would have been something which would have	20	doing through another company, it's not a business.
21	effectively ruled him out of having a relationship with	21	So his business was in the food area, but he then
22	your bank?	22	did investments alongside that in his personal name,
23	A. Absolutely. Absolutely.	23	because he's made money and again that is a normal
24	Q. Were you concerned when you found out that that was an	24	activity for a high net worth individual.
25	allegation that he was whistleblowing in respect of	25	Q. Is it normal for investors such as Mr Perepilichnyy to
	Page 61		Page 63
1	something he had been involved in, that that had been	1	assist other people making investments but without it
2	missed?	2	necessarily going through the companies that you've
3	A. I had taken it when I saw the news articles,	3	seen?
4	I submitted the news articles to our compliance	4	A. I'm not aware there are certain individuals, even in
5	department and asked for their views and didn't hear	5	the UK, who definitely do help people to make
6	anything back.	6	investments. I don't remember Mr Perepilichnyy ever
7	Q. They never came back?	7	discussing that with me though, from his personal point
8	A. They never because they would do their own	8	of view.
9	independent verification.	9	Q. What has been alleged in the media, and indeed by the
10	Q. Would you have known if it had gone back to Proximal or	10	gentleman himself, Vladen Stepanov, is that
11	other people?	11	Mr Perepilichnyy invested money on his behalf and that
12	A. I genuinely as I said, I didn't speak to Proximal	12	a lot of that money was lost around the time of the 2008
13	directly so	13	financial crisis.
14	Q. Did you have a conversation with your managers about the	14	A. And I was not aware of that until I read the media
15	fact that this might have been missed at the time that	15	articles after Mr Perepilichnyy's death.
16	he became a client?	16	Q. Does it make a difference that if you ask a client
17	A. I had a conversation with the compliance department, and	17	about the work they do, they say, "Well actually as well
18	normally what would happen is we would then work	18	as these companies I have a lot of other associates who
19	together to put something forward to management if there	19	I invest money for"?
20	was something that I had to be if I was concerned, we	20	A. Absolutely, and I would you know, when you're going
21	would have updated the CIP and have that resubmitted to	21	through, as I say, when you're opening up an account for
22	management.	22	a client and, you know, they've told you that they do
23	Q. What did the compliance or the managers say about that	23	one thing and it turns out they're doing something else
24	issue to you after he died?	24	and as I say we don't have the documentation to support
25	A. They didn't, they never came back to me.	25	what he gave us when he opened the account up, but it
	Page 62		Page 64

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

1	was very much based around the food production business	1	in the context of Mr Perepilichnyy?
2	and not with regard to any of the other businesses.	2	A. That's correct.
3	So I wasn't aware of, obviously, the extent of what	3	Q. He never mentioned them?
4	he was doing. And it didn't really come up in the	4	A. Never.
5	Proximal report to lead me, or in open source media	5	Q. Did he mention Mr Stepanov?
6	to lead me to believe that he was doing anything else	6	A. Never.
7	other than the food production business.	7	Q. Or Bill Browder?
8	Q. There is not even a hint of that kind of investment	8	A. Never. I again, I know of Bill Browder because he
9	A. No.	9	was the head of Hermitage.
10	Q career?	10	Q. I think in your statement you say met him about three to
11	A. No. I understood that he had investments in property,	11	four times a year?
12	I understood that he had investments in he did share	12	A. Yes.
13	investments and I understood that but that was more	13	Q. That would have been over the three years, 2010, 2011,
14	of a personal side and that the main business was the	14	2012?
15	food production industry.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. How far would you or your consultancy have investigated	16	Q. As well as some meetings in 2009 as he was going through
17	the investors in his company? So if for example	17	the process of signing up?
18	a criminal associate wanted to invest money	18	A. Yes.
19	legitimately, in other words launder it through	19	Q. During that period of time did he ever appear overly
20	a company, how would that be picked up?	20	stressed or conspicuously stressed?
21	A. If they were directors of the company as you can see	21	A. Never.
22	from the Proximal report, so for instance we look at	22	Q. Distressed?
23	page, just to give an example. So let's say if we look	23	A. Never.
24	at page 95, and you have for instance Horus. So you can	24	Q. Worried?
25	see that he was the Mr Perepilichnyy was the	25	A. No.
	Page 65		Page 67
1	director. So we would have to do background on him. In	1	O. Or ill?
1 2	director. So we would have to do background on him. In terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to	1 2	Q. Or ill?  A. No.
2	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to	2	A. No.
2 3	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.	2 3	A. No. Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?
2 3 4	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?  A. Well we have had I mean not necessarily somebody from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings that you had with him over those three plus years that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?  A. Well we have had I mean not necessarily somebody from the Russian state, but we have found where one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings that you had with him over those three plus years that in retrospect you could now think well actually there</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?  A. Well we have had I mean not necessarily somebody from the Russian state, but we have found where one individual is working for somebody who we wouldn't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings that you had with him over those three plus years that in retrospect you could now think well actually there was some concern there which I might not have picked up</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?  A. Well we have had I mean not necessarily somebody from the Russian state, but we have found where one individual is working for somebody who we wouldn't want to deal with. We would not then want to deal with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings that you had with him over those three plus years that in retrospect you could now think well actually there was some concern there which I might not have picked up on at the time but now I can recollect?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?  A. Well we have had I mean not necessarily somebody from the Russian state, but we have found where one individual is working for somebody who we wouldn't want to deal with. We would not then want to deal with that particular individual because of the risk of money	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings that you had with him over those three plus years that in retrospect you could now think well actually there was some concern there which I might not have picked up on at the time but now I can recollect?</li> <li>A. The only with hindsight, it was the way that he just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?  A. Well we have had I mean not necessarily somebody from the Russian state, but we have found where one individual is working for somebody who we wouldn't want to deal with. We would not then want to deal with that particular individual because of the risk of money laundering.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings that you had with him over those three plus years that in retrospect you could now think well actually there was some concern there which I might not have picked up on at the time but now I can recollect?</li> <li>A. The only with hindsight, it was the way that he just wanted his wife to be protected if something were to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?  A. Well we have had I mean not necessarily somebody from the Russian state, but we have found where one individual is working for somebody who we wouldn't want to deal with. We would not then want to deal with that particular individual because of the risk of money	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings that you had with him over those three plus years that in retrospect you could now think well actually there was some concern there which I might not have picked up on at the time but now I can recollect?</li> <li>A. The only with hindsight, it was the way that he just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	terms of the shareholders, we would then have had to understand who was behind Kvartel Trading Limited.  Q. So to clarify, the shareholders are Mr Perepilichnyy himself at 1 per cent and then Kvartel Trading Limited  A. 99 per cent.  Q 99 per cent. And so you would go behind this and find out who is that?  A. That's correct.  Q. Who are the directors and shareholders in that company?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you keep going until you have got to the last one?  A. That's correct. We want to make sure that we are not, for instance, working with someone who's where somebody from the Russian state would be the ultimate shareholder in the business.  Q. Does that occasionally prove to be the case?  A. Well we have had I mean not necessarily somebody from the Russian state, but we have found where one individual is working for somebody who we wouldn't want to deal with. We would not then want to deal with that particular individual because of the risk of money laundering.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did he ever say to you that he was being threatened?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What about having to pay protection money to anyone?</li> <li>A. Never.</li> <li>Q. Having involvement with a lot of Russian clients, are you familiar with the allegations that companies or rich individuals sometimes have to pay protection money to maintain their business?</li> <li>A. I've heard of it, yes.</li> <li>Q. How do you as a bank deal with that if that's part of the local culture?</li> <li>A. Well the problem is that you know we have to comply with the Bribery Act and before that, even before the Bribery Act, if we know that somebody was paying bribes we would not be allowed to work with them.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything that occurred in any of the meetings that you had with him over those three plus years that in retrospect you could now think well actually there was some concern there which I might not have picked up on at the time but now I can recollect?</li> <li>A. The only with hindsight, it was the way that he just wanted his wife to be protected if something were to</li> </ul>

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

1 account, he only ever put money in her account or in the 1 Q. How did that conversation arise? 2 2 joint account. He always wanted his wife to have access A. That he was buying a property in St George's Hill, 3 3 to funds. I think there was one property he was looking at for 4 Q. Given that his wife had no assets of her own, is that 4 £10 million, which I don't think went ahead, and then 5 particularly unusual? 5 there was a second property. And he was deciding 6 A. No, I would say that, again, even if you're English, 6 whether to buy it for cash or whether to take a loan 7 7 there's many people who put money in their wives' names against it. And Barclays had suggested to him to take 8 or in joint accounts with their wives. 8 out a life insurance policy for £500,000 and I said to 9 9 Q. As well as the fact that she was the principal investor him that if he was buying it for cash and it was 10 visa nominee for your purposes? 10 a £10 million property that 40 per cent of £10 million 11 A. Yes. So he wouldn't have ever been at the time, again, 11 is obviously 4 million and therefore he would need more 12 under the regulations as they stood, he couldn't be the 12 than one -- either a higher level with Barclays but we 13 13 investor visa nominee as you call him, because you had would be more than happy to consider being able to 14 to be in the UK for a specified period of time and it's 14 assist him. 15 very rare when the husbands would have been in the UK 15 Q. When you say "assist him", do you mean give him IFA 16 for that period of time, because they were conducting 16 advice? 17 their business. 17 A. I would then pass him on -- as I did, I would then 18 18 Whereas the wives were here looking after the introduce him to our IFA area. 19 children and, you know, they had to be here for the 19 Q. Just taking it back a little, there was a suggestion 20 school year and they could actually maintain the day 20 from Mrs Perepilichnaya that St George's Hill was one of 2.1 count that was required. 21 the places that you had suggested might be appropriate 22 22 Q. I think that's evidence -for them to live. Do you remember having that 23 23 A. That's still the case. conversation? 24 Q. Mrs Perepilichnaya gave evidence to that effect as well. 24 A. No. 25 In fact it appears Mr Gherson had given advice on that 25 Q. Did you know about St George's Hill? Page 71 Page 69 basis? 1 A. I know that St George's Hill is a very popular area for 1 2 A. Yes. 2 Russians, I mean I'm not saying that -- when they 3 3 Q. So she was the principal investor, although in reality originally moved to the UK, I might have said, you know, 4 it was him doing the investing. 4 it might have been one of the areas that it's worth 5 A. Effectively -- well, effectively it was her doing the 5 looking at. 6 investing because she had the loan, but, yes, because we Q. I do not think it was much more than that in fact. 6 7 went the loan route. 7 A. Yes. But it is quite normal and he -- I know that he 8 Q. I see, yes. 8 moved her to St George's Hill because he wanted her to q 9 You didn't actually get directed by her at any stage be in a secluded location, which again with hindsight 10 as to where to invest or trade? 10 one starts to question why was that. 11 A. Well no because we were -- so for her account we were --11 Q. But presumably, again, there's a lot of people that want 12 specifically told to invest in a five-year gilt because 12 the privacy who have got a lot of money? 13 she had to give the instructions, and it was the only 13 A. Absolutely. 14 14 investment we could really do under the loan route. Q. Going back to the life insurance, you mentioned it's 15 Q. How many times did you meet Mrs Perepilichnaya? 15 possible to have multiple policies was that something he 16 16 A. I can't remember, again I don't have my call reports was asking you about? 17 17 A. Well he -- obviously he had the Barclays insurance here. I definitely met her prior to the account 18 opening, I believe I met her maybe once a year, but 18 policy, and I said that, you know, you can have more 19 19 I met her quite a lot once he had passed away. than one and therefore I'll introduce you to the IFAs to 20 Q. Prior to his death, did you meet her on her own ever? 20 see if there's something that they can help you with. 21 A. I don't remember. 21 Q. What about saying that you knew someone that had up to 22 Q. There came a point I think in early 2012 when you had 22 eight policies? 23 a discussion with Mr Perepilichnyy about life insurance. 23 A. I have no recollection of that at all. 24 A. I had a conversation because he was buying a property, 24 Q. Might it have been the case that you did know somebody 25 25 yes. that had that many? Page 70 Page 72

1 A. I don't know of anyone specifically, but I do know of 2 people who have significantly more than — well probably has three or four life insurance policies. 4 Q. Did be give any sense or ingregory or even panic when it came to wanting to get these policies incepted? 5 A. Once I had made the suggestion of meeting with the IFAs it wasn't involved in the process thereafter. 6 Q. You received him to Jonathan Benoot? 9 A. Ves. 10 Q. Your involvement after that was? 11 A. I was just copied in to the various emails, just so that Jonathan could show me that this was an ongoing process. 12 Q. We know that altimately be did only with substantial amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how ment he had? 11 I then he had? 12 Jonathan could show me that this was an ongoing process. 13 Q. We know that altimately he did only with substantial amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how ment he had? 14 I then he had? 15 I think EFG was 22 million, Burchays was \$0.5 million and 14 I think EFG was 22 million, Burchays was \$0.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one. 10 Q. We weren? 11 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 12 Q. Q. You weren? 13 MR NOXON BROWNE. Ms kaye, I think if's right that in 4 2012/2013 he Financial Services Authority - what the 2012/2013 he Financial Services Aut				
A concer had made the suggestion of meeting with the IFAs I was attributed in the process thereafter.  Q. You referred him to Jonathan Benson? A. Yes. Q. You referred him to Jonathan Benson? A. Yes. Q. You referred him to Jonathan Benson? A. Yes. Q. You referred him to Jonathan Benson? A. Yes. Q. You referred him to Jonathan Benson? A. Yes. Q. You was a fire that this was an ongoing process. Q. We know that ultimately be did end up with a substantial a amount of fife insurance. Did you end up knowing how much be had? A. If I remember correctly EFG had—it was 21 million and I have—but again I can't remember exactly, so—but I think EFG was 22 million, Barclays was 50.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one. Q. Q. He was at ware of at the time. Q. That I wasn't aware of at the time. Q. Q. You weren? A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. Q. Q. So someone with EFG and is clients? A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. Q. Q. Yes. I make you. Q. Q. Yes. I make you. Q. Q. Yes. I make you. Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years Q. Oy yes. I have concentrating particularly on the years Q. Oy were concentrating particularly on the years Q. Oy were concentrating particularly on the years Q. Oy As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, available and everyone can read it. A. High risk clients, a lot them were not Russian. There were also Saudis there were many other investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the company of the provision from the was allowed to be involved in the company of the provision from the was allowed to be involved in the company of the provision from the was allowed to be involved in the company of the provision from the was allowed to be involved in the company of the provision from the was allowed to be involved in the company of the provision from the pro		A. I don't know of anyone specifically, but I do know of		involved with. And this client, just so you know,
4 Q. Dub give any sense of urgency or even panic when it came to wanting to get these policies incepted?  6 A. Once I had made the suggestion of meeting with the IFAs I want I involved in the process thereafter.  9 Q. Your involvement after that was?  11 A. I wan just copied in to the various emails, just so that Jonathan could show me that this was an ongoing process.  12 Q. We know that ultimately be did end up with a substantial amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how me that this was an ongoing process.  13 Q. We know that ultimately be did end up with a substantial amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how the financial can't remember exactly, so—but I think EFG was £2 million, Barclays was £0.5 million and I knew—but again I can't remember exactly, so—but I think EFG was £2 million, Barclays was £0.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one.  12 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which was obtained by the wive and the time.  23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time.  24 Q. You weren?  25 A. No.  26 Page 73  27 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority — what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called — conducted a major investigation in the EFG and its element?  28 A. That I wasn't aman't an investigation but, yes, there was a n  29 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years a mount on the properticularly on the years are always and the properticularly o			1	
5 came to wanting to get these policies incepted? 6 A. Once I had made the suggestion of meeting with the IFAs 1 I wasn't involved in the process thereafter. 8 Q. You referred him to Jonathan Benon? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Your involvement after that was? 11 A. I was just copied in to the various emails, just so that 12 Jonathan could show me that this was an ongoing process. 13 Q. We know that ultimately he did end up with a substantial 14 amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how 15 much he had? 16 A. If I remember correctly EFG had — it was £2 million and 17 I think EFG was £2 million. Barchuys was £0.5 million 18 I think EFG was £2 million. Barchuys was £0.5 million 19 but from what I've read over the last couple of days, 20 actually there must have been another one. 21 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which 22 were outstanding— 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You weren? 25 A. No. 26 Page 73  1 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 27 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 3 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 4 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority on work and the the subands because of the day count issues. 29 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 10 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. They were concentrating particularly on the years 13 available and everyone can read it. 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients. 36 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority yenor is available and everyone can read it. 37 A. The twee sake Saudis there were many other jurisdictions. 38 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients. 39 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 30 Q. As someone while hand the management were 31 a mount of the concentrating particularly on the years 32 available and everyone can read it. 39 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 30 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, yo		-	1	• •
A. Once I had made the suggestion of meeting with the IFAs I wasn't involved in the process thereafter.  Q. Vour referred him is Jonathan Benson? A. Yes.  Q. Vour involvement after that was?  A. Yes.  Q. Vour involvement after that was?  A. Yes.  Q. Vour involvement after that was?  Q. Vex leave that this was an ongoing process.  Q. Vex leave that this was an ongoing process.  Q. Vex leave that this was an ongoing process.  I wasn't involved in to the various emails, just so that a substantial amount of life insurance. Did you can by knowing how me that this was an ongoing process.  I wasn't involved in to the various emails, just so that a substantial amount of life insurance. Did you can by knowing how me that this was an ongoing process.  I wasn't involved in to the various emails, just so that a life involved in the had?  I there work and in this was an ongoing process.  I think EFG was 22 million. Barchays was £0.5 million and I knew - but again I can't remember exactly, so - but I think EFG was 22 million. Barchays was £0.5 million and I knew - but again I can't remember exactly, so - but I think EFG was 22 million. Barchays was £0.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actualty there must have been another cone.  Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which were outstanding—  Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which were outstanding—  Q. You weren?  A. That I wasn't aware of at the time.  Page 73  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  A There was a n  Page 73  MR MX SKELTON: Thank you.  Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  A There was a n  Page 75  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority is now called—conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a n  Yes, I wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an n  There was a n  Yes, I wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an n  Yes, I wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an n  Yes, I wasn'				
To vasa fireword in the process thereafter.  8 Q. You referred him to Jonathan Besson?  9 A. Yes.  10 Q. Your involvement after that was?  11 A. I was just copied in to the various emails, just so that Jonathan could show me that this was an ongoing process.  12 Jonathan could show me that this was an ongoing process.  13 Q. We know that ultimately he did end up with a substantial amount of life insurance. Did you end up londwing how much he had?  14 amount of life insurance. Did you end up londwing how much he had?  15 Intermember correctly EFG had – it was £2 million and laws with the had?  16 Laker – but again I can't remember exactly, so – but 18 I think EFG was £2 million, Barchays was £0.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of duys, a catually there must have been another one.  16 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which were outstanding –  17 A. That I wasn't saware of at the time.  18 Q. Yes usern?  19 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 100 pol 2011, what they called the relevant period?  10 A. High risk elicints, a lot of them were not Russian.  11 A. That's correct.  12 Q. D. How were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  10 A. Nor clatification.  11 A. That's correct.  12 Q. D. See were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  13 A. Nor clationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the — that was something that investigation in and the management were the compliance department and the management were the compliance depart				
8 Q. You referred him to Jonathan Benson? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Your involvement after that was? 11 A. I was just copied in to the various enails, just so that 12 Jonathan could show the that this was an ongoing process. 13 Q. We know that ultimately he did end up with a substantial 14 amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how 15 much he had? 16 A. If I remember correctly EFG had—it was \$2 million and 11 Lines—but again I can't remember exactly, so—but 18 I think EFG was \$2 million, Barclays was £0.5 million 19 but from what I've read over the last couple of days, 20 actually there must have been another one. 21 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which 22 were outstanding— 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You weren? 25 A. No. 26 Page 73 27 A. That I wasn't ware of at the time. 27 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 28 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 29 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 30 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 31 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority - what the 32 Financial Conduct Authority is now called—conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 34 A. There was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 35 there was an 36 there was an 37 A. There was a lot of them were not Russian. 38 There was a lot of them were not Russian. 39 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 30 Q. You were concentrating particularly on the years 31 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it. 39 A. Yes. 30 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the — that was something that investigation in management were 31 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the — that was something that investigation in the investigation in the investigation in the investigation in the investigation of the experiment of the investigation of the experiment of the investigation of the investigation in the investigation of the investigation		60	1	
y as set up to allow the customer's visa, due to the visa and visa the visable and very visa, due to the customer's visa, due to the visa were tended in a bribery case, the account was set up to allow the customer's visa, due to the list and the visable and event with a substantial and visable and event visable and everyth set of the visa due to the vi		_	1	
visa, due to the customer's husband, the customer's source of wealth funds, being implicated in a bribery cannot be had?  Joannam could show me that this was an ongoing process.  Q. We know that ultimately he did end up with a substantial amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how much he had?  Linew-but again I can't remember exactly, so – but think EFG was £2 million. Barclays was £0.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actualty there must have been another one.  Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which were outstanding —  A. No.  Page 73  MR SKELTON: Thank you. Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority — what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called — conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was a look and the financial Services Authority — what the jurisdictions.  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years on 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on the FG's very number on the principles, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the savable and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No cratiationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation.  A. No cratiationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation.  A. No cratiationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation.  A. No cratiationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation.  A. No cratiationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation.  A. No cratiationship officer was a			1	
A. I was just copied in to the various emails, just so that Jonathan could show me that this was an ongoing process. Q. We know that ultimately be did end up with a substantial amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how much he had?  A. If I remember correctly EFG had — it was £2 million and I harev — but again 1 can't remember exactly, so — but I think EFG was £2 million and 17 I here — but again 1 can't remember exactly, so — but I think EFG was £2 million and 18 I think EFG was £2 million and 19 but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one. 20 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which were outstanding — 21 A. That I wan't avare of at the time. 22 Q. You weren? 23 A. That I wan't avare of at the time. 24 Q. You weren? 25 A. No. 26 Page 73  The MR SKELTON: Thank you. 27 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 28 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think its right that in 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority — what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called — conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 29 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? 31 A. That's correct. 32 Q. So you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it. 33 The faunce on the proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCAVFSA, because it kind of chaptes, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCAVFSA, because it kind of chaptes, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCAVFSA, because it kind of chaptes, but, number one, they never found that the management were still the company that you were still mind the particular to see a country to doe and country to doe an account of to lose an account or to lose an				
Jonathan could show me that this was an ongoing process. Q. We know that ultimately he did end up with a substantial amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how much he had?  A. If I remember correctly EFG had – it was £2 million and I knew – but again I can't remember caucity, so – but I think EFG was £2 million, Barrelays was £0.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one. Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which was custanding – at a the time. A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. Q. You weren't?  A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. Q. You weren't?  MR SKELTON: Thank you. Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority — what the Sinancial Services Authority is now called — conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients? A. There was a – it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years of 200 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? A. That's correct. Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, of A. Yes.  A. No reactionship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the – that was something that the compliance department and the management were		-		
13 Q. We know that ultimately he did end up with a substantial 14 amount of life insurance. Did you and up knowing how 15 much he had? 16 A. If I remember correctly EFG had – it was £2 million and 17 I knew – but again I can't remember exactly, so – but 18 I think EFG was 22 million, Barclays was £0.5 million 19 but from what I've read over the last couple of days, 20 actually there must have been another one. 21 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which 22 were outstanding – 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You weren'? 25 A. No. 26 Page 73 27 Imancial Conduct Authority is now called – conducted 28 a major investigation into EFG and is clients? 29 A. There was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 20 there was a m. 21 C. See They were concentrating particularly on the years 22 copy to 2011, what they called the relevant period? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 25 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 26 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 27 A. Or eationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. 28 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. 39 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. 30 A. Yes.			1	
amount of life insurance. Did you end up knowing how much he had?  A. H. Freemehr correctly EFG had — it was £2 million and I knew — but again I can't remember exactly, so — but I think EFG was £2 million, Barclays was £0.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one.  Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which were outstanding — 22 were outstanding — 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time.  Q. Q. You weren'?  A. That I wasn't aware of at the time.  Q. Q. Q. Westions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  MR MOXON BROWNE. Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority — what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called — conducted a ranjor investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. That's correct.  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years of 200 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, which is a valiable and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, which is a valiable and everyone can read it.  A. Wes.  A. Wes.  A. Wes.  A. Wes.  A. Wes.  A. Wes.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, which is available and everyone can read it.  A. Wes.  A. Wes.  A. Wes.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions to the feature of the day count issues.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  The remarks of the day count issues it was in the feature profice and its clients?  A. That's correct.  Q. As so made.  That's an example of someone with				
15 much he had? 16 A. If I remember correctly EFG had — it was £2 million and 1 I knew — but again I can't remember exactly, so — but 18 I think EFG was £2 million, Barclays was £0.5 million 19 but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one. 21 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which 22 were outstanding — 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You werent? 25 A. No. 26 Page 73  1 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 2 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 3 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 4 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority — what the 5 Financial Conduct Authority is now called — conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clents? 4 A. There was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 3 there was an 9 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very 13 numerous high risk Russian clients. 15 There were also Saudis there were many other 16 jurisdictions. 16 United for the visa were remitted and no investigation was made. 17 That's an example of someone with a profile not dissimilar to Mr Perepliichmyy. 18 A. Well, two things. Number one, I don't know about that the majority of investor six esit wasn't one of mine. 19 Number two, in addition to that, if you have a look across all banks, I think you'll find that the majority of investor visas were taken out by the wiso and not the husbands because of the day count issues. 20 Yes, I understand that 21 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that: 22 The faings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime.' 21 That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company? 22 The remained of the reproceed of crime.' 23 The tawas number one. 24 United the relevant peri				•
16 A. If I remember correctly EFG had – it was £2 million and 17 I knew – but again I can't remember exactly, so – but 18 I think EFG was £2 million, Bardays was £0.5 million 19 but from what I've read over the last couple of days, 20 actually there must have been another one. 21 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which 22 were outstanding – 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You werent? 25 A. No. 26 Page 73  1 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 27 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 28 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 29 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 30 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 40 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority — what the 41 Financial Conduct Authority is now called — conducted 42 a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 43 A. There was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 44 there was an. 45 there was an. 46 Q. Yes, They were concentrating particularly on the years 46 Q. They were concentrating particularly on the years 47 A. That's an example of someone with a profile not dissimilate to MF Pereptiichnyy. 48 Well, two things. Number one, I don't know about that particular to mf Pereptiichnyy. 49 A. Well, two things. Number one of mine. 40 Vest two, in addition that, if you have a look across all banks, I think you'll find that the majority of investor visas were taken out by the wives and not the husbands because of the day count issues. 40 Q. Yes, I understand that. 41 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that: 41 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime." 41 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority in the proceds of crime." 42 The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime." 42 Then they go		, , ,	1	**
17   I knew – but again I can't remember exactly, so – but I think EFG was £2 million, Barchays was £0.5 million		much he had?		
It hink EFG was £2 million, Barclays was £0.5 million but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one.  21 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which were outstanding— 22 were outstanding— 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You werent? 25 A. No.  Page 73  1 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 2 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 3 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in a 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority — what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called—conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. Ther was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, numerous high risk Russian clients, jurisdictions.  There were also Saudis there were many other juri		•	1	
but from what I've read over the last couple of days, actually there must have been another one.  Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which  22 were outstanding — 23  A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24  Q. You weren? 25  A. No. 26  Page 73  MR SKELTON: Thank you. 26  Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 36  MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 4 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority - what the 5 Financial Conduct Authority is now called - conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 7  A. There was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an 3  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 10 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? 11  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. 15  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions. 16  Jarvisdictions. 17  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyonc can read it. 19  A. Yes. 19  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation. 20  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that: 27  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that: 28  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that: 29  The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's corticols resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime. 4  The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's corticols resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime. 4  The tall was something that it with the company? 10  A. That's correct. 11  Q. As so we know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it. 12  Q. As so we know, the Financial Services Authority ton the years that time a corticol of cine. 4  The failings at EFG were serious, systemat		•	1	
20 actually there must have been another one. 21 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which 22 were outstanding - 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You werent? 25 A. No.  26 Page 73  1 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 27 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 28 MR MOXON BROWNE. Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 29 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 30 MR MOXON BROWNE is kaye, I think it's right that in 4 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority - what the 5 Financial Conduct Authority is now called - conducted 6 a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 7 A. There was a a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 8 there was an 9 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 10 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very 13 numerous high risk Russian clients. 14 A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. 15 There were also Saudis there were many other 16 jurisdictions. 17 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is 18 available and everyone can read it. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 21 you must have been intimately involved with that 22 investigation. 21 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 22 the investigation. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the compliance department and the management were 25 MR SKELTON: Thank you: 26				
21 Q. He made a number of other applications in June, which were outstanding — 22 were outstanding — 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You werent? 25 A. No. 26 You werent? 27 A. No. 27 Page 73 28 Page 75 29				_
22 were outstanding 23 A. That I wasn't aware of at the time. 24 Q. You weren't? 25 A. No.  26 Page 73  27 Image 73  28 Page 75  29 Page 75  20 Page 75  20 Page 75  20 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 29 Page 75  20 Page 75  20 Page 75  21 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 20 Page 75  21 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 21 A. Ther was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an 22 Uption of investor visas were taken out by the wives and not the husbands because of the day count issues. 23 Q. Yes, I understand that.  24 the husbands because of the day count issues. 25 Q. Yes, I understand that.  26 Page 75  27 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that: 28 "The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime.' 29 Then they go on to give the details. 20 Page 75  21 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that: 22 The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime.' 21 The they go on to give the details. 22 That was something that caused a few ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the — that was one thing that th		•	1	particular case it wasn't one of mine.
A. That I wasn't aware of at the time.  Q. You werent?  A. No.  Page 73  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 4 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority - what the 5 Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on the years numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were than three viers were taken out by the wives and not the husbands because of the day count issues.  Q. Yes, I understand that.  Page 75  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that:  "The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime."  The they go on to give the details.  That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCAPSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account. This was not one of the accounts		11		Number two, in addition to that, if you have a look
24 the husbands because of the day count issues.  25 Q. Yes, I understand that.  Page 73  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in  4 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority what the  5 Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted  6 a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  7 A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes,  8 there was as it wasn't an investigation but, yes,  10 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  11 A. That's correct.  12 Q. They were concentrating particularly on the years  13 numerous high risk Russian clients.  14 A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  15 There were also Saudis there were many other  16 jurisdictions.  17 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is  18 available and everyone can read it.  19 A. Yes.  20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients,  21 you must have been intimately involved with that  22 investigation.  3 the husbands because of the day count issues.  Q. Yes, I understand that.  Page 75  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority  came to was that:  "The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and  continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in  EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it  handling the proceeds of crime."  Then they go on to give the details.  That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't  it, within the company?  10 A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never  found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the  systems and controls were not good enough according to  the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that  period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk  client within the bank and go through all the background  on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to  one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view  of		•	1	across all banks, I think you'll find that the majority
Page 73  MR SKELTON: Thank you. Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients? A. There was an Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? A. That's correct. Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients. A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions. Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it. A. Yes. Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation. A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the — that was something that the compliance department and the management were  25 Q. Yes, I understand that.  Page 75  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that:  "The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime."  Then they go on to give the details.  That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on abolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you wer		A. That I wasn't aware of at the time.	1	of investor visas were taken out by the wives and not
Page 73  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  MR MOXON BROWNE. Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in  2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority - what the  Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted  a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes,  there was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes,  there was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes,  Then they go on to give the details.  That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that the investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that:  The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that:  The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime.'  Then they go on to give the details.  That was something tha council for handling the proceeds of crime.'  Then they go on to give the details.  That was something tha caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided wh		Q. You weren't?		
1 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 2 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 3 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 4 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority what the 5 Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted 6 a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 7 A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 8 there was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 9 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 10 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very 13 numerous high risk Russian clients. 14 A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. 15 There were also Saudis there were many other 16 jurisdictions. 17 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is 18 available and everyone can read it. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 20 you must have been intimately involved with that 21 you must have been intimately involved with that 22 investigation. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the compliance department and the management were  1 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority came to was that: 2 came to was that: 3 The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime."  Then they go on to give the details. That was something tha caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts whe	25	A. No.	25	Q. Yes, I understand that.
1 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 2 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE. 3 MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 4 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority what the 5 Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted 6 a major investigation into EFG and its clients? 7 A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 8 there was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, 9 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 10 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very 13 numerous high risk Russian clients. 14 A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. 15 There were also Saudis there were many other 16 jurisdictions. 17 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is 18 available and everyone can read it. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 20 you must have been intimately involved with that 21 investigation. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the compliance department and the management were  1 The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority 2 came to was that: 3 The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime."  Then they goo no to give the details. That was something tha caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it. Q. The Financial Services Authority took		Page 73		Page 75
Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in  2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years Qoy to 2011, what they called the relevant period? A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  A. Yes. Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it. A. Yes. Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  2 came to was that:  "The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime."  Then they go on to give the details.  That was something that caused a few ripples, bidn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were st		O		O
MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in 2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. There were also Saudis there were many other available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  "The failings at EFG were serious, systematic and continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime."  Then they go on to give the details.  That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	1	MR SKELTON: Thank you.	1	The conclusion that the Financial Services Authority
2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority what the Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  4 continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime.' Then they go on to give the details. That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	2	Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE.	2	
Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that  EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it handling the proceeds of crime."  Then they go on to give the details.  Then they go on to give the details.  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to seep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	3	MR MOXON BROWNE: Ms Kaye, I think it's right that in	3	
a major investigation into EFG and its clients?  A. There was a — it wasn't an investigation but, yes, there was an  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation. So the — that was something that the compliance department and the management were  handling the proceeds of crime."  Then they go on to give the details.  That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, "  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never and control were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, bec	4	2012/2013 the Financial Services Authority what the	4	continued for more than three years, the weaknesses in
Then they go on to give the details.  That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the — that was something that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	5	Financial Conduct Authority is now called conducted	5	EFG's controls resulted in an unacceptable risk of it
there was an  Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the compliance department and the management were  That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the — that was something that the company?  A. Yes.	6	a major investigation into EFG and its clients?	6	handling the proceeds of crime."
9 Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years 10 2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very 13 numerous high risk Russian clients. 14 A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. 15 There were also Saudis there were many other 16 jurisdictions. 17 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is 18 available and everyone can read it. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 21 you must have been intimately involved with that 22 investigation. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the investigation. So the — that was something that 25 the compliance department and the management were  10 it, within the company?  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  11 But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it. 20 Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  22 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	7	A. There was a it wasn't an investigation but, yes,	7	Then they go on to give the details.
2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?  A. That's correct.  Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the compliance department and the management were  10  A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the systems and controls were not good enough according to the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that the period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to seep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	8	there was an	8	That was something that caused a few ripples, didn't
11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very 13 numerous high risk Russian clients. 14 A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. 15 There were also Saudis there were many other 16 jurisdictions. 17 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is 18 available and everyone can read it. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 21 you must have been intimately involved with that 22 investigation. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the compliance department and the management were  16 found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the 22 systems and controls were not good enough according to 23 the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that 24 the compliance department and the management were  15 But number two, we had to review every high risk 26 client within the bank and go through all the background 27 on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to 28 keep an account or to close an account. This was not 29 one of the accounts where they decided to close it. 20 Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view 21 of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you 22 were still working for, 4.2 million? 23 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. 24 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA? 25 A. Yes.	9	Q. Yes. They were concentrating particularly on the years	9	it, within the company?
2 Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very 13 numerous high risk Russian clients. 14 A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian. 15 There were also Saudis there were many other 16 jurisdictions. 17 Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is 18 available and everyone can read it. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 21 you must have been intimately involved with that 22 investigation. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the compliance department and the management were 25 the compliance department and the management were 26 the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that 27 the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that 28 the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that 29 the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that 20 the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that 21 period. That was number one. 21 But number two, we had to review every high risk 21 client within the bank and go through all the background 21 on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to 22 keep an account or to close an account. This was not 23 one of the accounts where they decided to close it. 24 Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view 25 of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you 26 were still working for, 4.2 million? 27 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA? 29 A. Yes.	10	2009 to 2011, what they called the relevant period?	10	A. It caused a lot of ripples, but, number one, they never
numerous high risk Russian clients.  A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  13 the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that period. That was number one.  14 But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	11	A. That's correct.	11	found any proceeds of crime, it was just that the
A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.  There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  14 period. That was number one.  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	12	Q. They were concentrating particularly on EFG's very	12	systems and controls were not good enough according to
There were also Saudis there were many other jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  15  But number two, we had to review every high risk client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it. Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA? A. Yes.	13	numerous high risk Russian clients.	13	the FCA/FSA, because it kind of changed over during that
jurisdictions.  Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  16 client within the bank and go through all the background on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	14	A. High risk clients, a lot of them were not Russian.	14	period. That was number one.
Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is available and everyone can read it.  A. Yes.  Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  17 on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to keep an account or to close an account. This was not one of the accounts where they decided to close it.  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	15	There were also Saudis there were many other	15	But number two, we had to review every high risk
available and everyone can read it.  18 keep an account or to close an account. This was not 19 A. Yes. 19 one of the accounts where they decided to close it. 20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 21 you must have been intimately involved with that 22 investigation. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the investigation. So the that was something that 25 the compliance department and the management were 28 keep an account or to close an account. This was not 29 one of the accounts where they decided to close it. 20 Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view 21 of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you 22 were still working for, 4.2 million? 23 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. 24 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA? 25 A. Yes.	16	jurisdictions.	16	client within the bank and go through all the background
19 A. Yes. 20 Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, 21 you must have been intimately involved with that 22 investigation. 23 A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the investigation. So the that was something that 25 the compliance department and the management were 20 Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view 21 of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you 22 were still working for, 4.2 million? 23 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. 24 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA? 25 A. Yes.	17	Q. As you know, the Financial Services Authority report is	17	on absolutely everybody and it was decided whether to
Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients, you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  A. Yes.	18	available and everyone can read it.	18	keep an account or to close an account. This was not
you must have been intimately involved with that investigation.  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  21 of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you were still working for, 4.2 million?  23 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.  24 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?  25 A. Yes.	19	A. Yes.	19	one of the accounts where they decided to close it.
investigation.  22 were still working for, 4.2 million?  A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in 24 the investigation. So the that was something that 25 the compliance department and the management were 20 were still working for, 4.2 million? 21 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. 22 were still working for, 4.2 million? 23 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. 24 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA? 25 A. Yes.	20	Q. As someone who looked after high risk Russian clients,	20	Q. The Financial Services Authority took rather a dim view
A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  23 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. 24 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA? 25 A. Yes.	21	you must have been intimately involved with that	21	of all this and they fined EFG, the company that you
A. No relationship officer was allowed to be involved in the investigation. So the that was something that the compliance department and the management were  23 A. Mm-hmm. That's correct. 24 Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA? 25 A. Yes.	22	investigation.	22	were still working for, 4.2 million?
25 the compliance department and the management were 25 A. Yes.	23		23	A. Mm-hmm. That's correct.
	24	the investigation. So the that was something that	24	Q. Which was at that time a record for the FSA?
Page 74 Page 76	25	the compliance department and the management were	25	A. Yes.
Page /4 Page /6		D 71		D 5/
	l	Page /4	1	Page /6

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

1	MR SKELTON: Sir, sorry to interrupt.	1	being able to find out and if Proximal also themselves
2	This witness has never been asked any questions	2	are unable to find out, just like I don't know where you
3	about this report, nor about whether it's a record or	3	hold your bank account, I didn't know where even
4	not. She has been put on the spot about a number of	4	though I would ask the question of him, if he wasn't
5	things. To be fair to her, I would like to have her	5	forthcoming with it, the answer is I don't know.
6	some chance to prepare to answer. Particularly comments	6	Q. Let's take the Moscow property. Did you have the
7	about a report and there's a danger that the witness	7	impression that he owned a very valuable property in
8	can't properly give evidence or fairly give evidence in	8	Moscow?
9	those circumstances.	9	A. At that period of time, the problem with property in
10	It's up to the witness if she feels that she can	10	Moscow, especially at 2008/2009, something that maybe
11	carry on, sir, but I would suggest we need to be very	11	was worth \$10 million the year before might only be
12	careful about putting these sorts of propositions to	12	worth \$4 million or \$5 million at that point
13	her.	13	Q. Did you have the impression he owned valuable property
14	THE CORONER: Do we have much more to go, Mr Moxon Browne?	14	in Moscow?
15	MR MOXON BROWNE: Not on this aspect, but there are a number	15	A. Yes, yes.
16	of documents we need to look at which the lady will be	16	Q. Just look at page 93 of the miscellaneous bundle, which
17	familiar with.	17	is the Proximal Consulting report to which you've been
18	I'm sorry if I've taken anyone by surprise, it was	18	referred and which I think is a document with which you
19	of course only very recently that we knew that this lady	19	are familiar. Do you see under paragraph 2.7:
20	was going to give evidence at all and I was surprised	20	"Our property searches in Moscow have identified one
21	when, today, she gave a lot of evidence about the care	21	apartment which is currently recorded as owned by
22	with which compliance was dealt with, without mentioning	22	Alexander Perepilichnyy."
23	what, to use the tired phrase, the elephant in the room.	23	We see that it is 26 metres by 21 metres in
24	That wasn't my fault.	24	sorry, the floor space, external, is 27 metres and the
25	THE CORONER: All right. Well there may not be much more of	25	floor space internal is 22 metres.
	D 77		D 70
	Page 77		Page 79
1	this. Obviously if you want time to look at documents	1	Now, even for Moscow, that's pretty small isn't it?
1 2	this. Obviously if you want time to look at documents or there are things that you are just not familiar with,	1 2	Now, even for Moscow, that's pretty small isn't it?  A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties
2	or there are things that you are just not familiar with,	2	A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties
2 3	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.	2 3	A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in
2 3 4	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case,	2 3 4	A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.
2 3 4 5	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.  Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's
2 3 4 5 6	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually — I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually — I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of his Swiss account, I was surprised by that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one.</li> <li>But number two, as I said, that the client completed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of his Swiss account, I was surprised by that.  A. When we asked him we knew of the accounts where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one.</li> <li>But number two, as I said, that the client completed a personal statement of affairs which has not been</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of his Swiss account, I was surprised by that.  A. When we asked him we knew of the accounts where the source of the funds were coming from and our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one.</li> <li>But number two, as I said, that the client completed a personal statement of affairs which has not been submitted with these documents, and within that we would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of his Swiss account, I was surprised by that.  A. When we asked him we knew of the accounts where the source of the funds were coming from and our understanding was that the rest of the assets we knew	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one.</li> <li>But number two, as I said, that the client completed a personal statement of affairs which has not been submitted with these documents, and within that we would have been told the properties that he did own in Moscow</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of his Swiss account, I was surprised by that.  A. When we asked him we knew of the accounts where the source of the funds were coming from and our understanding was that the rest of the assets we knew that he had an apartment in Paris, we knew that he had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one.</li> <li>But number two, as I said, that the client completed a personal statement of affairs which has not been submitted with these documents, and within that we would have been told the properties that he did own in Moscow and through the names of the companies that they were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of his Swiss account, I was surprised by that.  A. When we asked him we knew of the accounts where the source of the funds were coming from and our understanding was that the rest of the assets we knew that he had an apartment in Paris, we knew that he had property in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one.</li> <li>But number two, as I said, that the client completed a personal statement of affairs which has not been submitted with these documents, and within that we would have been told the properties that he did own in Moscow and through the names of the companies that they were owned. It's not in this file, I'm sorry.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of his Swiss account, I was surprised by that.  A. When we asked him we knew of the accounts where the source of the funds were coming from and our understanding was that the rest of the assets we knew that he had an apartment in Paris, we knew that he had the apartment in Dubai, we knew that he had property in Moscow. So if I ask if I ask a question of a client there is no and they say no, there is no way of me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.</li> <li>Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?</li> <li>A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. It's not the property that he lived in.</li> <li>Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?</li> <li>A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one. But number two, as I said, that the client completed a personal statement of affairs which has not been submitted with these documents, and within that we would have been told the properties that he did own in Moscow and through the names of the companies that they were owned. It's not in this file, I'm sorry. Q. If you go on to paragraph 2.9: "We've been identified the following information in</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or there are things that you are just not familiar with, then you will just have to say.  A. I wasn't actually I wasn't involved in the FSA case, so it's very difficult for me to comment upon it.  THE CORONER: Yes. When that's the case, you must obviously say so.  A. Okay.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What I want to suggest to you is that you actually knew very little about Mr Perepilichnyy when you took him on, you personally. You didn't even, for example, know that he had, as you said in your statement, accounts in Switzerland.  A. No, I didn't know that he had accounts we knew no that's not totally true, we knew he had an account with Credit Suisse in Zurich.  Q. You said in your statement that you were not aware of his Swiss account, I was surprised by that.  A. When we asked him we knew of the accounts where the source of the funds were coming from and our understanding was that the rest of the assets we knew that he had an apartment in Paris, we knew that he had the apartment in Dubai, we knew that he had property in Moscow. So if I ask if I ask a question of a client	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes but most people in Moscow own their properties through offshore companies at that particular point in time, not in their personal names.  Q. Then we see that the year of construction was 1965, it's not the most glorious era of Soviet architecture was it?  A. No, but I don't believe that was the property which he lived in either.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. It's not the property that he lived in.  Q. No, but what you're suggesting is he may have had lots of other properties owned through offshore companies and so on. What I'm saying is as far as what Proximal were able to tell you, that you rely on so strongly, was that he owned a little concrete box?  A. No, that's one element of having a look at someone's due diligence, number one.  But number two, as I said, that the client completed a personal statement of affairs which has not been submitted with these documents, and within that we would have been told the properties that he did own in Moscow and through the names of the companies that they were owned. It's not in this file, I'm sorry.  Q. If you go on to paragraph 2.9:

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

1	respect of AP's career history and professional	1	A. Well, no, and there was nothing to link at that point
2	background."	2	Mr Perepilichnyy with Quartel
3	There are a number of bullet points and we go down	3	Q. Well
4	to in 2003, so we are now in 2010 but you're being told	4	A that we were aware of.
5	about 2003:	5	Q. I do not think that's quite right, is it? For a start,
6	"The subject was appointed general director of	6	a prominent advertisement had been taken out by
7	limited liability company investment company Financial	7	Mr Stepanov in the form of an open letter to Mr Navalny
8	Bridge, a leading operator in the Russian stock market."	8	dealing with what he said was Mr Perepilichnyy's role as
9		9	his asset manager.
	Well now you are very familiar with Financial Bridge	10	
10	and its reputation, aren't you?	1	You have certainly heard of Mr Navalny haven't you,
11	A. No.	11	even if you haven't heard of Mr Stepanov?
12	Q. No? All right:	12	A. I haven't heard of Mr Stepanov. I have heard of
13	"The company was founded in 1999 and has a website	13	Mr Navalny and Mr Navalny has come up with a number of
14	we note that it's unclear whether Mr Perepilichnyy	14	interesting news articles about people which don't
15	continues in this position to date."	15	always follow through.
16	That's not very valuable information, is it?	16	Q. Well what is known about him I think is that he's
17	A. That particular paragraph isn't, but I can also tell you	17	a dissident in Russia who was very recently nearly
18	that we would have looked into we would have looked	18	blinded by an acid attack, that's something that you
19	at the website at that particular point in time, we	19	probably have heard about?
20	would have spoken to him about this particular company	20	A. I have, yes.
21	and, again, there's no because you don't have the	21	Q. Would you accept that the information recorded by EFG in
22	supporting evidence for the account opening I can't show	22	relation to the financial background of Mr Perepilichnyy
23	you what was actually found on that particular element.	23	is a mishmash of information that dates from 2003 and
24	Q. Let's go to 2.11 on page 95, Horus Invest Resource. We	24	some items picked out of this Proximal Consulting
25	see that Alexander Perepilichnyy is the general director	25	A. I can tell you that no information we didn't have any
	Page 81		Page 83
1	and he has a 1 per cent shareholding but the majority of	1	financial information from 2003 that I had access to and
2	the shares are owned by a company which from the	2	the information that I was given was the information
3	transliteration from Russian is "Kvartel", but that's	3	that Mr Perepilichnyy would have given me in 2009 and
4	Quartel Trading, isn't it?	4	2010.
5	A. I don't know. I can tell you at the time we would have,	5	Q. What you did have, and it's quite obvious because there
6	again, done our due diligence on that particular company	6	are quotations, was the document that was produced in
7	and that would have been in the documents of EFG, which	7	2003.
8		8	A. Yes, but it doesn't give any financial proper
	are not submitted here.	1	
9	Q. I'm sorry, I can't hear what you are saying.	9	financial information. As I said, when a client opens
10	A. I said that the documents which I would have done the	10	an account up we will ask for tax returns, we will ask
11	due diligence on this company are not submitted in this	11	for financial statements. None of this is actually
12	file.	12	recorded in this file for me to be able to talk to you
13	Q. No.	13	about.
14	A. I can't tell you who it is.	14	Q. You have lots and lots of documents somewhere
15	Q. You of course have an obligation in relation to your	15	A. I don't have any documents at all.
16	clients to have ongoing due diligence, you have to keep	16	Q. EFG have
17	an eye on things as you go along. You are aware, aren't	17	A. I don't work for EFG any more.
18	you, that in the years 2011 and 2012, the name Quartel	18	THE CORONER: You are not there anymore?
19	as a company that figured on documents that had been	19	A. No.
20	supplied by Mr Browder to the Swiss authorities, was	20	MR MOXON BROWNE: We understand that EFG have been ordered
21	very widely known?	21	to produce all of their relevant documents, were you
22	A. Well I can tell you that, number one, I wouldn't have	22	asked to help with that process?
23	been looking at "Quartel" I would have been looking at	23	A. No. I did offer and my offer was declined.
24	"Kvartel" and number two no I wasn't aware.	24	Q. That's perhaps unfortunate.
		1	TT 11 0 1 1 1 (D )
25	Q. You didn't spot that activity in 2011/2012?	25	Would you forgive me just a moment, sir. (Pause)
25	•	25	
25	Q. You didn't spot that activity in 2011/2012?  Page 82	25	Would you forgive me just a moment, sir. (Pause)  Page 84

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

1	You of course aren't allowed to sell life insurance	1	a name that crops up there?
2	products and what you do, if you get a customer, is to	2	A. No, and Jonathan never discussed that with me so
3	refer him to the right person, which in this case is	3	Q. Then on page 170, under "Tax status", a topic you
4	Mr Jonathan Benson.	4	mentioned a moment ago:
5	A. Correct.	5	"Not a UK Taxpayer."
6	Q. I think that your witness statement certainly implies	6	A. Yes.
7	that you've at least looked at some of the documents	7	Q. This is we remind ourselves July 2012.
8	that he produced, or you can help the coroner as to what	8	Mrs Perepilichnaya told us that, to the best of her
9	they may mean.	9	knowledge, her husband had no investments or business in
10	A. I can try.	10	the UK. I didn't ask her about his tax status, but
11	Q. Good. Would you look, please, at page 166 of the	11	Mr Benson apparently did.
12	miscellaneous bundle. This is IFA's document rather	12	It would have been impossible, would it not, for
13	than your department but I expect you recognise the type	13	Mr Perepilichnyy to carry on a business generating
14	of document it is. It's called client personal profile	14	profits through your bank or otherwise without paying
15	form and I think you produced those for your side and	15	tax in the UK?
16	the IFA has produced it for their side?	16	A. He didn't have a business through our bank.
17	A. Yes, theirs is slightly more detailed because of the	17	Q. I'm sorry?
18	type of work that they're doing and basing their	18	A. He didn't have a business through our bank.
19	information on.	19	Q. Well I understood you to say that he did currency
20	Q. Yes. We can see from that that the date of the meeting	20	trading through your bank but I'm not sure that is
21	is 4 July 2012, and we have had that date from other	21	correct, is it?
22	sources. The location of the meeting is EFG in London	22	A. He did some currency in 2012 in 2012 he had done some
23	and we can see there "JB", which I think we can be	23	currency trading, yes, but I presume he would have
24	confident is Jonathan Benson, and "AP", which I think we	24	reported that on his tax return in whichever country he
25	can be confident	25	was actually tax resident.
23	can be confident		was accumy that residents
	Page 85		Page 87
1	A Alexander Pereniliahnya	1	O. This is not an inquiry into his tay
1	A. Alexander Perepilichnyy.	1	Q. This is not an inquiry into his tax
2	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?	2	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.
2 3	<ul><li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li><li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li></ul>	2 3	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question. Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.     Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that     Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that  Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in  order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that  Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that  Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in  order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just  wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has: <ul> <li>"Main occupation CEO of food company."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has: <ul> <li>"Main occupation CEO of food company."</li> <li>I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has: <ul> <li>"Main occupation CEO of food company."</li> <li>I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but</li> </ul> </li> <li>I may be wrong:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has: <ul> <li>"Main occupation CEO of food company."</li> <li>I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but</li> </ul> </li> <li>I may be wrong: <ul> <li>" semi retired in the UK, employer's business</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has: <ul> <li>"Main occupation CEO of food company."</li> <li>I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but</li> </ul> </li> <li>I may be wrong: <ul> <li>" semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?  A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.  Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?  A. They met, I wasn't there.  THE CORONER: You weren't there?  MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:  "Main occupation CEO of food company."  I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but I may be wrong:  " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."  Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?  A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.  Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?  A. They met, I wasn't there.  THE CORONER: You weren't there?  MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:  "Main occupation CEO of food company."  I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but I may be wrong:  " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."  Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?</li> <li>A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —</li> <li>THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:         <ul> <li>"Main occupation CEO of food company."</li> <li>I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but</li> </ul> </li> <li>I may be wrong:         <ul> <li>" semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."</li> <li>Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. I've actually never seen — until this particular event,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:     "Main occupation CEO of food company."     I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but</li> <li>I may be wrong:     " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."     Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?</li> <li>A. I've actually never seen — until this particular event,     I've never seen this document before so I can't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from  MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?  A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.  Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?  A. They met, I wasn't there.  THE CORONER: You weren't there?  MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:  "Main occupation CEO of food company."  I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but I may be wrong:  " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."  Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?  A. I've actually never seen — until this particular event, I've never seen this document before so I can't  THE CORONER: Never seen this, did you know anything about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance. THE CORONER: Let's come to that, let's do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?  A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.  Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?  A. They met, I wasn't there.  THE CORONER: You weren't there?  MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:  "Main occupation CEO of food company."  I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but I may be wrong:  " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."  Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?  A. I've actually never seen — until this particular event, I've never seen this document before so I can't  THE CORONER: Never seen this, did you know anything about Statinko?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from  MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance.  THE CORONER: Let's come to that, let's do that.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I think that is something that —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?  A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.  Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?  A. They met, I wasn't there.  THE CORONER: You weren't there?  MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:  "Main occupation CEO of food company."  I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but I may be wrong:  " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."  Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?  A. I've actually never seen — until this particular event, I've never seen this document before so I can't  THE CORONER: Never seen this, did you know anything about Statinko?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from  MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance. THE CORONER: Let's come to that, let's do that.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I think that is something that — THE CORONER: I think that's enough from the tax front.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?  A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.  Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?  A. They met, I wasn't there.  THE CORONER: You weren't there?  MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:  "Main occupation CEO of food company."  I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but I may be wrong:  " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."  Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?  A. I've actually never seen — until this particular event, I've never seen this document before so I can't  THE CORONER: Never seen this, did you know anything about Statinko?  A. No.  THE CORONER: Well there we are, that's that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance. THE CORONER: Let's come to that, let's do that. MR MOXON BROWNE: I think that is something that — THE CORONER: I think that's enough from the tax front. MR MOXON BROWNE: That's something that certainly your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?  A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.  Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?  A. They met, I wasn't there.  THE CORONER: You weren't there?  MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:  "Main occupation CEO of food company."  I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but I may be wrong:  " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."  Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?  A. I've actually never seen — until this particular event,  I've never seen this document before so I can't  THE CORONER: Never seen this, did you know anything about Statinko?  A. No.  THE CORONER: Well there we are, that's that.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What you do have some familiarity with is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance. THE CORONER: Let's come to that, let's do that. MR MOXON BROWNE: I think that is something that — THE CORONER: I think that's enough from the tax front. MR MOXON BROWNE: That's something that certainly your counsel has taken some interest in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from  MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance. THE CORONER: Let's come to that, let's do that. MR MOXON BROWNE: I think that is something that — THE CORONER: I think that's enough from the tax front. MR MOXON BROWNE: That's something that certainly your counsel has taken some interest in. THE CORONER: Yes. You can put that one away, we think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?  A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.  Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?  A. They met, I wasn't there.  THE CORONER: You weren't there?  MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:  "Main occupation CEO of food company."  I think it says "corn" and I think it is "beer", but I may be wrong:  " semi retired in the UK, employer's business address and postcode, Statinko, Ukraine."  Is the name Statinko one that your familiarity with the due diligence have brought you into contact with?  A. I've actually never seen — until this particular event,  I've never seen this document before so I can't  THE CORONER: Never seen this, did you know anything about Statinko?  A. No.  THE CORONER: Well there we are, that's that.  MR MOXON BROWNE: What you do have some familiarity with is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance. THE CORONER: Let's come to that, let's do that. MR MOXON BROWNE: I think that is something that — THE CORONER: I think that's enough from the tax front. MR MOXON BROWNE: That's something that certainly your counsel has taken some interest in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. So it's a face-to-face meeting not telephone?</li> <li>A. And I wasn't involved in this meeting, just so you know.</li> <li>Q. Well attendees, it looks as if they met, doesn't it?</li> <li>A. They met, I wasn't there.</li> <li>THE CORONER: You weren't there?</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: You weren't there, that I understand on page 169, Mr Benson is making a record of various things and under "Occupation" he has:</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. The answer is: I don't know the answer to your question.  Q. Well, no, but you have said that you thought that Mr Perepilichnyy himself deposited money with you in order to trade and that you took pips off it. I am just wondering how this activity was carried on?  A. Yes, but that was investment business where — unless he invests in sterling there are no tax liabilities within the UK. He would have to declare it on his local tax return if it was relevant to his local tax return, but he wouldn't have to — doesn't, to the best of my knowledge, and I'm not a tax accountant, is not reportable within the UK unless there's a UK situs asset —  THE CORONER: Mr Moxon Browne, I think we are quite a long way away and I am wondering whether we are getting further away from  MR MOXON BROWNE: We are coming to insurance. THE CORONER: Let's come to that, let's do that. MR MOXON BROWNE: I think that is something that — THE CORONER: I think that's enough from the tax front. MR MOXON BROWNE: That's something that certainly your counsel has taken some interest in. THE CORONER: Yes. You can put that one away, we think

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

1	MR MOXON BROWNE: I think, just on that, what I want to	1	documents I was given. I appreciate that that may not
2	establish is whether I'm right or wrong in my	2	be the case. You will either be able to help us or you
3	understanding that whatever else Mr Perepilichnyy did,	3	won't.
4	he was not an investor in the UK?	4	Can you look at 206, for a start, I think. At the
5	A. No, I don't believe he was an investor in the UK.	5	top of the page we see an email dated 4 October.
6	Q. That's fine.	6	A. Sorry, which file am I looking at?
7	You say in your witness statement that, as far as	7	Q. I'm sorry, page 206 of the miscellaneous bundle.
8	you can remember, Alexander wanted life insurance	8	THE CORONER: Do you have that? It might be behind
9	because he was looking to buy a house and you believe he	9	divider 19 in that one. Then bottom right-hand corner.
10	had taken 2 million worth of cover from Barclays and	10	A. Right, yes, I have it now.
11	wanted to take another 2 million through EFG, and that's	11	MR MOXON BROWNE: There's an email there from someone called
12	based on 40 per cent of 10 million in the context you	12	Andy Tustin, can you just tell us who he is?
13	have explained, which would attract 40 per cent	13	A. So Jonathan Benson originally worked for the IFA
14	inheritance tax.	14	department and also for the bank and he chose to leave
15	Then you go on to say, paragraph 25:	15	the IFA area and to just be a relationship officer like
16	"I wasn't copied in to all emails between Alexander	16	myself, and effectively Andy Tustin took over his role
17	and Jonathan. Jonathan would have similar forms to	17	as IFA.
18	complete and the IFA would have their own version of	18	Q. When abouts was that?
19	a CIP form [customer information]. From the forms	19	A. 2013/2012, I don't know.
20	I have seen it looks as though Jonathan switched roles	20	Q. Well we've got a date here, 4 October 2012:
21	with Andy Tustin, it may have been him who told	21	"Benson, Jonathan, private client solutions, re
22	Alexander about eight life insurance policies."	22	4 million some short quote."
23	I haven't seen any of those forms, but what I have	23	So someone is looking for a quote for 4 million in
24	seen is some dealings with Mr Tustin about life	24	October 2012. If we just look at a couple more then
25	insurance. I want to ask you if that's actually what	25	I'll ask you a question.
	D 00		D 04
	Page 89		Page 91
1	you're referring to there.	1	Below that, as it were, leading to that, someone
2	A. To be quite clear on that, I was asked the question when	2	called Mr Symes(?) from Legal & General International is
3	I was	3	saying:
			saying.
4	THE CORONER: Were you referring to anything there.	4	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs
4 5	THE CORONER: Were you referring to anything there.  A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement	4 5	
			"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs
5	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement	5	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject
5 6	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that	5 6	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled.
5 6 7	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had	5 6 7	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over."
5 6 7 8	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —  THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?	5 6 7 8	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over."  Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to
5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that</li> <li>THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over."  Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that</li> <li>THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>THE CORONER: No.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?  A. No. THE CORONER: No. MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that</li> <li>THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>THE CORONER: No.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?</li> <li>A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin:  "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —</li> <li>THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>THE CORONER: No.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?</li> <li>A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.</li> <li>THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —</li> <li>THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>THE CORONER: No.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?</li> <li>A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.</li> <li>THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —</li> <li>THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>THE CORONER: No.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?</li> <li>A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.</li> <li>THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —</li> <li>THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>THE CORONER: No.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?</li> <li>A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.</li> <li>THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —</li> <li>THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over."  Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin:  "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —</li> <li>THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>THE CORONER: No.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?</li> <li>A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.</li> <li>THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —</li> <li>THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he was asking you whether there were some particular</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.  A. Yes, but I don't give tax advice so this would have been
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that  THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?  A. No.  THE CORONER: No.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?  A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.  THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?  A. Yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the  THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he was asking you whether there were some particular documents you had in mind, what you were saying was	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.  A. Yes, but I don't give tax advice so this would have been between Jonathan or Andy and the client so
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —  THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?  A. No.  THE CORONER: No.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?  A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.  THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?  A. Yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —  THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he was asking you whether there were some particular documents you had in mind, what you were saying was there weren't any documents you had in mind, it was just	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.  A. Yes, but I don't give tax advice so this would have been between Jonathan or Andy and the client so Q. At all events, the fact that somebody was seeking
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —  THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?  A. No.  THE CORONER: No.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?  A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.  THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?  A. Yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —  THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he was asking you whether there were some particular documents you had in mind, what you were saying was there weren't any documents you had in mind, it was just a question you were asked?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.  A. Yes, but I don't give tax advice so this would have been between Jonathan or Andy and the client so Q. At all events, the fact that somebody was seeking a £4 million cover in the early part of October 2012 is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —  THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?  A. No.  THE CORONER: No.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?  A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.  THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?  A. Yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —  THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he was asking you whether there were some particular documents you had in mind, what you were saying was there weren't any documents you had in mind, it was just a question you were asked?  A. Yes. When I was giving evidence.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.  A. Yes, but I don't give tax advice so this would have been between Jonathan or Andy and the client so Q. At all events, the fact that somebody was seeking a £4 million cover in the early part of October 2012 is not something that you're suggesting has anything to do
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —  THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?  A. No.  THE CORONER: No.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?  A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.  THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?  A. Yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —  THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he was asking you whether there were some particular documents you had in mind, what you were saying was there weren't any documents you had in mind, it was just a question you were asked?  A. Yes. When I was giving evidence.  THE CORONER: I think you may yet be shown some documents.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.  A. Yes, but I don't give tax advice so this would have been between Jonathan or Andy and the client so  Q. At all events, the fact that somebody was seeking a £4 million cover in the early part of October 2012 is not something that you're suggesting has anything to do with Mr Perepilichnyy, or are you?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —  THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?  A. No.  THE CORONER: No.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?  A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.  THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?  A. Yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —  THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he was asking you whether there were some particular documents you had in mind, what you were saying was there weren't any documents you had in mind, it was just a question you were asked?  A. Yes. When I was giving evidence.  THE CORONER: I think you may yet be shown some documents. MR MOXON BROWNE: I think I had assumed, wrongly, that there	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.  A. Yes, but I don't give tax advice so this would have been between Jonathan or Andy and the client so Q. At all events, the fact that somebody was seeking a £4 million cover in the early part of October 2012 is not something that you're suggesting has anything to do with Mr Perepilichnyy, or are you?  A. I was not aware that he was looking for a life insurance
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I was just asked the question when I gave the statement was I aware that —  THE CORONER: There wasn't any particular document you had in mind or anything?  A. No.  THE CORONER: No.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This state of affairs about Mr Tustin was something that was suggested to you?  A. No, no, no. About the eight life insurance policies.  THE CORONER: That's what you were asked about?  A. Yes.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's just have a look at the —  THE CORONER: I think what you were just saying is when he was asking you whether there were some particular documents you had in mind, what you were saying was there weren't any documents you had in mind, it was just a question you were asked?  A. Yes. When I was giving evidence.  THE CORONER: I think you may yet be shown some documents.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Can do, but as death benefit will be UK situs [situated] any sums above the tax limit will be subject to inheritance tax as both people are non-UK domiciled. I will get quotes and send them over." Somebody has asked for a quote from Legal & General, and indeed we see that at the bottom of the page to Peter Symes copied to Andy Tustin: "Can you also provide two single life five-year insurance quotes."  If I can help you, you probably know this because of your mortgage training, that sometimes people get shorter five-year life insurance quotes to cover the possibility of gifting their property and then not surviving long enough to avoid the tax.  A. Yes, but I don't give tax advice so this would have been between Jonathan or Andy and the client so  Q. At all events, the fact that somebody was seeking a £4 million cover in the early part of October 2012 is not something that you're suggesting has anything to do with Mr Perepilichnyy, or are you?

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

1	Q. No, I thought your witness statement rather implied that	1	A. Yes.
2	you were, because	2	Q. Page 149. Do you see at the top there it's dated
3	A. No, because I knew he already had some cover with	3	11 May 2012?
4	Barclays so it was	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. So nothing about an additional 4 million?	5	Q. It's an email from Jonathan to Alexander Perepilichnyy.
6	A. No, not that I'm aware of, no.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Well I misunderstood because I didn't realise that you	7	Q. It says there, do you see the first line:
8	hadn't been responsible for putting these documents in.	8	"Further to our discussion yesterday, the indicative
9	A. No.	9	lowest premiums that we have obtained for 1 million of
10	MR MOXON BROWNE: Sir, if it assists you, it appears to me	10	life insurance are as follows"
11	that these documents relate to an application by	11	A. Yes.
12	a gentleman whose name I won't say, but has nothing to	12	Q. Would that appear then that Jonathan and Alexander had
13	do with Mr Perepilichnyy at all.	13	had a discussion the day before, on 10 May?
14	THE CORONER: Yes. Well there we are then.	14	A. From that, yes.
15	MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you very much. I haven't any	15	Q. Then could you turn back, please, to page 148.
16	further questions.	16	A. Mm-hmm.
17	Ouestions from MR STRAW	17	Q. Do you see there there are several emails over the next
18	MR STRAW: Could you have a look, please, behind tab 19 at	18	few days, which, as you go up the page, 12, 13, 14 and
19	-	19	then 15 May, indicate that there was a meeting between
20	page 86.	20	Jonathan and Alexander on 15 May?
21	A. Sorry, in which?  O. In the bundle with "Misselleneous" on the spine of it?	20 21	A. Yes.
22	Q. In the bundle with "Miscellaneous" on the spine of it? THE CORONER: Still in that one that you are but right at	21 22	
23		23	Q. After that contact then on 10 May, it appears, does it,
23	the front of that section that you were just in, I think it's at the front of 19.	23	that the insurance application was being pursued apace?
25		25	A. I really don't know; I wasn't involved in that at all.
25	A. Sorry, 86 or 186?	25	Q. Okay. Were you involved in that meeting?
	Page 93		Page 95
1	MD CTD AW. 96	,	A No.4 (ho.4 Thurstone of ho.4 (C Lours Lours) d hours down
1	MR STRAW: 86.	1	A. Not that I'm aware of but if I was I would have done
2	A. Sorry, 86 is	2	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file,
2 3	A. Sorry, 86 is  Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that	2 3	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li><li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li><li>A. Yes, got it.</li></ul>	2 3 4	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't. I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li><li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li><li>A. Yes, got it.</li><li>Q. Do you have that?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't. I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> <li>Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't. <ol> <li>I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> </ol> </li> <li>Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the corner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't. <ol> <li>I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> </ol> </li> <li>Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195. <ol> <li>Yes.</li> <li>It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> <li>Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.</li> <li>A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't. <ol> <li>I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> <li>There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Yes. <ol> <li>It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> <li>Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.</li> <li>A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> <li>Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.</li> <li>A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.</li> <li>Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> <li>Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.</li> <li>A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.</li> <li>Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?</li> <li>A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so</li> <li>Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.</li> <li>A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.</li> <li>Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?</li> <li>A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance —</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance — I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> <li>Q. Well there are just one or two I would just like to try</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance — I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.  And then Jonathan would take it forward from there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> <li>Q. Well there are just one or two I would just like to try and take you to to see if you can help us with.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance — I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.  And then Jonathan would take it forward from there.  Q. Is the subject of this email "Minutes of a meeting with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> <li>Q. Well there are just one or two I would just like to try and take you to to see if you can help us with.  The first mention I have found in this bundle of an</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance — I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.  And then Jonathan would take it forward from there.  Q. Is the subject of this email "Minutes of a meeting with Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy on 4 July 2012"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> <li>Q. Well there are just one or two I would just like to try and take you to to see if you can help us with.  The first mention I have found in this bundle of an application for life insurance by Mr Perepilichnyy is on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance as I said I can't discuss life insurance I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.  And then Jonathan would take it forward from there.  Q. Is the subject of this email "Minutes of a meeting with Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy on 4 July 2012"?  A. That's the title of the email, but I have absolutely no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the corner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> <li>Q. Well there are just one or two I would just like to try and take you to to see if you can help us with.  The first mention I have found in this bundle of an application for life insurance by Mr Perepilichnyy is on page 149. Can you have a look at that, please. When</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance — I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.  And then Jonathan would take it forward from there.  Q. Is the subject of this email "Minutes of a meeting with Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy on 4 July 2012"?  A. That's the title of the email, but I have absolutely no recollection of the event at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> <li>Q. Well there are just one or two I would just like to try and take you to to see if you can help us with.  The first mention I have found in this bundle of an application for life insurance by Mr Perepilichnyy is on page 149. Can you have a look at that, please. When I say the first, the first chronologically, the first in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance — I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.  And then Jonathan would take it forward from there.  Q. Is the subject of this email "Minutes of a meeting with Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy on 4 July 2012"?  A. That's the title of the email, but I have absolutely no recollection of the event at all.  Q. Do you see there it says "present at the meeting were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the corner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> <li>Q. Well there are just one or two I would just like to try and take you to to see if you can help us with.  The first mention I have found in this bundle of an application for life insurance by Mr Perepilichnyy is on page 149. Can you have a look at that, please. When</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance — I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.  And then Jonathan would take it forward from there.  Q. Is the subject of this email "Minutes of a meeting with Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy on 4 July 2012"?  A. That's the title of the email, but I have absolutely no recollection of the event at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Sorry, 86 is</li> <li>Q. That's the bottom right-hand corner that</li> <li>A. Yes, got it.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Great. This is just to give you the context of what these documents are. As it explains there, a notice was issued by the coroner asking EFG to provide any material or information about applications made by Alexander Perepilichnyy with EFG for a life insurance policy or policies and then some other information.  What we're looking at here is EFG's response, so the documents they've provided in response to that.  Have you seen all of these documents before today?</li> <li>A. I was given the documents which were presumed to relate to me only and not the documents which weren't deemed to relate to me.</li> <li>Q. Well there are just one or two I would just like to try and take you to to see if you can help us with.  The first mention I have found in this bundle of an application for life insurance by Mr Perepilichnyy is on page 149. Can you have a look at that, please. When I say the first, the first chronologically, the first in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a call report and the call reports aren't in the file, so I don't know whether I was or wasn't.  I wasn't in the majority of the meetings regarding life insurance, no, so  Q. There's a note of one which you may have been or which some initials are. Could you have a look, please, at page 195.  A. Yes.  Q. It's an email, is this correct, dated 4 July, from Nicky Davies to Jonathan Benson.  A. Yes. So what would have happened, when a client comes in and wants life insurance I would ask Jonathan to join me in the meeting.  Q. Sorry could you repeat that, please, I couldn't hear?  A. I said if a client was to come in and discuss life insurance — as I said I can't discuss life insurance — I would ask Jonathan to come and join in the meeting.  And then Jonathan would take it forward from there.  Q. Is the subject of this email "Minutes of a meeting with Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy on 4 July 2012"?  A. That's the title of the email, but I have absolutely no recollection of the event at all.  Q. Do you see there it says "present at the meeting were

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

1	A. Yes. I mean, the answer is but that doesn't mean	1	Q. It could have happened even when you weren't there?
2	I was there for the entire meeting.	2	A. It could have happened if I was there, if I wasn't
3	Q. Of course. But that indicates you were there for at	3	there.
4	least some of the meeting, does it? I mean "LK" refers	4	MR STRAW: Thank you very much.
5	to you?	5	There's just one actually final area that in your
6	A. Is me, yes, absolutely.	6	statement when you're discussing this issue, the issue
7	Q. Brilliant. Can you see a little further down it says:	7	of what Alexander said to you was the purpose of the
8	"He is looking to take out life assurance for family	8	life insurance, which he was discussing with you back
9	protection purposes."	9	in January or February 2012. In paragraph 20 you say:
10	A. I have no clue why as I said, I don't know,	10	"As far as I can remember of that"
11	I didn't she is only the she was Jonathan's	11	Does that indicate that you were commenting on this
12	assistant, so she wasn't in the meeting either. So	12	from your recollection but without the aid of some
13	I have again, I don't know the wording, the context,	13	written note of what was said?
14	I wasn't copied into this email, so I couldn't have even	14	A. That's correct, yes.
15	corrected it if I wanted to.	15	Q. Okay. To be fair to you, you were writing this
16	Q. Do you recall him saying at that meeting, or do you	16	statement more than five years after these events?
17	recall whether you were present when he said at that	17	A. Yes.
18	meeting that the life assurance policy is for family	18	MR STRAW: Okay. Thank you very much.
19	protection?	19	MS BARTON: No questions. Thank you, sir.
20	A. No.	20	Questions from MR BEGGS
21	Q. It goes on:	21	MR BEGGS: Ms Kaye, I'm asking questions on behalf of the
22	"Although he is a wealthy man with assets in excess	22	widow, who you met a few times, I think?
23	of 20 million, he nevertheless requires some basic life	23	A. Yes.
24	cover of 2 million for 30 years in the event of his	24	Q. Could you go back to the miscellaneous bundle that
25	premature death."	25	Mr Straw was taking you to, to page 122 first of all,
	Page 97		Page 99
l 1	A Vec I can read that	1 1	nlease
1 2	A. Yes, I can read that.  O. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about	1 2	please.
2	Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about	2	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?	2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li><li>A. No. No, not at all.</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li><li>A. No. No, not at all.</li><li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.  Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li><li>A. No. No, not at all.</li><li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes.  Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:     "You require cover for family protection in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:  "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:     "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."     Then under "Recommendations" it says:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as volatile. Do we see an example of that because one of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:  "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."  Then under "Recommendations" it says:  "During our meeting of 4 July you confirmed that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as volatile. Do we see an example of that because one of the properties he bought is reported to have been worth</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:  "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."  Then under "Recommendations" it says:  "During our meeting of 4 July you confirmed that your main concern was to provide cover for your family</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as volatile. Do we see an example of that because one of the properties he bought is reported to have been worth 10 million. Do you see? It's the penultimate paragraph</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:  "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."  Then under "Recommendations" it says:  "During our meeting of 4 July you confirmed that your main concern was to provide cover for your family in the event of your premature death."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as volatile. Do we see an example of that because one of the properties he bought is reported to have been worth 10 million. Do you see? It's the penultimate paragraph on that page. Do you see that at the bottom?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:  "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."  Then under "Recommendations" it says:  "During our meeting of 4 July you confirmed that your main concern was to provide cover for your family in the event of your premature death."</li> <li>A. Again, I wasn't — I don't remember that because it's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as volatile. Do we see an example of that because one of the properties he bought is reported to have been worth 10 million. Do you see? It's the penultimate paragraph on that page. Do you see that at the bottom?</li> <li>THE CORONER: You might have black lines in yours.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:  "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."  Then under "Recommendations" it says:  "During our meeting of 4 July you confirmed that your main concern was to provide cover for your family in the event of your premature death."</li> <li>A. Again, I wasn't I don't remember that because it's something that doesn't relate to my line of work,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as volatile. Do we see an example of that because one of the properties he bought is reported to have been worth 10 million. Do you see? It's the penultimate paragraph on that page. Do you see that at the bottom?</li> <li>THE CORONER: You might have black lines in yours.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do. I don't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:  "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."  Then under "Recommendations" it says:  "During our meeting of 4 July you confirmed that your main concern was to provide cover for your family in the event of your premature death."</li> <li>A. Again, I wasn't I don't remember that because it's something that doesn't relate to my line of work, I would have let Jonathan conduct the meeting to have the conversation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as volatile. Do we see an example of that because one of the properties he bought is reported to have been worth 10 million. Do you see? It's the penultimate paragraph on that page. Do you see that at the bottom?</li> <li>THE CORONER: You might have black lines in yours.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do. I don't</li> <li>THE CORONER: The second figure is bigger than the first. MR BEGGS: Thank you, sir.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Do you recall him explaining that he was concerned about premature death?</li> <li>A. No. No, not at all.</li> <li>Q. The last document then, please, and it's the same meeting. Could you go over to page 197.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see this is a letter dated 31 July 2012 and if you flip over the page you can see it's from Jonathan Benson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Again. Then back on 197, "Present position and objectives", do you see that section?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. There's a bullet point towards the bottom:  "You require cover for family protection in the event of your untimely death."  Then under "Recommendations" it says:  "During our meeting of 4 July you confirmed that your main concern was to provide cover for your family in the event of your premature death."</li> <li>A. Again, I wasn't I don't remember that because it's something that doesn't relate to my line of work, I would have let Jonathan conduct the meeting to have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your statement that you gave to Mr Suter, you described Alexander as a lovely gentle man who you always felt was being honest with you, would you agree with me he was also conspicuously an intelligent man?</li> <li>A. A very intelligent man.</li> <li>Q. Very intelligent, it perhaps is reflected in the report at the bottom of 122 because his investment in Gazprom resulted in an eight-fold increase in his investment, didn't it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Certainly on that particular deal he made many millions of pounds, didn't he?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You were indicating earlier to one of my colleagues that Moscow property prices might perhaps be described as volatile. Do we see an example of that because one of the properties he bought is reported to have been worth 10 million. Do you see? It's the penultimate paragraph on that page. Do you see that at the bottom?</li> <li>THE CORONER: You might have black lines in yours.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do. I don't</li> <li>THE CORONER: The second figure is bigger than the first.</li> </ul>

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

1	Could you turn over the page. This report,	1	Q. That's a perfectly commonplace situation, isn't it
2	I think if I'm wrong do tell me is based initially	2	you're nodding even before I finish the question, it
3	I think on something written in 2003 and is updated.	3	plainly is, isn't it?
4	A. That's correct.	4	A. (Nods)
5	Q. Yes. We can see, can't we, and indeed you say this in	5	Q. Can I ask you, please, to look at page 100 in that
6	your statement, that there had been interest by this	6	miscellaneous bundle. You've been taken to this but for
7	couple moving to England in relation to the education of	7	reasons that will emerge in a moment, and very briefly,
8	their children?	8	I want to reiterate some of the points that have been
9	A. That's correct.	9	made.
10	Q. It's both recorded there, and I think that's your	10	Paragraph 2.23, in December 2009 your due diligence
11	recollection, isn't it, that one of their reasons was to	11	agents found no reason to cast any doubt on Alexander's
12	come to the very good schools that England offers?	12	probity?
13	A. The main reason, yes.	13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. The main reason, thank you. As is recorded on that same	14	Q. This was, I think you described to the learned coroner,
15	page, 123, the widow's entire and sole source of income	15	an enhanced due diligence exercise?
16	was her husband?	16	A. That's correct.
17	A. That was always our understanding, yes.	17	Q. In fact, as Mr Skelton briefly dealt with, the bullet
18	Q. Yes. Your understanding reflected in your witness	18	points which start at the bottom of that page reveal
19	statement is that as early as 2003 the deceased was	19	that Proximal, your agents, had investigated
20	considering buying a property in London.	20	Mr Perepilichnyy with a range of governmental bodies in
21	A. That's correct, yes.	21	the United States, Canada, England and so forth?
22	Q. Thank you. You knew him, I think, from towards the end	22	A. That's correct.
23	of 2009 all the way up to his death in November 2012?	23	Q. Including the FBI and the CIA?
24	A. Correct.	24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. You met him three or four times a year, I think?	25	Q. This is the reality, isn't it, that if there had been
23	Q. Tou met min three or rour times a year, I timik!	23	Q. This is the reality, isn't it, that if there had been
	Page 101		Page 103
1	A. Yes.	1	any hint of impropriety, you wouldn't have been doing
1 2	A. Yes. Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?	2	business with him?
2 3	<ul><li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li><li>A. Mm-hmm.</li></ul>	2 3	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li><li>A. Mm-hmm.</li><li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that</li></ul>	2 3 4	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.
2 3	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li><li>A. Mm-hmm.</li><li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes — well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes — well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes — well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>business with him?</li> <li>A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.</li> <li>Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?</li> <li>A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.</li> <li>Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes — well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>business with him?</li> <li>A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.</li> <li>Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?</li> <li>A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.</li> <li>Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Based on, I would respectfully suggest, very thorough investigations?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Always charming, never difficult?</li> <li>A. That's correct, and always seemed very forthcoming at the time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?  A. That's correct.  Q. Based on, I would respectfully suggest, very thorough investigations?  A. Well I would like to think so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Always charming, never difficult?</li> <li>A. That's correct, and always seemed very forthcoming at the time.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Your recollection, as Mr Straw just dealt with,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?  A. That's correct.  Q. Based on, I would respectfully suggest, very thorough investigations?  A. Well I would like to think so, yes.  Q. You would like to think so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Always charming, never difficult?</li> <li>A. That's correct, and always seemed very forthcoming at the time.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Your recollection, as Mr Straw just dealt with, was that the purpose of the life insurance or at least</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>business with him?</li> <li>A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.</li> <li>Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?</li> <li>A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.</li> <li>Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Based on, I would respectfully suggest, very thorough investigations?</li> <li>A. Well I would like to think so, yes.</li> <li>Q. You would like to think so, yes.</li> <li>I think you said that, notwithstanding the remarks</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes — well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Always charming, never difficult?</li> <li>A. That's correct, and always seemed very forthcoming at the time.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Your recollection, as Mr Straw just dealt with, was that the purpose of the life insurance or at least one such person was to cover any shortfall on a property</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?  A. That's correct.  Q. Based on, I would respectfully suggest, very thorough investigations?  A. Well I would like to think so, yes.  Q. You would like to think so, yes.  I think you said that, notwithstanding the remarks made by Mr Moxon Browne to you about the FCA or FSA's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Always charming, never difficult?</li> <li>A. That's correct, and always seemed very forthcoming at the time.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Your recollection, as Mr Straw just dealt with, was that the purpose of the life insurance or at least</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?  A. That's correct.  Q. Based on, I would respectfully suggest, very thorough investigations?  A. Well I would like to think so, yes.  Q. You would like to think so, yes.  I think you said that, notwithstanding the remarks made by Mr Moxon Browne to you about the FCA or FSA's investigation, Mr Perepilichnyy's account was left
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes — well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Always charming, never difficult?</li> <li>A. That's correct, and always seemed very forthcoming at the time.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Your recollection, as Mr Straw just dealt with, was that the purpose of the life insurance or at least one such person was to cover any shortfall on a property</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?  A. That's correct.  Q. Based on, I would respectfully suggest, very thorough investigations?  A. Well I would like to think so, yes.  Q. You would like to think so, yes.  I think you said that, notwithstanding the remarks made by Mr Moxon Browne to you about the FCA or FSA's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. You met him about a dozen or more times?</li> <li>A. Mm-hmm.</li> <li>Q. In his more recent times, it was clear, wasn't it, that he was very interested in purchasing a property in London?</li> <li>A. Yes — well, in St George's Hill.</li> <li>Q. Exactly, by "London" I include rather loose geography. The sum concerned seems to have extended to up to perhaps 10 million?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes. You say in your statement provided to Mr Suter, solicitor to this Inquest, that you had no suspicions about Alexander at all?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That he never appeared stressed to you?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Always charming, never difficult?</li> <li>A. That's correct, and always seemed very forthcoming at the time.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Your recollection, as Mr Straw just dealt with, was that the purpose of the life insurance or at least one such person was to cover any shortfall on a property he might buy, whether it be sold or not?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	business with him?  A. If anything had come up on this report we would have stopped the process at that point.  Q. Yes. The position in December 2009 is of course kept under review, isn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. EFG don't just, as it were, take a snapshot in time and then forget about due diligence. They continue?  A. Yes, as we can see because apart from anything else, he then got signed up by the IFA department and the IFA area compliance would speak to the bank's compliance.  Q. Yes. We can take it, can't we, that between December 2009 and his death in November 2012 EFG were entirely satisfied that the deceased was a man of honesty and probity?  A. That's correct.  Q. Based on, I would respectfully suggest, very thorough investigations?  A. Well I would like to think so, yes.  Q. You would like to think so, yes.  I think you said that, notwithstanding the remarks made by Mr Moxon Browne to you about the FCA or FSA's investigation, Mr Perepilichnyy's account was left

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

1	A. It wasn't closed down and in addition to that it never	1	him, you stand by what you say in this statement to the
2	came up as a concern when we were going through the FCA	2	learned coroner, don't you?
3	review.	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Thank you.	4	MR BEGGS: Yes.
5	He not only survived two and a half/three years of	5	Thank you very much.
6	enhanced due diligence but he survived anything raised	6	THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed.
7	by the independent oversight body for banking?	7	A. Thank you.
8	A. That's correct.	8	THE CORONER: I think we better press on, because we've got
9	Q. Just a final topic. A number of media reports were put	9	plenty to go haven't we.
10	to you by Mr Skelton, the gentleman on my right. It	10	MR SKELTON: In that case it will be Mr Seear, I think,
11	obliges me to ask you whether you accept this based on	11	next.
12	your expertise and experience working with Russians,	12	DS MICHAEL SEEAR (sworn)
13	amongst others, that media reporting may or may not be	13	Questions from MR SKELTON
14	accurate?	14	MR SKELTON: Mr Seear, could you give your full name to the
15	A. That's also correct, there's something called Kompromat.	15	court, please.
16	Q. Yes, it's a pretty obvious proposition, I scarcely need	16	A. Yes, my name is Michael Seear. I'm a Detective Sergeant
17	put it to you, and indeed we have seen it already in	17	with Surrey Police.
18	this Inquest only three days in. But inaccurate media	18	THE CORONER: You are going to have to turn the volume up,
19	reporting is particularly prevalent isn't it involving	19	this is a huge room and those I'm afraid won't amplify.
20	Russian people, because it's exciting stuff for the	20	Just so everybody right at the back can hear you.
21	media isn't it?	21	A. Yes, I'm Michael Seear, I'm a detective sergeant with
22	A. That's correct.	22	Surrey Police.
23	Q. You would also accept I think, and I think you alluded	23	MR SKELTON: Thank you.
24	to it in relation to one gentleman, that some persons	24	You should have a bundle in front of you called
25	that speak to the media may have their own agenda?	25	"hearing bundle witnesses".
	D 405		D 407
	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. That's correct.	1	A. I do.
2	Q. Whether it be political, financial or whatever?	2	Q. Could you open that, please, and go to tab 13. There
3	A. Yes.	3	you should see an officer's report dated
4	Q. That can fuel inaccuracy, can't it?	4	21 December 2012.
5	A. Yes.	5	A. I do.
6	Q. I say this in a neutral fashion, Mr Browder plainly has	6	Q. It's from you to the SIO of Operation Daphne.
7	an agenda, doesn't he?	7	A. That's correct, yes.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. Operation Daphne was the investigation into
9	Q. You know what that agenda is in part?	9	Mr Perepilichnyy's death?
10	A. In part, yes.	10	A. Yes it was, yes.
11	Q. Leaving aside what you may have read in newspapers, the	11	Q. The report runs to four pages, I think, and your name
			ammana at the and of it an mass 000
12	position that you leave this court with is that	12	appears at the end of it on page 92?
12 13	position that you leave this court with is that  Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity	12	appears at the end of it on page 92?  A. That's correct, yes.
		1	
13	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity	13	A. That's correct, yes.
13 14	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?	13 14	<ul><li>A. That's correct, yes.</li><li>Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two</li></ul>
13 14 15	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is	13 14 15	<ul><li>A. That's correct, yes.</li><li>Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just</li></ul>
13 14 15 16	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —	13 14 15 16	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last
13 14 15 16 17	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?	13 14 15 16 17	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another
13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?  A. Yes.	13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another one starting on page 226, which is from the FLOs.  THE CORONER: I have four pages
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?  A. Yes.  Q. But that of course you have accepted may not be	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another one starting on page 226, which is from the FLOs.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?  A. Yes.  Q. But that of course you have accepted may not be accurate?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another one starting on page 226, which is from the FLOs.  THE CORONER: I have four pages  MR SKELTON: Maybe I have some interposed pages.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?  A. Yes.  Q. But that of course you have accepted may not be accurate?  A. Yes, I mean I don't know.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another one starting on page 226, which is from the FLOs.  THE CORONER: I have four pages  MR SKELTON: Maybe I have some interposed pages.  THE CORONER: Page 4 of 4, do you have that?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?  A. Yes.  Q. But that of course you have accepted may not be accurate?  A. Yes, I mean I don't know.  Q. Just because it's repeated in the media does not make it	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another one starting on page 226, which is from the FLOs.  THE CORONER: I have four pages  MR SKELTON: Maybe I have some interposed pages.  THE CORONER: Page 4 of 4, do you have that?  MR SKELTON: Page 92 is the last page.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?  A. Yes.  Q. But that of course you have accepted may not be accurate?  A. Yes, I mean I don't know.  Q. Just because it's repeated in the media does not make it accurate, no?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another one starting on page 226, which is from the FLOs.  THE CORONER: I have four pages  MR SKELTON: Maybe I have some interposed pages.  THE CORONER: Page 4 of 4, do you have that?  MR SKELTON: Page 92 is the last page.  A. That's correct, yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?  A. Yes.  Q. But that of course you have accepted may not be accurate?  A. Yes, I mean I don't know.  Q. Just because it's repeated in the media does not make it accurate, no?  A. It doesn't mean —  Q. As we know from the past. Based on your experience of	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another one starting on page 226, which is from the FLOs.  THE CORONER: I have four pages  MR SKELTON: Maybe I have some interposed pages.  THE CORONER: Page 4 of 4, do you have that?  MR SKELTON: Page 92 is the last page.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Thank you.  Is that report true to the best of your knowledge
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Alexander Perepilichnyy was a man of honesty, probity and decency so far as you could ever see?  A. Until the point when he passed away, and the problem is that obviously —  Q. You're influenced by what you have read afterwards?  A. Yes.  Q. But that of course you have accepted may not be accurate?  A. Yes, I mean I don't know.  Q. Just because it's repeated in the media does not make it accurate, no?  A. It doesn't mean —	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. In fact it's I have run into, I think there are two reports that run into each other on this so, sorry, just to clarify, there's a report that finishes, the last page of which we have on page 91. Then we have another one starting on page 226, which is from the FLOs.  THE CORONER: I have four pages  MR SKELTON: Maybe I have some interposed pages.  THE CORONER: Page 4 of 4, do you have that?  MR SKELTON: Page 92 is the last page.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Thank you.

,	1		1 3
1	and belief?	1	A. Where I can, yes, depending on how busy I am.
2	A. Yes, it is.	2	Q. I understand. You had a conversation with
3	Q. Thank you. Overleaf, you can see under B some	3	Mark Nettlingham, is that the correct pronunciation?
4	handwritten notes. Are they yours?	4	A. That's correct, yes.
5	A. I'm sorry, where am I looking?	5	Q. He was the duty sergeant?
6	THE CORONER: Just go on and you will see the next tab has	6	A. So he would have been the response sergeant that would
7	"B" on it.	7	have gone to the scene first.
8	MR SKELTON: You should see some handwritten notes	8	Q. So he's not a detective?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Correct.
10	Q. They are your notes, are they?	10	Q. Okay. Your conversation is designed to do what? Why do
11	A. Yes.	11	you have a conversation with him?
12	Q. If you continue on all the way through to tab C, do you	12	A. His role would be to let me know the brief circumstances
13	see another this one is called an email from you	13	of what he's found at the scene, whether it's an
14	confirming SOCO didn't attend the scene, and that's been	14	incident that CID will ultimately investigate, because
15	sent to Karina Gill?	15	not all deaths require CID attendance or involvement.
		16	•
16	A. That's correct, yes.		So I would have spoken to him about what he's found at
17	Q. Thank you, and you recollect writing that, there's	17	the scene, his observations and ultimately whether
18	a series of emails between you and Ms Gill?	18	there's a need for CID.
19	A. Yes, it's quite some time now but I accept that was my	19	Q. Could you give me the sort of criteria that trigger your
20	series of emails.	20	engagement?
21	Q. Thank you.	21	A. Yes. So if there was any suspicion in his mind or his
22	Can I then start with your background. Were you	22	colleague's mind that something may not be all it seems
23	a detective sergeant at the time?	23	at the scene of a death, then his responsibility would
24	A. Yes, I was.	24	be to raise it with CID. So he almost passes the
25	Q. How long had you been a sergeant?	25	responsibility for investigation to us and then we will
	Page 109		Page 111
	rage 107		1 age 111
1	A. I've been a sergeant since 2005, so approximately seven	1	decide whether to come out to the scene.
2	years at that time.	2	Q. Does the fact that you had a conversation with him mean
3	Q. Had you had the opportunity to attend scenes of crime	3	that he thought something was suspicious or was it just
4	involving deceased victims before?	4	that you had become aware of things and wanted to talk
5	A. Yes, I had, yes.	5	to him in this case?
6	Q. On how many occasions roughly?	6	A. I think it's the latter.
7	A. I would say probably around 15, but that's a rough	7	Q. So you become aware of something and you speak to the
8	estimate based on my time as a sergeant.	8	duty Sergeant?
9	Q. You say in your officer's report that at about 5.20 pm	9	A. Yes, I can't remember who phoned who first but
10	you became aware of an incident over the police radio.	10	I certainly spoke to him over the phone and I think he
11	Why was it you that was getting the information?	11	would have known I was working that day and I've dealt
12	A. I was the duty CID Sergeant for that day.	12	with him before, so he would have thought to speak to
13	Q. Based?	13	me, if he did phone me first.
13		14	Q. Just lastly before we break, the information you would
	A. Based at Staines, so part of my role would be to listen	1	
15	out for incidents as they come in.  Of The guggestion initially was that there had been an	15	have at that stage, or had at that stage, was that it
16	Q. The suggestion initially was that there had been an	16	was a male deceased with no ID?
17	incident but it wasn't clear if the man was still alive	17	A. That's correct.
18	or had died?	18	Q. No obvious injuries?
19	A. That's correct.	19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Who radioed in to you?	20	Q. Do you mean by that "third party injuries"?
21	A. I think I overheard it, I overheard the communications	21	A. Yes. I mean, he may well have had injuries that were
22	on the radio. I don't think it was specifically to me,	22	consistent with how he came to be on the ground but the
23	it was the police radio in general.	23	suggestion was there were no injuries that would cause
24	Q. Is it your responsibility to listen out for things that	24	the response officers to fear that someone else had been
25	may engage the detectives?	25	involved in his death.
	D 440		D 442
	Page 110		Page 112
			28 (Pages 109 to 112)

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

1 Q. Nothing to suggest any third party involvement at that 1 Tuesday -- this is really for my own team probably -- it 2 2 would help me if we could take the lunch break between time. 3 A. That's correct. 3 12.40 and 1.40, just something that I would need to do 4 Q. What kind of things might suggest that? 4 but which I could do for 20 minutes at 12.40. That's 5 A. That could be quite simply that he could have been hit 5 Friday and Tuesday. 6 by a vehicle, which may have been an accident, may have 6 All right, thank you all. 7 7 not. He could have come to harm by someone for reasons (1.05 pm)8 8 (The short adjournment) unknown, for example a domestic incident or something 9 9 that we weren't aware of at the time. (2.11 pm)10 Q. Or a robbery, presumably? 10 MR SKELTON: DS Seear, turning to the steps that you 11 A. That's correct, that was one of the considerations. 11 initiated to investigate the death, you started 12 Q. And he had a phone on him? 12 investigation enquiries as far as they weren't already 13 13 A. He did, I later found out he had two phones on him. underway? Q. When did you find that out? 14 14 A. Sorry, I'm having trouble hearing you. 15 A. I found that he had one phone on him when I was still in 15 Q. You started enquiries to identify the deceased? 16 the office but when I got to the scene later on, I found 16 A. That's correct, yes. 17 that he actually had two iPhones. 17 Q. You checked that house to house was underway? 18 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 18 A. I did. 19 I wonder, sir, if we should leave it there for the 19 Q. And it was? 20 20 break A. It was, yes. 21 THE CORONER: We will leave off there, could you be very 2.1 Q. Then at 18.00 hours you have a conversation with -- it 22 22 careful not to talk to anybody about your evidence says TDCI, what's the "T"? 23 23 between now and 2.05 when we will begin again. A. He was a detective inspector, but at the time would have 24 Ms Hill, just before we break I was saying first 24 been a temporary detective chief inspector. 25 thing this morning that we can't start until 11.00 25 Q. Temporary Detective Chief Inspector Collwood? Page 113 Page 115 1 1 tomorrow and I wondered whether we might, just to look A. That's correct, yes. 2 at what we've got to do tomorrow, whether for example we 2 Q. Is he the sort of duty inspector at that time? 3 3 A. He would have been the duty CID inspector. might be able to sit on. I mean, if need be until as 4 4 Q. CID inspector, I see. At that stage, what did you late as 6.00 if everyone can manage -- I am just 5 mentioning it now because it probably breaches the right 5 communicate to him? 6 A. So, I would have told him the updates, the nature of the 6 to a family life, and it just gives people time, if it's 7 7 sort of incident we had, the updates that I had from the possible just to make any arrangements, if that can be 8 8 done. officers at the scene, the hypotheses that I had set 9 early on based on the limited information we had --That's one possibility. The other is that we might 10 only have half an hour at lunchtime because in these 10 Q. Just to stop there, just on page 90 you can see 11 proceedings at least we will have started late, not 11 hypotheses written down? 12 12 A. That's right. until 11.00 and obviously we would have to have as many 13 breaks as the stenographers needed, but I just suggested 13 Q. The most likely hypotheses, death following a fail to 14 14 stop road traffic collision, so a hit and run? that perhaps everybody could consult, and lunchtime 15 might be a good idea, just to see how much time we could 15 A. That's it, yes. 16 Q. Death as a result of third party involvement, so either 16 give ourselves tomorrow. Because obviously, as you 17 a murder or robbery that goes wrong? 17 know, what I want to try and achieve is the best 18 18 A. Yes, the worst-case scenario, yes. prospect of dealing with everything that we need to with 19 Mr Browder tomorrow if at all possible. Obviously 19 Q. Death due to natural causes? 20 trying to balance to keep to the timetable at the same 20 A. That's right, yes. 21 time as giving everybody the opportunity to deal with 21 Q. Heart attack, for example? 22 what they need. 22 A. Yes, so they would have been set based on the limited 23 23 information we had at that time but the idea is that as I will just leave that with everybody. 24 MS HILL: Thank you, sir, I'll take instructions. 24 you get more evidence, more information, you can review 25 25 THE CORONER: Then just before I forget, Friday and those and change those as necessary. Page 114 Page 116

1			
1	Q. You had your discussion with DCI Collwood. You	1	would be a full call-out with all of the resources as
2	suggested that SOCO and photographic should attend, why?	2	per a suspicious death?
3	A. So although at that stage we didn't think it was	3	A. That's correct, he was saying that it was either
4	suspicious, it was common practice I mean this is	4	suspicious or it wasn't. If it was not, and the
5	five years ago but it was common practice to invite	5	indications were at that stage that it wasn't, they
6	the SOCO and photographic to come to the scene, the idea	6	would not come out.
7	being was that when you had finished all of your	7	Q. Did you communicate your view that you didn't think it
8	enquiries and you wanted to do a physical search of the	8	was suspicious but it could become so?
9	body, you would want a SOCO there because if you were to	9	A. Absolutely, yes.
10	turn the body over and find that there was an injury	10	Q. Why do you think they resisted that?
11	that we hadn't seen up to that point, the SOCOs would	11	A. I wouldn't know. I know the SOCO was keen and happy to
12	already be in place with the appropriate suits and	12	come out, I think she was overruled.
13	gloves to be able to deal with it as a forensic	13	Q. Do you think there was a resources issue?
14	examination.	14	A. It may be, yes, I wasn't told why but that could be
15	Q. So conceivably, notwithstanding that the paramedic team	15	likely.
16	had been working on him for quite some time trying to	16	Q. Why didn't you insist?
17	resuscitate him, you could still have found something	17	A. I'm not their manager, I can only tell them what I have
18	which led it to being more highly suspicious?	18	at the scene and what I would like them to achieve if
19	A. It's possible, yes.	19	they turn up. If they choose not to attend I have no
20	Q. Did you feel that this was a suspicious death at this	20	power to make them attend.
21	stage?	21	Q. Had you said, "Yes, it's suspicious", hypothetically
22	A. No, absolutely not.	22	would that have triggered attendance, would they have to
23	Q. But it could have become so?	23	attend?
24	A. It could have, yes.	24	A. Yes, they would.
25	Q. Photographic?	25	Q. Could you not have done that?
			,
	Page 117		Page 119
1	A. Well photographic we don't have them any more but at	1	A. Dut that wouldn't have been connect because at that
2	A. Well photographic, we don't have them any more but at the time they would have come out to take photographs of	1 2	A. But that wouldn't have been correct because at that stage it wasn't suspicious.
2		1 4	Stage II wasii I susdicious.
2	the scene the position of the body accombing the body	2	•
3	the scene, the position of the body, accepting the body	3	Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some
4	would have been moved before they would have turned up.	4	Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.
4 5	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that?	4 5	<ul><li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.</li><li>You did start to do some research. Were you just</li></ul>
4 5 6	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did.	4 5 6	Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?
4 5 6 7	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to	4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.</li> <li>You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?	4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.</li> <li>You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>would have been moved before they would have turned up.</li> <li>Q. Did they actually ever do that?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe they did.</li> <li>Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did, yes.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.</li> <li>You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend? A. Yes, he did, yes. Q. So you called them?	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course. You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend? A. Yes, he did, yes. Q. So you called them? A. I believe it would have been me, yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course. You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend? A. Yes, he did, yes. Q. So you called them? A. I believe it would have been me, yes. Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course. You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him? </li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend? A. Yes, he did, yes. Q. So you called them? A. I believe it would have been me, yes. Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course. You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him? </li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems. Q. So it says:</li></ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend? A. Yes, he did, yes. Q. So you called them? A. I believe it would have been me, yes. Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course. You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him? </li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems. Q. So it says: "I learned that open source checks had revealed"</li></ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course. You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him? </li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems. Q. So it says: "I learned that open source checks had revealed" Someone else has done them?</li></ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?  A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?  A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photographic unit and SOCOs would have had	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend? A. Yes, he did, yes. Q. So you called them? A. I believe it would have been me, yes. Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together? A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photographic unit and SOCOs would have had the appropriate photography equipment to come and do	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> <li>A. They told me that the gentlemen we believed we had was</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would have been moved before they would have turned up. Q. Did they actually ever do that? A. No, I don't believe they did. Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend? A. Yes, he did, yes. Q. So you called them? A. I believe it would have been me, yes. Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together? A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photography equipment to come and do just that.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> <li>A. They told me that the gentlemen we believed we had was a Russian financier.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?  A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photography equipment to come and do just that.  Q. She said she's going to discuss it with a senior SOCO	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> <li>A. They told me that the gentlemen we believed we had was a Russian financier.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?  A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photographic unit and SOCOs would have had the appropriate photography equipment to come and do just that.  Q. She said she's going to discuss it with a senior SOCO person, and will call you back. Then she calls you at	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> <li>A. They told me that the gentlemen we believed we had was a Russian financier.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. No, that was all I was told.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?  A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photographic unit and SOCOs would have had the appropriate photography equipment to come and do just that.  Q. She said she's going to discuss it with a senior SOCO person, and will call you back. Then she calls you at 18.30 and the push back you get at that stage is the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> <li>A. They told me that the gentlemen we believed we had was a Russian financier.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. No, that was all I was told.</li> <li>Q. Does not the fact that a wealthy Russian financier</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?  A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photographic unit and SOCOs would have had the appropriate photography equipment to come and do just that.  Q. She said she's going to discuss it with a senior SOCO person, and will call you back. Then she calls you at 18.30 and the push back you get at that stage is the on-call senior SOCO, who's from Sussex Police, had made	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> <li>A. They told me that the gentlemen we believed we had was a Russian financier.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. No, that was all I was told.</li> <li>Q. Does not the fact that a wealthy Russian financier suddenly dropping dead on a private estate render his</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?  A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photographic unit and SOCOs would have had the appropriate photography equipment to come and do just that.  Q. She said she's going to discuss it with a senior SOCO person, and will call you back. Then she calls you at 18.30 and the push back you get at that stage is the on-call senior SOCO, who's from Sussex Police, had made a decision that they would only agree to attend if you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> <li>A. They told me that the gentlemen we believed we had was a Russian financier.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. No, that was all I was told.</li> <li>Q. Does not the fact that a wealthy Russian financier suddenly dropping dead on a private estate render his death suspicious automatically?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would have been moved before they would have turned up.  Q. Did they actually ever do that?  A. No, I don't believe they did.  Q. Did DCI Collwood agree with you about getting SOCO to attend?  A. Yes, he did, yes.  Q. So you called them?  A. I believe it would have been me, yes.  Q. I think if you look if you want to look at your report again, you spoke to Leonor Wyborn and explained the incident and request for attendance at the scene with photographic. Is SOCO and photographic together?  A. They used to be separate, but about this time they did away with the photographic unit and SOCOs would have had the appropriate photography equipment to come and do just that.  Q. She said she's going to discuss it with a senior SOCO person, and will call you back. Then she calls you at 18.30 and the push back you get at that stage is the on-call senior SOCO, who's from Sussex Police, had made	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. We will come on to whether you changed your mind at some point about that in due course.  You did start to do some research. Were you just using a police computer to Google him?</li> <li>A. So we would have had a RIO, which is a risk intelligence officer, that would have been based at the control room and I would have asked them to do what we call open source checks, so whatever we could get from open source on the internet about this individual, as well as internal police systems.</li> <li>Q. So it says:  "I learned that open source checks had revealed"  Someone else has done them?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What did they tell you?</li> <li>A. They told me that the gentlemen we believed we had was a Russian financier.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. No, that was all I was told.</li> <li>Q. Does not the fact that a wealthy Russian financier suddenly dropping dead on a private estate render his</li> </ul>

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

1	a habit of being ill and dying. The fact that he was	1	the road?
2	Russian would have made no difference. You have to go	2	A. Yes, he was.
3	where the evidence takes you, his nationality would have	3	Q. Did you check him?
4	been irrelevant.	4	A. Eventually we did, yes.
5	Q. You had that information which you spoke to DCI Collwood	5	Q. Did you satisfy yourself by your own visual inspection
6	about, did you? Did you tell him who he was?	6	that the death wasn't suspicious from a physical
7	A. Yes, I did. Yes.	7	perspective?
8	Q. You also discussed the SOCO decision?	8	A. I did, yes.
9	A. Yes, I did.	9	Q. How did you come to that conclusion?
10	Q. What was his reaction?	10	A. Mr Collwood and I examined the body in as much detail as
11	A. I believe his reaction was very similar to mine.	11	we could, but it was starting to get dark at that stage.
12	I haven't recorded what he said but I would be surprised	12	And I found that the man was dressed in running gear,
13	if it was anything different.	13	there were no obvious injuries that I could see that
14	Q. What you record here is you said to him DC	14	would suggest defensive wounds, that he tried to fight
15	Lawrence Burden who I think became the initial	15	someone off.
16	officer in charge of the investigation was en	16	Q. Like what?
17	route	17	A. So for example marks to the arms, stab wounds, anything
18	A. That's correct.	18	that would suggest third party involvement. There was
19	Q and you were going to await an update from him from	19	nothing that suggested that he had been that there
20	the scene and you agreed that DC Burden could raise any	20	had been any high impact, for example by a vehicle. It
21	concerns on attendance and DCI Collwood could decide	21	all pointed to a jogger collapsing at the side of the
22	whether a full call-out would take place?	22	road.
23	A. That's correct, yes.	23	Q. You mentioned at the beginning of your evidence that you
24	Q. However you both agreed to attend the scene	24	had been to scenes of sudden deaths before. Were you
25	irrespective?	25	familiar with the kind of signs that are associated with
	Page 121		Page 123
1	A TOTAL OF THE	,	. 1 . 1 . 40
1	A. That's right.	1	a violent death?
2	Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene	2	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first
2 3	Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?	2 3	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li><li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because</li></ul>	2 3 4	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li><li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What had you done in the meantime? Were you back in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before I arrived, so the body would not have been in the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What had you done in the meantime? Were you back in the Staines still in the Staines office?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before I arrived, so the body would not have been in the same position as when he was found.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What had you done in the meantime? Were you back in the Staines still in the Staines office?</li> <li>A. Yes, so I would have been writing notes down and trying</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before I arrived, so the body would not have been in the same position as when he was found.  Q. Moved from where? From the road?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What had you done in the meantime? Were you back in the Staines still in the Staines office?</li> <li>A. Yes, so I would have been writing notes down and trying to sort of not only look at the hypotheses but plan what</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before I arrived, so the body would not have been in the same position as when he was found.  Q. Moved from where? From the road?  A. Yes. I believe that he was seen — he was seen to by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What had you done in the meantime? Were you back in the Staines still in the Staines office?</li> <li>A. Yes, so I would have been writing notes down and trying to sort of not only look at the hypotheses but plan what resources we had, depending on how many witnesses we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before I arrived, so the body would not have been in the same position as when he was found.  Q. Moved from where? From the road?  A. Yes. I believe that he was seen — he was seen to by the ambulance at the road. It's possible they could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What had you done in the meantime? Were you back in the Staines — still in the Staines office?</li> <li>A. Yes, so I would have been writing notes down and trying to sort of not only look at the hypotheses but plan what resources we had, depending on how many witnesses we had, whether there are enough people at the scene to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before I arrived, so the body would not have been in the same position as when he was found.  Q. Moved from where? From the road?  A. Yes. I believe that he was seen — he was seen to by the ambulance at the road. It's possible they could have moved him to administer first aid. So I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What had you done in the meantime? Were you back in the Staines still in the Staines office?</li> <li>A. Yes, so I would have been writing notes down and trying to sort of not only look at the hypotheses but plan what resources we had, depending on how many witnesses we had, whether there are enough people at the scene to achieve the tasks and actions that I would have wanted.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before I arrived, so the body would not have been in the same position as when he was found.  Q. Moved from where? From the road?  A. Yes. I believe that he was seen — he was seen to by the ambulance at the road. It's possible they could have moved him to administer first aid. So I don't know what position the body was in before I got there, other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Is it unusual for you both to have attended the scene notwithstanding a detective going there?</li> <li>A. Not really, the reason I would have gone is because no one had actually seen this gentleman collapse. If someone had said that I saw him collapse it may have been different but at that time, because no one had evidenced him collapsing, we felt it was necessary to go out.</li> <li>Q. What were you going to go out to check?</li> <li>A. My intention would have been to approach with a detective's eye rather than a response officer's eye, to have a look, to review the decisions that had been made, to look at the body, look at whether there were any wounds, injuries, et cetera. To give a different perspective from a CID element.</li> <li>Q. You then end up arriving at the scene at 8.15 pm. What had you done in the meantime? Were you back in the Staines — still in the Staines office?</li> <li>A. Yes, so I would have been writing notes down and trying to sort of not only look at the hypotheses but plan what resources we had, depending on how many witnesses we had, whether there are enough people at the scene to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I am, yes. Unfortunately I have been the first detective on the scene of a murder previously, so I kind of knew what I would be looking for at the time.  Q. Are you able to distinguish between the signs that result from the ambulance crew's interference with Mr Perepilichnyy as they were trying to resuscitate him and a more suspicious third party interference?  A. Yes, I believe so. It's common for the ambulance crew to still be there when CID officers arrive but the conversation they would have had with the response officers and me would have been around whether they had any suspicions about the death.  Q. In terms of the position of Mr Perepilichnyy, can you recall where he was in the road and which way he was facing?  A. Well I'm aware that the body had been moved before I arrived, so the body would not have been in the same position as when he was found.  Q. Moved from where? From the road?  A. Yes. I believe that he was seen — he was seen to by the ambulance at the road. It's possible they could have moved him to administer first aid. So I don't know

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

Q. Did you understand he'd been running up a steep hill? 1 A. I believe that she was in the major crime team that were 2 2 dealing with the death at the time. A. Yes, I was aware he had been running up a very steep 3 3 Q. So she's a police officer? hill in a gully, which was the steep side of the gully, 4 if that's the right terminology to use. 4 A. Yes, she is. 5 5 Q. Under tab C you can see your email. It's this first Q. In your report, page 91, about two thirds of the way 6 paragraph I would like to ask you about, you say: 6 down, you say: 7 "To clarify, given the circumstances of what we were 7 "When I spoke with DC Burden ... I became aware that 8 facing, at the time I spoke with Adam Collwood and 8 one of the witnesses had seen a female on a mobile phone 9 in the same stretch of road where the deceased had later 9 suggested that, as in previous untimely deaths of this 10 been found. This female had been walking up the less 10 nature (ie not overtly sus [that means suspicious] but 11 not 100 per cent non-sus) SOCO and photographic should 11 steep incline in the general direction of where the 12 deceased was running from ie their paths would 12 attend. This would be to give us a second opinion from 13 13 eventually cross." a forensic point of view and to obtain good quality 14 A. That's right. 14 images far above the standard digital images we normally 15 Q. You again asked if house to house had been carried out 15 get." 16 where this female had been seen and was told that it 16 A. That's correct. 17 17 Q. That seems to be a slightly different test from the one already had but there were no leads. Did you ever 18 you were outlining before, where you have to say 18 manage to find out who that was? 19 A. No, although I didn't have anymore to do with the 19 "suspicious" and then they have to attend. This seems 20 20 investigation after that day so whilst I was there and to be something in between, you cannot rule out either 21 involved, no, I didn't. 21 suspicious or non-suspicious and so SOCO ought to 22 attend? 22 Q. Did you think that the disappearance of the woman was in 23 23 A. Yes, well previously where there was that grey area itself suspicious in the circumstances? 24 A. Not at all, I mean it's a residential area. The fact 24 where we were fairly satisfied it was not suspicious, 25 that someone was walking along a road on its own 25 they would come out, they would come out and assist, Page 125 Page 127 1 1 wouldn't have been suspicious. effectively, but on this occasion they weren't or they 2 Q. You had a discussion with DCI Collwood and you can see 2 3 3 that starts at the bottom of that page, 91, and goes Q. You couldn't insist, for the reasons you've given --4 into the next page. You both appear to have concluded 4 A. No, I have had this discussion before. I don't manage 5 5 the SOCO staff. If they don't come out, they don't come 6 "All the indications at the scene were that in the 6 out. 7 absence of anything to suggest otherwise the death was 7 Q. Can I just ask you to look at -- there's the incident 8 log, which you'll see under 15B. It starts at page 109, 8 deemed not to be suspicious and instructions were given 9 Q so that's the incident log but the bit I would like you for the body to be removed."? A. That's correct, yes. 10 10 to look at is at page 115, going into 116. 11 Q. Was there still some concern about the lack of SOCO 11 It's a difficult document to decipher who's written 12 attendance? I notice that you subsequently come to 12 it. Can you help with that? If you look at the entries 13 write an email about it -- is that unusual to have to 13 towards the bottom of page 115, there's a lot of --14 14 there's bits about CPR, the location, lack of injuries, sort of query why they didn't turn up? 15 A. My view at the time was that the decision had been made 15 preservation of the scene. Would you have written all and we just need to progress the investigation. I think of this? 16 16 17 17 it was only some weeks later that I was asked to provide A. No. 18 the report that you've referred to, and specifically to 18 THE CORONER: I mean it says doesn't it if we go to 19 19 describe the detail that I had, or the dialogue I had, page 109, it says it's printed from the CAD browser, so 20 with the SOCO. 20 the CAD will be being completed will it and updated as 21 I can only take it that the reason for that was that 21 the incident goes on? 22 22 someone else involved in the investigation wanted to A. Yes, it would have been completed by the control room 23 23 take that up with the appropriate managers. 24 Q. Just looking at the email you sent to Karina Gill -- who 24 THE CORONER: This isn't itself actually a CAD message, is 25 25 it, the document isn't but this looks like it's a print is Karina Gill by the way? Page 126 Page 128

1	of it, doesn't it? I just don't know, but that's what	1	Q. "MOP"?
2	it says.	2	A. Member of the public.
3	A. That's correct, yes.	3	Q. "Attempted CPR. At this point ambulance have been in
4	Where it says "NTH"	4	location no sign of external injury, we will treat as
5	THE CORONER: What page are you on?	5	a scene at this time."
6	A. Sorry, page 115, sir, where halfway down it says "NTH"	6	A "scene"? Meaning what?
7	I would suggest that's probably "north", which is the	7	A. So potentially the gentleman himself can be a crime
8	northern desk for north Surrey.	8	scene, within a crime scene.
9	THE CORONER: In the ordinary way, it will be information	9	Q. Then:
10	being logged. So for example people call in and someone	10	"NTH [north] scene preserved and logs created NT21
11	will make an entry on the record?	11	received the above update."
12	A. Absolutely, yes, sir, yes.	12	Then overleaf, this is the critical bit:
13	THE CORONER: That's possibly what this is, isn't it?	13	"This is an untimely death which should be treated
14	MS BARTON: Can I indicate, because it's in a slightly	14	as suspicious until shown otherwise. If there is the
15	different format. It's the HOLMES copy of the CAD	15	slightest doubt as to the circumstances of death, it
16	message.	16	should be treated as suspicious.
17	THE CORONER: Right, there we are.	17	"A detective inspector will be responsible for the
18	MR SKELTON: Do different people have access to this system	18	effective management of any suspicious death on the
19	and do all their communications get fed into it?	19	division."
20	A. The iCAD, as we call it, would only be updated by the	20	That, again, just going back to your division of
21	control room but it would be based on updates from the	21	or understanding or analysis of the scene, untimely
22	scene, which could be from me, Mr Collwood, the response	22	death, which should be the assumption is that's
23	officers. It's just a central log for all of the	23	suspicious until not? From this note.
24	decision making fast time to be recorded.	24	A. Yes. I mean this is a note that is put on all CADs by
25	Q. ND4 there's NT21?	25	the control room for any untimely death, but the reason
	Q. 113.1 MATERIAL		the control room for any untilities, death, but the reason
	Page 129		Page 131
1	A. NT21 is the sergeant, which I would suggest although	1	for it is to not assume that a death is not suspicious.
2	I cannot be sure — is probably Sergeant Nettlingham.	2	Which is why
3	ND4 is the 0would have been one of the area cars.	3	THE CORONER: Will that have been put on by the controller?
4	Q. What are you, are you on here?	4	A. That's correct.
5	A. I would have gone under my collar number, which was	5	THE CORONER: Or the operator, the CAD operator?
6	1865, but I can't see that on here.	6	A. That's correct, yes.
7	Q. I think PC Wilson we understand was ND4, I think, and	7	THE CORONER: So, what, if anybody's looking at it, they
8	PC Pasley.	8	have that reminder. Is that the thinking?
9	A. It's possible, yes, I take your word for that.	9	A. That's right, yes.
10	MS BARTON: There's an example, sir, just to assist, at	10	MR SKELTON: Can you just quickly look at page 130, please,
11	page 118 of 1865.	11	just to see the timing of when that went on? Do you
12	THE CORONER: Of 1865?	12	have that?
13	MS BARTON: Yes.	13	A. Yes, I do.
14	THE CORONER: Thank you, that's very helpful.	14	Q. This gives you an idea of when things actually went on
15	That's you then?	15	to the system I think, because it shows the timings on
16	A. Yes, it is.	16	the left hand columns
17	THE CORONER: This would be you providing that information	17	THE CORONER: And it shows us who's put them on, doesn't it,
18	and then the CAD operator putting it on the system?	18	the terminal
19	A. That's correct, yes, sir.	19	A. I do know that 2236 would have been the operations room
20	MR SKELTON: Thank you.	20	inspector, who would have put that on.
21	Just going down to that entry that starts ND4:	21	MR SKELTON: Right. So on 10 November at 17.42.10, 2236
22	"Male has been worked on by ambulance for one hour,	22	added that entry that I have just read out.
23	witness has spoken to MOP."	23	A. That's correct, yes.
23	•	23	Q. Doesn't that mean that this should have been treated as
25	Sorry back on page 115.  A. Yes.	25	a suspicious death and SOCO should have been told to
2.5	11. 103.	23	a suspicious doubl and socio should have been told to

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

1	attend?	1	Q. Then we had people who helped or tried to help, people
2	A. Well you have to go in with an open mind but the idea is	2	who called the emergency services
3	that, as you review the evidence at the scene, you	3	A. Yes.
4	consider the hypotheses and then what hypotheses you	4	Q but the actual moment of collapse was an unknown,
5	manage to look at and maybe put aside would leave you	5	wasn't it?
6	with the one hypothesis that in this case was remaining.	6	A. It was, yes.
7	So, yes, you have to consider that it could be	7	Q. Doesn't that give you some doubt in the circumstances?
8	a suspicious death, but that doesn't necessarily mean	8	A. Initially it would be something that you would need to
9	that it is a suspicious death.	9	consider, but as my involvement and the rest of the
10	THE CORONER: It means that is the position at, as it were,	10	team's involvement continued, it would have been clear
11	17.42	11	that that doesn't necessarily mean it's suspicious. It
12	A. Yes.	12	would have been nice to find someone that saw him
13	THE CORONER: and then the position may or may not change	13	collapse, but we didn't.
14	as time passes and information is discovered? May do?	14	Q. Is that because, as you said, he appeared to be jogging
15	A. That's right, otherwise every untimely death would be	15	and people die jogging?
16	treated as suspicious without any investigation.	16	A. They do.
17	MR SKELTON: Is it the case then that applying the test	17	Q. In your report, you say that you became aware of
18	which the inspector is asking to be applied, that by the	18	we're going back to tab A, the end of your report now,
19	time you were put in the position where you could	19	please. You became aware at a later date this is
20	determine it was suspicious or not, you didn't have the	20	page 92 of reports making allegations of
21	slightest doubt that this was a non-suspicious death?	21	Mr Perepilichnyy's involvement in giving evidence
22	A. Based on the evidence I had, that's correct.	22	against suspects in a Russian based fraud, and he was
23	Q. Well is that what you actually thought at the time,	23	apparently one of four persons who died and were linked
24	"I don't have any doubt at all that this isn't	24	to that fraud. When did you first become aware of that?
25	suspicious"?	25	A. I think it was a few days to a week later.
	•		·
	Page 133		Page 135
1	A Could Livet clarify are you talking at the end of my	1	O Did you give credence to that at the time?
1	A. Could I just clarify, are you talking at the end of my	1 2	Q. Did you give credence to that at the time?
2	involvement or when I first got involved?	2	A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and
2 3	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision	2 3	A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that
2 3 4	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the	2 3 4	A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.
2 3 4 5	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question	2 3 4 5	A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.      Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information
2 3 4 5 6	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question A. Yes, I did, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question A. Yes, I did, yes. MR SKELTON: You did? A. Yes.  Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question A. Yes, I did, yes. MR SKELTON: You did? A. Yes. Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body? A. Yes. Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question A. Yes, I did, yes. MR SKELTON: You did? A. Yes. Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body? A. Yes. Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind? A. Yes. Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question A. Yes, I did, yes.  MR SKELTON: You did? A. Yes.  Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body? A. Yes.  Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind? A. Yes.  Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew? A. Yes.  Q. Anything else? A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question A. Yes, I did, yes.  MR SKELTON: You did? A. Yes.  Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body? A. Yes.  Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind? A. Yes.  Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew? A. Yes.  Q. Anything else? A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response officers at the general scene to suggest that no one had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been committed in Russia, would you have then been able to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response officers at the general scene to suggest that no one had seen anything untoward.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been committed in Russia, would you have then been able to say, "I don't have the slightest doubt this is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response officers at the general scene to suggest that no one had seen anything untoward.</li> <li>Q. What about the fact that there wasn't a witness who had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been committed in Russia, would you have then been able to say, "I don't have the slightest doubt this is non-suspicious"?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response officers at the general scene to suggest that no one had seen anything untoward.</li> <li>Q. What about the fact that there wasn't a witness who had actually seen the moment of collapse? You had witnesses</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been committed in Russia, would you have then been able to say, "I don't have the slightest doubt this is non-suspicious"?</li> <li>A. No, I would have stopped everything and referred it to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response officers at the general scene to suggest that no one had seen anything untoward.</li> <li>Q. What about the fact that there wasn't a witness who had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been committed in Russia, would you have then been able to say, "I don't have the slightest doubt this is non-suspicious"?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response officers at the general scene to suggest that no one had seen anything untoward.</li> <li>Q. What about the fact that there wasn't a witness who had actually seen the moment of collapse? You had witnesses who had seen just before, Mr Elias for example and others.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been committed in Russia, would you have then been able to say, "I don't have the slightest doubt this is non-suspicious"?</li> <li>A. No, I would have stopped everything and referred it to Mr Collwood and suggested that this is not what it seems to be.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	involvement or when I first got involved?  Q. During the period you had to make that decision THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question A. Yes, I did, yes.  MR SKELTON: You did? A. Yes.  Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body? A. Yes.  Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind? A. Yes.  Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew? A. Yes.  Q. Anything else? A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response officers at the general scene to suggest that no one had seen anything untoward.  Q. What about the fact that there wasn't a witness who had actually seen the moment of collapse? You had witnesses who had seen just before, Mr Elias for example and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been committed in Russia, would you have then been able to say, "I don't have the slightest doubt this is non-suspicious"?</li> <li>A. No, I would have stopped everything and referred it to Mr Collwood and suggested that this is not what it seems</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>involvement or when I first got involved?</li> <li>Q. During the period you had to make that decision</li> <li>THE CORONER: Did you come to that view I think is the question</li> <li>A. Yes, I did, yes.</li> <li>MR SKELTON: You did?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. To clarify, that's on the basis of your attendance at the scene and your inspection of the body?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The lack of witness evidence as to third party involvement of any kind?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what you had been told by the ambulance crew?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. I would imagine the enquiries made by the response officers at the general scene to suggest that no one had seen anything untoward.</li> <li>Q. What about the fact that there wasn't a witness who had actually seen the moment of collapse? You had witnesses who had seen just before, Mr Elias for example and others.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I didn't, because no one had spoken to me about that and at first I didn't connect it was the same incident that I'd been to.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone take steps to find out if that information was available on the day of death?</li> <li>A. Well I asked, I asked for open source checks and intelligence checks to be done but what checks they did I can't be sure because I'm not in the control room, but I certainly asked for all the checks we could get.</li> <li>Q. You got the results but you don't know the process? Or the searches.</li> <li>A. Yes, I mean I don't know what systems they used but what they give me is what they give me and I can only make an assessment based on the evidence that I'm given.</li> <li>Q. If you had been aware of that kind of reporting, that it appeared to be the death of a whistleblower, a serious whistleblower, about a crime that may have been committed in Russia, would you have then been able to say, "I don't have the slightest doubt this is non-suspicious"?</li> <li>A. No, I would have stopped everything and referred it to Mr Collwood and suggested that this is not what it seems to be.</li> </ul>

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

1	A. Yes, it might not be but that would have given us	1 .	A. Visually not much, but if there is something that their
2	a different line of enquiry to look at.	2	experience suggests is not normal, for example a bruise
3	MR SKELTON: Do you think on that basis you would likely	3	in the wrong part of the body given that a man has
4	have concluded that for the purposes of SOCO this was	4	fallen out running and perhaps it's in a part of the
5	a suspicious death and they needed to attend?	5	body that's unusual, they would probably point that out
6	A. I think had I known that information at the scene	6	and highlight that to us to say you need to be mindful
7	I probably would have phoned SOCO back or raised it	7	of the fact there is a bruise or an injury here.
8	upline as far as I could. Bearing in mind it was	8	But, as I said, at the time there was nothing
9	a Saturday, depending on who was available, but as	9	visible that suggested that at all.
10	I said I wasn't given that information.	10	THE CORONER: It's hard to think, isn't it, of what extra
11	Q. To clarify, SOCO never attended the scene. Is that	11	investigations they might have done, although certainly
12	correct?	12	had they found things obviously maybe the way they would
13	A. Not while I was there. I don't believe they did after	13	recover items and package them and so on. That
14	I left.	14	I suppose could be different but that does require there
15	Q. Had they attended the scene, in terms of the way	15	to be something that needs seizing, doesn't it?
16	evidence is gathered, what difference would that have	16	A. Yes, and I think from memory there wasn't anything that
17	made, just to clarify the basic work that they tend to	17	I can recall, unless I've written it down but I can't
18	do to secure and investigate a scene?	18	remember anything being found at the scene that was
19	A. Well, once the body had been turned, if there was	19	significant that would have taken us in a different
20	nothing visible or obvious to suggest that there was	20	direction.
21	third party involvement, I suspect we would have cleared		THE CORONER: What about photographs? Would they have taken
22	the scene anyway and waited for the postmortem.	22	any photographs of the area or the area with the body in
23	Q. To hypothesise, if Mr Perepilichnyy had been poisoned	23	it even if the body would have been moved? Would that
24	somehow	24	be the kind of thing they would do if they came.
25	A. Yes.		A. Yes, I mean I would have had a dialogue with them and
	14 163		
	Page 137		Page 139
1	Q absent finding signs of third party involvement to	1	suggested taking photographs from quite a way away,
1 2	<ul> <li>Q absent finding signs of third party involvement to administer that poison, would anything be done at the</li> </ul>		suggested taking photographs from quite a way away, working inwards towards the body and all parts of the
	Q absent finding signs of third party involvement to administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?	1 2 3	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the
2	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?	2 3	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.
2 3	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we	2 3 4	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?
2 3 4	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you	2 3 4 5	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.
2 3 4 5	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we	2 3 4 5 6	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene"
2 3 4 5 6	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain	2 3 4 5 6 7	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene"  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.
2 3 4 5 6 7	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.	2 3 4 5 6 7	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's more likely that we would then reconsider our search	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?  A. Yes, he, when we left, the idea was that he would submit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's more likely that we would then reconsider our search parameters, the size of the scene and we would probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?  A. Yes, he, when we left, the idea was that he would submit the paperwork to the coroner's office and would complete
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's more likely that we would then reconsider our search parameters, the size of the scene and we would probably go down a different road in terms of the enquiries we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?  A. Yes, he, when we left, the idea was that he would submit the paperwork to the coroner's office and would complete a coroner's file.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's more likely that we would then reconsider our search parameters, the size of the scene and we would probably go down a different road in terms of the enquiries we would make.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?  A. Yes, he, when we left, the idea was that he would submit the paperwork to the coroner's office and would complete a coroner's file.  Q. What further involvement did you have after your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's more likely that we would then reconsider our search parameters, the size of the scene and we would probably go down a different road in terms of the enquiries we would make.  Q. What kind of things, if you can give this example and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?  A. Yes, he, when we left, the idea was that he would submit the paperwork to the coroner's office and would complete a coroner's file.  Q. What further involvement did you have after your attendance at the scene, beyond what we have seen in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's more likely that we would then reconsider our search parameters, the size of the scene and we would probably go down a different road in terms of the enquiries we would make.  Q. What kind of things, if you can give this example and I appreciate it's hypothetical, can SOCO find that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?  A. Yes, he, when we left, the idea was that he would submit the paperwork to the coroner's office and would complete a coroner's file.  Q. What further involvement did you have after your attendance at the scene, beyond what we have seen in terms of the email about the SOCO issue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's more likely that we would then reconsider our search parameters, the size of the scene and we would probably go down a different road in terms of the enquiries we would make.  Q. What kind of things, if you can give this example and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?  A. Yes, he, when we left, the idea was that he would submit the paperwork to the coroner's office and would complete a coroner's file.  Q. What further involvement did you have after your attendance at the scene, beyond what we have seen in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	administer that poison, would anything be done at the scene to check that?  A. No, there's only so much you can do. And we are we are really bound by just visual clues at the scene, you know, the police are not medical experts, the SOCOs will come out, do what they can but there's only a certain amount of work you can do at the roadside.  Q. What about searching the scene? I understand evidence was given by one of the officers who was responsible for some searching, that the perimeter that was searched was pretty small, 10 metres or so. Do you think you would have likely searched the area or SOCO would have asked for searches to be conducted or do they maintain a smaller scene around the body?  A. They would tend I believe you would probably have to ask them, but I believe they would first concentrate on the body and if they found something suspicious it's more likely that we would then reconsider our search parameters, the size of the scene and we would probably go down a different road in terms of the enquiries we would make.  Q. What kind of things, if you can give this example and I appreciate it's hypothetical, can SOCO find that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working inwards towards the body and all parts of the body that we could.  MR SKELTON: Would they have taken clothing?  A. They wouldn't have removed clothing at the scene.  Q. When you say "at the scene" —  THE CORONER: They tend to come off at the postmortem.  A. They do, sir, yes.  I've never known them to remove clothing from a body at where — the location.  MR SKELTON: What about testing any objects like phones and things like that for a poison, for example?  A. I've never seen that but I think, again, it would be unusual to start doing those tests at the roadside.  They would probably want to take it to a sterile laboratory and do such tests away.  Q. The investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's death I think was taken over by a colleague of yours, DC Burden?  A. Yes, he, when we left, the idea was that he would submit the paperwork to the coroner's office and would complete a coroner's file.  Q. What further involvement did you have after your attendance at the scene, beyond what we have seen in terms of the email about the SOCO issue?

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

1	I put a comment on there, but after that day I had no	1	taken at all to make any contact with the premises?
2	more involvement.	2	A. Right, okay, well I did suggest that at the time, as per
3	Q. That's, I think, is that the comment that you make on	3	my notes but what I won't be aware of is everything else
4	page 92, which is about the identification issue?	4	that's going on at the scene and what the resources are.
5	A. That's correct, yes.	5	Q. If I may say so, sergeant, a very sensible suggestion.
6	Q. Yes. That isn't relevant to the circumstances of the	6	Would you have expected a notebook entry to be made of
7	death, is it?	7	what the occupants of that house had to say? Even if
8	A. No, it's not.	8	a formal statement wasn't taken?
9	Q. Any further involvement or any other information you	9	A. Absolutely yes.
10	have that might be relevant to how Mr Perepilichnyy	10	Q. You're aware that wasn't done?
11	might have died?	11	A. Sorry?
12	A. None at all.	12	Q. You're aware that wasn't done?
13	MR SKELTON: Thank you.	13	A. I am now, yes.
14	Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE	14	Q. In fact, apart from a formal statement about telephones,
15	MR MOXON BROWNE: Sergeant, would you look in the hearing	15	Mrs Perepilichnaya was never asked to give
16	bundle at page 93, which is, I think, your notebook.	16	a statement
17	Am I correct that this is your notebook? It seems	17	MS BARTON: That's not right, sir. She never gave
18	a slightly different format than some we have seen but	18	a statement, there's clear evidence that she was asked.
19	perhaps this is a sergeant's notebook, I don't know.	19	MR MOXON BROWNE: I am sorry, I was not aware of that.
20	A. This is called an investigator's notebook, that's	20	MS BARTON: It is in the papers.
21	commonly used by CID.	21	MR MOXON BROWNE: Perhaps we can look at the evidence about
22	Q. It's CID rather than uniform, perhaps?	22	being asked.
23	A. Correct, yes.	23	I'm sorry, I put that question on the wrong premise,
24	Q. Thank you.	24	apparently she was asked anyway, there is no
25	Then if we go forward to a passage you've been taken	25	statement as far as you know?
	Page 141	<u> </u>	Page 143
1	to on page 95 well first perhaps on page 94 under	1	A. If that's what you say, yes.
2	17.38, not a good prognosis, then at 17.38:	2	Q. It's not what I say, but what Ms Barton
3	"Advised that male is now deceased.	3	THE CORONER: If someone won't give one
4	"No ID on him. No obvious injuries consistent with	4	A. I'm not aware whether she refused or not.
5	third party involvement and they have found a mobile on	5	THE CORONER: But if someone refuses
6	him with an email to: Alexander Perepilichnyy [and then	6	A. We cannot make them give a statement.
7	the address] have agreed that enquiries are made at	7	MR MOXON BROWNE: No.
	this address."	8	Then going forward to 18.30, 6.30, you deal with
8 9	At this time you were of course at Staines but you	9	SOCO and then at the end you say:
10	were in contact by radio and/or telephone with the scene	10	"I will update DCI Collwood and he can decide if
11	and you were effectively directing operations from your	11	full call-out (I did advise him that the deceased may be
12	seat?	12	Russian but not aware at that time that Mr Perepilichnyy
13		13	may be a Russian financier) open source checks have
13	A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Then later you travelled to the scene but at this stage	14	suggested."
15	,	15	That looks like something added later, but it comes
16	you're in charge, albeit not there, and people are doing what you suggest or order them to do?	16	before an entry for 19.05. Can you help about that?
		17	A. Sorry, are you suggesting that
17	A. Yes.	18	
18 19	Q. It is the fact that although you instructed at around about 17.38, or a bit later, that enquiries be made at	19	Q. You say that DCI Collwood would decide if there is to be a full call-out and then you say in brackets:
	•	20	
20	The Coach House that nobody in fact did that until	20 21	"I did advise him that the deceased may be Russian but not aware at that time that Mr Perepilichnyy may be
21 22	DC Burden and the woman police officer visited much	21 22	a Russian financier."
//	later? A. I take your word for that, I'm not sure.	22 23	And you say open source checks have suggested this.
	A LIRKE VOUR WORD FOR THAT I'M NOT SUPE		
23		7.4	
23 24	Q. You're not sure whether that is I do not think during	24	I just wondered when the words in brackets were
23		24 25	added. It would seem that they were added before 19.05,
23 24	Q. You're not sure whether that is I do not think during		-

,	1		1 7
1	but they read rather oddly. Am I missing something?	1	me, in my submission, to be beyond the scope of an
2	A. No, I think I would have started writing that paragraph	2	inquest and the statutory restrictions upon it.
3	at 18.30 or 6.30 pm. It's possible I had updates on the	3	MR BEGGS: May I raise a different concern, which is not for
4	phone whilst I was writing that and then would have	4	the first time we have assertions as fact. I am not
5	added that as I wrote it but they're contemporaneous	5	certain from having read the papers that there is
6	notes, which means they were written as I got the	6	evidence of an internet footprint.
7	information.	7	THE CORONER: I have some sympathy, I don't want to be
8	Q. I think what I need to know is whether when we see	8	overcritical but one does have to be very careful about
9	a time, like 19.05, is that you later saying, "This is	9	that because, as you say, I mean I certainly don't know
10	what happened at 19.05" or is that you saying, "At 19.05	10	that, maybe we could find out.
11	this is what I'm writing"?	11	Mr Moxon Browne, are you nearly at the end of
12	A. Well, from memory, these were all contemporaneous up	12	this Ms Barton has her point. If there is just one
13	to including that point as well. So if you're asking	13	more question coming I think there might just have been
14	me whether the entry at 19.05 was written at the time,	14	one more or was that it.
15	I think it was.	15	MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm very ready to take a hint, sir.
16	Q. Yes. So however it came about, you wrote that, the	16	THE CORONER: If there's one more and if you can avoid
17	words above, before 19.05?	17	the I think it's the sort of comment bit before the
18	A. Yes, that's correct.	18	question comes that tension is being
19	Q. That means that by 19.05 someone had told you that open	19	MR MOXON BROWNE: Sir, I think it's the second time in the
20	source indicated that Mr Perepilichnyy was a Russian	20	course of this the first time in my professional
21	financier.	21	career, but the second time in this Inquest where it's
22	A. That's correct, yes.	22	been suggested that I'm putting things without a proper
23	Q. I want to be quite clear how that had come about. You	23	factual basis. The short answer to what the factual
24	say it was an IRO who produced that information?	24	basis for the assertion that there is a substantial
25	A. It's actually a RIO, an R-I-O, that would have obtained	25	internet footprint lies under tab 1 of bundle 1, the
	•		1
	Page 145		Page 147
1	that information, but from that entry I can't remember	1	documents are there and anyone can look at them.
2	whether the RIO gave that to me over the phone or that	2	THE CORONER: The point is really being made that to avoid
3	would have gone to one of the officers at the scene, who	3	us just having to check whether in your preamble to
4	then told me over the phone later.	4	a question there's a basis for it or not, it would be
5	Q. The origin of the information is a RIO?	5	simpler if you could miss that bit out I think that
6	A. Yes.	6	was the point and just go to the last bit.
7	Q. That's what I wanted to establish. These are	7	MR MOXON BROWNE: I would like people to assume that
8	intelligence officers who are trained to use open source	8	I wouldn't put a question
9	effectively, sometimes very quickly?	9	THE CORONER: No, anyway if you could just do the end bit,
10	A. Yes.	10	the bit with the question mark.
11	Q. I think you're aware that at that time, that's to say on	11	MR SKELTON: Sorry to rise just to add to this, if there's
12	10 November 2012, Mr Perepilichnyy had a very	12	an assertion being made that there was a substantial
13	substantial internet footprint?	13	internet footprint on the day of Mr Perepilichnyy's
14	A. I wasn't aware on that day.	14	death in 2012 I would like to see that evidence for
15	Q. No. You are now though?	15	myself, because the evidence I have seen postdates
16	A. Yes.	16	within days of the death there's a wealth of evidence
17	Q. Yes. A question that arises, which I would like you to	17	clearly, but the actual time of death I'm not so clear
18	deal with so far as	18	and I would like to know exactly what it is.
19	MS BARTON: Sir, this is going beyond, it seems to me, the	19	THE CORONER: There may be a first time for everything,
20	scope of an inquest. It's now trespassing the area of	20	Mr Moxon Browne, it may be you have strayed just this
21	the adequacy of the police investigation.	21	once.
22	It's proper of course to ask questions about what he	22	MR MOXON BROWNE: Am I being invited
23	saw at the scene and so forth but what other	23	THE CORONER: No, you're not really. Just one more on this
24	investigations might have been made later when the body	24	point if that's it.
25	has been removed or has been pronounced dead appears to	25	MR MOXON BROWNE: I think that the coroner has been
	<del></del>		<b>T</b>
	Page 146		Page 148

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

1	interested in what if any difference a failure to	1	9 o'clock:
2	realise who Mr Perepilichnyy was on the day of his	2	"1, there seemed on the face of it to be
3	death, what difference that may have made. We have	3	non-suspicious. No one had been identified who had
4	established that SOCO might have come, there might have	4	actually seen the victim collapsed. It was only later
5	been photographs and so on.	5	in the investigation that the witness Eugene Elias came
6	Can I just suggest this to you, as I think you must	6	forward telling officers about the male he saw running
7	have realised, of course the important thing is that by	7	up the fairly steep part of Granville Road, this was
8	14 November, when the first postmortem was carried out,	8	approximately 200 yards prior to where the deceased was
9	still it is said nobody knew who he was. You appreciate	9	found so it would seem to be a very short time prior to
10	that?	10	collapse. Various hypotheses considered which were
11	A. I take that.	11	death as a result of a road traffic, third party
12	MS BARTON: I think that's a bit of a wide question, we did	12	involvement or natural causes."
13	know who he was. I think it's a question about what we	13	Then you rehearse the ambulance crew and ruling out
14	knew about his background.	14	robbery and saying that in the absence of other
15	THE CORONER: Yes, I think certainly his identity had been	15	evidence, the death was deemed to be non-suspicious.
16	established by the time of the postmortem examination.	16	Why, in the evening of the day after this event
17	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's really the point, isn't it? That	17	which had been non-suspicious, was it appropriate, or
18	postmortem was carried out on the basis that there was	18	what triggered the need to record how you had come to
19	nothing remotely suspicious and Dr Ratcliffe has said he	19	that view? Was someone asking questions or what
20	would not have proceeded if he had known anything of the	20	happened?
21	background.	21	A. No. If you look at the entry immediately prior to that,
22	A. Sir, you are asking me to comment on matters that took	22	you will see that that was put on at quarter past
23	place after my involvement ended. And all I can say is	23	midnight, and I suspect that it was simply something
24	that I only had involvement on 12 November. We had	24	I waited to do the following day. That particular
25	identified who he was but I can't make any comment on	25	system only one person could access it and update it at
	Page 149		Page 151
			-
1	the postmortans because I wasn't involved	1	any one time and it's important for others that review
1 2	the postmortems because I wasn't involved.  MR MOYON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put	1	any one time and it's important for others that review
2	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put	2	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has
2 3	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.	2 3	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been
2 3 4	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which	2 3 4	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.
2 3	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put	2 3 4 5	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same
2 3 4 5	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that	2 3 4 5 6	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)	2 3 4 5 6 7	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?
2 3 4 5 6	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed) THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is? It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed) THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is? It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?  A. It's likely I printed this from the crime information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is? It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such as myself —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?  A. It's likely I printed this from the crime information system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is? It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such as myself—  Q. Is this maintained on a computer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?  A. It's likely I printed this from the crime information system.  Q. Yes. Did you have any responsibility for input into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is? It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such as myself—  Q. Is this maintained on a computer?  A. It is, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?  A. It's likely I printed this from the crime information system.  Q. Yes. Did you have any responsibility for input into the document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such as myself  Q. Is this maintained on a computer?  A. It is, yes.  Q. Unless someone needs to access it for purposes such as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?  A. It's likely I printed this from the crime information system.  Q. Yes. Did you have any responsibility for input into the document?  A. I did, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is?  It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such as myself  Q. Is this maintained on a computer?  A. It is, yes.  Q. Unless someone needs to access it for purposes such as a court case or an inquest, it remains as computer data?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?  A. It's likely I printed this from the crime information system.  Q. Yes. Did you have any responsibility for input into the document?  A. I did, yes.  Q. You did. There's one entry on the second page, marked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is? It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such as myself—  Q. Is this maintained on a computer?  A. It is, yes.  Q. Unless someone needs to access it for purposes such as a court case or an inquest, it remains as computer data?  A. Well, yes, I mean it will be on the computer, it's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?  A. It's likely I printed this from the crime information system.  Q. Yes. Did you have any responsibility for input into the document?  A. I did, yes.  Q. You did. There's one entry on the second page, marked page 2 in the top right-hand corner, which seems to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is? It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such as myself —  Q. Is this maintained on a computer?  A. It is, yes.  Q. Unless someone needs to access it for purposes such as a court case or an inquest, it remains as computer data?  A. Well, yes, I mean it will be on the computer, it's an electronic record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's one answer and I was invited to put one question, I have had one answer.  Can we look at a little clip of documents which I don't think are in the bundle, which is why we've put them together, which concern you. They are records that you were involved with. (Handed)  THE CORONER: I am going to end up putting it, Mr Moxon Browne, just at the back of the file you very kindly gave us the other day but I'll have it out for the moment.  MR MOXON BROWNE: Can you help us as to what this document is? It has your name at the top left-hand corner on page 1, at the top right-hand corner, and then where the document starts, we see it was extracted on 13 May 2014 by yourself. Where did you get it from?  A. It's likely I printed this from the crime information system.  Q. Yes. Did you have any responsibility for input into the document?  A. I did, yes.  Q. You did. There's one entry on the second page, marked page 2 in the top right-hand corner, which seems to probably have come from you. It's made on 11 November,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	those sorts of incidents to ensure that a supervisor has put some comment on there to say that there has been supervision at a particular investigation.  Q. I see. Very well. If we can move forward in that same bundle to a different document, page 6, can you explain to us what the origin of a document of that sort is? It's plainly been reproduced in a standard format, but how did this document start life?  A. So this is called an iCAD, so whenever someone would phone an incident into the police, it's effectively a log of callers' details, it would be provenanced within the force control room, updated by the force control room personnel. And it would continue being open until the incident is deemed to be dealt with or enough information has been obtained by the officer such as myself —  Q. Is this maintained on a computer?  A. It is, yes.  Q. Unless someone needs to access it for purposes such as a court case or an inquest, it remains as computer data?  A. Well, yes, I mean it will be on the computer, it's an electronic record.  Q. Yes, it's not printed out as paper?

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

1	Q. It might be, very well.	1	"Open source research would indicate that the
2	If we just look through that, we'll see that it	2	deceased was a Ukrainian/Russian financier."
3	contains some hard information and a lot of computer	3	Then over the page on 30 more information:
4	marks, until we come to page 15, which is page 10 of 13	4	"Neighbourhood team to be made aware CID on call.
5	of this printout, where it says:	5	Media to please be advised"
6	"1865"	6	Is that something which is standard or only an
7	I think that's you, that's your shoulder board?	7	instruction that's given in certain cases? Were you
8	A. That's right, yes.	8	expecting the media to be
9	Q. " have liaised with Adam Collwood, who want SOCO and	9	A. Not at all, but it's a consideration we give for these
10	photographic to the scene. And then DC will turn out."	10	sorts of incidents. And there are two reasons.
11	That was to be DC Burden, wasn't it?	11	The first is because there may be media enquiries if
12	A. That's correct, yes.	12	someone contacts the media.
13	Q. "Deceased remain in situ until DC arrives. ND4 will	13	But also it may be that we use the media for any
14	update. Out to FCIG"	14	appeals for witnesses, or certainly ask them.
15	They control SOCO?	15	Q. Yes. You say appeal for witnesses. The local security
16	A. I don't know who that is. I don't know who FCIG is, I'm	16	people at St George's Hill approached you more than
17	afraid.	17	once, I think including when you were
18	Q. Ops 2 I think do control SOCO?	18	MS BARTON: Sir, we are now trespassing beyond the scope of
19	A. No, ops 2 is they are the second line manager of the	19	this inquiry, we are now into days later when the
20	force control room staff, so they will report to the	20	security teams are ringing up.
21	inspector in the control room.	21	MR MOXON BROWNE: No, I'm talking about your attendance on
22	Q. I think if you want to get SOCO to a scene you would	22	the scene, sergeant. I think that the local security
23	have to go through ops 2?	23	approached either you or DCI Burden, he can tell us if
24	A. You would have to go through the control room, whether	24	it wasn't you, offering sight of the CCTV, which of
25	ops 1 or ops 2 deal with it, it doesn't matter.	25	course is quite short-lived, it's rubbed out within
	Page 153		Page 155
1	O. Francisco, that's a database con an access from	1	a face days. Do you name amb an that?
1 2	Q. From Experian that's a database you can access from the internet?	2	a few days. Do you remember that?  A. I don't, no.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. No. With hindsight perhaps you don't need
4	Q. "Details of male are"	4	
·	~	+	
		5	hindsight there were a number of opportunities
5	Then if we look to the end we can see that there's	5	missed, weren't there, on this evening?
6	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard	6	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.
6 7	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but	6 7	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you
6 7 8	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.	6 7 8	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?
6 7 8 9	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.  A. Yes.	6 7 8 9	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.
6 7 8 9 10	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.  A. Yes.  Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of	6 7 8 9 10	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you
6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.</li> <li>Q. Yes. There is a full version of the document on the</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism about you, but when Surrey Police's gold group were
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.</li> <li>Q. Yes. There is a full version of the document on the following page, 19. I think we can pick up the point at</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism about you, but when Surrey Police's gold group were looking at this incident and seeing what might be
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?</li> <li>A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.</li> <li>Q. Yes. There is a full version of the document on the following page, 19. I think we can pick up the point at which yes, if we look on page 29, about two or three</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism about you, but when Surrey Police's gold group were looking at this incident and seeing what might be learned from the way it was handled
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.  A. Yes.  Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?  A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.  Q. Yes. There is a full version of the document on the following page, 19. I think we can pick up the point at which yes, if we look on page 29, about two or three inches down, we can see, "From Experian details of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism about you, but when Surrey Police's gold group were looking at this incident and seeing what might be learned from the way it was handled  MS BARTON: Sir, this is yet another example of post-event
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.  A. Yes.  Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?  A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.  Q. Yes. There is a full version of the document on the following page, 19. I think we can pick up the point at which yes, if we look on page 29, about two or three inches down, we can see, "From Experian details of the male are"	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism about you, but when Surrey Police's gold group were looking at this incident and seeing what might be learned from the way it was handled  MS BARTON: Sir, this is yet another example of post-event analysis. He has answered that he wouldn't have
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.  A. Yes.  Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?  A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.  Q. Yes. There is a full version of the document on the following page, 19. I think we can pick up the point at which yes, if we look on page 29, about two or three inches down, we can see, "From Experian details of the male are"	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism about you, but when Surrey Police's gold group were looking at this incident and seeing what might be learned from the way it was handled  MS BARTON: Sir, this is yet another example of post-event analysis. He has answered that he wouldn't have contacted special branch.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.  A. Yes.  Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?  A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.  Q. Yes. There is a full version of the document on the following page, 19. I think we can pick up the point at which yes, if we look on page 29, about two or three inches down, we can see, "From Experian details of the male are"	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism about you, but when Surrey Police's gold group were looking at this incident and seeing what might be learned from the way it was handled  MS BARTON: Sir, this is yet another example of post-event analysis. He has answered that he wouldn't have
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a certain amount of computer chatter but no hard information and the document ends on page 18, but page 13 of 13.  A. Yes.  Q. Can you, from your experience of the way these kind of documents are produced, explain why that document stops where it does?  A. No. I didn't print this out, I have no knowledge of why this appears like this. This is not how the iCADs normally appear if you print them straight from the Surrey Police computers. This may have been a format issue but you would have to ask the person that printed it off and produced it.  Q. Yes. There is a full version of the document on the following page, 19. I think we can pick up the point at which yes, if we look on page 29, about two or three inches down, we can see, "From Experian details of the male are"	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	missed, weren't there, on this evening?  A. I'm not sure what opportunities you're referring to.  Q. There is a branch within Surrey Police which you referred to as "special branch", isn't there?  A. There is.  Q. Given that this was a Russian leaving aside what you may or may not have seen on Google but given that this was a Russian financier found dead in a rather exclusive part of Weybridge or the suburbs and given possibly misconceived perceptions about that community, did you not think it might be a good idea to put through a call to special branch?  A. Not at all, no.  Q. I do not know whether it's a comment or a criticism about you, but when Surrey Police's gold group were looking at this incident and seeing what might be learned from the way it was handled  MS BARTON: Sir, this is yet another example of post-event analysis. He has answered that he wouldn't have contacted special branch.

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

1	MR MOXON BROWNE: Perhaps I could just finish.	1	want to enter something on to that iCAD later, can you
2	THE CORONER: Is this the last one?	2	do that when you are back at control?
3	MR MOXON BROWNE: There are three areas where it appears the	3	A. Yes, you can.
4	opportunity was missed, is the word I used, to raise	4	Q. We see one example of that with your entry after
5	this step as a concern to senior management within	5	midnight, that you were taken to?
6	Surrey Police, referral to special branch. So somebody	6	A. Are you referring to the crime information system entry?
7	seems to have thought that was something you should have	7	Q. Yes. Is that a different document?
8	done, it was a missed opportunity.	8	-
9		9	A. It is, yes.  Q. That's what I want to come to. The iCAD is the running
10	I was wondering if you accept that?	10	-
11	A. I don't accept it, and the reason I don't accept it is	11	log?
	that you would then refer every untimely death of	12	A. Yes.
12	a Russian person to special branch.	1	Q. And the crime information system is what?
13	Q. Yes.	13	A. So the iCAD is fast time, while the
14	A. Well then why would you do that?	14	THE CORONER: You can't get back into that and either change
15	MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you.	15	an entry or put one in in a sequence?
16	Questions from MS BARTON	16	A. You cannot change, but you can add an entry later.
17	MS BARTON: I just have one issue I want to cover. You have	17	THE CORONER: It would show the time that the entry went on?
18	been referred at some length to the iCAD message.	18	A. It would, yes, but the crime information system is
19	A. Yes, ma'am.	19	generally generated when you have an investigation that
20	Q. Can we just establish exactly what that is, where it	20	will be it's all to do with recorded crime, which is
21	emanates from and how it is put together?	21	a whole other debate but essentially because we had an
22	First of all, an iCAD message, is that a computer	22	investigation from response officers and CID we would
23	generated document?	23	have generated the crime information system.
24	A. Yes, we don't normally refer to it as an iCAD message	24	MS BARTON: That's the computer document for the officers
25	but the iCAD is computer generated and it's typed as the	25	who are working on the case at any given time?
	Page 157		Page 150
	rage 137		Page 159
1	incident comes in, effectively.	1	A. It is, however the major crime team might not use that
2	Q. Is an iCAD created for every incident?	2	because they will use a HOLMES system, which is
3	A. It should be, but the policies have changed over the	3	different.
4	years, I don't know.	4	Q. Yes, okay. We have essentially three computer records
5	Q. But your understanding is it should be?	5	which are timed. Firstly the iCAD, which is timed as
6			which are timed. Thistly the ICAD, which is timed as
	A. Yes. Certainly for an incident like this, yes.	6	entries are made?
7	Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it	6 7	•
7 8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	entries are made?
	Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it	7	entries are made? A. Correct.
8	Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?	7 8	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed
8	<ul><li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li><li>A. Yes, it does.</li></ul>	7 8 9	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?
8 9 10	<ul><li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li><li>A. Yes, it does.</li><li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li></ul>	7 8 9 10	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.
8 9 10 11	<ul><li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li><li>A. Yes, it does.</li><li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer</li></ul>	7 8 9 10 11	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the
8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?
8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like myself. There is no hard and fast rule.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.  THE CORONER: How are you managing down there? Would you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like myself. There is no hard and fast rule.</li> <li>Q. Any information which comes into the control room in</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.  THE CORONER: How are you managing down there? Would you like a break?  MR SKELTON: If we can keep it to ten minutes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like myself. There is no hard and fast rule.</li> <li>Q. Any information which comes into the control room in connection with this incident for which the iCAD has</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.  THE CORONER: How are you managing down there? Would you like a break?  MR SKELTON: If we can keep it to ten minutes.  THE CORONER: Yes, we certainly will.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like myself. There is no hard and fast rule.</li> <li>Q. Any information which comes into the control room in connection with this incident for which the iCAD has been opened, the intention is to enter it on to the</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.  THE CORONER: How are you managing down there? Would you like a break?  MR SKELTON: If we can keep it to ten minutes.  THE CORONER: Yes, we certainly will.  (3.12 pm)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like myself. There is no hard and fast rule.</li> <li>Q. Any information which comes into the control room in connection with this incident for which the iCAD has been opened, the intention is to enter it on to the iCAD?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.  THE CORONER: How are you managing down there? Would you like a break?  MR SKELTON: If we can keep it to ten minutes.  THE CORONER: Yes, we certainly will.  (3.12 pm)  (A short break)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like myself. There is no hard and fast rule.</li> <li>Q. Any information which comes into the control room in connection with this incident for which the iCAD has been opened, the intention is to enter it on to the iCAD?</li> <li>A. It should be, yes, ma'am.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.  THE CORONER: How are you managing down there? Would you like a break?  MR SKELTON: If we can keep it to ten minutes.  THE CORONER: Yes, we certainly will.  (3.12 pm)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like myself. There is no hard and fast rule.</li> <li>Q. Any information which comes into the control room in connection with this incident for which the iCAD has been opened, the intention is to enter it on to the iCAD?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.  THE CORONER: How are you managing down there? Would you like a break?  MR SKELTON: If we can keep it to ten minutes.  THE CORONER: Yes, we certainly will.  (3.12 pm)  (A short break)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Does it create a running log of the incident as it evolves?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. The information is initially put on, is it, by computer operators in the control room?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. What are those entries based upon?</li> <li>A. They will be based upon information given by the people that phone it in, potentially other witnesses that could phone in separately, information on the crime systems or any other police systems, open source checks, updates from officers at the scene, direction from people like myself. There is no hard and fast rule.</li> <li>Q. Any information which comes into the control room in connection with this incident for which the iCAD has been opened, the intention is to enter it on to the iCAD?</li> <li>A. It should be, yes, ma'am.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	entries are made?  A. Correct.  Q. Then the crime information system, which again is timed as entries are made and show who's made them?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Then there's the major incident team, which uses the HOLMES system which is for major enquiries?  A. That's correct, yes.  MS BARTON: Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed, thank you.  MR SKELTON: We may either have a short break now or press on with DCI Collwood or DI Collwood.  THE CORONER: How are you managing down there? Would you like a break?  MR SKELTON: If we can keep it to ten minutes.  THE CORONER: Yes, we certainly will.  (3.12 pm)  (A short break)

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

1	DCI ADAM COLLWOOD (affirmed)	1	Q. Yes. So the details of the discussions you had with
2	A. Adam Collwood, civilian police manager, Surrey Police.	2	DS Seear I think are in his written report, which we can
3	Questions from MR SKELTON	3	see on page 89 under tab 13A.
4	MR SKELTON: Thank you.	4	Can I just take you to that, please.
5	You were a police officer at the time of the index	5	A. Yes.
6	events?	6	Q. He had become aware as the duty sergeant, or duty
7	A. Indeed, yes.	7	detective sergeant, about Mr Perepilichnyy's death and
8	Q. You've now I think retired from the force. Is that	8	had initiated various forms of investigation, including
9	correct?	9	identification, house to house, securing the scene and
10	A. That's correct.	10	the like.
11	Q. When did you retire?	11	A. (Nods)
12	A. In March of this year.	12	Q. He then had some contact with you.
13	Q. At what rank?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. I was a detective chief inspector.	14	Q. He says on page 90, as you can see:
15	Q. At the time that you were involved with the initial	15	"As a matter of course I contacted the on-call duty
16	stages of the investigation into Mr Perepilichnyy's	16	inspector [that is you]."
17	death, you were a training or trainee	17	This was at 6.00 pm?
18	A. Temporary chief inspector, a role which I had been doing	18	A. Yes.
19	at that point for about 15 months.	19	Q. Do you have that on page 90?
20	Q. Right. Can I ask you whether or not you were present	20	A. Yes.
21	during the evidence of your former colleague, DS Seear	21	Q. " we discussed the investigation. I explained that
22	this afternoon?	22	there didn't seem to be any obvious reason for the
23	A. No.	23	death, although the ambulance crew had apparently
24	Q. You weren't?	24	suggested the deceased may have suffered a heart
25	A. No.	25	attack."
	Page 161		Page 163
	0		Ö
1	Q. What I would like you to do is to look at some of his	1	He suggested that SOCO and photographic should
2	evidence as he recorded it at the time, which is in the	2	attend while the body was in the road which you,
3	witness bundle you have in front of you under tab 13,	3	DCI Collwood, agreed with?
4	please.	4	A. Yes.
5	Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen	5	Q. Do you remember this conversation?
6	it recently?	6	A. We had a conversation about whether or not SOCO and
7	A. Not recently, no.	7	photographic should come out. At that time, and my
8	Q. Okay. One document I can show you which you have,	8	experience prior to that had been that quite often we
9	I think, seen, is your own notebook or part of it, which	9	would have a conversation with the senior SOCO on call,
10	is over on tab 14. Can you just have a quick look at	10	discuss the matter and sometimes they would come out.
11	that?	11	At that point in time, I think that we were going
12	A. Yes, those are my original notes.	12	between a unitary response, which was purely Surrey, to
13	Q. That's your entry?	13	a collaborated response, which was Surrey and Sussex
14	A. Yes.	14	together. The MOU was somewhat different at that point,
15	Q. As we understand it, that's an entry dated 20.10, so ten	15	because the conversation we had was to the or the
16	minutes past 8 pm on 10 November?	16	conversation that was later relayed to me by
17	A. Yes.	17	Sergeant Seear was that he had had a conversation and
18	Q. But in fact you had had involvement with Mr Seear prior	18	they said, "Well, if it's suspicious death we are coming
19	to that, Detective Sergeant Seear prior to that?	19	out to it with bells and whistles", as in it's a full
20	A. The line before that, the top entry on page 11 is:	20	call-out, "but you need to have made that decision
21	"18.55, Granville Road, St George's Hill,	21	first".
22	Weybridge."	22	And subsequently, as a result of the conversation
23	I would have been on call that weekend and that's	23	with Sergeant Seear, I said, "Well, we're not at that
24	when I would have received the initial call probably	24	point able to make that decision because I have not been
25	from Sergeant Seear.	25	to the scene and I haven't made that assessment".
	Page 162		Page 164
	1 age 102		1 age 104

1	Q. You dipped your voice at the end, would you mind	1	make an assessment based on the information I'm provided
2	repeating that final sentence?	2	with, either that I find for myself by attending the
3	A. I said to him that I had not been to the scene, we could	3	scene or by speaking to people at the scene.
4	not make that initial assessment until I had been there	4	MS BARTON: Sorry, sir. I'm struggling to hear, perhaps the
5	and seen for myself.	5	witness can keep his voice up.
6	Q. Just before I explore in more detail the reasoning	6	THE CORONER: It's a big room, would you mind.
7	behind your decision in that regard, can I just show you	7	MS HILL: Could he repeat that answer, I am sorry. We could
8	page 130, which is part of the CAD system record. It's	8	not hear at all here.
9	a timed entry, which you can see at 5.42 pm, page 130	9	A. The document itself lays out in the starkest terms what
10	under tab 15.	10	is expected of me.
11	A. Sorry, under tab 15?	11	It's then incumbent on me, as the senior most
12	Q. Yes. Under 15C.	12	responsible person at the time, to then attend and make
13	A. Sorry, page 130?	13	that decision for myself based on what's presented and
14	Q. Yes.	14	based on what material I accrue at the scene.
15	THE CORONER: Top right.	15	MR SKELTON: Can I go back to explore your initial decision
16	MR SKELTON: Do you have it?	16	making in conversation with DS Seear and then your
17	A. Yes.	17	decision at the scene as well.
18	Q. Okay. These are all helpfully timed precisely as to	18	If you could go back to 13A, page 90. You are
19	when it's entered on the system and there's a general	19	recorded as agreeing with DS Seear that SOCO and
20	entry there, which we're told would be the case as	20	photographic should attend while the body was in the
21	a matter of routine in such circumstances, where it	21	road, and that's what he then tried to effect.
22	says:	22	A. Yes.
23	"This is an untimely death which should be treated	23	Q. Why did you agree?
24	as suspicious until shown otherwise. If slightest doubt	24	A. Because that was normal practice that I had used on
25	as to the circumstances should be treated as suspicious.	25	a number of previous occasions to call-out SOCO and
	Page 165		Page 167
1	The detective inspector will be responsible effective	1	photographic to go to the scene.
1 2	•	1 2	photographic to go to the scene.  Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious
	management"	1	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious
2	•	2	<ul><li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li><li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to</li></ul>
2 3	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.	2 3	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at</li> </ul>
2 3 4	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the	2 3 4 5	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior
2 3 4 5	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had
2 3 4 5 6	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for example.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be treated as suspicious?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for example.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be treated as suspicious?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for example.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that the kind of help where you need SOCO to be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be treated as suspicious?  A. That's correct.  Q. The slightest doubt takes you from non-suspicious to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a kniffing for example.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that the kind of help where you need SOCO to be involved in that process jointly with you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be treated as suspicious?  A. That's correct.  Q. The slightest doubt takes you from non-suspicious to suspicious and triggers SOCO?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for example.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that the kind of help where you need SOCO to be involved in that process jointly with you?</li> <li>A. No. I think if someone has been stabbed then it's quite</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be treated as suspicious?  A. That's correct.  Q. The slightest doubt takes you from non-suspicious to suspicious and triggers SOCO?  A. Well the document itself lays it out in stark terms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for example.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that the kind of help where you need SOCO to be involved in that process jointly with you?</li> <li>A. No. I think if someone has been stabbed then it's quite obvious that that is either committed by a third party</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be treated as suspicious?  A. That's correct.  Q. The slightest doubt takes you from non-suspicious to suspicious and triggers SOCO?  A. Well the document itself lays it out in stark terms.  I'm there to make a mature assessment of what I see at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious —</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for example.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that the kind of help where you need SOCO to be involved in that process jointly with you?</li> <li>A. No. I think if someone has been stabbed then it's quite obvious that that is either committed by a third party or potentially self-inflicted; there are various</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be treated as suspicious?  A. That's correct.  Q. The slightest doubt takes you from non-suspicious to suspicious and triggers SOCO?  A. Well the document itself lays it out in stark terms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for example.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that the kind of help where you need SOCO to be involved in that process jointly with you?</li> <li>A. No. I think if someone has been stabbed then it's quite obvious that that is either committed by a third party</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	management"  THE CORONER: "For the", do you see down the left, it's just a bit of extra text.  MR SKELTON: It's broken text with the date or timing in the middle, you can see:  " for the effective management of any suspicious death on the division."  A. Yes.  Q. Is that a generic entry that one would see?  A. That is a generic entry which is probably a cut and paste from what would have been used as the MOU for dealing with untimely deaths at that time in 2012.  Q. Does it represent the way you went about your analysis of untimely deaths?  A. Yes.  Q. In particular that advice, if there is the slightest doubt as to the circumstances of the death, it should be treated as suspicious?  A. That's correct.  Q. The slightest doubt takes you from non-suspicious to suspicious and triggers SOCO?  A. Well the document itself lays it out in stark terms.  I'm there to make a mature assessment of what I see at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Does that mean that you viewed it as being suspicious —</li> <li>A. No, I viewed it as: these are people that could help to inform an assessment that I was going to make. And at that time, and on a number of previous occasions prior to November 2012, that was a process that I had followed. I was made aware, in the subsequent conversation with Mike Seear, that the most recent MOU was as a result of the collaborative process that SOCO had followed, was that between the two forces that was no longer operating and that I was expected to make a decision as to whether it was suspicious or not and at that point SOCO and photographic would attend if I felt it was suspicious.</li> <li>Q. DS Seear gave an example of where it isn't until the ambulance crew have gone and you turn the body over that you realise someone's been the subject of a knifing for example.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that the kind of help where you need SOCO to be involved in that process jointly with you?</li> <li>A. No. I think if someone has been stabbed then it's quite obvious that that is either committed by a third party or potentially self-inflicted; there are various</li> </ul>

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

1	sustained by the individual.	1	before DS Seear so you're there at 8.10. You have
2	The benefit of having SOCO there is that they're	2	a briefing with DS Seear and PS Mark Nettlingham, and
3	experienced crime scene managers and the experience of	3	then you start making numbered notes.
4	having the photographic there is that they can	4	Could you just talk me through the information that
5	accurately record for you there and then, rather than	5	you're gathering and processing here?
6	trying to rely on other either digital media or any	6	A. So what do we know when we get there? Who has seen
7	other opportunity to capture the scene.	7	what? Is the first question.
8	So it's another party who can be part of that	8	What we know is that he has been seen and he's been
9	decision-making process and can assist those decisions.	9	out running, by a witness.
10	Q. What appears to have happened was that DS Seear called	10	Q. Two witnesses?
11	SOCO and spoke to someone reasonably junior,	11	A. Well, that's part one.
12	Leonor Wyborn, who said she would call him back. She	12	Part two is that he's seen by a second witness, the
13	calls him back having spoken to a manager and the answer	13	chauffeur, and that he is still breathing at that point
14	is no, they're only going to attend if the incident is	14	when he's found.
15	suspicious. So it would then be a full call-out with	15	We know that an examination of the body has already
16	those resources.	16	taken place by ambulance service and we know that there
17	A. Yes.	17	were no other marks on the body. We know
18	Q. So a different type of practice from the one you've	18	Q. Pausing on the marks on the body issue, DS Seear records
19	outlined?	19	that he viewed the body with you. Do you remember that?
20	A. Yes. But as I suggested, the MOU at that point was	20	A. I don't remember it in any detail.
21	fairly nascent, it was quite new. And so I had been	21	Q. He says I spoke with Mr Collwood and Sergeant
22	operating on an old process.	22	Nettlingham and we then viewed the body with
23	Q. Were you content with that push back	23	Mr Collwood, and he notes that the body appeared clean,
24	A. I was satisfied with the push back, yes, it was genuine	24	no obvious visible injuries, marks to the skin either on
25	in the circumstances.	25	the hands, legs or torso, no dragging or scuff marks to
23	in the circumstances.	23	the hands, legs of torso, no dragging of scurr marks to
	Page 169		Page 171
	-		
1	Q. What was your analysis of the circumstances of the death	1	the trainers.
2	and whether or not it was suspicious? How had you come	2	Does that ring any bells now I've read it out?
3	to the view that they didn't need to be there?	3	A. It does, in terms of examination of the hands for
4	A. On the basis that when I attended, if I can refer to my	4	potential defensive injuries is something that I would
5	notes	5	do.
6	Q. Sorry, just to go back before we go to your attendance,	6	Q. He goes on to say:
7	based on your discussions	7	"I noted that there were no obvious defensive wounds
8	A. On the basis that at that point, on that conversation,	8	to the hands or arms, there was no disturbance to the
9	I was happy to go to the scene and make an assessment on	9	clothing although there were signs of the ambulance
10	my own, with Mike Seear.	10	crew's attendance such as the sticky pads on the chest."
11	Q. Would you ordinarily have gone to make your own	11	A. Yes.
12	assessment or is this a death where it was untimely and	12	Q. In light of that information, what was your initial
13	unclear and therefore you needed to go?	13	view?
14	A. As the on-call DI, it's my responsibility to satisfy	14	A. On the basis of what we had been provided, my view was
15	myself that matters that are reported to me are dealt	15	there was no third party involvement in those
16	with appropriately. If I don't go to the scene and make	16	circumstances as described. And potentially, as had
17	an assessment based on inaccurate or inadequate	17	been suggested by the ambulance service, it was
18	information, then I'm responsible for that in any sort	18	a medical episode.
19	of subsequent sort of examination of the process. So if	19	Q. Did it concern you that it doesn't appear that anyone
20	I'm called to an untimely death, nine times out of ten	20	had seen him actually collapse? They had seen him in a
21	I would attend the scene.	21	state of possibly precollapse, but the actual fall on to
22	Q. So you do attend the scene?	22	the road doesn't appear to have been witnessed?
23	A. Yes.	23	A. No, and that was why one of my hypotheses was
24	Q. We can see from your note, if you want to turn back to	24	potentially this was a road accident or potentially
25	that under tab 14, I think you attend five minutes	25	third party involvement, but in the absence of anything
	-	1	
	Page 170		Page 172

1	concrete around those, it seemed that the most likely	1	seen him jogging.
2	assumption at that point was that it was a medical	2	THE CORONER: And seen him jogging but, as it were, on the
3	episode.	3	face of it in some difficulty
4	Q. Do you have experience of attending a scene where the	4	A. In some difficulty.
5 6	signs of a third party involvement in the death are subtle and you have to find them?	5 6	THE CORONER: that's the factor, isn't it?  A. Yes. So he's seen jogging, I believe it was up a hill,
7	A. Sorry, I missed the second part, are what	7	in difficulty, and he's then seen collapsed, within
8	Q. Subtle or difficult to discern, require a certain level	8	a very short period of time thereafter. So the
9	of experience or knowledge.	9	opportunity for an intervening act by a third party
10	A. Most untimely deaths that I have been to have either	10	there and then at the scene seemed quite remote.
11	been hangings, where they've been self inflicted, or	11	MR SKELTON: Had DS Seear told you who he was, a Russian
12	where there's been quite obviously a violent incident	12	financier it was thought?
13	which has resulted in the death of somebody.	13	A. I definitely remember being told he was Russian, I'm not
14	So when you say "subtle", no.	14	sure that I was told he was a financier at the time.
15	Q. Were you satisfied that you did sufficient, in terms of	15	I have not recorded that in my notes, so it's difficult
16	looking at the body, reviewing it, reviewing the scene,	16	to remember.
17	to be confident that this wasn't suspicious?	17	Q. Assuming you were told he was a Russian financier,
18	A. Yes.	18	sudden death on a private estate while out jogging, is
19	Q. Your hypotheses which you're considering and going	19	that of itself suspicious?
20	through are listed there in your handwritten notes, "1,	20	A. No.
21	RTC"	21	Q. What about if he was a Russian financier who is
22	Which is "road traffic collision"?	22	whistleblowing on his alleged involvement in a fraud?
23	A. That's correct.	23	A. That would certainly escalate a level of concern in
24	Q. "No evidence of such."	24	terms of what the fraud was, the extent of the fraud,
25	A. Yes.	25	who was involved but that wasn't information I had at
	Page 173		Page 175
1	Q. Such as?	1	that time.
2	A. Substantial trauma, substantial injury, marks of being	2	Q. If you had been given that very basic information, that
3	dragged, abrasions. Anything which would be consistent	3	he's alleged to be a whistleblower in a large fraud in
4	with being dragged by a vehicle or having sort of met	4	Russia, bearing in mind the totality of the other
5	with	5	evidence and your assessment of it, would that have led
6	Q. Can you speak up again?	6	you to mark this death as being suspicious?
7	A. Traumatic force.	7	A. Not in itself but it would have I would have
8	Q. 2, "Deliberate act by third party. No evidence of	8	escalated that process by having a conversation with the
9	such."	9	major crime team, because the major crime team at that
10	In the note there is a lack of defensive wounds?	10	point could escalate the level of response and his body
11	A. Yes.	11	could have been recovered under forensic conditions and
12	Q. What else?	12	a mature assessment could have been made on the basis of
13	A. There was no there was nothing to suggest that he had	13	what we could then find out about him.
14	been involved in a recent confrontation, that was again	14	Q. Could you explain what forensic conditions would have
15	borne out by his physical presentation.	15	been for these purposes?
16	Q. And 3	16	A. That would mean securing him at the scene, calling out
17	THE COROLER II 1 11	17	the SOCO and the photographer and recovering his body in
1.0	THE CORONER: He had been seen, on one view, when he was	17	
18	near to the point of actually collapsing and there	18	a way that would secure any forensic opportunities that
19	near to the point of actually collapsing and there hadn't been anybody next to him.	18 19	a way that would secure any forensic opportunities that were potentially at the scene if something had occurred
19 20	near to the point of actually collapsing and there hadn't been anybody next to him.  A. There had been no one that I had seen yes, what	18 19 20	a way that would secure any forensic opportunities that were potentially at the scene if something had occurred at the scene.
19 20 21	near to the point of actually collapsing and there hadn't been anybody next to him.  A. There had been no one that I had seen yes, what I have to think is there is perhaps a window of	18 19 20 21	a way that would secure any forensic opportunities that were potentially at the scene if something had occurred at the scene.  And then authority would have been given for
19 20 21 22	near to the point of actually collapsing and there hadn't been anybody next to him.  A. There had been no one that I had seen yes, what I have to think is there is perhaps a window of opportunity if he had come to harm as a result of	18 19 20 21 22	a way that would secure any forensic opportunities that were potentially at the scene if something had occurred at the scene.  And then authority would have been given for a forensic postmortem there and then, probably to be
19 20 21 22 23	near to the point of actually collapsing and there hadn't been anybody next to him.  A. There had been no one that I had seen — yes, what I have to think is there is perhaps a window of opportunity if he had come to harm as a result of a violent act by a third party at that scene. Or how	18 19 20 21 22 23	a way that would secure any forensic opportunities that were potentially at the scene if something had occurred at the scene.  And then authority would have been given for a forensic postmortem there and then, probably to be conducted over that weekend or within 72 hours.
19 20 21 22 23 24	near to the point of actually collapsing and there hadn't been anybody next to him.  A. There had been no one that I had seen — yes, what I have to think is there is perhaps a window of opportunity if he had come to harm as a result of a violent act by a third party at that scene. Or how likely is that to have happened when we have someone	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a way that would secure any forensic opportunities that were potentially at the scene if something had occurred at the scene.  And then authority would have been given for a forensic postmortem there and then, probably to be conducted over that weekend or within 72 hours.  Q. So it will include a forensic postmortem, which he
19 20 21 22 23	near to the point of actually collapsing and there hadn't been anybody next to him.  A. There had been no one that I had seen — yes, what I have to think is there is perhaps a window of opportunity if he had come to harm as a result of a violent act by a third party at that scene. Or how	18 19 20 21 22 23	a way that would secure any forensic opportunities that were potentially at the scene if something had occurred at the scene.  And then authority would have been given for a forensic postmortem there and then, probably to be conducted over that weekend or within 72 hours.

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

,			
1	A. Don't know.	1	recovery". However, that might have been a conversation
2	Q. Did you have any involvement after this?	2	I would have had with the major crime scene there and
3	A. Not after that night.	3	then on the night. Because anything such as that when
4	Q. DS Seear expressed a degree of scepticism about whether	4	there's a suggestion that there is a death due to the
5	or not there was anything SOCO could have done at the	5	involvement of a third party, then the major crime team
6	scene that would have led to the death being identified	6	would take primacy. So I would pass my concerns to them
7	as obviously suspicious. They could have secured it,	7	and have a conversation with them, and then there would
8	photographs would have been taken, they may have	8	be a handover thereafter.
9	directed perimeter searches in a different way and so	9	But, as I say, that didn't take place on the night
10	on, but he didn't think there was anything particularly	10	because I was satisfied with the evidence that was
11	significant that they might have found immediately.	11	presented before me.
12	A. If you are requesting attendance of a SOCO to remove	12	MR SKELTON: Thank you.
13	someone for that forensic capture, that is usually in	13	Questions from MS HILL
14	terms of a third party who has been there at the scene	14	MS HILL: I would just like to ask you a few questions,
15	and has been involved in some sort of conflict whereby	15	please, if I may, officer.
16	there has been a transference of material. So he's	16	Just very briefly, there is a document we haven't
17	quite right in what he says.	17	turned up, can I ask you to look briefly in the hearing
18	Q. You took the view then, having assessed the situation,	18	bundle at page 167. If I understand it correctly,
19	that SOCO didn't need to attend.	19	officer, this is a more general document that begins at
20	A. That's correct.	20	page 166, D9. It's the general guidance notes given to
21	Q. Nor photographic, or were they hand in hand as well?	21	all officers attending a sudden death; is that right?
22	A. They're hand in hand. Initially they were separate, but	22	A. Yes.
23	due to constraints and cuts in funding, photographers	23	Q. This is obviously prior to CID involvement but if you
24	started to disappear and the SOCOs were expected to do	24	look, please, at the bottom of page 167 under section 2,
25	that work themselves.	25	it makes clear does it that the starting point at 2.2 is
	Page 177		Page 179
1	O. In summary, had you known there was some suspicion about	1	that all natural deaths should be treated as suspicious
1	Q. In summary, had you known there was some suspicion about	1 2	that all natural deaths should be treated as suspicious
2	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you	2	initially.
2 3	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say	2 3	initially.  A. Yes.
2 3 4	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in	2 3 4	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be
2 3 4 5	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?	2 3 4 5	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this
2 3 4 5 6	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and	2 3 4 5 6	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which
2 3 4 5 6 7	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would	2 3 4 5 6 7	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier",	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.  If you then say, "He's a financier who's been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?  A. Yes, it reflects the general process, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.  If you then say, "He's a financier who's been threatened and is a witness in proceedings", then again	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?  A. Yes, it reflects the general process, yes.  Q. The slightest doubt test is what you were applying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.  If you then say, "He's a financier who's been threatened and is a witness in proceedings", then again that escalates my level of concern.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?  A. Yes, it reflects the general process, yes.  Q. The slightest doubt test is what you were applying.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.  If you then say, "He's a financier who's been threatened and is a witness in proceedings", then again that escalates my level of concern.  So there are a number of steps that I would have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?  A. Yes, it reflects the general process, yes.  Q. The slightest doubt test is what you were applying.  A. Yes.  Q. When you were reflecting on the material that you had in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.  If you then say, "He's a financier who's been threatened and is a witness in proceedings", then again that escalates my level of concern.  So there are a number of steps that I would have to take.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?  A. Yes, it reflects the general process, yes.  Q. The slightest doubt test is what you were applying.  A. Yes.  Q. When you were reflecting on the material that you had in front of you, to what degree did you consider whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.  If you then say, "He's a financier who's been threatened and is a witness in proceedings", then again that escalates my level of concern.  So there are a number of steps that I would have to take.  So my next step would have been to speak to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?  A. Yes, it reflects the general process, yes.  Q. The slightest doubt test is what you were applying.  A. Yes.  Q. When you were reflecting on the material that you had in front of you, to what degree did you consider whether this man might have been poisoned and therefore there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.  If you then say, "He's a financier who's been threatened and is a witness in proceedings", then again that escalates my level of concern.  So there are a number of steps that I would have to take.  So my next step would have been to speak to the senior SOCO to say, "There is potentially an opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?  A. Yes, it reflects the general process, yes.  Q. The slightest doubt test is what you were applying.  A. Yes.  Q. When you were reflecting on the material that you had in front of you, to what degree did you consider whether this man might have been poisoned and therefore there might be no overt signs on him of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	his connection with a whistleblowing on a fraud you would have escalated the decision making. Can you say is it likely to have ended up with SOCO attending in those circumstances?  A. I would have had a conversation with a senior SOCO and potentially asked them to come out so, again, that would have been a — it's a mature assessment and it's a staged response. Faced with the circumstances I was faced with, I was happy with the action that we took on the evening.  If you then say, "Well, he's a Russian financier", well that doesn't actually escalate my level of concern very much.  If you then say, "He's a Russian financier who's a potential witness in proceedings" then, yes, that gives me more to think about.  If you then say, "He's a financier who's been threatened and is a witness in proceedings", then again that escalates my level of concern.  So there are a number of steps that I would have to take.  So my next step would have been to speak to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	initially.  A. Yes.  Q. Is that right? It makes clear that CID should be considered and so on and so on. That then, is this right, is a precursor to what we see at page 116, which you've been taken to already. If you look, please, at 116, that's the phrase you've already been taken to.  That is the standard phrasing you've described that an untimely death should be treated as suspicious until shown otherwise, if there's the slightest doubt it should be treated as suspicious.  A. Yes.  Q. That is a later part of a similar process, isn't it?  A. Well I can't really answer that.  Q. Okay, but this document here reflects, does it, the CID approach that you were adopting?  A. Yes, it reflects the general process, yes.  Q. The slightest doubt test is what you were applying.  A. Yes.  Q. When you were reflecting on the material that you had in front of you, to what degree did you consider whether this man might have been poisoned and therefore there

1	Q. I'm sorry, I can't hear you.	1	sort of thing that didn't take place because of your
2	A. I didn't consider whether or not he had been poisoned.	2	classification of the scene?
3	Q. Were you familiar with other cases in which people of	3	A. Yes.
4	Russian origin had been poisoned in this country?	4	Q. Is it fair to assume that because you and your colleague
5	A. There's obviously the plutonium poisoning.	5	handed over the case to others within CID marked as
6	Q. Polonium poisoning, you mean? Mr Litvinenko?	6	"non-suspicious" that that's the way that they would
7	A. Yes.	7	also have approached it?
8	Q. He died, had he, in 2006?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. When, for example, decisions were taken later on about
10	Q. You were aware of at least one other case in which	10	the sort of postmortem that was necessary, that may well
11	a Russian had been poisoned in this country?	11	have been influenced by your classification of this from
12	A. Indeed, but I didn't think at the time that I would	12	the beginning as non-suspicious?
13	phone the major crime scene to suggest that potentially	13	A. Any classification of an incident resulting in the death
14	we had a plutonium poisoning that evening.	14	which is non-suspicious would just be referred to the
15	Q. It's polonium?	15	coroner as a non-suspicious death.
16	A. Polonium.	16	Q. The point I'm making is perhaps a different one, which
17	Q. My question is then this: do you understand even now	17	is that you were the first people to classify this death
18	that someone can be poisoned and there be no obvious	18	as non-suspicious and that may well have affected not
19	sign of that on their body?	19	only what happened on your watch but what happened
20	A. Yes.	20	afterwards. Is that right?
21	Q. Is that something you thought about when assessing this	21	A. Absolutely.
22	man's body?	22	Q. Have I understood it correctly that at the time you and
23	A. No, it wasn't.	23	your colleague DS Seear were involved there were
24	Q. Do you accept that the classification of the scene that	24	officers back at the station doing internet research on
25	you and your colleague applied led to a different	25	the deceased person; is that right?
			•
	Page 181		Page 183
	t variation and the contract		
1	approach, I think, in that this was no longer treated as	1	A. I can't comment on that; I wasn't made aware of it.
2	a murder scene. Is that right?	2	Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO
2 3	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it	2 3	Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the
2 3 4	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.	2 3 4	Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?
2 3 4 5	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li><li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.</li> <li>Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.</li> <li>Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.  Q. Although you have described the level of search that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.  Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.</li> <li>Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.</li> <li>Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.  Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.  Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been used to poison this man?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Not with this witness.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.  Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been used to poison this man?  A. Well, if it had been declared as a scene then the scene	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Not with this witness.</li> <li>MS HILL: The previous witness, forgive me.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.  Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been used to poison this man?  A. Well, if it had been declared as a scene then the scene would have been locked down, it would have been secured	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Not with this witness.</li> <li>MS HILL: The previous witness, forgive me.  If you look at bundle 1, page 22.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.</li> <li>Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been used to poison this man?</li> <li>A. Well, if it had been declared as a scene then the scene would have been locked down, it would have been secured and then there would have been — a forensic search</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Not with this witness.</li> <li>MS HILL: The previous witness, forgive me.  If you look at bundle 1, page 22.  This is an internet article</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.</li> <li>Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been used to poison this man?</li> <li>A. Well, if it had been declared as a scene then the scene would have been locked down, it would have been secured and then there would have been — a forensic search would have taken place in the surrounding area, so you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?  A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition  Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?  A. No, I wasn't given that.  Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1  MR MOXON BROWNE: Not with this witness.  MS HILL: The previous witness, forgive me.  If you look at bundle 1, page 22.  This is an internet article  A. Sorry, I don't have that bundle in front of me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.  Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been used to poison this man?  A. Well, if it had been declared as a scene then the scene would have been locked down, it would have been secured and then there would have been — a forensic search would have taken place in the surrounding area, so you are correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Not with this witness.</li> <li>MS HILL: The previous witness, forgive me.  If you look at bundle 1, page 22.  This is an internet article</li> <li>A. Sorry, I don't have that bundle in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Page 22. I think it is actually behind tab 1 though, is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>a murder scene. Is that right?</li> <li>A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?</li> <li>A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.</li> <li>Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been used to poison this man?</li> <li>A. Well, if it had been declared as a scene then the scene would have been locked down, it would have been secured and then there would have been — a forensic search would have taken place in the surrounding area, so you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?  A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition  Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?  A. No, I wasn't given that.  Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1  MR MOXON BROWNE: Not with this witness.  MS HILL: The previous witness, forgive me.  If you look at bundle 1, page 22.  This is an internet article  A. Sorry, I don't have that bundle in front of me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a murder scene. Is that right?  A. Based on the information that I had, we didn't treat it as a murder scene. That's correct.  Q. I think you've accepted broadly that because of that things proceeded differently in the investigation at the stage you were involved?  A. Well the process is very clearly laid out, so if it is described as a murder scene it would have progressed as I attempted to explain earlier on.  Q. Yes, and so the fact it wasn't meant that things that might have otherwise been done weren't done?  A. That's correct.  Q. Although you have described the level of search that might have taken place, is this not right, that for example because the scene was not declared suspicious there was not a detailed search for needles or water bottles or something of that nature that might have been used to poison this man?  A. Well, if it had been declared as a scene then the scene would have been locked down, it would have been secured and then there would have been — a forensic search would have taken place in the surrounding area, so you are correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. I think we heard evidence from DS Seear about the RIO team, is that right, doing open source checks on the deceased?</li> <li>A. The RIO is the response intelligence officer who are based centrally at headquarters, I don't know whether one was working that night. In 2012 they were probably quite a new addition</li> <li>Q. I think we heard some evidence from DS Seear that there were some open checks research done on Mr Perepilichnyy and some information was obtained about what was in the public domain about him. Do you know much about that information, were you given that?</li> <li>A. No, I wasn't given that.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you to look, please, at bundle 1, which I think my learned friend behind me tried to take you to but just very briefly, page 22 please in bundle 1.  I think my learned friend mentioned bundle 1</li> <li>MR MOXON BROWNE: Not with this witness.</li> <li>MS HILL: The previous witness, forgive me.  If you look at bundle 1, page 22.  This is an internet article</li> <li>A. Sorry, I don't have that bundle in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Page 22. I think it is actually behind tab 1 though, is</li> </ul>

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

1	Officer, if you look, please, can you see the top	1	development events, that take place through the year.
2	left-hand side, the date of this article, which is an	2	Q. Are you trained to classify scenes as suspicious on the
3	internet based article, is 31 May 2011. Do you see	3	basis of this slightest doubt policy, that they should
4	that?	4	remain suspicious if you have the slightest doubt about
5	A. Yes.	5	them?
6	Q. That is before the death of the deceased here. It	6	A. I'm sorry, I'm struggling with your question.
7	refers to Swiss prosecutors having frozen the Swiss bank	7	Q. What I'm trying to understand is if this happened again
8	accounts of Vladen Stepanov, do you see that?	8	and there was a body in front of you of somebody with no
9	A. Yes.	9	visible signs of injury at all who might have been
10	Q. If you look over the page on to the bottom of 23, this	10	poisoned, you would again classify that death as
11	is an open letter effectively from Mr Stepanov that says	11	non-suspicious?
12	this, below the second hole on page 23:	12	A. Probably in 2017 I would be doing my own open source,
13	"Let us now talk about Alexander Perepilichnyy, this	13	because I would have access to open source material
14	man owes me a lot of money. As a matter of fact not	14	which was not something I had access to in 2012 and
15	only to me but also to scores of other creditors, he	15	equally we learn by experience. And so, yes, I would be
16	cheated me by pocketing my money and assets. Currently	16	dealing with this quite differently.
17	he is hiding in London."	17	Q. Have there been any changes in the way in which other
18	Did you see that?	18	officers have been trained to be aware of for example
19	A. Yes.	19	the fact that there are in existence poisons that can
20	Q. Now this was information that was available on the	20	never be detected?
21	internet prior to Mr Perepilichnyy's death. If you had	21	A. I can't comment on what training other officers have
22	been given that information, that Mr Stepanov was	22	been given, but I haven't had any training.
23	writing an account of this nature and it was being said	23	Q. Are you aware of the fact that there are in existence
24	that Mr Perepilichnyy was in hiding in London, would	24	some poisons that can never be detected?
25	that not have coloured the approach you took to his	25	A. I'm not an expert on poisons, so no would be my answer.
	D 405		D 407
	Page 185	-	Page 187
1	death?	1	Q. But again if you had been aware of that proposition,
1 2	death? A. Absolutely, ves.		Q. But again if you had been aware of that proposition, does it follow that you would have left the scene of
1 2 3	death?  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training	1 2 3	Q. But again if you had been aware of that proposition, does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?
2	<ul><li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li><li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training</li></ul>	2	does it follow that you would have left the scene of
2 3	A. Absolutely, yes.	3	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li><li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as</li></ul>	2 3 4	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years
2 3 4 5	A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the	2 3 4 5	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?	2 3 4 5 6	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives.</li> <li>In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives. In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives. In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives.</li> <li>In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment.</li> <li>Now most of those detective inspectors have been on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.  Q. Your evidence I think is that that research process did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives. In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment. Now most of those detective inspectors have been on the senior investigating officers' course, which is</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.  Q. Your evidence I think is that that research process did not generate the article that I've showed you. Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?  A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives.  In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment.  Now most of those detective inspectors have been on the senior investigating officers' course, which is largely tailored towards investigating major crime and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.  Q. Your evidence I think is that that research process did not generate the article that I've showed you. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives. In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment. Now most of those detective inspectors have been on the senior investigating officers' course, which is largely tailored towards investigating major crime and murder.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.  Q. Your evidence I think is that that research process did not generate the article that I've showed you. Is that right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives. In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment. Now most of those detective inspectors have been on the senior investigating officers' course, which is largely tailored towards investigating major crime and murder. Q. Yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.  Q. Your evidence I think is that that research process did not generate the article that I've showed you. Is that right?  A. Yes.  MS HILL: I see, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives. In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment. Now most of those detective inspectors have been on the senior investigating officers' course, which is largely tailored towards investigating major crime and murder. Q. Yes. A. So most of those detective inspectors, myself included, have been on that course. That is the limit of the training and there are a number of continual</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.  Q. Your evidence I think is that that research process did not generate the article that I've showed you. Is that right?  A. Yes.  MS HILL: I see, thank you.  Questions from THE CORONER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives. In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment. Now most of those detective inspectors have been on the senior investigating officers' course, which is largely tailored towards investigating major crime and murder. Q. Yes. A. So most of those detective inspectors, myself included, have been on that course. That is the limit of the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.  Q. Your evidence I think is that that research process did not generate the article that I've showed you. Is that right?  A. Yes.  MS HILL: I see, thank you.  Questions from THE CORONER  THE CORONER: On the information you have now you would make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you just generally, please, what training officers are given in how to classify deaths as suspicious or not and specifically does that slightest doubt test that we've looked at form part of the training given to officers?</li> <li>A. Your question is in several parts. So if I start with what training are they given. That forms part of the basic CID course, I can't comment on what happens with uniformed officers because the policy implies that uniformed officers should defer to the decision making and thought making process by detectives. In terms of the actual policy per se, it is as I have described where a detective inspector would attend a scene and make that assessment. Now most of those detective inspectors have been on the senior investigating officers' course, which is largely tailored towards investigating major crime and murder. Q. Yes. A. So most of those detective inspectors, myself included, have been on that course. That is the limit of the training and there are a number of continual</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	does it follow that you would have left the scene of this death with a classification of suspicious?  A. Based on the information that I now have, five years later, I would make a very different decision, yes.  Q. I think what you are saying is that now you would have a greater ability to do your own research on your phone, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. But back in 2012 you were reliant on the RIO researchers back in the office?  A. I was reliant on the response intelligence officer and reliant on his aptitude, training and skills to actually research. Because not only would he be researching that incident, he would be probably the one person who was doing that for the rest of the force.  Q. Your evidence I think is that that research process did not generate the article that I've showed you. Is that right?  A. Yes.  MS HILL: I see, thank you.  Questions from THE CORONER  THE CORONER: On the information you have now you would make a very different decision?

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

1	THE CORONER: "A very different decision" means you would	1	with them. Based on what I had on the evening at the
2	classify it as suspicious?	2	time, I had a Russian, I can't remember whether or not
3	A. I would have had a conversation with the major crime	3	I knew at the time he was a financier
4	team, I would explain the information that I had and	4	THE CORONER: Just keep your voice up.
5	I think we would come to the consensus that the major	5	A. Sorry. Who very much looked like he had gone out for
6	crime team would have deployed that evening.	6	a run and had fallen over.
7	THE CORONER: Hang on, you say you would make a very	7	So based on the information I had on that evening,
8	different decision, I mean there's only one different	8	I'm satisfied that I made the right decision.
9	decision from non-suspicious.	9	MS HILL: Could the witness repeat the last answer but one,
10	A. Yes, this would be a suspicious death.	10	we couldn't hear the last answer but one.
11	THE CORONER: You have said that, so that's the first thing.	11	THE CORONER: At the time it looked like as if he had gone
12	Then what is it, what's the information that you	12	out for a run and fallen on based on then I'm
13	have now that you didn't have then, please? Just	13	afraid I'm not blaming you, you interrupted my train
14	carefully, because I want to know what it is you say.	14	of thought. Based on the information I had, I cannot
15	A. So we've been to the crime scene, there is nothing there	15	remember what you said, you thought you made the right
16	to say that he met an untimely death as a result of	16	decision at the time?
17	a physical act there and then, but there is significant	17	A. Based on the information I had on that evening, I am
18	open source material which escalates the level of	18	satisfied I made the right decision.
19	concern and the potential risk to the deceased party.	19	MR MOXON BROWNE: While we are just reprising for a moment,
20	That level of concern suggests that he owes	20	I didn't catch the beginning of that passage, whether
21	a significant amount of money	21	I correctly heard the witness to say that now I think
22	THE CORONER: Hold on, so open source material escalates the	22	the death was suspicious, or did I mishear that?
23	concern. So he owes?	23	A. Yes. Based on the information I have now, here, in
24	A. A significant amount of money.	24	2017, the incident was suspicious.
25	He is mentioned as potentially being involved in	25	MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you.
23	The is includined as potentially being involved in	23	WIK WOXON BROWNE. Thank you.
	Page 189		Page 191
1	prosecutions where there was a suggestion of organised	1	A. Based on the information I was presented with
2	crime. And he is identified, and it is suggested, he's	2	in November 2012, I'm satisfied that I made the right
3	living in the London area.	3	decision at the time. In that time, there have been
4	Based on that, and a wider understanding,	4	a number of incidents which have changed thinking.
5	particularly about the uses of poison as a means of	5	But based on what I was dealing with and based on
6	causing people harm, I would have had a conversation	6	the evidence presented to me at the time, we were
7			
	with the major crime team and my recommendation would be	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8	with the major crime team and my recommendation would be that they would at least attend the scene and we would	7	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.
	that they would at least attend the scene and we would	7 8	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death. THE CORONER: Yes.
9	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment	7 8 9	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.
9 10	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.	7 8 9 10	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.
9 10 11	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now	7 8 9 10 11	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.
9 10 11 12	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?	7 8 9 10 11 12	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.
9 10 11 12 13	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.
9 10 11 12 13 14	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been made aware of in the intervening five-year period.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you would speak up so that we can all hear you at this side.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been made aware of in the intervening five-year period.  THE CORONER: You would have spoken to the major crime team	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you would speak up so that we can all hear you at this side.  A. I'll try.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been made aware of in the intervening five-year period.  THE CORONER: You would have spoken to the major crime team and told them that in your view it was suspicious or	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you would speak up so that we can all hear you at this side.  A. I'll try.  Q. Thank you.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been made aware of in the intervening five-year period.  THE CORONER: You would have spoken to the major crime team and told them that in your view it was suspicious or  A. No, I needed to talk it through with them. My view is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you would speak up so that we can all hear you at this side.  A. I'll try.  Q. Thank you.  First of all, may I just establish your position.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been made aware of in the intervening five-year period.  THE CORONER: You would have spoken to the major crime team and told them that in your view it was suspicious or  A. No, I needed to talk it through with them. My view is that it was suspicious, but that is with the benefit of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you would speak up so that we can all hear you at this side.  A. I'll try.  Q. Thank you.  First of all, may I just establish your position.  You have a range of intimidating qualifications that are
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been made aware of in the intervening five-year period.  THE CORONER: You would have spoken to the major crime team and told them that in your view it was suspicious or  A. No, I needed to talk it through with them. My view is that it was suspicious, but that is with the benefit of hindsight and potentially with what I have learnt. So	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you would speak up so that we can all hear you at this side.  A. I'll try.  Q. Thank you.  First of all, may I just establish your position.  You have a range of intimidating qualifications that are nothing to do with translation as far, as I can see,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been made aware of in the intervening five-year period.  THE CORONER: You would have spoken to the major crime team and told them that in your view it was suspicious or  A. No, I needed to talk it through with them. My view is that it was suspicious, but that is with the benefit of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you would speak up so that we can all hear you at this side.  A. I'll try.  Q. Thank you.  First of all, may I just establish your position.  You have a range of intimidating qualifications that are
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that they would at least attend the scene and we would have had more of a mature discussion and an assessment as to the next steps to be taken.  THE CORONER: Your greater understanding about poison now than then is?  A. That is based on the recent events in Kuala Lumpur, where the suggestion is that death of the brother-in-law of Kim Jong-un was due to inhalation of poison.  THE CORONER: If you had known all that?  A. Then I would have spoken to the major crime team that evening. However, those are only facts that I've been made aware of in the intervening five-year period.  THE CORONER: You would have spoken to the major crime team and told them that in your view it was suspicious or  A. No, I needed to talk it through with them. My view is that it was suspicious, but that is with the benefit of hindsight and potentially with what I have learnt. So	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dealing with an untimely death, not a suspicious death.  THE CORONER: Yes.  MS BARTON: I have no questions, thank you, sir.  THE CORONER: Anyone? No.  Thank you very much.  A. Thank you.  MR SKELTON: Sir, may we now call Dr Panfilova.  DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)  THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Dr Panfilova, it's a very large court and you are quite far away. So I would be very grateful if you would speak up so that we can all hear you at this side.  A. I'll try.  Q. Thank you.  First of all, may I just establish your position.  You have a range of intimidating qualifications that are nothing to do with translation as far, as I can see,

1	A. Okay.	1	Am I pronouncing that word correctly?
2	Q. But you are a registered translator is that correct?	2	A. Yes, "krysha".
3	A. Exactly.	3	Q. Krysha.
4	Q. Do you have your statement in front of you?	4	First of all, can I ask you, are you familiar with
5	A. Yes.	5	the word "krysha" in its various meanings?
6	Q. Great. So you are you have taken a first degree in	6	A. Yes.
7	translation from English into Russian from the	7	Q. What does the word literally mean?
8	department of foreign languages of Moscow Aviation	8	A. It means "roof".
9	Technology University in Russia?	9	Q. Roof?
10	A. That's correct.	10	A. The roof of the house.
11	Q. Then at the University of Cambridge you have done	11	Q. If someone does have an actual problem with their roof,
12	a certificate in English sorry, a local examinations	12	they will use the word krysha to describe that problem?
13	certificate international examinations certificate.	13	A. Yes.
14	What is that?	14	Q. But it also has an idiomatic sense, does it not?
15	A. It is advanced English certificate. From Cambridge	15	A. Yes, it has several idiomatic sense, yes.
16	University, but I took it in the South Nottingham	16	Q. Could you explain the different types of meaning it can
17	college in 1995.	17	have?
18	Q. So you have a qualification from the University of	18	A. Okay. When you say "it's all over the roof".
19	Cambridge and from South Nottingham College; is that	19	Q. Say that again, please?
20	right?	20	THE CORONER: It's all over the roof?
21	THE CORONER: I think what you are saying is you took it at	21	A. It's all over the roof.
22	South Nottingham College.	22	MR SKELTON: What does that mean?
23	A. Exactly.	23	A. It means that you have a lot of problems.
24	THE CORONER: But is it a Cambridge paper or exam?	24	Q. All over the roof?
25	A. Yes, that's it.	25	A. Yes.
	D 402		D 405
	Page 193		Page 195
1	MR SKELTON: Thank you.	1	If you say I will say it in Russian. [Russian
1 2	MR SKELTON: Thank you.  You have translated a lot of documents, but today we	1 2	If you say — I will say it in Russian. [Russian spoken] for example. The literal translation would be,
2	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we	2	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be,
2 3	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document,	2 3	spoken  for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in
2 3 4	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.	2 3 4	spoken  for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian.
2 3 4 5	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to	2 3 4 5	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped?
2 3 4 5 6	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text	2 3 4 5 6	spoken  for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.	2 3 4 5 6 7	spoken  for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes. Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes. Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad". THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"? A. It means "I've gone mad".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes. Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad". THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"? A. It means "I've gone mad". THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right? Yes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes. Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad". THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"? A. It means "I've gone mad". THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right?  Yes?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes. Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad". THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"? A. It means "I've gone mad". THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right?  Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes. Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad". THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"? A. It means "I've gone mad". THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off. A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right?  Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the insurers querying the translation and they attached some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian.  Q. The roof has slipped?  A. Yes.  Q. So the top has come off.  A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"?  A. I'm going mad, yes.  Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad".  THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"?  A. It means "I've gone mad".  THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off.  A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "I'm done for", "I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right? Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the insurers querying the translation and they attached some internet research from their favourite websites,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian.  Q. The roof has slipped?  A. Yes.  Q. So the top has come off.  A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"?  A. I'm going mad, yes.  Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad".  THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"?  A. It means "I've gone mad".  THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off.  A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "I'm done for", "I'm finished". Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right? Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the insurers querying the translation and they attached some internet research from their favourite websites, Eurasian Law Breaking News and another website, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian.  Q. The roof has slipped?  A. Yes.  Q. So the top has come off.  A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"?  A. I'm going mad, yes.  Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad".  THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"?  A. It means "I've gone mad".  THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off.  A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "I'm done for", "I'm finished". Okay? THE CORONER: Hold on, just a minute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right? Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the insurers querying the translation and they attached some internet research from their favourite websites, Eurasian Law Breaking News and another website, which I think is which is appended also on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes. Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad". THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"? A. It means "I've gone mad". THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off. A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "I'm done for", "I'm finished". Okay? THE CORONER: Hold on, just a minute. A. "I'm done for", "I'm finished".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right? Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the insurers querying the translation and they attached some internet research from their favourite websites, Eurasian Law Breaking News and another website, which I think is which is appended also on the Informality.com or the Global Informality Project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian.  Q. The roof has slipped?  A. Yes.  Q. So the top has come off.  A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"?  A. I'm going mad, yes.  Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad".  THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"?  A. It means "I've gone mad".  THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off.  A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "I'm done for", "I'm finished". Okay?  THE CORONER: Hold on, just a minute.  A. "I'm done for", "I'm finished".  THE CORONER: If you say, "The lid is over me", or, "the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right? Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the insurers querying the translation and they attached some internet research from their favourite websites, Eurasian Law Breaking News and another website, which I think is which is appended also on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian. Q. The roof has slipped? A. Yes. Q. So the top has come off. A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"? A. I'm going mad, yes. Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad". THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"? A. It means "I've gone mad". THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off. A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "I'm done for", "I'm finished". Okay? THE CORONER: Hold on, just a minute. A. "I'm done for", "I'm finished".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right? Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the insurers querying the translation and they attached some internet research from their favourite websites, Eurasian Law Breaking News and another website, which I think is which is appended also on the Informality.com or the Global Informality Project.  Both of which deal with the word "krysha".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian.  Q. The roof has slipped?  A. Yes.  Q. So the top has come off.  A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"?  A. I'm going mad, yes.  Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad".  THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"?  A. It means "I've gone mad".  THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off.  A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "I'm done for", "I'm finished". Okay?  THE CORONER: Hold on, just a minute.  A. "I'm done for", "I'm finished".  THE CORONER: If you say, "The lid is over me", or, "the roof is over me", or the lid is over me I think you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You have translated a lot of documents, but today we are only focussing in fact on one particular document, and indeed on one particular word.  You were asked by the solicitor to the Inquest to reconsider a translation that you had done of a text message.  A. (Nods)  Q. That's how this statement came about. Can I ask you to look at the bundle you have in front of you, which should be the witness bundle. Towards the back, if you could turn towards the back under tab 23, please the miscellaneous bundle, it should be. Is that the witness bundle or the miscellaneous bundle?  Thank you. You can leave that big one alone and use this one. Page 230. Do you have that? Top right? Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. There was a letter that came from counsel for the insurers querying the translation and they attached some internet research from their favourite websites, Eurasian Law Breaking News and another website, which I think is which is appended also on the Informality.com or the Global Informality Project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	spoken] for example. The literal translation would be, "The roof has slipped". It means, "I'm going mad", in Russian.  Q. The roof has slipped?  A. Yes.  Q. So the top has come off.  A. It is literal translation. You know, if you say — THE CORONER: Literally it means the roof has slipped, but people would say it to mean "I'm going mad"?  A. I'm going mad, yes.  Or if you say, "The roof has been blown off", [Russian spoken] it means, "I've got mad".  THE CORONER: It means "I've gone mad"?  A. It means "I've gone mad".  THE CORONER: Rather than "going mad", it means you've actually gone mad, if you say the roof has actually come off, blown off.  A. If you say [Russian spoken] it means, "The lid is over me", [Russian spoken] it means, "I'm done for", "I'm finished". Okay?  THE CORONER: Hold on, just a minute.  A. "I'm done for", "I'm finished".  THE CORONER: If you say, "The lid is over me", or, "the

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

1	said.	1	quite literal?
2	A. In Russian you said "krysha", the word "krysha" not	2	A. Yes, it was translated as, "Over the roof", "I have
3	"lid", but literal translation is, "The lid is over me".	3	a headache over the roof".
4	MR SKELTON: When would one use such a phrase?	4	THE CORONER: I have?
5	A. When you were when you are very tired, when you are	5	A. Headache.
6	just fed up with everything, you say it, "I'm done	6	THE CORONER: I have headache over the roof?
7	for".	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Is it catastrophic or is it just somebody that's in a	8	MR SKELTON: The first part of it:
9	bad situation?	9	"I do not want to talk about things in my homeland."
10	A. It's a slang language, sometimes people say things, you	10	A. Yes.
11	know, to express themselves more, you know, explicitly.	11	Q. The word "krysha" appears, does it, in the second part
12	Q. Thank you.	12	of the text?
13	Are there any other recognised, normal idiomatic	13	A. Yes.
14	uses of the word in context?	14	Q. You have translated it as:
15	A. No, I don't think so.	15	"I'm up to my eyeballs with my own problem."
16	Q. Could you look at page 236, please.	16	You have moved it from a Russian idiom to an English
17	A. 236, yes. Yes.	17	idiom?
18	Q. So we have some texts dated 5 November 2012.	18	A. Exactly.
19	A. Six messages.	19	Q. Could you explain why you think it means up to my
20	Q. Yes. You have translated these and the key one is the	20	eyeballs, which is a common phrase, and not "krysha" in
21	second from the last one. So just looking at the	21	the context of "protection"?
22	exchange, there are some around 14.27, 14.26, 14.24 and	22	A. Because I would like to avoid the word roof, which was
23	another one from 14.24. Did you take into account that	23	in the previous translation. Because there were
24	context or do you just	24	questions about this word, because it's somebody
25	A. Yes, of course.	25	thinks that it is a criminal protection racket. So to
	,		F
	Page 197		Page 199
1	Q. As a translator, that's what	1	avoid this meaning I translated it in an idiomatic way.
2	A. Yes, of course.	2	Q. Did you say to avoid? I mean, is the word "roof" not in
3	Q. You can see there's discussion, which I think is	3	the context of "protection racket", isn't that a form of
4	uncontroversial about, taking it from the bottom,	4	idiom, because it doesn't literally mean "roof" it's
5	"I watch the news only on technical topics now", there	5	just the use of a word
6	is discussion about news about Colombia?	6	A. Exactly, it doesn't mean exactly the roof of the house
7	A. Mm-hmm, oil industry.	7	or the criminal protection racket here, so
8	THE CORONER: Which order, sorry, do these go in?	8	Q. How do you know it doesn't mean that?
9	A. You have to go up, from the bottom.	9	THE CORONER: Sorry, can I just ask just before you do that.
10	THE CORONER: They are all in the correct	10	You went through senses in which "krysha" could be
11	MR SKELTON: I think they start at the bottom, this little	11	used, do you remember, you started that
12	run of texts start at the bottom, sir, 14.24.	12	A. Exactly, yes.
13	THE CORONER: I want to make sure we are all doing it in the	13	THE CORONER: It means roof and then you said there was an
14	same order. As you say, it starts at the bottom.	14	idiomatic sense to have a lot of problems, you're going
15	MR SKELTON: Then, 40 seconds or so later, there's a text in	15	mad, you've gone mad, that you're done for. Do you
16	response. So just taking it from the bottom:	16	remember that?
17	"I watch the news only on technical topics now."	17	Just help me. Does it also have this sense then,
18	Then the response is could you read out the	18	just before we come to what you say it means here, in
19	contentious one in Russian just	19	connection with a protection racket of some kind? Is
20	A. The next one?	20	that another meaning whether it means that here is
21	Q. Yes.	21	a different question, you explain that to us in a
22	A. [Russian spoken] It's above the roof.	22	moment. But does it also
23	Q. Above the roof?	23	A. If it would be in a different context, it could be.
24	A. Yes.	24	THE CORONER: That's just what I want to get
25	Q. Originally I think there was a translation that was	25	A. It could be.
	-		

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

1	THE CORONER: So in some contexts	1	of problems where he is.
2	A. Some contexts it could be, yes.	2	Q. Could it not though mean, "I do not want to talk about
3	THE CORONER: It can refer to what how would you put it?	3	events back in Russia, because I'm paying protection
4	A. It can refer to criminal protection racket.	4	money back in Russia"?
5	THE CORONER: Pause, just a minute.	5	A. Right.
6	A. But not in this context.	6	Q. Could it mean that?
7	THE CORONER: Okay, when I have just written that down we	7	A. No, I don't think so. It's not related to that in any
8	will come to that.	8	way.
9	Right. Now why do you say that it's not referring	9	Q. Would you expect the phrase to be different, leave aside
10	to that here?	10	the context but the actual way the word appears in the
11	A. Because I can't see the meaning of this here. It	11	sentence?
12	just people discuss it in use on the television,	12	A. I'm not sure if in this case the man would use the word
13	because probably they sent messages to each other and	13	"roof". I can't be sure that it will be the word roof,
14	they looked at the television use. And on the	14	it will be probably the word "cover".
15	television as I understand this and on the	15	Q. Cover?
16	television there were several news, you see, about oil	16	A. Cover. Protection cover.
17	industry, about Colombia. Because if you look at the	17	Q. Which is what in Russian?
18	bottom message:	18	A. This means criminal protection racket, cover.
19	"I watch the news only on technical topics now."	19	Q. What's the word in Russian?
20	So what he means, that he doesn't interested in any	20	A. [Russian spoken] It's from "krysha", but longer,
21	political news or in any homeland news because he has	21	[Russian spoken]. It's when you're selling protection
22	his own problems here. That's all.	22	services, you know, to business, for money, and they
23	MR SKELTON: Can I just clarify, the context in which you	23	provide the protection to you. You see?
24	translate, there are two obvious types of context. One	24	THE CORONER: Could you miss the last bit of that off, so
25	is a conversation, so you can see the overall flow of	25	suppose for example you talked about, in English,
	Page 201		Page 203
1	the communication.	1	a "protection racket", imagine that was the full phrase
2	A. Yes.	2	but you left the second bit off and just called it
3	Q. That's what you're talking about?	3	"protection". Could you talk about meaning in terms
4	A. Yes.	4	of protection, rather than doing the second bit, so
5	Q. Having looked at what they're exchanging	5	"Kryshavanye" could you leave the last bit off if you
6	A. Yes.	6	have just talked about "krysha", could that mean
7	Q in terms of information, the context makes it look	7	"protection" but just a short form?
8	like they're not talking about protection money. Is	8	Do you understand what I'm asking?
9	that what you are saying?	9	A. I understand you, but I can't see any connection with
10	A. I can't see that they are talking about protection	10	this, in this phrase, you see. He just simply said,
11	business, no.	11	"I had a headache over my roof", that's all.
12	Q. There's that form of context.	12	MR SKELTON: Could it mean, "I don't want to talk about
13	The other form of context is where the word sits	13	things in my homeland, I've got enough problems with
14	within the sentence?	14	protection"?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. He doesn't want to talk about things in his homeland
16	Q. Could you explain whether or not, if you leave aside the	16	because probably they are watching the news and he just
17	different texts and the references to Colombia and the	17	doesn't want to discuss things. That's all.
18	oil industry, whether or not that sentence, that second	18	You have to take into account that this is actually
19	sentence that you have translated, could mean	19	text messages. People don't say long messages, you
20	"protection"?	20	know, they don't explain themselves fully in the text
21	A. No. No.	21	messages.
22	Q. Leaving aside	22	So I can't see this in this message, the connection
23	A. What he said, he said that I don't want to talk about	23	with this criminal protection racket. No, I'm quite
24	things in my homeland, and then he said that he had	24	sure about this.
25	a headache above the roof. That means that he had a lot	25	Q. On the page 233, if you just look back a few pages.
	D 202		P 204
	Page 202		Page 204
			51 (Pages 201 to 204)

		_	
1	I think there's a reference to that word that you have	1	A. So I translated it differently.
2	used, kryshavanye?	2	Q. "Things"?
3	A. Yes. Page 233, which paragraph?	3	A. Yes, because literal translation would be "about topics
4	Q. The big paragraph that's just under the title. If you	4	in my homeland don't want to talk", that's all, there is
5	just want to read it for a second. There's a sentence	5	no words "opportunities" or "interested".
6	saying:	6	I just would like to notice that, okay.
7	"The term has become popular in Russian, Ukrainian	7	Q. If you were pushed, is there any alternative translation
8	and Belorussian languages."	8	you would give to the one that you have given on
9		9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	A. Yes, I can see the word kryshavanye, which I said to		page 236, any other possible version of that phrase or
10	you, the long one, in the middle of the paragraph.	10	the two sentences?
11	Q. Yes, and "kryshevat".	11	A. I can see the first part before the comma is correct.
12	A. Yes.	12	Maybe you cannot use the idiomatic expression, you
13	Q. The verb.	13	just can say, "I have a lot of problems here", you know.
14	A. The verb, or the noun, "kryshavanye".	14	Q. Or, "Up to my neck with problems", that kind of thing?
15	Q. Is there another one I won't try and pronounce, the	15	A. Yes.
16	participle. How do you pronounce that?	16	MR SKELTON: Thank you.
17	A. Which one?	17	THE CORONER: If you wanted to say, as shortly as you could
18	THE CORONER: It's a bit difficult it's the one	18	in a text, so not writing more than you had to, yes. As
19	A. I can read all of them: kryshavanye, kryshuyushchiy.	19	you were saying people keep it short in a text
20	MR SKELTON: That's the one.	20	A. Yes.
21	A. It's a person who cover, you know, the business from the	21	THE CORONER: If you wanted to say in a text, "I'm paying
22	top. Provide the services. Sometimes you mean	22	protection money", okay, imagine you did, would it be
23	"lobbying", you know under this, you know if you have	23	the same or different as the words in Russian in red in
24	a person with the power, the government.	24	the box there?
25	THE CORONER: "Lobbying", did you say?	25	A. It's not relevant to this conversation, you see. It's
	Page 205		Page 207
1	A Labbying ves So sometimes you mean this	1	not relevant to this at all.
1 2	A. Lobbying, yes. So sometimes you mean this.  MR SKELTON: Can Liust so back I don't want to overlabour	1 2	not relevant to this at all.  THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian
2	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour	2	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian
2 3	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but	2 3	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk
2 3 4	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific	2 3 4	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.
2 3 4 5	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry,	2 3 4 5	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk  about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine
2 3 4 5 6	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could	2 3 4 5 6	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine A. Oh, right
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine A. Oh, right THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.  Q. "I am not interested in opportunities in homeland"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man" probably, "The powerful man", okay, "The cover", "My
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.  Q. "I am not interested in opportunities in homeland"  A. Yes, yes, "I'm not interested in opportunities"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man" probably, "The powerful man", okay, "The cover", "My friend", maybe, or, "Our friend who is known to you";
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.  Q. "I am not interested in opportunities in homeland"  A. Yes, yes, "I'm not interested in opportunities"  Q. " with my own headache over the roof."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man" probably, "The powerful man", okay, "The cover", "My friend", maybe, or, "Our friend who is known to you"; something like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.  Q. "I am not interested in opportunities in homeland"  A. Yes, yes, "I'm not interested in opportunities"  Q. " with my own headache over the roof."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man" probably, "The powerful man", okay, "The cover", "My friend", maybe, or, "Our friend who is known to you"; something like that.  THE CORONER: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.  Q. "I am not interested in opportunities in homeland"  A. Yes, yes, "I'm not interested in opportunities"  Q. " with my own headache over the roof."  There is no the words, "interested in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man" probably, "The powerful man", okay, "The cover", "My friend", maybe, or, "Our friend who is known to you"; something like that.  THE CORONER: All right. Yes, Mr Moxon Browne?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.  Q. "I am not interested in opportunities in homeland"  A. Yes, yes, "I'm not interested in opportunities"  Q. " with my own headache over the roof."  There is no the words, "interested in the opportunities", in the original Russian source.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man" probably, "The powerful man", okay, "The cover", "My friend", maybe, or, "Our friend who is known to you"; something like that.  THE CORONER: All right. Yes, Mr Moxon Browne? Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.  Q. "I am not interested in opportunities in homeland"  A. Yes, yes, "I'm not interested in opportunities"  Q. " with my own headache over the roof."  There is no the words, "interested in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man" probably, "The powerful man", okay, "The cover", "My friend", maybe, or, "Our friend who is known to you"; something like that.  THE CORONER: All right. Yes, Mr Moxon Browne?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SKELTON: Can I just go back, I don't want to overlabour this point, although I probably have done already, but if one goes back just to the context of that specific text, leaving aside the references to the oil industry, Colombia and other texts, is it possible the text could mean "protection"?  A. No.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not in any way. No.  I would like to also say that in previous translation, the first part of this sentence was translated absolutely incorrectly. And probably this misled you, you see.  Because initial translation was  Q. Do you want to look at your statement where I think you've dealt with this?  A. Yes. Somewhere in my statement, yes.  Q. "I am not interested in opportunities in homeland"  A. Yes, yes, "I'm not interested in opportunities"  Q. " with my own headache over the roof."  There is no the words, "interested in the opportunities", in the original Russian source.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CORONER: No. If you wanted to say that in Russian  A. People don't talk first of all, people will not talk about this in text messages.  THE CORONER: No, but just imagine, imagine  A. Oh, right  THE CORONER: I want you just to imagine that someone is because what, you're saying they might be worried about putting it in a text?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Is that what you are saying?  A. Mm-hmm.  THE CORONER: Just suppose somebody was prepared to put it in a text  A. I think they would say "my cover", "My cover in Russia", something like that. I don't think they would say, you know, in full, criminal protection racket in my homeland, I don't think so. They will say, "The man" probably, "The powerful man", okay, "The cover", "My friend", maybe, or, "Our friend who is known to you"; something like that.  THE CORONER: All right. Yes, Mr Moxon Browne? Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

1	be handed round. (Handed)	1	Do you remember in your statement you had put
2	Dr Panfilova, in your witness statement, you say:	2	a reference to "See Wikipedia.org for protection
3	"'Krysha' does not mean 'protection racket', see	3	racket", I think he's saying: is this the article you
4	Wikipedia 'protection racket'."	4	were referring everybody to?
5	Can you just look at that? Page 2 of your witness	5	A. No, I also read the article which was sent have been
6	statement.	6	sent to me by Client(?) international where
7	A. Page 2. Which paragraph is it?	7	Roman Abramovich explained the thing.
8	Q. It's the second page at the top. You say:	8	MR MOXON BROWNE: That's a different one. What we're
9	"Literal translation 'over the roof', 'Krysha' does	9	talking about now is your statement and what you looked
10	not mean 'protection racket'."	10	at, and I think that included this Wikipedia article,
11	Then to back yourself up you say:	11	didn't it?
12	"See Wikipedia 'protection racket'."	12	A. Yes.
13	Do you see that?	13	Q. Did you get to the end of it or did you just read enough
14	THE CORONER: Have you got that	14	that you could find out
15	A. I meant that in this context, it doesn't mean	15	A. No, I read it all.
16	"protection racket". And the link I gave is Wikipedia	16	Q. You read it through?
17	where they can explain what the meaning of the	17	A. Yes, yes.
18	protection racket.	18	Q. Did you get to the bit on page 13?
19	MR MOXON BROWNE: Yes. I think we all know what "protection	19	A. Yes.
20	racket" means. Did you know what it means before you	20	Q. The bullet points where it says:
21	looked at Wikipedia?	21	"In post-Soviet Russia law enforcement was too
22	A. I know how to say this of course I've known it	22	underfunded and poorly trained to protect businesses and
23	in general, you see, but when I translated things	23	enforce contracts. Most businesses had to join
24	I usually, you know, look at things, and there are a lot	24	a protection racket, known as 'krysha', the Russian word
25	of different protection rackets. It could be by mafia,	25	for roof, run by local gangsters."
	Page 209		Page 211
1	it could be by government structures, it could be by	1	To 11 decide 21 de
1	it could be by government structures, it could be by		
2		1	It would seem that the article read
2	lobbying.	2	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you
3	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?	2 3	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you A. Yes, I found it, thank you.
3 4	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what? A. By lobbying.	2 3 4	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you <b>A. Yes, I found it, thank you.</b> THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.
3 4 5	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.	2 3 4 5	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.
3 4 5 6	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.	2 3 4 5 6	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.
3 4 5 6 7	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different. MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article
3 4 5 6 7 8	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at. (Pause)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.  THE CORONER: Page 11 at the bottom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.  A. And it also means just the roof of the house as well.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.  THE CORONER: Page 11 at the bottom.  A. Okay, overview.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.  A. And it also means just the roof of the house as well.  Q. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.  THE CORONER: Page 11 at the bottom.  A. Okay, overview.  THE CORONER: Can you see, that's it, it says overview.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.  A. And it also means just the roof of the house as well.  Q. Yes.  The question of whether the context, the wider
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.  THE CORONER: Page 11 at the bottom.  A. Okay, overview.  THE CORONER: Can you see, that's it, it says overview.  A. About Russian mafia?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.  A. And it also means just the roof of the house as well.  Q. Yes.  The question of whether the context, the wider context, not just of the text but of the case as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.  THE CORONER: Page 11 at the bottom.  A. Okay, overview.  THE CORONER: Can you see, that's it, it says overview.  A. About Russian mafia?  THE CORONER: I think, Mr Moxon Browne, do you want to look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.  A. And it also means just the roof of the house as well.  Q. Yes.  The question of whether the context, the wider context, not just of the text but of the case as a whole, permits the slang interpretation is for the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.  THE CORONER: Page 11 at the bottom.  A. Okay, overview.  THE CORONER: Can you see, that's it, it says overview.  A. About Russian mafia?  THE CORONER: I think, Mr Moxon Browne, do you want to look at these pages here just to see if this is what you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.  A. And it also means just the roof of the house as well.  Q. Yes.  The question of whether the context, the wider context, not just of the text but of the case as a whole, permits the slang interpretation is for the coroner. But I just want to put this to you about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lobbying. THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying. THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.  THE CORONER: Page 11 at the bottom.  A. Okay, overview.  THE CORONER: Can you see, that's it, it says overview.  A. About Russian mafia?  THE CORONER: I think, Mr Moxon Browne, do you want to look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.  A. And it also means just the roof of the house as well.  Q. Yes.  The question of whether the context, the wider context, not just of the text but of the case as a whole, permits the slang interpretation is for the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	lobbying.  THE CORONER: By what?  A. By lobbying.  THE CORONER: Lobbying, yes.  A. Yes. In the government. So it's different.  MR MOXON BROWNE: If you look at page 11 of the bundle that I hope has been handed to you, they're numbered in the bottom right-hand corner, it's what I believe you were looking at  A. Wait a minute, page 11.  THE CORONER: Page 11 in this one you have. That's the one, in the separate one, in there, page 11.  MR MOXON BROWNE: This article, to be found in Wikipedia, is, I think, the one you looked at.  (Pause)  THE CORONER: Do you have that all right?  A. Page 11, okay, yes.  THE CORONER: Page 11 at the bottom.  A. Okay, overview.  THE CORONER: Can you see, that's it, it says overview.  A. About Russian mafia?  THE CORONER: I think, Mr Moxon Browne, do you want to look at these pages here just to see if this is what you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CORONER: Just a minute, were you —  A. Yes, I found it, thank you.  THE CORONER: Sorry, Mr Moxon Browne.  MR MOXON BROWNE: I'm sorry, it's my fault.  THE CORONER: No, no, over to you.  MR MOXON BROWNE: It doesn't seem that this article suggests, as you say here, krysha does not mean protection racket, I think if you read it carefully you would see that it says krysha does mean protection racket.  A. Krysha does mean protection racket but it doesn't mean protection racket in the context I translated.  Q. I understand. Now we understand where we are. It can mean protection racket but you don't think it means that in the context in which you read it?  A. Exactly.  Q. Yes.  A. And it also means just the roof of the house as well.  Q. Yes.  The question of whether the context, the wider context, not just of the text but of the case as a whole, permits the slang interpretation is for the coroner. But I just want to put this to you about the

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

	<u> </u>		
1	saying is this. There is an offer coming from Russia to	1	A. Yes, I can see this but it is far away from the message
2	get involved with a business opportunity involving	2	with the word krysha.
3	glass, and he's saying, "Do you know anything about	3	THE CORONER: Just hold on, will you just bear with me.
4	glass?" To Alexander?	4	A. Okay.
5	A. No, I don't think so. I can't see this in this	5	THE CORONER: You have that one and there's the
6	Q. You don't see	6	translation
7	A. No.	7	A. Yes, do you have any knowledge, yes.
8	Q an invitation coming from Russia to think about	8	THE CORONER: Then if we just go over to the right,
9	a business opportunity involving glass?	9	2012.11.5, and then the time, 14.17 and 58 seconds,
10	A. From whom? From whom?	10	I expect. Do you see that?
11	Q. I don't know who it is	11	A. Yes.
12	A. From the other party, there are two men sending each	12	THE CORONER: 2.17. Then if you look at the other page you
13	other messages.	13	were looking at, the next one, if I'm getting
14	Q. One of them is Alexander Perepilichnyy, the other is	14	MR MOXON BROWNE: 236 in the bundle.
15	someone in Russia. The one in Russia is saying: are you	15	THE CORONER: That's it
16	interested in a business opportunity involving glass?	16	MR MOXON BROWNE: It's at the bottom.
17	A. No, there is no such words in it.	17	THE CORONER: Can you see that one is at 14.24, so it's
18	THE CORONER: Can I just just one minute.	18	seven minutes later, okay?
19	Mr Moxon Browne, can I just do you say the	19	A. Okay, mm-hmm.
20	reference to glass is in what we've got here or do we	20	THE CORONER: That top one, is that accurate, there is
21	have to look at other ones.	21	a reference to glass in the top one. Do you remember on
22	MR MOXON BROWNE: It's not in this little bundle	22	the other page you looked at?
23	THE CORONER: I think that's what the witness was struggling	23	A. Mm-hmm.
24	with	24	THE CORONER: Correct?
25	MR MOXON BROWNE: Yes, I think if we go in the miscellaneous	25	A. (Pause)
	Page 213		Page 215
1	bundle, if that's the best place, is it not in the	1	MR MOXON BROWNE: They're talking about business
2	THE CORONER: I think what she's saying is she cannot see	2	opportunities in Russia, is he interested in anything to
3	the word "glass" here, it could well be if you give her	3	do with glass. He comes back and says, along the lines,
4	the other page I think everybody is agreed the word	4	"I'm not interested in opportunities in the homeland, in
5	glass isn't there	5	Russia"
6	A. If you see fifth message from the bottom	6	A. Which one?
7	THE CORONER: I know, I agree what you're saying is the	7	Q. It's at the bottom of the page, which has 236 at the
8	word "glass" isn't there, and I just want	8	top.
9	Mr Moxon Browne if he were to give you a page I have as	9	THE CORONER: He's now asking you about the one that has the
10	673, which I think	10	word krysha in it.
11	MR MOXON BROWNE: Hearing bundle reference, please?	11	A. Yes.
12	Miscellaneous bundle 235. Page 235; do you have	12	THE CORONER: Okay so that's what he's
13	that, doctor?	13	A. I think you are using the previous translation, not
14	A. Yes.	14	mine.
15	Q. Look at the top.	15	MR MOXON BROWNE: No, I'm looking at the one that you did,
16	A. Yes.	16	which is on pages 235 and 236
17	Q. The very top one and there's Russian written there, and	17	A. On the top?
18	then the translation we have, which for all I know was	18	THE CORONER: Yes, he's starting
19	done by you, saying:	19	A. The first on the top, then will follow to the bottom on
20	"Do you have any knowledge of the glass industry?	20	the next page.
21	One friend here has an idea that this is in our	21	THE CORONER: What he's showing you is at 217 there's
22	homeland."	22	a question, "Do you have any knowledge of the glass
23	Which we think means it's an opportunity in Russia,	23	industry, one friend here has an idea but this is in our
24	or possibly Ukraine.	24	homeland".
25	THE CORONER: Just pause	25	He's showing you that
	Page 214		Page 216

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

1	A. Yes.	1	bottom of the page krysha:
2	THE CORONER: and pointing out to you that that comes	2	"A krysha is a virtual necessity in most organised
3	before the two messages at 2.24 that we looked at at the	3	ventures in which sales, income and profit are
4	bottom of the other page	4	generated."
5	A. The reply was, "I watch the news only on technical	5	I won't take up time, but this bundle shows that the
6	topics now"	6	expression krysha is, in the context in which we're
7	THE CORONER: That's right, and then	7	talking, in a business context, it's nothing to do with
8	A. And then, "I don't want to talk about things in my	8	roofs, it's commonly accepted to mean the protection of
9	homeland", I cannot see the word "opportunities" here.	9	a racketeer. It seems to me that that's something you
10	THE CORONER: You can't see?	10	have only discovered rather recently.
11	A. The word "opportunities".	11	A. No. No, you are not right. No.
12	THE CORONER: No, opportunities	12	Q. All these new developments perhaps happened
13	MR MOXON BROWNE: We're talking about context, it's a matter	13	A. No, in this context it doesn't mean any protection
14	for the coroner but I'm suggesting to you that the	14	racket, it simply means that I have a lot of problems on
15	context is: are you interested in doing something in	15	my plate, for example.
16	Russia involving glass? He says, "I'm not interested in	16	Q. Yes.
17	investing in Russia because I have problems with my	17	A. That's all.
18	krysha".	18	Q. Well it can mean protection racket, I think you agree
19	A. Not with krysha. Not with krysha. I have a headache	19	A. In another context
20	all over my roof, all over the roof. He doesn't mean he	20	Q. It's all a question of context.
21	has problem with krysha, there is no "with krysha".	21	A it could be, but not in this one.
22	[Russian spoken], headache above the roof. That's all.	22	MR MOXON BROWNE: Let's leave context to the coroner.
23	Q. If you look	23	Thank you.
24	A. A lot of problems, headache.	24	MR SKELTON: I don't know whether anyone else is rising but
25	Q. Context is for the coroner but just as far as what the	25	just the word "vyshe" didn't feature very much in the
			·
	Page 217		Page 219
1	word means is concerned, would you look at page 4 of	1	questioning
2	this little bundle which you have.	2	A. Can you repeat it?
3	A. Page 4 the short one?	3	Q. Vyshe [Russian spoken].
4	THE CORONER: Yes, the one, do you remember?	4	A. Vyshe [Russian spoken].
5	MR MOXON BROWNE: I am just going to	5	Q. What does that first word mean, literally?
6	A. Contribute to open dictionary.	6	A. "Above", "High".
7	Q. Yes. Krysha, definition, it says:	7	MR SKELTON: Thank you.
8	"In Russia a member of a government body or mafia	8	In your statement, you say:
9	group who is paid illegally by a business to provide	9	"In the expression vyshe krysha [I won't try and say
10	support. In the early 1990s it was practically	10	it again] it literally means 'over the roof'"
11	impossible to launch a business without krysha."	11	But it's an idiom, effectively?
12	A. Yes. It's Abramovich words.	12	A. Exactly it's a slang language.
13	Q. Page 5 of the bundle in the middle: what does krysha	13	Q. Is that expression "vyshe krysha" an idiom in Russian?
14	mean?	14	A. Yes. We often use it to explain our feelings, you know.
15	A. Mm-hmm.	15	It's nothing more in this.
16	Q. "The Russian word of the trial [and they're talking	16	MR SKELTON: Thank you.
17	about the Berezovsky-Abramovich trial] literally it	17	Sir, I just wanted to clarify that in case it wasn't
18	means 'roof', but it carries a kaleidoscope of other	18	clear. Do you have any further questions, sir?
19	associations, lobbying, political services, icebreaking,	19	THE CORONER: Sorry, has this got vyshe krysha?
20	physical protection from murder, fixing"	20	A. Vyshe krysha.
21	A. You can't interpret it. The word, for example, "table",	21	THE CORONER: Is that what's here, those two words or only
22	if it was not a krysha, for example, it was not if it	22	one of them?
23	was probably a table, you see.	23	A. I can read it to you:
24	Q. Then if you look at page 8, this is a report about the	24	"[Russian spoken] [which means headache] vyshe
25	activities of the Russian mafia in America, and at the	25	krysha."
		1	• ***

Above the roof, okay.  THE CORONIR: Hold on Three are four words in rod there, aren't there.  A. Yes, I HE CORONIR: Is it possible to rell me —  A. Yes, I HE CORONIR: The first two.  THE CORONIR: The first two.  THE CORONIR: The first two mean what?  A. Means "headache".  THE CORONIR: The first two mean what?  A. Wess "headache".  THE CORONIR: The first two mean what?  A. Wess "headache".  THE CORONIR: The first two mean headache, you need both of them to say "headache"?  THE CORONIR: There are two words for headache, you need both of them to say "headache"?  THE CORONIR: There are two words for headache, you need both of them to say "headache"?  THE CORONIR: There are two words for headache, you need both of them to say "headache"?  A. "Syshe krysha", "yshe", "above", and "krysha", "the roof" it is not exactly krysha, if's the other ending, you know.  A. "Syshe krysha", "yshew, if's the other ending, you know.  So what the word translation — what the word translation will be:  "Headache above the roof."  "Headache above the roof."  THE CORONIR: You know the sense of krysha when it's talking translation will be:  "Headache above the roof."  A. No, no. No. If's a preposition, preposi				
a ment there.  4 A. Ves. 5 IIIE CORONER: Is it possible to tell me - 6 A. [Russian spoken], the first two. 6 A. [Russian spoken], the first two. 7 IIIE CORONER: The first two mean what? 8 A. Means "headache". 8 THE CORONER: The first two mean what? 9 A. Ves. man-hum. 11 La A. Ves. man-hum. 11 profit is not exactly keysha, "howe", and "krysha", "the roof" it is not exactly keysha, it's the other ending, you know. 12 THE CORONER: Very headache above the roof." 13 The the word Translation - what the word 16 you know. 17 So what the word translation - what the word 18 translation will be: 19 "Itsacked above the roof." 20 "I had a headache above the roof." 21 THE CORONER: Yeshe is a preposition, preposition, no. 22 olaz, does it have the word Translation on the roof. 23 A. Non. No. It's a preposition qualifying roof meaning. 24 A. No, no. No. It's a preposition qualifying roof meaning. 25 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translation but, yes, all right. 26 A. The word word word word what the word the roof." 27 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translation protection racket, when you see. 28 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translation protection racket, when you are remaining in this conversation. 29 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translation protection racket meaning in this conversation. 30 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translation protection racket meaning in this conversation. 31 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translation protection racket meaning in this conversation. 32 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translation protection racket meaning in the conversation. 33 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating protection racket meaning in the conversation. 44 A. Ves. 45 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translation protection racket meaning in the conv	1	Above the roof, okay.	1	who are still in court.
4 A Yes.  5 THE CORONIER. Is it possible to tell me—  6 A, Pansian spoken), the first two.  7 THE CORONIER. Is the possible to tell me—  8 A, Mean's relaache.  9 THE CORONIER. There are two words for headache, you need be of them to say "headache", you need to both of them to say "headache", you need be of them to say "headache", you need to both of them to say "headache", you need to both of them to say "headache", you need to both of them to say "headache", you need to both of them to say "headache", you need to both of them to say "headache", you need to be of them to say "headache", you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to be of them to say the you need to they need to they need to they need to the you need to they need to the you need to they need to the you need to the you need to the you need to they need to they need to they nee		•		•
5 THE CORONER: bit possible to tell me— 6 A. Russian spuken), the first two. 7 THE CORONER: The first two mean what? 8 A. Means "headache". 9 THE CORONER: The first two mean what? 10 both of them to say "headache"? 11 A. Ye, m. whom. 12 THE CORONER: Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes. 13 Then the next two words are? 14 A. "Yyshe krysha", "nabwe", and "krysha", "the roof" it is not exactly krysha, it's the other ending. 15 you know. 16 So what the word translation — what the word 17 India headache above the roof." 18 THE CORONER: Yes, so these first wo mean headache, yes. 19 "It adache above the roof." 19 "It adache above the roof." 20 "It had a headache above the roof." 21 THE CORONER: Yes had been say and what they have written in their reports it there is the for See. 22 olea, does in share the word translation will be: 23 olea, does if have the word we sense of Explan when it's talking about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, old seed the protection racket, when it's used in that sense, old seed the roof." 24 A. No, no, No. It's a preposition, preposition, no. 25 THE CORONER: Yes had been sense of Explan when it's talking about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, old seed the roof." 26 A. A. On, no, No. It's a preposition qualifying roof meaning the translation will be: 3 above the roof, yes. 3 THE CORONER: Washe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning the translation will be: 4 they will use another word in the text messages, not evarely krysha, you see, they will use ometing else. 5 THE CORONER: It say no mean — 6 (A. Yes. 6 THE CORONER: It say no mean — 7 (509 pm) 7 (509 pm) 8 (509 pm) 8 (600 pm) 9 (600 pm) 9 (600 pm) 10 (600 pm) 11 (600 pm) 12 (700 pm) 13 (700 pm) 14 (700 pm) 15 (700 pm) 16 (700 pm) 17 (700 pm) 18 (700 pm) 18 (700 pm) 18 (700 pm) 19 (700 pm) 10 (700 pm) 10 (700 pm) 10 (700 pm) 11 (70				
6 A. [Russian spaken], the first two. 7 THE CORONER: The first two mean what? 8 A. Means "headache". 9 THE CORONER: There are two words for headache, you need be the firm to say "headache"? 11 A. Yes, mm-hum. 12 THE CORONER: Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes. 13 Then the next two words are? 14 A. "Vyshe krysta", "yushe", "above", and "krysta", "the roof" it is not exactly krysta, it's the other ending. you know. 16 you know. 17 So what the word translation — what the word 18 translation will be: 18 "Iteadache above the roof." 19 "Iteadache above the roof." 11 HE CORONER: You know the sense of krysha when it's tailding about, of kay. a proposition, preposition, no. 22 about a protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 11 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different point, isn't it, but the ward use are translating this, you mean— 12 A. Yes. 13 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating protection racket, many the translating protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 14 A. But when you are translating this, you mean— 15 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating protection racket, any they are altaling about, okay. So I can't see 14 A. But when you are trainal protection racket meaning in this conversation. 15 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating proting hut, yes, all right. 16 A. Yes. 17 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating proting hut, yes, all right. 18 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating protine hut, yes, all right. 19 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating protection racket meaning in this conversation. 19 THE CORONER: A voil will be a suppose that's a little bit different from the translating protine hut, yes, all ri				•
THE CORONER: The first two mean what?  A Means "headache".  A Nem. mham.  THE CORONER: Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes need both of them to say "headache"?  A Nem. ham.  THE CORONER: Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes.  Then the next two words are?  A Nem. ham.  Then the next two words are?  A Nem. ham.  The the next two words are?  The the next two words are?  No what the word translation — what the word translation will be:  "I had a headache above the roof."  Thad a headache above the roof."  The dade headache above the roof."  The CORONER: Vashe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, olay, does it have the word "vyshe" before it?  A Nem. ham.  THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  A Nem. ham.  The CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  The CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition preposition, no.  THE CORONER: New the word "vyshe" before it?  A New the roof. yes.  This coron ham to have a break in that sense, olay, does it have the word "vyshe" before it?  A New the roof. yes.  This coron ham the dade ham to have a break they will use another word in the text messages, not caucity krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: Busy now mean —  A Now one the roof?  A Now ORONER: Busy now mean —  A Now one was a starty will be indifferent from the the standacting point back, yeal right.  A But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay, So1 can't see any ths, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: That's in for the lady, isn't it.  A But when you are translating this, you wery much, doctor, thank you very much indeed.  A No now have a before the lady, isn't it.  The CORONER: That's in for the lady, isn't it.  A But when you are translating his, you will understand wha				
8 A. Means "headache"   9 THE CORONER: There are two words for headache, you need be do fitteen to say "headache"   11 A. Nes, mm-hum.   12 THE CORONER: Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes. Then the next two words are?   13 There is no exactly krysha, "above", and "krysha", "the roof."   14 A. "Vyshe krysha", "vyshe", "above", and "krysha", "the roof."   15 So hat the word translation — what the word translation — what the word translation will be:   16 you know.   17 So hat the word translation — what the word translation will be:   18 THE CORONER: Yes have the roof."   19 "Iteadache above the roof."   20 "I had a headache above the roof."   21 THE CORONER: Vou know the sense of krysha when it's talking about the roof."   22 A. No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.   23 THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition, preposition, no.   24 A. No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposit				•
THE CORONER. There are two words for headache, you need both of them to say 'headache'?  A ve, ms-hum.  THE CORONER 'Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes. THE CORONER. Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes. THE CORONER. Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes. THE CORONER. Why inclination, but I'm really conscious that people have other lives to live, as do the wincesses, my inclination would be – as they've been here all day, certainly if we could do a bit, I am not sure whether we are going to be able to go quite on to 6.00 but because that the word translation will be:  "Headache above the roof."  "I had a headache above the roof."  "I had a headache above the roof."  "I had a headache above the roof."  "I had a protection racket, when if's talking about about a protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: No, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: I say pose that's a little bit different from the known only to them?  THE CORONER: I saypose that's a little bit different from the known only to them?  THE CORONER: I saypose that's a little bit different from the interminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: No, is a slightly different from the any to read				•
both of them to say "headache"?  11 A. Yes, mm-hum.  12 THE CORONER: Ves, so these first two mean headache, yes.  13 Then the next two words are?  14 A. "Vyshe krysha", "vyshe", "above", and "krysha", "the  15 roof" it is not exactly krysha, if's the other ending.  16 you know.  16 You know.  17 So what the word translation what the word  18 translation will be:  19 "Headache above the roof."  20 "I had a headache above the roof."  21 THE CORONER: You know the sense of krysha when it's talking about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, olday, does it have the word "vyshe" before it?  22 about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, olday, does it have the word "vyshe" before it?  23 A. No, no. No. It's a preposition, qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  1 above the roof?  2 A. Above the roof?  3 I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use another word in the text messages, not have the word word who the floren?  3 I THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word knownly to then?  4 A. Vex.  4 THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, they what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket maining in this conversation.  3 THE CORONER: That's a firth bit different from the text messages, not exact when you word much as a word what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket maining in this conversation.  3 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, sin't.  4 A. You're welcome.  4 The CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't.  5 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't.  6 CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  7 Could you give you right man and rank to the court, please.  8 THE CORONER:				
11 THE CORONER: Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes. 12 THE CORONER: My inclination, but I'm really conscious that people have other lives to live, as do the witnesses, my inclination would be – as they've been here all day, inclination would be a bit, I am not sure whether we are all day inclination would be a bit, I am not sure whether we are foll day not could be a bit, I am not sure whether we are foll day not of 40 minutes would have a deal of a bit, I am not sure whether we are foll day in the could we just weal — I think going of the sample o				-
Then the next two words are?  A "Vsyk Exysha", "vsyk" "above", and "krysha", "the roro" it is not exactly krysha, "vsyk", "knybe", "above", and "krysha", "the you know.  The deads have the word translation — what the word translation — what the word translation will be:  "I had a headache above the roof."  The CORONER. You know the sense of krysha when it's talking above, does it have the word vyshe' before it?  A No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.  THE CORONER. Yyshe is a preposition, proposition, no.  THE CORONER. Yshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  Page 223  Let's see how we get on for a bit.  MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  A New the roof, yes.  THE CORONER. That's a lightly different point, isn't it, when you wen't be the word in the text messages, not cauchy krysha, you see, they will use somether word in the text messages, not cauchy krysha, you were, they will use somether word in the text messages, not cauchy krysha, you wee, they will use somether word in the text messages, not cauchy krysha, you wee, they will use somether word in the text messages, not cauchy krysha, you wee, they will use another word in the text messages, not cauchy krysha, you wee, they will use something dsc.  THE CORONER. But you mean —  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, when you have a break.  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different from the thought they will not converted the propose that's a little bit different from the three you have have a call and you were you will not and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: That's if for the lady, isn't it.  THE CORONER: That's if for the lady, isn't it.  THE CORONER we are already late, we certainly need a break.  THE CORONER we are already late, we certainly need a break.  THE CORONER we are already late, we certainly need a break.  THE CORONER we are already late, we certainly	10	•	10	
Then the next two words are?  A "Vyshe krysha", "vyshe", "above", and "krysha", "the roof" it is not exactly krysha, it's the other ending, you know.  So what the word translation — what the word 17 the CORONER: You know the sense of krysha when it's talking about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about the aword "yshe" before it?  A No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.  THE CORONER: You know the sense of krysha when it's talking about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about the roof?  A No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.  THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  A No, no. No. It's a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  A No no we the roof?  A. A Above the roof, yes.  A No the confot, yes.  THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  Let's see how we get on for a bit.  MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word to known only to them?  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, what they are talking about, okay, x. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. Nur we would not be a shet yoe but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: We are all ragh. Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much, and the translating point but, we are alled to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly wanted the entire day, I think, ou witnesses have kindly wanted the entire day, I think, ou witnesses have kindly wanted the entire day, I think, ou witnesses have kindly wanted the entire day, I think, ou witnesses have kindly wanted the entire day, I think, ou witnesses have kindly wanted the entire day, I think, ou witnesses have kindly	11	,	11	reports is there to see.
14   A. "Vyshe krysha", "vsbe", "above", and "krysha", "the roof" it is not exactly krysha, it's the other ending, you know.   16   are going to be able to go quite of ob but because they've been good enough in a sense if we do for example half an hour or 40 minutes it's half an hour of 40 minutes where the very buby and for them but should we just make — I think going on to 6 00 is going to be a but of a stretch this evening. It is another day.    11	12	THE CORONER: Yes, so these first two mean headache, yes.	12	THE CORONER: My inclination, but I'm really conscious that
roof" it is not exactly krysha, it's the other ending, you know.  You know.  So what the word translation — what the word translation — what the word translation will be:  "Headache above the roof."  "Had a headache above the roof."  THE CORONER: You know the sense of krysha when it's talking about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, about a protection racket, which would be about of a stretch this evening. Is that all right it we made a start?  A. No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.  Page 221  Page 223  Let's see how we get on for a bit.  HE CORONER: Vysha is a preposition racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: Doy to want to have a break.  THE CORONER: Doy to want to have a break.  THE CORONER: Doy to want to have a break.  THE CORONER: Is upon mean—  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: Is upon mean—  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: Is upon want of the sting about, okay, so I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much, doctor, thank yo	13	Then the next two words are?	13	people have other lives to live, as do the witnesses, my
16   So what the word translation — what the word   17   18   translation will be:   18   18   translation will be:   18   18   19   "Headache above the roof."   19   "Headache above the roof."   19   minutes we don't have to do another day.   19   minutes we don't ha	14	A. "Vyshe krysha", "vyshe", "above", and "krysha", "the	14	inclination would be as they've been here all day,
17	15	roof" it is not exactly krysha, it's the other ending,	15	certainly if we could do a bit, I am not sure whether we
translation will be:  "Headache above the roof."  "Thad a headache above the roof."  The CORONER: You know the sense of krysha when it's talking about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, olay, does it have the word "ysphe" before it?  A. No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.  THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221    Day of the tender of the protection racket, and the context of the protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much, and the co	16	you know.	16	are going to be able to go quite on to 6.00 but because
19	17	So what the word translation what the word	17	they've been good enough in a sense if we do for example
The Coroner But you mean —  A. Above the roof, But you mean —  A. A Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: But you mean —  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. Yes,  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much untersained thank the translating this, the translating this, the translating this, the translat	18	translation will be:	18	half an hour or 40 minutes it's half an hour or 40
THE CORONER: You know the sense of krysha when it's talking about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, okay, does it have the word "vyshe" before it?  A. No, no. No. 1s a preposition, preposition, no. THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  1 above the roof? 2 A. Above the roof, yes. 3 I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 4 they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 5 THE CORONER: But you mean —  A. Understandable to both of them, you see. 8 THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them? 10 known only to them? 11 A. Yes. 12 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right. 13 THE CORONER: All right. Thank you wery much indeed. 14 A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see 16 any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation. 18 THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed. 29 A. You're welcome. 20 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 21 Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. 22 MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are alle to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 25 In going to ask you about your contact with	19	"Headache above the roof."	19	minutes we don't have to do another day.
22 about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense, okay, does it have the word "vyshe" before it? 23 A. No. No. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no. 25 THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning Page 221  1 above the roof? 2 A. Above the roof, yes. 3 I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 4 they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 5 THE CORONER: But you mean — 7 A. Understandable to both of them, you see. 8 THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word the translating point but, yes, all right. 1 A. Yes. 10 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right. 1 A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation. 1 THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed. 2 A. You're welcome. 2 THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed. 3 A. You're welcome. 2 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 3 That have a proved the protection racket meaning in this conversation. 4 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 5 Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please. 6 Dessen Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police. 7 A. Vou're welcome. 8 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 9 That have you re are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 25 I'm going to ask you about your contact with	20	"I had a headache above the roof."	20	If that's all right with it's long for everybody
okay, does it have the word "vyshe" before it?  4 A. No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.  THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  1 above the roof? 2 A. Above the roof, yes. 3 I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 4 THE CORONER: But you mean—  7 A. Understandable to both of them, you see. 8 THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word look have a word and hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions. 11 A. Yes. 12 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right. 14 A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation. 18 THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed. 19 A. You're welcome. 20 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 21 Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. 22 MR SKELTON: We will see on for a bit. 23 Is that all right if we made a start? 24 In a sense if we do 40 minutes now you won't do it another day.  14 Let's see how we get on for a bit. 24 MR SKELTON: We will this we should for the stenographer, yes. 25 (4.53 pm) 26 (A. Short break) 27 (5.09 pm) 28 THE CORONER: Yes. 29 MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions. 21 THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour. 26 MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please. 27 DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) 28 Questions from MR SKELTON 29 Please. 20 That's the same position you occupied in 2012? 20 That's the same position you occupied in 2012? 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 A. Yes, it is. 24 Understandable to 40 minutes now 40 minutes and 40 minutes and 50 minutes and 50 minutes an	21	THE CORONER: You know the sense of krysha when it's talking	21	and for them but should we just make I think going on
A. No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.  THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning  Page 221  1 above the roof? 2 A. Above the roof, yes. 3 I think in the context of the protection racket, 4 they will use another word in the text messages, not 5 exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 6 THE CORONER: But you mean — 7 A. Understandable to both of them, you see. 8 THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, 9 but you're saying that people might choose to use a word 10 known only to them? 11 A. Yes. 12 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from 13 the translating point but, yes, all right. 14 A. But when you are translating this, you will understand 15 what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning 16 in this conversation. 17 THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much, 21 Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. 22 MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit. 23 THE CORONER: But you mean — 4 MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes. (4.53 pm) 4 (5.59 pm) 8 THE CORONER: Yes. MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions. 16 THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour. 17 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 18 COUID you give your full name and rank to the court, 19 please. 20 Could you give your full name and rank to the court, 21 Police. 22 Q. Thar's the same position you occupied in 2012? 23 A. Yes, it is. 24 Q. Thank you. 25 minuteses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 26 The going to ask you about your contact with	22	about a protection racket, when it's used in that sense,	22	to 6.00 is going to be a bit of a stretch this evening.
Page 221    above the roof?	23	okay, does it have the word "vyshe" before it?	23	Is that all right if we made a start?
Dage 221   Page 223	24	A. No, no. No. It's a preposition, preposition, no.	24	In a sense if we do 40 minutes now you won't do it
1 above the roof? 2 A. Above the roof, yes. 3 I think in the context of the protection racket, 4 they will use another word in the text messages, not 5 exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 6 THE CORONER: But you mean 7 A. Understandable to both of them, you see. 8 THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, 9 but you're saying that people might choose to use a word 10 known only to them? 11 A. Yes. 12 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from 13 the translating point but, yes, all right. 14 A. But when you are translating this, you will understand 15 what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see 16 any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning 17 in this conversation. 18 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 20 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 21 Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. 22 MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit. 23 MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes. 4 MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes. 4 (4.53 pm) 6 (A short break) 7 (5.09 pm) 7 (5.09 pm) 8 THE CORONER: Yes. 9 MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions. 11 questions. 12 THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour. 13 the translating point but, yes, all right. 14 MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please. 15 DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) 9 Questions from MR SKELTON 16 Questions from MR SKELTON 17 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 18 Could you give your full name and rank to the court, 19 please. 20 THE CORONER: That's if for the lady, isn't it. 21 Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. 22 MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break 23 for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether 24 we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two 25 witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 26 Thank you. 27 Police. 28 Land Taylor, detective constable of Surrey 29	25	THE CORONER: Vyshe is a preposition qualifying roof meaning	25	another day.
1 above the roof? 2 A. Above the roof, yes. 3 I think in the context of the protection racket, 4 they will use another word in the text messages, not 5 exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. 6 THE CORONER: But you mean 7 A. Understandable to both of them, you see. 8 THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, 9 but you're saying that people might choose to use a word 10 known only to them? 11 A. Yes. 12 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from 13 the translating point but, yes, all right. 14 A. But when you are translating this, you will understand 15 what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see 16 any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning 17 in this conversation. 18 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 20 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 21 Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. 22 MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit. 23 MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes. 4 MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes. 4 (4.53 pm) 6 (A short break) 7 (5.09 pm) 7 (5.09 pm) 8 THE CORONER: Yes. 9 MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions. 11 questions. 12 THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour. 13 the translating point but, yes, all right. 14 MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please. 15 DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) 9 Questions from MR SKELTON 16 Questions from MR SKELTON 17 MR SKELTON: Thank you. 18 Could you give your full name and rank to the court, 19 please. 20 THE CORONER: That's if for the lady, isn't it. 21 Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. 22 MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break 23 for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether 24 we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two 25 witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 26 Thank you. 27 Police. 28 Land Taylor, detective constable of Surrey 29				
A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. THE CORONER: But you mean - THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes. THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  A. You're welcome. THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  MR SKELTON: It hink we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4. 53 pm)  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short break)  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short break  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short		Page 221		Page 223
A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. THE CORONER: But you mean - THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes. THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  A. You're welcome. THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  MR SKELTON: It hink we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4. 53 pm)  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short break)  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short break  (A short break)  (A short break  (A short				
Think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. THE CORONER: But you mean A. Understandable to both of them, you see. THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes. THE CORONER: 1 suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right. A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation. THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. A. You're welcome. THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please. DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) Questions from MR SKELTON Thank you. Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please. A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police. That's the same position you occupied in 2012? A. Yes, it is. Witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, T'm going to ask you about your contact with	1	above the read	,	Lata saa hayy wa gat an far a hit
they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (5.09 pm)  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with				-
the CORONER: But you mean—  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  A. Yes, it is.  War are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2	A. Above the roof, yes.	2	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.
6 THE CORONER: But you mean — 7 A. Understandable to both of them, you see. 8 THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, 9 but you're saying that people might choose to use a word 10 known only to them? 11 A. Yes. 12 THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from 13 the translating point but, yes, all right. 14 A. But when you are translating this, you will understand 15 what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see 16 any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning 17 in this conversation. 18 THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed. 19 A. You're welcome. 20 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 21 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 22 MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break 23 for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether 24 we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two 25 witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 26 (A short break) 27 (5.09 pm) 28 THE CORONER: Yes.  9 MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  10 an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  11 THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  12 MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  13 DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  14 MR SKELTON: Thank you.  15 DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  16 A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  17 Police.  28 A. Yes, It is.  29 Q. Thank you.  21 Thank you.  22 Police.  23 A. Yes, it is.  24 Q. Thank you.  25 I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket,	2 3	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit. THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.
A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  THAN You very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not	2 3 4	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.
THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.	2 3 4 5	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)
but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. THE CORONER: But you mean	2 3 4 5 6	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)
hown only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  T'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)
THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  Tim going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. THE CORONER: But you mean A. Understandable to both of them, you see. THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.
THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed) Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half
the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  Thank you are translating this, you will understand MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my
A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  Tim going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.
what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: Thank you,  please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  Tim going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see. THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes. THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just
any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  The CORONER: Thank you.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  Q. Thank's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. THE CORONER: But you mean A. Understandable to both of them, you see. THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them? A. Yes. THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.
in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  Thank you.  Thank you.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.
THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether  we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  Police.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)
19 please. 20 THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it. 21 Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much. 22 MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break 23 for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether 24 we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two 25 witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 26 Dlease. 27 A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey 28 Police. 29 Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012? 20 A. Yes, it is. 21 Police. 22 Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012? 23 I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON
THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two  witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  20  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey  Police.  22  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.
Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  Police.  22 Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.
MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  22 Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court,
for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,  23 A. Yes, it is. 24 Q. Thank you. 25 I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.
24 we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two 25 witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 26 I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey
25 witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think, 25 I'm going to ask you about your contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?
Page 222 Page 224	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.
Page 222 Page 224	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else.  THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see.  THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes.  THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation.  THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome.  THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Above the roof, yes.  I think in the context of the protection racket, they will use another word in the text messages, not exactly krysha, you see, they will use something else. THE CORONER: But you mean  A. Understandable to both of them, you see. THE CORONER: That's a slightly different point, isn't it, but you're saying that people might choose to use a word known only to them?  A. Yes. THE CORONER: I suppose that's a little bit different from the translating point but, yes, all right.  A. But when you are translating this, you will understand what they are talking about, okay. So I can't see any this, you know, criminal protection racket meaning in this conversation. THE CORONER: All right. Thank you very much indeed.  A. You're welcome. THE CORONER: That's it for the lady, isn't it.  Thank you very much, doctor, thank you very much.  MR SKELTON: We are already late, we certainly need a break for the stenographer's sake and the question is whether we are able to proceed and if so for how long. Two witnesses have kindly waited the entire day, I think,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SKELTON: We will rise for a bit.  THE CORONER: Do you want to have a break.  MR SKELTON: I think we should for the stenographer, yes.  (4.53 pm)  (A short break)  (5.09 pm)  THE CORONER: Yes.  MR SKELTON: Sir, I propose that we continue for about half an hour and see where we are. I will speed through my questions.  THE CORONER: No, just take the time you need but let's just see where we are in half an hour.  MR SKELTON: May I call DC Taylor, please.  DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)  Questions from MR SKELTON  MR SKELTON: Thank you.  Could you give your full name and rank to the court, please.  A. Yes, I'm Seema Taylor, detective constable of Surrey Police.  Q. That's the same position you occupied in 2012?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Thank you.  I'm going to ask you about your contact with

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

			1
1	Mrs Perepilichnaya shortly after her husband's death.	1	Q. Page 230.
2	A. Mm-hmm.	2	A. I've got that now, yes.
3	Q. Could you first explain to me you seem to work as	3	Q. You have that, so this is a report dated 30 November?
4	a pair with Kay Button?	4	A. Correct.
5	A. That's correct.	5	Q. You, I think, had a number of meetings with
6	Q. You're a detective constable, she was what?	6	Mrs Perepilichnaya around 30 November, didn't you? Was
7	A. An investigating officer.	7	the first one
8	Q. That's not a police officer, it's a civilian?	8	A. Yes, I think the first one was on the 29th, our first
9	A. Civilian staff.	9	meeting was on 29 November, and the second one was on
10	Q. Why do you tend to work as a team?	10	the 30th.
11	A. It's irrelevant whether I'm a detective constable and	11	Q. The initial meeting took place at Gherson Solicitors; is
12	she's a civilian staff, we were deployed for this	12	that correct?
13	purpose as family liaison officers and that could be	13	A. That's correct, yes.
14	either an officer or a civilian staff member, if they	14	Q. Why was it there?
15	are trained as family liaison officers.	15	A. It was at her request. I understand that's the only
16	Q. You handled the interface with the families of victims	16	place she wanted to be seen.
17	of crime or any involvement with the police	17	Q. Was there a request for an interpreter in advance?
18	investigation?	18	A. No, there was no request for an interpreter.
19	A. Sorry?	19	Q. When you met at the office, did you find there to be any
20	Q. You handled the liaison, the communications and so on	20	problems making yourself understood or understanding
21	with the families of victims of crime or the subjects of	21	Mrs Perepilichnaya?
22	police investigation?	22	A. No.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. There was, I think, a Russian speaker there. Is that
24	Q. Is it unusual to have flows in a case where the death	24	right?
25	hadn't, at the start, been considered to be a murder	25	A. That's right. Viktoriya, but spelt
	Page 225		Page 227
1	investigation?	1	Q. Her name is in the first paragraph, I think. Can you
2	THE CORONER: I suppose if there's any investigation going	2	see that, Viktoriya Grynova?
3	on	3	Sorry, page 226, I should take you to your other
4	A. That's what I was going to say, because initially we	4	there are two notes dated the 30th, one I think is of
5	were deployed two weeks afterwards. So at that point my	5	the full meeting on the 29th although dated the 30th and
6	understanding is there; was concerns are raised about it	6	then there's another meeting on the 30th?
7	being suspicious, so regardless of whether it's a murder	7	A. I think they're dated on the date they were typed, so
8	or not, it was deemed suspicious and there was an	8	that's probably
9	investigation that was going to be carried out.	9	Q. Right, so at 226 you will see a four-page report which
10	And as family liaison officers, we would be the link	10	I think from your meeting at Ghersons on the 29th?
11	between the investigation team, that's the major crime	11	A. That is correct, yes.
12	team in this case, and the family.	12	Q. You can see there is Viktoriya Grynova's name.
13	MR SKELTON: You're answerable to the SIO of Operation	13	A. That's right.
14	Daphne?	14	Q. Did she actually do any translation?
15	A. That's correct.	15	A. No, not that I recall.
16	Q. Could you go to the witness bundle, please, tab 18.	16	Q. She didn't?
17	A. I've got two bundles here, is it that one? Okay.	17	A. Not that I recall.
18	Q. Do you have that?	18	Q. Did Mrs Perepilichnaya speak English throughout?
19	A. Yes.	19	A. Yes, she did.
20	Q. This is a report from you to the SIO of Operation	20	Q. Did she ever complain that she didn't understand you?
21	Daphne?	21	A. No, and there was no indication from the solicitors that
22	A. Yes.	22	were present that she wasn't understanding.
23	Q. Number R3. The date is 30 November 2012. Do you see	23	Q. Within the report, you divide it into various sections
24	that?	24	one of which is "Health", we can see on page 227.
25	A. I've got number R2 here. What do you want me to go to?	25	A. Yes.
	Page 226		Page 228

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

1	Q. In relation to that, did Mrs Perepilichnaya raise any	1	had ever expressed concerns about his safety to her. So
2	concerns about her husband's health including any major	2	that's the first thing, you ask her has there been any
3	illnesses he had had?	3	issues?
4	A. I just need to refresh my memory, if that's okay, by	4	A. (Nods)
5	having a look at that.	5	Q. You record:
6	Q. Do so, please.	6	"She disclosed that not long ago she returned home
7	A. From immediate recollection, I believe she didn't raise	7	unexpectedly and overheard Alexander on the phone to an
8	any concerns, but I just want to (Pause)	8	unknown person. He was telling that person that
9	Q. She mentions a gallstone?	9	pressure was being put upon him and saying that they
10	A. That was mentioned but I understand from reading my	10	know where they are living in Surrey."
11	notes, or there was a letter from the doctor or	11	A. That's correct.
12	something to suggest there was nothing life threatening	12	Q. The question is, is that him, Alexander, or is it
13	in relation to that.	13	a third person? Or was she unclear?
14	Q. On occupation, she says that she didn't have any	14	A. I interpreted it as it being Alexander, pressure was
15	dealings with his business life so didn't know	15	being put on her husband.
16	A. That's correct.	16	Q. You interpreted it as Alexander?
17	Q. On the day of the death, which you deal with there, the	17	A. Yes.
18	lead up, she says first of all she said when he had	18	Q. If you look further on, it says:
19	flown back from Paris and then, "He appeared normal	19	"Tatiana also stated back in the spring/summer
20	didn't complain of feeling unwell"?	20	Alexander and her had numerous discussions as she was
21	A. Yes, that's correct.	21	concerned of their address being discovered as she was
22	Q. Is that what you recall saying?	22	now on the police system."
23	A. If that's what was written here, that's what she would	23	A. That's correct.
24	have said and that's what we would have put down.	24	Q. Was that part of the same discussion about concerns
25	Q. Do you have any recollection now of this conversation or	25	about his address and safety?
	D 220		D 224
	Page 229		Page 231
1	any conversations you had with her or are you reliant on	1	A. Yes, and that's why we've put it I've because Kay
1 2	any conversations you had with her or are you reliant on these notes?	1 2	A. Yes, and that's why we've put it I've because Kay and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the
1 2 3	these notes?	1 2 3	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the
2		2	•
2 3	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for	2 3	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put
2 3 4	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.	2 3 4	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.
2 3 4 5	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about	2 3 4 5	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to
2 3 4 5 6	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can	2 3 4 5 6	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.
2 3 4 5 6 7	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had	2 3 4 5 6 7	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.  Q. Just taking that first piece of information, can you remember now asking her that and her giving you that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In fact there are some internal page numbers, is that right, on the top right-hand corner?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.  Q. Just taking that first piece of information, can you remember now asking her that and her giving you that answer or are you reliant on this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In fact there are some internal page numbers, is that right, on the top right-hand corner?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.  Q. Just taking that first piece of information, can you remember now asking her that and her giving you that answer or are you reliant on this?  A. I can remember there was no indication from her of any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In fact there are some internal page numbers, is that right, on the top right-hand corner?  A. Yes.  Q. So page 7, I think, which for those who are looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.  Q. Just taking that first piece of information, can you remember now asking her that and her giving you that answer or are you reliant on this?  A. I can remember there was no indication from her of any immediate threats of harm, but I do remember her then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In fact there are some internal page numbers, is that right, on the top right-hand corner?  A. Yes.  Q. So page 7, I think, which for those who are looking at bundle 5.2, is handwritten 436. Do you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.  Q. Just taking that first piece of information, can you remember now asking her that and her giving you that answer or are you reliant on this?  A. I can remember there was no indication from her of any immediate threats of harm, but I do remember her then referring to some kind of voice message or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In fact there are some internal page numbers, is that right, on the top right-hand corner?  A. Yes.  Q. So page 7, I think, which for those who are looking at bundle 5.2, is handwritten 436. Do you have —  THE CORONER: I'm all right, you carry on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.  Q. Just taking that first piece of information, can you remember now asking her that and her giving you that answer or are you reliant on this?  A. I can remember there was no indication from her of any immediate threats of harm, but I do remember her then referring to some kind of voice message or a message.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In fact there are some internal page numbers, is that right, on the top right-hand corner?  A. Yes.  Q. So page 7, I think, which for those who are looking at bundle 5.2, is handwritten 436. Do you have  THE CORONER: I'm all right, you carry on.  MR SKELTON: Could you read out what you have put there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.  Q. Just taking that first piece of information, can you remember now asking her that and her giving you that answer or are you reliant on this?  A. I can remember there was no indication from her of any immediate threats of harm, but I do remember her then referring to some kind of voice message or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In fact there are some internal page numbers, is that right, on the top right-hand corner?  A. Yes.  Q. So page 7, I think, which for those who are looking at bundle 5.2, is handwritten 436. Do you have —  THE CORONER: I'm all right, you carry on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these notes?  A. I can recall some but because I've read to prepare for today, because I've read my notes.  Q. Okay. When we come on to the key issue, which is about threats, I would like you to clarify what you can remember now as opposed to what you now see you had written at the time?  A. Sure.  Q. Thank you.  If you continue in your note, you can see that you started to have a discussion about issues of safety overleaf, page 228.  A. Mm-hmm.  Q. You asked her if she knew about anyone who would want to harm Alexander and she said she did not?  A. That's correct.  Q. Just taking that first piece of information, can you remember now asking her that and her giving you that answer or are you reliant on this?  A. I can remember there was no indication from her of any immediate threats of harm, but I do remember her then referring to some kind of voice message or a message.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and I would have gone back and typed our notes from the notes we make in our investigator's notebook and we put it under that title.  Q. I'm going to ask you to look at those if I may just to check what you've written contemporaneously.  A. Sure.  Q. It's in bundle 5.2 or do you have the originals with you?  A. I've got the originals.  Q. You do?  A. Would it be okay to refer to the originals?  Q. Yes, it will. We may have some issues with the numbering of it, but hopefully we will find the right page between us?  A. Okay.  Q. Can you find the bit where you record that meeting. In fact there are some internal page numbers, is that right, on the top right-hand corner?  A. Yes.  Q. So page 7, I think, which for those who are looking at bundle 5.2, is handwritten 436. Do you have  THE CORONER: I'm all right, you carry on.  MR SKELTON: Could you read out what you have put there

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

1	A. This is my handwriting, this is my notebook, yes.	1	Q. There was a phonecall in to DS Drinkwater
2	Q. You wrote the typed written report, did you? It's from	2	A. That's my understanding, yes.
3	you.	3	Q where that had been mentioned?
4	A. The typed written report could have been it was	4	A. Yes.
5	both Kay Button and myself, so one of us could have been	5	Q. So that was part of your briefing?
6	dictating it whilst the other was typing it and I can't	6	A. Yes.
7	recall now who did what.	7	Q. Do you think you could have confused what you knew from
8	Q. Just taking, I think it's the fourth line, does it say:	8	the briefing with what Mrs Perepilichnaya told you?
9	"I note"	9	A. No. Because I would have made my notes at the briefing
10	Or what is that word that's slightly scribbled in	10	and then some time later we go to see Tatiana at the
11	your version? Something that long ago?	11	solicitor's firm and I wouldn't have been concentrating
12	A. "Not that long ago".	12	on what I had written previously, that would have been
13	Q. "Not that long ago, he didn't know I was there, somebody	13	too much information. I was solely concentrating on
14	is putting pressure on him, know where living, Surrey	14	what she was telling me or us.
15	address."	15	Q. The next thing you note is:
16	A. I wrote that as she was saying it.	16	"Together more than 20 years."
17	Q. Looking back now, do you think it is conceivable that	17	I think they've been together since college in
18	was referring to anyone other than Mr Perepilichnyy?	18	Moscow:
19	A. (Pause) No, because we were asking about her and her	19	"Had numerous discussions. Concerned about finding
20	husband and not about anybody else. So to me, her	20	address, spring/summer, because of police record."
21	response was in relation to her husband.	21	A. That's right.
22	Q. And their house in Surrey?	22	Q. That's what led to the typewritten note?
23	A. Yes.	23	A. That's right, yes.
24	Q. The next sort of paragraph, which is marked with a dash:	24	Q. "Numerous discussions", did you go into any detail over
25	"People in Russia had access to Met Pol computer	25	what period there had been discussions?
	Page 233		Page 235
1	therefore [I think that is a notation] concerned know	1	A. No. Initial meeting there was there wasn't really an
	therefore [I think that is a notation] concerned know address."		A. No. Initial meeting there was — there wasn't really an opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she
2		1 2 3	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she
	address."	2	·
2 3	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing	2 3	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned
2 3 4	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had	2 3 4	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.
2 3 4 5 6	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?	2 3 4 5	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?
2 3 4 5 6 7	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had	2 3 4 5 6 7	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.  Q. The briefing what were you aware of then?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you were being told by Mrs Perepilichnaya on your initial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.  Q. The briefing what were you aware of then?  THE CORONER: Do you mean about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you were being told by Mrs Perepilichnaya on your initial meeting that she and her husband had discussed concerns
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.  Q. The briefing what were you aware of then?  THE CORONER: Do you mean about  A. About the pressure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you were being told by Mrs Perepilichnaya on your initial meeting that she and her husband had discussed concerns about their address being known in Surrey?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.  Q. The briefing what were you aware of then?  THE CORONER: Do you mean about  A. About the pressure.  MR SKELTON: About the pressure?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you were being told by Mrs Perepilichnaya on your initial meeting that she and her husband had discussed concerns about their address being known in Surrey?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.  Q. The briefing what were you aware of then?  THE CORONER: Do you mean about  A. About the pressure.  MR SKELTON: About the pressure?  A. Yes, about the pressure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you were being told by Mrs Perepilichnaya on your initial meeting that she and her husband had discussed concerns about their address being known in Surrey?  A. Yes.  Q. There was also mention of a voicemail message on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.  Q. The briefing what were you aware of then?  THE CORONER: Do you mean about  A. About the pressure.  MR SKELTON: About the pressure?  A. Yes, about the pressure.  Q. Do you mean Mr Gherson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you were being told by Mrs Perepilichnaya on your initial meeting that she and her husband had discussed concerns about their address being known in Surrey?  A. Yes.  Q. There was also mention of a voicemail message on the mobile phone. Did she volunteer that or was that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.  Q. The briefing what were you aware of then?  THE CORONER: Do you mean about  A. About the pressure.  MR SKELTON: About the pressure?  A. Yes, about the pressure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have done?  A. We may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you were being told by Mrs Perepilichnaya on your initial meeting that she and her husband had discussed concerns about their address being known in Surrey?  A. Yes.  Q. There was also mention of a voicemail message on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	address."  A. Yes.  Q. That's part of the second bit of the typewritten thing I've mentioned to you, which was that the address had gone on the computer?  A. Yes.  Q. Were you aware you don't need to explain why it had gone on the computer but were you aware that that had happened  A. No, I wasn't aware of that.  Q until she mentioned it?  A. Until she mentioned it.  Q. Thank you.  A. That part I wasn't aware of. The previous, I believe there was mention of it at the briefing we went to before visiting her, because information came from the solicitor.  Q. The briefing what were you aware of then?  THE CORONER: Do you mean about  A. About the pressure.  MR SKELTON: About the pressure?  A. Yes, about the pressure.  Q. Do you mean Mr Gherson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	opportunity to go into details. From what I recall she was in a hurry to get away.  Q. In terms of the concern that's noted there, concerned about finding the address, who did she say would find the address?  A. She didn't elaborate, no.  Q. Did you think to ask her in the context of this investigation when it could have been that  Mr Perepilichnyy had been murdered by somebody they had been concerned about?  A. Potentially, I can't recall. I expect we may have asked, but  Q. You may have asked but a lot of the time we did ask her, this is in general, about things, she didn't expand. Quite often she didn't expand on things.  Q. Are you clear now, four and a half years later, that you were being told by Mrs Perepilichnaya on your initial meeting that she and her husband had discussed concerns about their address being known in Surrey?  A. Yes.  Q. There was also mention of a voicemail message on the mobile phone. Did she volunteer that or was that

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

1 questions? 2 A. I don't recall there being a series of questions. 3 I think this has just come — it has come from her. 4 However, I do recall — I just noted down what she was 5 saying and I did ask at the time if we could have a copy 6 of the minutes that were being recorded by one of the 7 secretaries, so I noted down what I could in the 8 time I had. 9 Q. It comes in the context of you asking her about her own 10 safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she 11 about being a single mun, single parent? 12 about being a single mun, single parent? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. That's what you've noted here? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail? 17 A. She did disclose the voicemail. 18 Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well 19 and you've noted the next translation the next meeting? 20 A. The following day. 21 Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues? 22 A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some 24 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail. 3 more detail. 4 Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, ann you recollect any other discussion? 4 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion. 4 Q. Do you think (it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnayy death, around the resulted after Mr Perepilichnayy death, around the involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the present, that she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 to merged the timing of the concerns about her address, what she's real at his about the concerns about her address, what she's real talking and the teleters of concerne the letters it for one the lette	the nature of the nedia and about we been elided e been prior to n and write up what our own perception sked to say whether,
I think this has just come — it has come from her.  However, I do recall — I just noted down what she was saying and I did ask at the time if we could have a copy of the minutes that were being recorded by one of the secretaries, so I noted down what I could in the time I had.  Q. It comes in the context of you asking her about her own safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she is concerned about the rown safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she is concerned about the rown safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she is concerned about the rown safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she is concerned about the rown safety, but she's seared about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. Yes.  Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. The following day.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Page 237  Page 239  1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that the rewash't any other discussion?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following foliable to the rewash't any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wash't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that  Was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by our minutes of many sense. I have bei	nedia and about we been elided the been prior to  n and write up what bur own perception sked to say whether,
However, I do recall — I just noted down what she was saying and I did ask at the time if we could have a copy of the minutes that were being recorded by one of the sceretaries, so I noted down what I could in the time I had.  Q. It comes in the context of you asking her about her own safety. Until she gives you reassurance that she isn't concerned about her own safety, but she's scared about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. That's what you've noted here?  A. Yes.  But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. She did disclose the voicemail.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Page 239  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wan't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Pereptilichnyy's death, around the involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that  Mrs Pereplichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about the address in Surger, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by our many sters and pound that was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by our minutes actually as particular period, isn't into file or immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  A. Yes, My understanding was, if I can in the page and the present, that she was actually talking about the present, that she was actually tal	ve been elided ve been prior to n and write up what our own perception sked to say whether,
saying and I did ask at the time if we could have a copy of the minutes that were being recorded by one of the scretaries, so I noted down what I could in the time I had.  Q. It comes in the context of you asking her about her own safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. She did disclose the voicemail.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. That's contect that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. That's and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  A. The following day what have noted it in more detail.  Page 237  Page 239  1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo No, I don't think so. I'm – even go talking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it'?  THE CORONER: By definition I suppose it laking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it'?  THE CORONER: When the definition I suppose it laking on the phone the	n and write up what our own perception sked to say whether,
of the minutes that were being recorded by one of the secretaries, so I noted down what I could in the time I had.  Q. It comes in the context of you asking her about her own safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she isn't concerned about her own safety, but she's scared 11 even on what she was telling you, so pu about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. That's what you've noted here?  A. Yes.  15 Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail 22 that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about 5 more detail.  Page 237  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wan't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by or immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 16 for immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 18 for immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 18 for immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 19 for immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 19 for imm	and write up what our own perception
secretaries, so I noted down what I could in the time I had.  Q. It comes in the context of you asking her about her own safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she isn't concerned about her own safety, but she's scared about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. That's what you've noted here?  A. Yes.  Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. She did disclose the voicemail.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Page 237  Page 239  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Pereplichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the present, that she was being bouthered by journalists, she had young children and that was acause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can in originate to were to discussion?  A. I don't think do following day you have noted it in more detail.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.	our own perception sked to say whether,
time I had.  Q. It comes in the context of you asking her about her own safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she safety. It is not concerned about ther own safety, but she's scared about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. That's what you've noted here?  A. Yes.  15 pressure from the media, whether you may sense, as it were, put that together were pressure before his death.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Page 237  Page 239  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Pereplichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Pereplichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so whe	our own perception sked to say whether,
9 Q. It comes in the context of you asking her about her own safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she 10 safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she 11 isn't concerned about her own safety, but she's scared 11 even on what she was telling you, so pu about being a single mum, single parent? 12 anyhing that you were bringing to the r 12 anyhing that you were bringing to the r 12 anyhing that you were bringing to the r 12 anyhing that you were bringing to the r 13 A. That's correct. 13 whether you might have just confused of any way concerns about media intrusion 15 A. Yes. 15 pressure from the media, whether you in some the media, whether you in the discloses the voicemail? 16 any sense, as it were, put that together v reference to pressure before his death. 17 any sense, as it were, put that together v reference to pressure before his death. 18 Q. I think she eventually translation the next meeting? 19 you are bringing – do you think you may bout we hat you are bringing – do you think you may be in the state of the pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do you think you may be reference to pressure before his death. 19 you are bringing – do y	sked to say whether,
safety. I think she gives you reassurance that she isn't concerned about her own safety, but she's scared about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. That's what you've noted here?  A. Yes.  Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. She did disclose the voicemail.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged in involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the present, that she was being bothered by of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  THE CORONER: I think you are being a even on what she was telling you, so put whether your mything that you whether your apthing that you whether your mything that you whether you mything that you whether your mything that you whether you mything that you whether your mything that you whether you mything that you whether your mything that you whether your anything that you whether your mything that you whether your mything that you whether your mything that your bedie anything that your whether your distributions to the pict to the pict to pressure before his deven that the post that together veriference to pressure from the media, whether you in the reference to pressure from the media, whether you in the reference to pressure before his death.  The CORONER: I think you are being anything they	
about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. That's what you've noted here?  A. Yes.  Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. She did disclose the voicemail.  Q. It hink she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepliichmyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged in involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the present, that she was being bothered by  Journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  10 differer's notebook.  11 deven on what she was telling you, so put anything that you were bringing to the rewhether you might have just confused intrusion pressure from the media, whether you might have just confused intrusion pressure from the media, whether you many sense, as it were, put that together we reference to pressure before his death.  12 understand? Don't worry about what ty vou are bringing to the reference to pressure before his death.  13 understand? Don't worry about what ty vou are bringing to don't think you may any sense, as it were, put that together we reference to pressure before his death.  13 understand? Don't worry about what you are bringing to don't think you may any sense, as it were, put that together we ference to pressure before his death.  14 understand? Don't worry about what the vivo just a brite together	
about being a single mum, single parent?  A. That's correct.  Q. That's what you've noted here?  A. Yes.  14	
A. That's correct.  Q. That's what you've noted here?  A. Yes.  Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. She did disclose the voicemail.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Pereplitichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged in involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the resent, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  13 whether you might have gor says of the response in three you any any sense, as it were, put that together you reference to pressure from the media intrusion pressure from the media furore that to you any sense, as it were, put that together you reference to pressure before his death.  14 any son, and if the following day is it possible you could have done that, two just a little bit intertwined?  A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo No, I don't think so. I'm - even ge type up the report, it's based on the rewards any swhat's writte any other discussion?  15 A. I can't recollect no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  16 A. I can't recollect no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  17 The CO	tting aside
14 Q. That's what you've noted here? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail? 17 A. She did disclose the voicemail. 18 Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well 19 and you've noted the next translation the next meeting? 20 A. The following day. 21 Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail 22 that was specific about threats or safety issues? 23 A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following 24 day because there was a text message as well about 25 some 26 Page 237 27 Page 239 28 Page 239 29 U. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail. 29 Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion? 30 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion. 40 Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged in involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the rocnerns about the persent, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 40 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can in treeting that was actually talking about the present, that she was of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 41 Going mense concern to her? And so when she talks about 42 any way concerns about the thery end any sense, as it were, put that together verfered that type ther eferer of the officer's repo any our adventured? 43 understand? Don't worry about what you understand? Don't worry about what you are bringing – do you think you and is it possible, gou to that they come of the reference of the pour hat, is two just a little beit intertwined? 4 C. Can I just refer to the officer's repo No, I don't think so. I'm – even get type up the report, it's based on the reference of the pour that, what is a	neeting, but
15 A. Yes.  Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail?  A. She did disclose the voicemail.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that circumstances and around his background, his alleged in involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17  A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can is pressure from the media, whether you many sense, as it were, put that together we reference to pressure before his death. In understand? Don't warry about what you and understand? Pon't warry about the media furore that the telephone, that cannot be after he diec the telephone, that the telephone that the letter circumstances and around his background, his alleged in involvement with the Hermitage frou	or run together in
16 Q. But at that point she discloses the voicemail? 17 A. She did disclose the voicemail. 18 Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well 19 and you've noted the next translation the next meeting? 20 A. The following day. 21 Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail 22 that was specific about threats or safety issues? 23 A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following 24 day because there was a text message as well about 25 some 26 Page 237  Page 239  1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on 2 to, again I think the following day you have noted it in 3 more detail. 4 Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's 5 noted here, can you recollect any other discussion? 6 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then 7 there wasn't any other discussion. 8 Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that 9 resulted after Mr Perepliichnays death, around the 10 circumstances and around his background, his alleged 11 involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that 12 Mrs Perepliichnaya, when she talked about the concerns 13 about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, 14 was actually talking about the present, that she was 15 being doorstepped, she was being bothered by 15 Were the letters of concern the letters; 16 firm solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn 17 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	n and so on and
A. She did disclose the voicemail.  Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about that Cherch and the death and the	nay have just in
Q. I think she eventually translates that for you as well and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day. Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues? A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail. Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion? A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion. Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being doorstepped, she was being doorstepped, she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  11 Interval and interval and interval and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  12 It wo just a little bit intertwined?  23 A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo two just a little bit intertwined?  24 C. Can I just refer to the officer's repo two just a little bit intertwined?  25 A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo two just a little bit intertwined?  26 A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo two just a little bit intertwined?  27 A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo two just a little bit intertwined?  28 A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo two just a little bit intertwined?  29 No, I don't think on that ye very et type up the report, it's based on the	with any
and you've noted the next translation the next meeting?  A. The following day.  Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Page 239  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  19 you are bringing — do you think jour ais it possible you could have done that, it two just a little bit intertwined?  A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo A. Can I	Do you
20 A. The following day. 21 Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail 22 that was specific about threats or safety issues? 23 A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following 24 day because there was a text message as well about 25 some  26 Page 237  Page 237  Page 239  1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on 2 to, again I think the following day you have noted it in 3 more detail. 4 Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's 5 noted here, can you recollect any other discussion? 6 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then 7 there wasn't any other discussion. 8 Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that 9 resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the 10 circumstances and around his background, his alleged 11 involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that 12 Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns 13 about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, 14 was actually talking about the present, that she was 15 being doorstepped, she was being bothered by 16 journalists, she had young children and that was a cause 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 18 two just a little bit intertwined? 22 two just a little bit intertwined? 24 two just a little bit intertwined? 25 A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo 36 No, I don't think so. I'm – even ge 47 type up the report, it's based on the rewhat she was latking about 4 to prepare a she was let alking on the phone then that, swirtter 4 a particular period, isn't it?  5 A. Sorry?  5 A. Sorry?  6 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then 7 there wasn't any other discussion. 8 Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that 9 mention Roger Gherson and information 11 involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that 12 Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns 13 about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, 14 was actually talking about the present, that she was 15 being doorstepped, she was being	ou know and what
21 Q. Was anything else mentioned other than that voicemail 22 that was specific about threats or safety issues? 23 A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following 24 day because there was a text message as well about 25 some  Page 237  1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on 2 to, again I think the following day you have noted it in 3 more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's 5 noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then 4 there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that 9 resulted after Mr Perepilichnay's death, around the 10 circumstances and around his background, his alleged 11 involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that 12 Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns 13 about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, 14 was actually talking about the present, that she was 15 being doorstepped, she was being bothered by 16 journalists, she had young children and that was a cause 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  21 two just a little bit intertwined? 22 A. Can I just refer to the officer's repo No, I don't think so. I'm - even ge type up the report, it's based on the r what she said as well as what's writte 24 type up the report, it's based on the r what she said as well as what's writte 25 what she said as well as what's writte 26 type up the report, it's based on the r what she said as well as what's writte 27 THE CORONER: By definition I suppose it talking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it?  A. Sorry?  5 A. Sorry?  6 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  7 THE CORONER: By definition I suppose it talking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it?  A. No, that's prior to his - yes, obviously the telephone, that cannot be after he diec A. No, that's prior to his - yes, obviously mention Rog	y in any sense or
that was specific about threats or safety issues?  A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Page 239  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnay's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that was actually talking about the report, it's based on the report condition.  Page 239  1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on the said as well as what's writte what she said as well as what's writte what she said as well as what's writte what she said as well as what's writte what for page 239  1 officer's notebook.  2 THE CORONER: By definition I suppose it talking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it?  A. Sorry?  5 A. Sorry?  6 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  8 Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that the telephone, that cannot be after he diec A. No, that's prior to his — yes, obviously of the resulted after Mr Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing	have got the
A. I don't think on that day. Potentially the following day because there was a text message as well about some  Page 237  Page 237  Page 239  1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17  A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can't cannot be after mention gas, if I can't concern, age the report, it's based on the rew type up the report, it's based on the rew what she said as well as what's writte the peopret is page 239  No, I don't think so. I'm – even go type up the report, it's based on the rew what she said as well as what's writte what she said as well as what's writte the peopret type up the report, it's based on the rew what she said as well as what's writte what she said as well as what's writte what she said as well as what's writte the page 239  1 Og. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on the expense on the report, it's based on the report what's writte what's writte.  Page 239  1 Officer's notebook.  THE CORONER: By definition I suppose to a page 237. The CORONER: If she's talking about Ak the telephone, that cannot be after	
day because there was a text message as well about  Page 237  Page 239  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  page 239  1 officer's notebook. THE CORONER: By definition I suppose in talked as well as	rt? (Pause)
Page 237  Page 239  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17  A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can't	oing back to
Page 237  Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17  A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	recollection of
1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on 2 to, again I think the following day you have noted it in 3 talking on the phone then that's obviously 4 Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's 5 noted here, can you recollect any other discussion? 6 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then 7 there wasn't any other discussion. 8 Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that 9 resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the 10 circumstances and around his background, his alleged 11 involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that 12 Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns 13 about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, 14 was actually talking about the present, that she was 15 being doorstepped, she was being bothered by 16 journalists, she had young children and that was a cause 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 18 O. Yes, there was lefinition I suppose it alking on the phone then that's obviously a talking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it?  A. Sorry?  A. Sorry?  5 A. Sorry?  6 THE CORONER: If she's talking about Ale the telephone, that cannot be after he died a particular period, isn't it?  8 A. No, that's prior to his — yes, obviously method in the telephone, that cannot be after he died a particular period, isn't it?  8 A. No, that's prior to his — yes, obviously method in the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after h	en in my INB, in my
1 Q. Yes, there was also a text message which we will come on 2 to, again I think the following day you have noted it in 3 talking on the phone then that's obviously 4 Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's 5 noted here, can you recollect any other discussion? 6 A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then 7 there wasn't any other discussion. 8 Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that 9 resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the 10 circumstances and around his background, his alleged 11 involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that 12 Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns 13 about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, 14 was actually talking about the present, that she was 15 being doorstepped, she was being bothered by 16 journalists, she had young children and that was a cause 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 18 O. Yes, there was lefinition I suppose it alking on the phone then that's obviously a talking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it?  A. Sorry?  A. Sorry?  5 A. Sorry?  6 THE CORONER: If she's talking about Ale the telephone, that cannot be after he died a particular period, isn't it?  8 A. No, that's prior to his — yes, obviously method in the telephone, that cannot be after he died a particular period, isn't it?  8 A. No, that's prior to his — yes, obviously method in the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after he died and the telephone, that cannot be after h	
to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  THE CORONER: By definition I suppose is talking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it?  A. Sorry?  THE CORONER: If she's talking about Ale the telephone, that cannot be after he died A. No, that's prior to his yes, obviously mention Roger Gherson and information Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you there:  "It was further explained that the letter concern, together with Roger Gherson's of Were the letters of concern the letters to from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	
to, again I think the following day you have noted it in more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  THE CORONER: By definition I suppose is talking on the phone then that's obviously a particular period, isn't it?  A. Sorry?  THE CORONER: If she's talking about Ale the telephone, that cannot be after he died A. No, that's prior to his yes, obviously mention Roger Gherson and information Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you there:  "It was further explained that the letter concern, together with Roger Gherson's of Were the letters of concern the letters to from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	
more detail.  Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  a particular period, isn't it?  A. Sorry?  A. Sorry?  THE CORONER: If she's talking about Ale the telephone, that cannot be after he diec A. No, that's prior to his — yes, obviously mention Roger Gherson and information mention Roger Gherson and information Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where ye there:  "It was further explained that the letters concern, together with Roger Gherson's c were the letters of concern the letters t from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	if it's Alexander
Going back to that initial meeting, beyond what's noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  4 a particular period, isn't it?  A. Sorry?  5 A. Sorry?  6 THE CORONER: If she's talking about Ale the telephone, that cannot be after he diec  7 the telephone, that cannot be after he diec  8 A. No, that's prior to his yes, obviously  9 MR SKELTON: Going back to page 227, the mention Roger Gherson and information  10 mention Roger Gherson and information  11 Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you there: 12 "It was further explained that the letters that the letters of concern, together with Roger Gherson's of the present, that she was  14 were the letters of concern the letters that the letters of information was pounded that the letters of information of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  15 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	
noted here, can you recollect any other discussion?  A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  5 A. Sorry?  6 THE CORONER: If she's talking about Ale The telephone, that cannot be after he diec A. No, that's prior to his — yes, obviously MR SKELTON: Going back to pse, obviously MR SKELTON: Goin	
A. I can't recollect, no, and if it's not on there, then there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  THE CORONER: If she's talking about Ale the telephone, that cannot be after he diec A. No, that's prior to his yes, obviously mention Roger Gherson and information mention Roger Gherson and information there telephone, that cannot be after he diec A. No, that's prior to his yes, obviously mention Roger Gherson and information mention Roger Gherson and information there:  11 Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you there: 12 there: 13 "It was further explained that the letters to concern, together with Roger Gherson's of the telephone, that cannot be after he diec A. No, that's prior to his yes, obviously mention Roger Gherson and information mention Roger Gherson and information 11 In Mrs Perepilichnaya about that where you there: 12 there: 13 "It was further explained that the letters to concern, together with Roger Gherson's of the telephone, that cannot be after he diec the telephone, that cannot be after he diec A. No, that's prior to his yes, obviously mention Roger Gherson and information 12 there: 13 "It was further explained that the letters to concern, together with Roger Gherson's of there: 14 concern, together with Roger Gherson's of there: 15 Were the letters of concern the letters of the telephone, that cannot be after he diec the telephone, that cannot be after he diec to his yes, obviously the total cannot have a	
there wasn't any other discussion.  Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged nivolvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  resulted after Mr Perepilichnay's death, around the MR SKELTON: Going back to page 227, to mention Roger Gherson and information Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you there:  "It was further explained that the letters concern, together with Roger Gherson's concern, together with Roger Gherson's concern the letters to from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn  A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	exander talking on
Q. Do you think it's possible, given the media furore that resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged nention Roger Gherson and information Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  A. No, that's prior to his yes, obviously MR SKELTON: Going back to page 227, to mention Roger Gherson and information Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you there:  11	•
resulted after Mr Perepilichnyy's death, around the circumstances and around his background, his alleged 10 mention Roger Gherson and information involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that 11 Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you have Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, 13 "It was further explained that the letters was actually talking about the present, that she was 14 concern, together with Roger Gherson's concern, together with Roger Gherson's concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	
circumstances and around his background, his alleged  involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that  Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you there:  Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns  about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that,  was actually talking about the present, that she was  being doorstepped, she was being bothered by  journalists, she had young children and that was a cause  of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  10 mention Roger Gherson and information  11 Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you  there:  12 there:  13 "It was further explained that the letters  concern, together with Roger Gherson's of  Were the letters of concern the letters to from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn  17 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	
involvement with the Hermitage fraud issue, that  Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns  about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that,  was actually talking about the present, that she was  being doorstepped, she was being bothered by  journalists, she had young children and that was a cause  of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  11 Mrs Perepilichnaya about that. Where you there is the prespective that the letters of the start of the present, that she was  12 there:  13 "It was further explained that the letters of concern, together with Roger Gherson's of the present of	
Mrs Perepilichnaya, when she talked about the concerns about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  12 there: 13 "It was further explained that the letters concern, together with Roger Gherson's concern the letters of concern the letters to from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn 17 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	
about her address in Surrey, and people knowing that, was actually talking about the present, that she was being doorstepped, she was being bothered by being doorstepped, she was being bothered by journalists, she had young children and that was a cause of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about  "It was further explained that the letters concern, together with Roger Gherson's concern, together with Roger Gherson's concern the letters of concern the letters to from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	, 1
was actually talking about the present, that she was 14 concern, together with Roger Gherson's concern to being doorstepped, she was being bothered by 15 Were the letters of concern the letters to from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn 16 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	s of
being doorstepped, she was being bothered by 15 Were the letters of concern the letters t 16 journalists, she had young children and that was a cause 17 of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 18 Were the letters of concern the letters t 19 from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn 19 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	
journalists, she had young children and that was a cause 16 from solicitors to Hermitage? Do you kn of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 <b>A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can</b>	
of immense concern to her? And so when she talks about 17 A. Yes. My understanding was, if I can	
,	
10 CONCORD AUGULTICI AUGUSTS, WHAT SHE'S TEATIV TAINING 10 10 HOURS DEAT WITH THE TEATING	<i></i> J
19 about is what's going on now? 19 So I've got in my notes, page 1 of my	v first
20 A. She was concerned about the media, from what I recall, 20 investigator's notebook, the letters of c	
21 and her husband being referred to as a whistleblower and 21 have been in relation to two solicitors,	
her children learning of that and how the parents  22 Rudnick, solicitors in London, request	
23 perceived parents at the school her daughter was at 23 Q. Yes, and Peters & Peters?	3 vy 2 once.
perceived that.  24 perceived that.  24 A. And Peters & Peters, and that's what	I would have been
25 Q. Could it be possible that in writing your report, or in 25 <b>referring to.</b>	
Page 238 Page 240	

1	Q. Then you're referring to specifically to Mr Gherson'	1	you're looking at? What page are you on?
2	contact with DC Drinkwater?	2	A. I'm on page 13 where I've got, "Gated community. Don't
3	A. Yes.	3	feel safe about publication. Photos of house, name of
4	Q. And the coroner's in fact concerns about	4	house and street exposed in media of cars."
5	A. Contact.	5	So
6	Q. "And the coroner expressing his own concerns around	6	Q. Her concern, is it, about intrusion from the press or
7	Alexander's link to the Magnitsky case, necessitating	7	intrusion from the public, is it, or is it more than
8	the police to carry out a more extensive investigation	8	that?
9	to rule out other parties' involvement."	9	A. From the way my notes read, it was more intrusion from
10	A. Yes.	10	the press.
11	Q. Did Mrs Perepilichnaya deny any knowledge of the	11	Q. From the press?
12	Hermitage affair when you discussed it with her or did	12	A. Yes.
13	you just move on really to issues of health and	13	Q. It isn't clear, is it, from the notes exactly what her
14	occupation and so on?	14	concerns were, beyond the fact that her address was
	-	15	-
15	A. She was asked if she knew of the solicitors and she said		widely known? Did you detect any element of concern
16	she had if she had any idea why they would make such	16	about safety for her personally from people who may want
17	suggestions, "Tatiana stated that she had no idea as her	17	to harm her as opposed to doorstep her or harass her?
18	husband did not discuss his business with her".	18	A. No. At the time it was just about what was being said
19	Q. Did she say there's no reason to be concerned about this	19	about her husband and her children being exposed to that
20	issue?	20	as well.
21	A. She didn't raise any concerns herself about that issue.	21	Q. So, to put it bluntly, she wasn't scared about being
22	Q. The message that she translated for you, there's	22	attacked in the same way that her husband might have
23	a voicemail message and then there's a text message.	23	been attacked?
24	Could you look on page 230, which is your next report,	24	A. That never came across, no.
25	which is a four-page report from you, again to the SIO,	25	THE CORONER: She was still living in the address?
	Page 241		Page 243
		i e	
1	and that's the R3 report that I showed you initially?	1	A. She was still at the address, yes.
1 2	A. Yes.	2	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are
_			MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.
2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	2	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are
2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages</li></ul>	2 3	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:  "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:  "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:  "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:     "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> <li>THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:     "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety. THE CORONER: Where is that on the page? MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:     "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety. THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.     First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:  "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety. THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.  First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently have them translated independently. Which is why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:     "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> <li>THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.     First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently — have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:     "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> <li>THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.     First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She is concerned at that stage about her own security at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently — have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they wanted to do with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:     "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> <li>THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.     First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She is concerned at that stage about her own security at home?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently — have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they wanted to do with it.  Q. I have no need to take you to it, but we know that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:     "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety. THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.     First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She is concerned at that stage about her own security at home?</li> <li>A. That's what I've got here. Can I look at my original</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently — have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they wanted to do with it.  Q. I have no need to take you to it, but we know that the phones were eventually handed over, eventually, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:     "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety. THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.     First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She is concerned at that stage about her own security at home?</li> <li>A. That's what I've got here. Can I look at my original notes to see</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they wanted to do with it.  Q. I have no need to take you to it, but we know that the phones were eventually handed over, eventually, and messages were taken off the phones, et cetera. But just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:  "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> <li>THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.  First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She is concerned at that stage about her own security at home?</li> <li>A. That's what I've got here. Can I look at my original notes to see</li> <li>Q. Yes, please do.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they wanted to do with it.  Q. I have no need to take you to it, but we know that the phones were eventually handed over, eventually, and messages were taken off the phones, et cetera. But just focusing on your role on that day, you got a translation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:  "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> <li>THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.  First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She is concerned at that stage about her own security at home?</li> <li>A. That's what I've got here. Can I look at my original notes to see</li> <li>Q. Yes, please do.</li> <li>A. (Pause)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently — have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they wanted to do with it.  Q. I have no need to take you to it, but we know that the phones were eventually handed over, eventually, and messages were taken off the phones, et cetera. But just focusing on your role on that day, you got a translation from her, which you have noted down in your manuscript
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:  "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> <li>THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.  First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She is concerned at that stage about her own security at home?</li> <li>A. That's what I've got here. Can I look at my original notes to see</li> <li>Q. Yes, please do.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they wanted to do with it.  Q. I have no need to take you to it, but we know that the phones were eventually handed over, eventually, and messages were taken off the phones, et cetera. But just focusing on your role on that day, you got a translation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's your next meeting with her the next day?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At that stage, just before we come on to the messages issue, you can see there's a risk assessment section on 231.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you have recorded:  "Tatiana does appear to be concerned about her safety at the address at St George's Hill."</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. She does appear to be concerned about her safety.</li> <li>THE CORONER: Where is that on the page?</li> <li>MR SKELTON: Under "Risk assessment", sir, 231.  First paragraph under 231, under risk assessment on 231, do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. She is concerned at that stage about her own security at home?</li> <li>A. That's what I've got here. Can I look at my original notes to see</li> <li>Q. Yes, please do.</li> <li>A. (Pause)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SKELTON: The messages that she translated for you are discussed on page 232 of the computerised note/report.  A. Yes.  Q. She played a voicemail message. It appears what you have done here is just simply written down what she told you?  A. How she translated it to me, yes.  Q. Yes. Was there any sort of follow up to that that you took there and then or with your SIO?  A. What would happen is, from my notes, the officer's report would be created, which is submitted to the SIO and to the — well to the investigation team as a whole.  And my understanding would be that the follow up would be to want to have that phone to see the messages for themselves and have them translated independently — have them translated independently. Which is why I would submit the report for them to decide what they wanted to do with it.  Q. I have no need to take you to it, but we know that the phones were eventually handed over, eventually, and messages were taken off the phones, et cetera. But just focusing on your role on that day, you got a translation from her, which you have noted down in your manuscript

61 (Pages 241 to 244)

1	A. Yes.	1	is not much. To some people, I suppose
2	Q. Did you ask any questions about who the message was	2	A. That was
3	from? Whether the number was known?	3	Q not much in the context of their
4	A. (Pause)	4	A. That's what she said, it would be to me but to her that
5	Q. The answers to those questions aren't there, I'm	5	was what she said.
6	questioning whether you asked the questions or whether	6	Q. Can you recollect now, it's not recorded here, whether
7	at that stage you weren't interested in it.	7	she expressed any concern that either of these things
8	A. I can't recall. I was on that day, I was with	8	was really significant in the context of Alexander's
9	Anna Leahy(?), because Kay Button wasn't available for	9	death?
10	that meeting, Anna Leahy is more senior. I expect	10	A. I when she was asked about safety the previous day,
11	between us we may have asked, and if it was asked and	11	about concerns of safety, that's I believe that's
12	she did make if she had responded I would have noted	12	when she brought it into context. She said it then. So
13	it.	13	with her being with the solicitors and what she probably
14	THE CORONER: Even if she'd said, "I don't know who it is"	14	knows, she may have been concerned, why else would
15	you would have written down	15	she why else would she refer to those?
16	A. Even she had said yes.	16	Q. She raised this proactively with you? These two
17	THE CORONER: that she doesn't know.	17	messages, the voicemail and the text, translated them
18	A. Even if I hadn't written the question, I would have said	18	for you and that's where it was left?
19	she doesn't know.	19	A. Based on based on maybe the question from before
20	THE CORONER: Do you think maybe you didn't ask, does it	20	about concern for safety.
21	look more like that if there's nothing about that?	21	Q. Of Alexander's safety?
22	A. That could be the case.	22	A. Yes.
23	MR SKELTON: It would appear that that's a reasonable	23	MR SKELTON: I will leave it there for today. It may be
24	inference. It was potentially an important thing to	24	that you will need to come back on Friday, but we can
25	know about, but it doesn't appear, at least on this day,	25	discuss when next to bring you back if that's okay.
	Page 245		Page 247
			0
1	that it was something you felt you needed to ask	1	A. Okay.
2	questions about because you haven't noted the question	2	THE CORONER: All right. I am sorry that we are not going
3	or the answer, negative or positive?	3	to be able to finish your evidence now, I'm sorry about
4	A. No, it would appear I haven't and I can't recall if	4	that, but you can just see the time and it's not going
5	I did or not and omitted to note it.	5	to be possible.
6	Q. There's another message, which is a text message, which	6	As you are in the middle of your evidence, please
7	is translated by Tatiana for you which says:	7	will you be very careful not to talk to anybody about
8	"Alexander will go to prison really seriously for	8	it. It won't I think be tomorrow unless anybody
9	long, I can do that if you want to be free and live	9	suggests otherwise I'm anxious that we keep the
10	happily you have to pay 3,000"	10	arrangements for tomorrow as we have said and don't
11	I think that may be a mistranslation there, I think	11	impinge on those at all, but we will let you know at
12	it was 300,000 roubles:	12	a time convenient to you when to finish.
13	" you only have to make a decision and collect	13	A. Okay, yes, no problem.
14	money at 1400 hours tomorrow, send SMS to 89."	14	THE CORONER: Thank you very much.
15	Did you see that that message had come from 22 June	15	Then Mr Skelton it's a nuisance I'm afraid, it could
16	or she told you that?	16	be done in the morning or not but there's just
17	A. I can't remember. I think I would have asked I may	17	a question of shifting the papers to some extent because
18	have asked to have seen it, I wouldn't have just relied	18	of what's happening.
19	on her telling me, but I can't remember so I can't	19	MR SKELTON: We'll liaise with
20	answer.	20	THE CORONER: Sorry about that.
21	Q. You have noted down the number of the message sender.	21	MR SKELTON: We are sitting at 11.00 am.
22	A. I would have seen it to have noted it like that.	22	THE CORONER: We're sitting at 11.00, that's right. Good,
23	Q. I see. You've recorded there that Mrs Perepilichnaya	23	all right.
24	explained that roubles is the Russian currency, you may	24	Thank you to the stenographers and I'm very grateful
25	well have known that, but is equivalent to £6,000, which	25	to everybody for sitting so late so we can make
	Page 246		Page 248
	1 age 270		1 age 270
			62 (Pages 245 to 248)

1	progress, I really am, thank you.	1	DC SEEMA TAYLOR (affirmed)	224
2	(5.45 pm)	2	Questions from MR SKELTON	
3	(The Inquest adjourned until 11.00 am the following day)	3		
4		4		
5		5		
6		6		
7		7		
8		8		
9		9		
10		10		
11		11		
12		12		
13		13		
14		14		
15		15		
16		16		
17		17		
18		18		
19		19		
20		20		
21		21		
22		22		
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
23		23		
	Page 249		Page 251	
1				
2	INDEX			
3	INDEX			
4	DC CADALLEDENCII ( CC 1)			
5	PC SARAH FRENCH (affirmed)			
6	Questions from MR WASTELL			
7	Questions from MR STRAW			
8	Questions from MR BEGGS			
10	Questions from THE CORONER			
10	MS ELIZABETH KAYE (sworn)			
11	Questions from MR SKELTON			
12	Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE74			
13	Questions from MR STRAW93			
14	Questions from MR BEGGS			
15	DS MICHAEL SEEAR (sworn)			
16	Questions from MR SKELTON107			
17	Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE141			
18	Questions from MS BARTON157			
19	DCI ADAM COLLWOOD (affirmed)			
20	Questions from MR SKELTON161			
21	Questions from MS HILL179			
22	Questions from THE CORONER			
23	DR ELENA PANFILOVA (sworn)			
24	Questions from MR SKELTON192			
25	Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE208			
	Page 250			

<b>A</b>	64:21,25 69:1,1,2	86:14 142:7,8	231:6 233:11,12	alternative 207:7
ability 188:7	70:11,17 75:8,12	231:21,25 233:15	233:13	alternatively 32:12
able 1:7,22,24 5:5	75:14 76:18,18	234:2,5 235:20	agree 4:12 25:24	37:12
5:18 33:4,10	78:15,18 79:3	236:5,6,21 238:13	49:9 100:5 118:7	ambulance 7:10,13
52:24 53:11 54:5	81:22 84:10	238:18 242:11	118:24 167:23	7:14 9:18 124:6,9
54:6 56:9 59:8	104:24 185:23	243:14,25 244:1	214:7 219:18	124:22 130:22
71:13 79:1 80:14	197:23 204:18	addresses 46:18	agreed 49:11	131:3 134:15
84:12 91:2 114:3	accountant 88:12	adequacy 146:21	121:20,24 142:7	151:13 163:23
117:13 124:5	accountants 44:14	adjourned 249:3	164:3 214:4	168:16 171:16
136:19 164:24	accounts 39:12	adjournment 115:8	agreeing 167:19	172:9,17
222:24 223:5,16	69:8 76:19 78:13	administer 124:23	agreement 49:10	America 218:25
248:3	78:14,19 185:8	138:2	ahead 71:4	<b>amount</b> 73:14
<b>abouts</b> 91:18	accrue 167:14	Admittedly 61:8	aid 99:12 124:23	138:8 154:6
Abramovich 211:7	accurate 105:14	adopting 180:17	<b>AIM</b> 53:5,17	189:21,24
218:12	106:20,23 215:20	advance 49:16	<b>alarm</b> 59:1	amounts 41:11
abrasions 174:3	accurately 169:5	227:17	<b>albeit</b> 142:15	<b>amplify</b> 107:19
absence 61:17	achieve 114:17	advanced 193:15	Alexander 56:15	analysis 131:21
126:7 151:14	119:18 122:24	adverse 34:7 35:14	57:24 58:7 59:19	156:23 166:14
172:25	acid 83:18	36:3	60:2 79:22 81:25	170:1
absent 138:1	act 68:15,16 174:8	advertisement 83:6	86:1 89:8,16,22	and/or 142:10
absolutely 61:6,23	174:23 175:9	advice 32:11,15,15	94:11 95:5,12,20	<b>Andy</b> 89:21 91:12
61:23 64:20 72:13	189:17	33:4,6 41:25 49:3	96:21 99:7 100:4	91:16 92:10,19
76:17 96:22 97:6	action 27:23 142:25	69:25 71:16 92:18	102:14 106:13	<b>Anna</b> 245:9,10
117:22 119:9	178:10	166:17	142:6 154:24	answer 18:9 19:10
129:12 143:9	actions 122:24	advise 32:24	185:13 213:4,14	29:14 47:5 77:6
183:21 186:2	active 52:11,12,13	144:11,20	230:16,25 231:7	79:5 88:2,2 97:1
188:25 206:13	actively 52:15	advised 41:24	231:12,14,16,20	147:23 150:2,3
accept 83:21	activities 218:25	142:3 155:5	240:2,6 246:8	167:7 169:13
105:11,23 109:19	activity 63:24	advisory 49:22	Alexander's 103:11	180:15 187:25
157:9,10,10	82:25 88:6	<b>affair</b> 60:19 241:12	241:7 247:8,21	191:9,10 230:20
181:24	actual 135:4 148:17	affairs 47:24 58:25	alive 110:17	246:3,20
accepted 106:19	172:21 186:14	80:19 90:11	allegation 61:25	answerable 226:13
182:5 219:8	195:11 203:10	affirmed 2:19	allegations 68:8	answered 156:23
accepting 118:3	Adam 127:8 153:9	161:1 224:15	135:20	answers 245:5
access 58:14 69:2	161:1,2 250:19	250:5,19 251:1	alleged 64:9 175:22	anticipate 223:8
84:1 129:18	add 148:11 159:16	afraid 25:23 29:21	176:3 238:10	anxiety 25:5,8
151:25 152:20	added 30:6 132:22	30:19 107:19	allegedly 60:21	<b>anxious</b> 2:14 248:9
154:1 187:13,14	144:15,25,25	153:17 191:13	alleviate 25:7	anybody 1:9 20:1
233:25	145:5	248:15	<b>allow</b> 75:9	51:19 113:22
accident 113:6	addition 34:8 49:14	afternoon 161:22	allowed 41:16	174:19 233:20
172:24	75:21 105:1 184:8	192:15	50:16 68:17 74:23	248:7,8
accord 11:14	additional 36:23	agenda 105:25	85:1	anybody's 132:7
account 32:9 42:22	58:21 93:5	106:7,9	allows 41:13	anymore 84:18
43:8 48:22,23,25	Additionally 59:23	agents 103:11,19	alluded 105:23	125:19
50:6,9,15 54:13	address 3:20 46:14	<b>ago</b> 87:4 117:5	alongside 63:22	anyway 19:12

				. I age 200
20:19 137:22	21:22 91:1 138:24	122:17	166:24 167:1	attempts 21:13
143:24 148:9	149:9	article 184:22	168:4 170:9,12,17	attend 4:1 14:11
<b>AP</b> 43:11 85:24	approach 122:11	185:2,3 188:18	176:5,12 178:8	109:14 110:3
96:25	180:17 182:1	210:14 211:3,5,10	186:16 190:9	117:2 118:8,24
<b>AP's</b> 81:1	185:25	212:1,7	242:6,15,16	119:19,20,23
apace 95:23	approached 8:15	articles 62:3,4	asset 41:20 83:9	121:24 127:12,19
apart 13:23 104:10	9:4 23:10 155:16	64:15 83:14	88:14	127:22 133:1
143:14	155:23 183:7	aside 106:11 133:5	assets 40:4,23 41:5	137:5 164:2
apartment 78:22	appropriate 25:14	156:10 202:16,22	41:11 42:1 46:17	167:12,20 168:13
78:23 79:21	51:12 71:21	203:9 206:5	46:23 48:15 51:7	169:14 170:21,22
apparently 42:18	117:12 118:18	239:11	51:9,10 56:5,10	170:25 177:19
87:11 135:23	126:23 151:17	asked 8:21,22 9:8	69:4 78:21 97:22	186:16 190:8
143:24 163:23	appropriately 28:7	9:13 14:10,22,24	185:16	attendance 3:22
appeal 155:15	170:16	15:14 19:19 20:1	assigned 32:5	25:13 27:14
appeals 155:14	approve 32:18,19	24:16 27:3 29:9	assist 13:17 33:10	111:15 118:14
appear 8:25 14:3	approved 32:22	33:8 44:25 47:4	47:24 64:1 71:14	119:22 121:21
42:19 44:5 67:19	43:18,22	50:21 53:16 62:5	71:15 127:25	126:12 134:9
95:12 126:4	approximate 53:20	77:2 78:19 84:22	130:10 169:9	140:23 155:21
154:15 172:19,22	approximately	90:2,5,14,21 92:8	assistance 14:1	170:6 172:10
242:10,13 245:23	17:15 110:1 151:8	120:9 125:15	assistant 97:12	177:12
245:25 246:4	aptitude 188:13	126:17 136:7,7,10	assistants 35:4	attended 3:20 24:1
appearance 12:13	architecture 80:6	138:13 143:15,18	assisted 31:23	32:22 122:2
appeared 18:25,25	archived 44:21	143:22,24 178:7	assisting 21:23	137:11,15 170:4
102:16 135:14	area 28:8 33:9	194:5 230:15,25	assists 93:10 223:7	attendees 86:4
136:17 171:23	38:23 63:21 71:18	236:13,15 239:10	associate 65:18	attenders 1:4
229:19	72:1 91:15 99:5	241:15 245:6,11	associated 47:16	attending 167:2
appearing 6:14	104:12 125:24	245:11 246:17,18	53:12 123:25	173:4 178:4
appears 19:12	127:23 130:3	247:10	associates 56:14	179:21
26:14 69:25 93:10	138:13 139:22,22	asking 13:20,25	57:19 64:18	attention 21:6,20
95:22 108:12	146:20 182:23	14:6 48:13 72:16	associations 218:19	attract 89:13
146:25 154:14	190:3	90:18 94:9 99:21	assume 132:1 148:7	Austria 58:16
157:3 169:10	areas 72:4 157:3	133:18 145:13	183:4	authorised 33:6
199:11 203:10	arises 146:17	149:22 151:19	assumed 90:24	authorities 82:20
244:5	arms 123:17 172:8	204:8 216:9	assumes 26:15	<b>authority</b> 74:4,5,17
appended 194:23	arrange 32:13	230:19 233:19	Assuming 175:17	76:1,20 176:21
application 93:11	arrangements	237:9	assumption 131:22	automatically
94:22 95:23	114:7 248:10	aspect 77:15	173:2	120:24
applications 73:21	arrive 124:10	aspects 33:17	assurance 97:8,18	available 57:21
94:10	<b>arrived</b> 4:15,19,23	assertion 147:24	attached 46:15	74:18 136:6 137:9
<b>applied</b> 133:18	5:24 7:12,14 8:12	148:12	194:20	185:20 245:9
181:25	16:5,11 20:20,23	assertions 147:4	attack 83:18	average 54:4,6
applying 27:25	21:6,8,11,15	assessed 177:18	116:21 163:25	Aviation 193:8
133:17 180:19	124:18	assessing 181:21	attacked 243:22,23	avoid 92:17 147:16
appointed 81:6	arrives 153:13	assessment 136:15	attempted 131:3	148:2 199:22
appreciate 2:12	arriving 21:12	164:25 165:4	182:10	200:1,2

	I		I	I
await 121:19	232:2 233:17	<b>based</b> 35:5,6 46:3	believe 15:5 22:15	205:18 211:18
aware 20:24,25	238:4 239:23	65:1 89:12 101:2	22:17,21 38:22	222:12 223:15,22
37:10 42:14,15	240:9 247:24,25	104:18 105:11	50:19 51:8,9 65:6	224:1,2 232:17
58:10 61:4,14	background 31:13	106:25 110:8,13	70:18 80:7 89:5,9	234:4 239:21
63:6 64:4,14 65:3	34:23 35:8,20	110:14 116:9,22	118:6,11 121:11	<b>bits</b> 38:4 128:14
73:23 78:17 82:17	36:2 39:9 42:13	120:8 129:21	124:9,21 127:1	black 12:17 43:12
82:24 83:4 90:6	50:18 55:12,15,19	133:22 135:22	137:13 138:16,17	100:22
92:24 93:6 96:1	55:20 61:13 66:1	136:15 158:13,14	175:6 210:9 229:7	<b>blaming</b> 191:13
110:10 112:4,7	76:16 81:2 83:22	167:1,13,14 170:7	234:15 247:11	blinded 83:18
113:9 124:17	109:22 149:14,21	170:17 182:3	believed 118:25	bloated 5:16
125:2,7 135:17,19	238:10	184:6 185:3 188:4	120:18	<b>blown</b> 196:12,18
135:24 136:16	backwards 38:4	190:4,13 191:1,7	bells 164:19 172:2	<b>blue</b> 13:23 26:8
143:3,10,12,19	<b>bad</b> 11:8 197:9	191:12,14,17,23	<b>belly</b> 5:19	<b>bluntly</b> 243:21
144:4,12,21	<b>badly</b> 15:3	192:1,5,5 239:24	Belorussian 205:8	<b>board</b> 17:6 153:7
146:11,14 155:4	bags 27:21,22	247:19,19	benefit 92:4 169:2	<b>bodies</b> 103:20
163:6 168:7	balance 114:20	<b>basic</b> 58:5 97:23	190:23	<b>body</b> 5:23 17:19
181:10 184:1	<b>bank</b> 31:15,16,18	137:17 176:2	<b>Benson</b> 33:12 73:8	23:21 27:3,8
187:18,23 188:1	31:18,20 33:19	186:10	85:4,24 86:8	28:11 105:7 117:9
190:19 234:8,9,11	34:9 35:25 37:16	Basically 58:2	87:11 91:13,21	117:10 118:3,3
234:15,19	37:19 39:8,23	<b>basing</b> 85:18	96:11 98:10	122:14 123:10
	40:9,9,11,12,24	basis 2:7 40:24	Berezovsky-Abr	124:17,18,24
B	43:11,24 44:8	52:16 70:1 134:9	218:17	126:9 134:10
<b>B</b> 3:12 9:21 109:3,7	45:2 48:13,19	137:3 147:23,24	best 2:16 3:9 25:12	137:19 138:15,18
back 11:16,20	58:10 61:11,22	148:4 149:18	30:10,12 55:10	139:3,5,22,23
15:23 22:7 28:20	68:12 76:16 79:3	170:4,8 172:14	75:3 87:8 88:11	140:2,3,9 146:24
32:23 33:15 35:16	87:14,16,18,20	176:12 187:3	108:25 114:17	164:2 167:20
36:7,13 39:22	91:14 185:7	bear 22:14 43:4	214:1	168:16 171:15,17
42:11 43:17,22	bank's 104:12	215:3 240:18	better 25:10 107:8	171:18,19,22,23
45:25 47:10 54:24	banking 48:17	bearing 137:8	<b>beyond</b> 48:20	173:16 176:10,17
55:25 59:14 62:6	105:7	176:4	140:23 146:19	181:19,22 187:8
62:7,10,25 63:15	banks 36:22 45:16	becoming 33:19	147:1 155:18	218:8
71:19 72:14 95:15	75:22	beer 86:11	238:4 243:14	<b>bond</b> 54:4,5,6
98:12 99:8,24	Barclays 45:17	Beggs 23:6,7 28:14	big 28:15 29:21	bonds 52:6 53:1,3
107:20 118:21,22	71:7,12 72:17	29:5 99:20,21	167:6 194:15	53:24
122:18 130:24	73:18 89:10 93:4	100:25 107:4	205:4	borne 174:15
131:20 135:18	<b>Barton</b> 29:6 30:16	147:3 223:3 250:8	bigger 100:24	borrowing 48:20
137:7 150:9 159:2	99:19 129:14	250:14	<b>bill</b> 60:4,17 67:7,8	bothered 238:15
159:14 167:15,18	130:10,13 143:17	beginning 36:12	<b>birth</b> 55:13 154:24	<b>bottles</b> 182:18
169:12,13,23,24	143:20 144:2	123:23 183:12	bit 2:2 12:22 24:8	<b>bottom</b> 23:11,12
170:6,24 183:24	146:19 147:12	191:20	43:5,9 54:24	47:13 91:9 92:9
188:10,11 194:11	149:12 155:18	begins 179:19	128:9 131:12	94:3 98:15 100:9
194:12 203:3,4	156:22 157:16,17	<b>behalf</b> 61:19 64:11	142:19 147:17	100:21 103:18
204:25 206:2,4	159:24 160:14	99:21	148:5,6,9,10	126:3 128:13
209:11 216:3	167:4 192:9	<b>belief</b> 3:10 30:11	149:12 166:4	179:24 185:10
229:19 231:19	250:18	109:1	203:24 204:2,4,5	198:4,9,11,12,14
			,.	
	I	I	I	I

				rage 255
198:16 201:18	106:6 114:19	214:12 215:14	25:20 27:4,12	carries 218:18
210:9,19 214:6	<b>Brown</b> 240:21	218:2,13 219:5	69:13 70:16 96:2	carry 36:6 77:11
215:16 216:7,19	<b>Browne</b> 16:4 22:3	226:16 232:8,22	96:2 118:21 120:9	87:13 232:23
217:4 219:1	30:23 74:2,3	<b>bundles</b> 226:17	129:10,20 155:4	241:8
bought 100:19	77:14,15 78:9	<b>bundlet</b> 208:25	156:16 162:23,24	cars 130:3 243:4
<b>bound</b> 138:5	84:20 86:7,23	<b>Burden</b> 14:13,21	164:9 169:12	case 19:17 21:16
box 53:6,8 80:15	88:15,18,20,22	18:1 20:8 121:15	192:13 224:14	34:2,4 38:13 40:8
207:24	89:1 90:11,16,24	121:20 125:7	call-out 119:1	40:15 41:18 61:18
boxes 52:3,5	91:11 93:10,15	140:18 142:21	121:22 144:11,19	66:18 69:23 72:24
brackets 144:19,24	104:23 141:14,15	153:11 155:23	164:20 167:25	75:12,20 78:4,6
branch 156:7,8,16	143:19,21 144:7	burdens 2:12	169:15	85:3 91:2 107:10
156:24 157:6,12	147:11,15,19	business 45:23 46:5	called 3:23 6:4,10	112:5 133:6,17
breaches 114:5	148:7,20,22,25	46:5,20 50:25	6:25 7:3 31:4,18	152:21 158:25
break 51:12,23	149:17 150:2,9,12	55:25 57:2 58:25	40:22 42:25 45:9	159:25 165:20
112:14 113:20,24	155:21 157:1,3,15	63:11,12,13,20,21	46:8,21 54:23	181:10 183:5
115:2 160:16,19	184:19 191:19,25	65:1,7,14 66:17	55:6 60:15 74:5	203:12 212:22
160:23 222:22	208:23,24,25	68:10 69:17 86:13	74:10 85:14 91:11	220:17 225:24
224:3,6	209:19 210:7,14	87:9,13,16,18	92:2 105:15	226:12 241:7
<b>Breaking</b> 194:22	210:23 211:8	88:7 104:2 202:11	107:24 109:13	245:22
breaks 114:13	212:4,5,7 213:19	203:22 205:21	118:10 135:2	cases 155:7 181:3
breathing 171:13	213:22,25 214:9	213:2,9,16 216:1	141:20 152:10	cash 71:6,9
bribery 68:15,15	214:11 215:14,16	218:9,11 219:7	169:10 170:20	cast 59:18 103:11
75:11	216:1,15 217:13	229:15 241:18	204:2	catalogues 59:24
bribes 68:16	218:5 219:22	businesses 45:20	callers' 152:12	catastrophic 197:8
<b>Bridge</b> 81:8,9	223:7 250:12,17	46:19 47:12 55:24	calling 176:16	catch 191:20
brief 111:12	250:25	57:4 59:15 65:2	calls 32:6 118:21	categories 52:25
briefing 171:2	browser 128:19	211:22,23	169:13	cause 59:1 112:23
234:16,19 235:5,8	bruise 139:2,7	businessmen 59:5	Cambridge 193:11	238:16
235:9	<b>build</b> 12:18	<b>busy</b> 111:1	193:15,19,24	caused 23:2 76:8
<b>briefly</b> 51:25 103:7	bulbous 5:17	<b>Button</b> 225:4 233:5	<b>Canada</b> 103:21	76:10
103:17 179:16,17	<b>bullet</b> 81:3 98:15	245:9	Capital 60:15	causes 116:19
184:17	103:17 211:20	<b>buy</b> 41:7 53:2 54:5	capture 169:7	151:12
Brilliant 97:7	<b>bundle</b> 3:1 4:4 9:22	54:6 56:9 71:6	177:13	causing 190:6
bring 239:8 247:25	16:23 23:7,15	89:9 102:24	car 7:9 11:17	CCTV 155:24
<b>bringing</b> 239:12,19	24:7 30:1 79:16	buying 70:24 71:2	care 77:21	ceased 21:16
broad 58:2	85:12 91:7 93:21	71:9 101:20	career 50:25 65:10	cent 37:10 66:5,7,8
broadly 182:5	94:21 99:24 103:6	<b>BVI</b> 48:3 59:6	81:1 147:21	71:10 82:1 89:12
<b>broke</b> 24:14	107:24,25 141:16		careful 51:17 61:16	89:13 127:11
broken 166:5	147:25 150:5	C	77:12 113:22	central 129:23
brother-in-law	152:6 162:3	C 109:12 127:5	147:8 248:7	centrally 184:6
190:14	179:18 184:15,17	<b>CAD</b> 128:19,20,24	carefully 189:14	centre 5:3
brought 86:16	184:18,21,23,25	129:15 130:18	212:9	<b>CEO</b> 86:10
247:12	194:10,11,13,14	132:5 165:8	carried 57:19 59:23	certain 53:2,3 64:4
Browder 60:17	194:14 210:7	CADs 131:24	88:6 125:15 149:8	138:7 147:5 154:6
67:7,8 82:20	213:22 214:1,11	call 14:1 16:23	149:18 226:9	155:7 173:8
	•	•	•	•

				1490 200
certainly 27:9	101:8 238:16,22	classify 183:17	75:2,4,7 82:16	161:1,2 164:3
51:14 83:10 85:6	243:19	186:4 187:2,10	clip 150:4	171:21,23 250:19
88:22 100:13	<b>choose</b> 119:19	189:2	close 5:23 6:1 10:13	<b>Colombia</b> 198:6
112:10 136:10	222:9	clean 36:25 60:4	11:9,22 17:19,23	201:17 202:17
139:11 147:9	chose 91:14	171:23	18:15,16 22:7	206:6
149:15 155:14	chronologically	clear 10:15 25:3	76:18,19 174:25	<b>colour</b> 10:17
158:6 160:21	94:24	90:2 102:4 110:17	closed 45:24 104:25	coloured 185:25
175:23 222:22	CIA 103:23	135:10 143:18	105:1	columns 132:16
223:15	CID 14:1,10 15:8	145:23 148:17	closer 90:25	come 1:9,9 4:3
certificate 193:12	15:17 23:20	179:25 180:4	<b>clothing</b> 5:10 12:20	21:18 29:8 34:3,9
193:13,13,15	110:12 111:14,15	220:18 236:18	140:4,5,9 172:9	34:22 40:1 42:23
cetera 55:14 122:15	111:18,24 116:3,4	243:13	clue 97:10	45:3,14 47:10
244:22	122:16 124:10	cleared 137:21	clues 138:5	48:10 65:4 75:2
CHAIRMAN	141:21,22 155:4	clearly 148:17	Coach 142:20	83:13 88:19 96:16
192:15	159:22 179:23	182:8	coat 29:15	96:18 101:12
chance 77:6	180:4,16 183:5	client 31:25 32:4,5	collaborated	104:3 110:15
change 116:25	186:10	32:7,10,11,23	164:13	112:1 113:7 117:6
133:13 159:14,16	CIP 34:24 37:25	33:3,11,19 34:3,9	collaborative 168:9	118:2,18 119:6,12
<b>changed</b> 21:8 41:16	38:2 42:16 62:21	34:15,16,22,25	collapse 27:13	120:3 123:9
48:5 54:17 76:13	89:19	35:6,13,13,17	122:5,6 134:22	126:12 127:25,25
120:3 158:3 192:4	circles 60:18	36:12,13 37:12,23	135:4,13 151:10	128:5,5 134:4
<b>changes</b> 187:17	circumstances 21:3	38:17 39:20 40:2	172:20	138:7 140:7
charge 16:15 27:11	77:9 111:12	40:16,24 41:3	collapsed 22:8,23	145:23 149:4
39:19,19,20,20	125:23 127:7	42:3,16,23 43:2	25:11 151:4 175:7	150:24 151:18
121:16 142:15	131:15 135:7	43:21 44:12,25	collapsing 122:8	153:4 159:9 164:7
charming 102:18	141:6 165:21,25	45:19 46:12 47:5	123:21 174:18	164:10 170:2
chatter 154:6	166:18 169:25	47:8,19 48:10	collar 4:11 130:5	174:22 178:7
chauffeur 171:13	170:1 172:16	49:16 50:1,2	colleague 43:24	189:5 196:7,17
<b>cheap</b> 39:17	178:5,9 238:10	55:16,20 59:14	140:18 161:21	200:18 201:8
cheated 185:16	civilian 161:2 225:8	61:2 62:16 63:3	181:25 183:4,23	230:5 237:3,3
check 15:10 34:17	225:9,12,14	64:16,22 75:1,6	colleague's 111:22	238:1 242:5
122:10 123:3	claiming 56:18	76:16 78:24 80:18		246:15 247:24
138:3 148:3 232:6	Clair-Ford 7:1	84:9 85:14 91:21	42:17 100:16	comes 28:20 33:22
checked 60:9	clarification 30:13	92:19 96:12,16	<b>collect</b> 246:13	39:22 47:10 96:12
115:17	clarifies 58:15	211:6	<b>college</b> 193:17,19	144:15 147:18
checks 120:10,14	<b>clarify</b> 21:10 40:11	client's 42:24	193:22 235:17	158:1,20 216:3
136:7,8,8,10	43:9 44:15 66:4	clients 33:15 36:16	collision 116:14	217:2 237:9
144:13,23 158:17	108:16 127:7	36:17,24 37:1,2,4	173:22	coming 78:20 88:18
184:3,10	134:1,9 137:11,17	37:14 38:10,11,14	Collwood 115:25	147:13 164:18
chef's 6:10	201:23 220:17	38:16 40:3 41:23	117:1 118:7 121:5	213:1,8
chest 172:10	230:6 242:25	43:18 44:12,13	121:21 123:10	comma 207:11
chief 115:24,25	Clark 13:12	45:13,15 47:4	126:2 127:8	comment 12:10
161:14,18	classification	49:22 54:3 56:6	129:22 136:23	23:3 78:5 141:1,3
<b>child</b> 15:4 24:3	181:24 183:2,11	58:18 60:23 68:7	144:10,18 153:9	147:17 149:22,25
children 69:19	183:13 188:3	74:6,13,14,20	160:17,17,25	152:3 156:18
	I	I	I	I

	1		-	
184:1 186:10	50:1 80:18 128:20	218:1 231:21	178:2 200:19	contemporaneous
187:21	128:22	234:1 235:19	204:9,22	145:5,12
commenting 99:11	completely 12:7,8	236:4,11 237:11	conscious 223:12	contemporaneou
comments 57:3	25:21	238:20 241:19	consensus 189:5	232:6
77:6	completes 8:5	242:10,13,19	<b>consider</b> 1:17 34:4	content 169:23
committed 136:19	49:25	247:14	71:13 133:4,7	contentious 198:19
168:23	complex 53:5	concerning 55:10	135:9 180:22	context 67:1 89:12
<b>committee</b> 32:21,22	complexity 47:19	concerns 121:21	181:2	94:7 97:13 197:14
38:15 43:21	compliance 32:8	179:6 226:6 229:2	considerably 29:22	197:24 199:21
commodities 52:6	35:11 38:2,5,12	229:8 231:1,24	consideration	200:3,23 201:6,23
<b>common</b> 47:19	38:19,22 44:16,19	236:20 238:12,18	155:9 180:25	201:24 202:7,12
117:4,5 124:9	55:18 62:4,17,23	239:2,3,3,14	considerations	202:13 203:10
199:20	74:25 77:22	241:4,6,21 243:14	113:11	206:4 209:15
commonly 141:21	104:12,12	247:11	considered 36:18	212:13,16,21,22
219:8	<b>comply</b> 37:17 68:14	concluded 37:24	38:14 151:10	217:13,15,25
commonplace	comprehensive	126:4 137:4	180:5 225:25	219:6,7,13,19,20
103:1	59:23	conclusion 46:10	considering 101:20	219:22 222:3
communicate	computer 120:6	59:20 76:1 123:9	173:19	236:8 237:9 247:3
116:5 119:7	152:18,21,22	concrete 80:15	consistent 59:2,3	247:8,12
communication	153:3 154:6	173:1	112:22 142:4	<b>contexts</b> 201:1,2
202:1	157:22,25 158:10	conditions 176:11	174:3	continual 186:24
communications	159:24 160:4	176:14	conspicuously	continue 12:1
110:21 129:19	233:25 234:6,9	conduct 39:17	67:20 100:6	45:21 104:9
225:20	computerised	58:24 74:5 98:24	constable 16:6	109:12 152:14
community 156:14	244:3	conducted 35:24	224:20 225:6,11	224:9 230:11
243:2	computers 154:16	74:5 138:14	constitute 47:5	continued 76:4
companies 46:4	conceivable 233:17	176:23	constraints 177:23	135:10
47:11,15 51:11	conceivably 117:15	conducting 69:16	construction 80:5	continues 81:15
56:25 57:6,11,13	concentrate 28:22	<b>confident</b> 85:24,25	consult 114:14	continuing 1:3
63:8,15 64:2,18	138:17	173:17	consultancy 65:16	continuous 186:25
68:8 80:3,12,22	concentrating 74:9	confidential 59:24	consulted 60:9	contracts 211:23
<b>company</b> 36:1,4,5	74:12 235:11,13	confirm 15:18,20	consulting 55:4	Contribute 218:6
39:12 45:24 46:7	concern 6:19 68:21	17:25 19:11 57:22	59:8 79:17 83:24	control 14:10 120:8
46:21,22 48:2,3,3	98:20 105:2	confirmed 98:19	contact 44:10 50:10	128:22 129:21
58:15 60:14 63:20	126:11 147:3	confirming 86:25	57:16 86:16 95:22	131:25 136:9
65:17,20,21 66:11	150:6 157:5	109:14	142:10 143:1	152:13,14 153:15
76:9,21 81:7,7,13	172:19 175:23	conflict 177:15	163:12 224:25	153:18,20,21,24
81:20 82:2,6,11	178:13,20 189:19	confrontation	240:14 241:2,5	158:11,20 159:2
82:19 86:10	189:20,23 236:4	174:14	contacted 156:24	controlled 26:25
compass 11:7 18:12	238:17 239:5	<b>confused</b> 235:7	163:15	controller 132:3
complain 228:20	240:14,15,20	239:13	contacts 58:7	controlling 26:22
229:20	243:6,15 247:7,20	connect 136:3	155:12	controls 76:5,12
complete 30:12	concerned 8:23	connected 15:9	contained 46:16	controversial 223:4
50:8 89:18 140:20	14:5 48:7 61:24	connection 9:20	containing 59:25	convenient 248:12
completed 46:12	62:20 98:2 102:9	61:4 90:25 158:21	contains 153:3	conversation 20:10
	<u> </u>			

				1490 200
53:18 62:14,17	129:13,17 130:12	248:2,14,20,22	227:4,12,13	create 158:7
70:24 71:1,23	130:14,17 132:3,5	250:9,22	228:11 229:16,21	created 42:10
98:25 111:2,10,11	132:7,17 133:10	coroner's 140:20	230:17 231:11,23	131:10 158:2
112:2 115:21	133:13 134:4	140:21 241:4	237:13	244:12
124:11 164:5,6,9	136:25 139:10,21	corporate 52:6	corrected 97:15	credence 136:1
164:15,16,17,22	140:7 144:3,5	53:3 63:5	correctly 34:10	credit 32:20,21,22
167:16 168:8	147:7,16 148:2,9	corporation 63:6	73:16 179:18	32:24 33:1 78:16
170:8 176:8 178:6	148:19,23,25	correct 2:25 3:7 4:8	183:22 191:21	creditors 185:15
179:1,7 189:3	149:15 150:8	4:17 6:3,5,8 12:18	195:1	crew 124:9 134:15
190:6 201:25	156:25 157:2	12:21 25:9 32:2	corroborate 35:17	151:13 163:23
207:25 212:25	159:14,17 160:15	33:6,14,23 35:7	37:12	168:16
207.23 212.23 222:17 229:25	160:18,21 165:15	41:6,8,15,15	corruption 36:22	crew's 124:6
conversations 43:1	166:3 167:6	42:12,23 44:7	_	172:10
230:1		45:6,12 47:4 51:5	Cottage 5:7,9 6:5 7:6,16 10:23 11:4	crime 25:21 39:23
	174:17 175:2,5	54:21 55:17 56:19	*	
<b>copied</b> 73:11 89:16 92:10 97:14	183:15 188:22,23		counsel 88:23 194:19	60:1 76:6,11 110:3 127:1 131:7
	189:1,7,11,22	58:9,19 59:21	count 69:21 75:24	131:8 136:18
<b>copies</b> 38:24 <b>copy</b> 30:7 44:19	190:11,16,20	60:3,12 66:10,14 67:2 74:11 76:23	country 42:8 60:25	
1 0	191:4,11 192:8,10		•	140:25 150:17
55:20 129:15	193:21,24 195:20	85:5 87:21 96:10	87:24 181:4,11	158:16 159:6,12
237:5	196:9,14,16,22,24	99:14 100:12,15	couple 52:1 73:19	159:18,20,23
cordon 7:20 8:9,13	198:8,10,13 199:4	101:4,9,21,24	91:24 101:7	160:1,8 169:3
8:15 9:4 13:13,16	199:6 200:9,13,24	102:11,17,19,25	course 1:9 18:1	176:9,9 179:2,5
14:14,21 23:11	201:1,3,5,7	103:13,16,22,24	21:5,22 47:10	181:13 186:19
25:19 26:2,4 27:4	203:24 205:18,25	104:17 105:8,15	77:19 82:15 85:1	189:3,6,15 190:2
corn 86:11	207:17,21 208:2,5	105:22 106:1	97:3 104:5 106:19	190:7,17,20
corner 4:5 10:20	208:7,11,13,22	108:7,13,23	120:4 142:9	225:17,21 226:11
91:9 94:3 150:13	209:14 210:3,5,12	109:16 110:19	146:22 147:20	criminal 65:18
150:14,23 210:9	210:17,19,21,23	111:3,4,9 112:17	149:7 155:25	199:25 200:7
232:19	212:2,4,6,24	112:19 113:3,11	163:15 186:10,18	201:4 203:18
coroner 1:6 5:14	213:18,23 214:2,7	115:16 116:1	186:23 197:25	204:23 208:17
10:25 21:25 27:7	214:25 215:3,5,8	119:3 120:1,16	198:2 209:22	222:16
28:9 29:7,8,14,18	215:12,15,17,20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	court 2:21 3:15	crisis 64:13
29:21 30:6,18,25	215:24 216:9,12	127:16 129:3	61:17 106:12	criteria 111:19
51:14,17,21 77:14	216:18,21 217:2,7	130:19 132:4,6,23	107:15 152:21	critical 131:12
77:25 78:6 84:18	217:10,12,14,25	133:22 137:12	192:17 223:1	criticism 25:3
85:8 86:6,19,22	218:4 219:22	141:5,17,23	224:18	28:25 156:18
88:15,19,21,24	220:19,21 221:2,5	142:13 145:18,22	cover 89:10 92:15	<b>CRO</b> 44:1
90:4,7,10,14,17	221:7,9,12,21,25	153:12 158:12	92:21 93:3 97:24	<b>crops</b> 87:1
90:23 91:8 93:14	222:6,8,12,18,20	160:7,10,13 161:9	98:16,20 102:23	cross 125:13
93:22 94:9 100:22	223:12 224:3,8,12	161:10 166:20	157:17 203:14,15	culture 68:13
100:24 103:14	226:2 232:23	173:23 177:20	203:16,16,18	<b>currency</b> 87:19,22
107:2,6,8,18	234:20 239:10	182:4,13,24 193:2	205:21 208:15,15	87:23 142:25
108:19,21 109:6	240:2,6 241:6	193:10 198:10	208:19	246:24
113:21 114:25	242:14 243:25	207:11 215:24	<b>CPR</b> 6:21 35:2	current 57:1
128:18,24 129:5,9	245:14,17,20	225:5 226:15	128:14 131:3	currently 79:21

185:16	141:1 146:14	60:16 64:15 70:20	183:25 184:4	240:2
<b>customer</b> 75:9 85:2	148:13 149:2	92:4 97:25 98:3	185:6 189:19	degree 177:4
89:19	150:10,25 151:16	98:17,21 101:23	December 55:1	180:22 193:6
customer's 75:10	151:24 222:25	104:14 108:9	103:10 104:5,14	degrees 192:25
75:10	223:14,19,25	111:23 112:25	108:4	delay 4:18
customers 31:16	229:17 237:20,23	115:11 116:13,16	decency 106:14	<b>Deliberate</b> 174:8
<b>cut</b> 166:11	237:24 238:2	116:19 117:20	decide 112:1	demeanour 22:10
<b>cuts</b> 177:23	242:3 244:23	119:2 120:24	121:21 144:10,18	deny 241:11
<b>CV-type</b> 55:20	245:8,25 247:10	123:6 124:1,13	244:18	department 32:9
<b>Cypriot</b> 48:2 59:6	249:3	126:7 127:2	decided 23:23	32:20,24 33:1
	day-to-day 52:16	131:13,15,18,22	37:16 76:17,19	38:2,5,12 55:18
D	days 48:1 73:19	131:25 132:1,25	deciding 71:5	62:5,17 74:25
<b>D</b> 250:3	95:18 105:18	133:8,9,15,21	decipher 128:11	85:13 91:14
<b>D9</b> 179:20	135:25 148:16	136:6,17 137:5	<b>decision</b> 20:22,25	104:11 193:8
<b>Da</b> 7:3,17 8:5	155:19 156:1	140:17 141:7	21:20 27:23	depend 41:25
danger 77:7	<b>DC</b> 14:13,21 18:1	148:14,16,17	118:24 121:8	depended 44:20
<b>Daphne</b> 108:6,8	20:8 121:14,20	149:3 150:25	126:15 129:24	depending 111:1
226:14,21	125:7 140:18	151:11,15 157:11	134:3 164:20,24	122:22 137:9
dark 123:11	142:21 153:10,11	161:17 163:7,23	165:7 167:13,15	deployed 189:6
dash 233:24	153:13 224:14,15	164:18 165:23	167:17 168:12	225:12 226:5
data 58:13 152:21	241:2 251:1	166:8,18 170:1,12	178:3 186:12	deposited 88:4
database 34:11,14	<b>DCI</b> 117:1 118:7	170:20 173:5,13	188:5,24 189:1,8	<b>describe</b> 5:10,14,17
34:17 154:1	121:5,21 126:2	175:18 176:6	189:9 191:8,16,18	23:8 126:19
databases 57:21	144:10,18 155:23	177:6 179:4,21	192:3 246:13	195:12
date 48:6 55:1,13	160:17 161:1	180:10 183:13,15	decision-making	<b>described</b> 6:13 7:24
81:15 85:20,21	164:3 250:19	183:17 185:6,21	169:9	12:13,17,24 16:19
91:20 135:19	dead 25:12 120:23	186:1 187:10	decisions 21:4	17:22 22:11 26:7
154:24 166:5	146:25 156:12	188:3 189:10,16	122:13 169:9	100:4,17 103:14
185:2 226:23	deal 4:1 40:2 66:22	190:14 191:22	183:9	172:16 180:9
228:7	66:22 68:12	192:7,7 225:1,24	declare 88:9	182:9,14 186:15
dated 54:10 91:5	100:13 114:21	229:17 238:9	declared 21:3	describing 9:3
95:2 96:10 98:8	117:13 144:8	239:4,6,17 247:9	182:16,20	11:11
108:3 162:15	146:18 153:25	deaths 111:15	declined 84:23	description 18:21
197:18 227:3	194:25 229:17	123:24 127:9	deed 56:1	19:2 55:24
228:4,5,7	<b>dealing</b> 3:23 28:10	166:13,15 173:10	deemed 52:23	designed 47:24
dates 83:23	83:8 114:18 127:2	180:1 186:4	94:17 126:8	111:10
daughter 13:19	166:13 187:16	<b>debate</b> 38:7 159:21	151:15 152:15	desk 129:8
15:5 238:23	192:5,7	<b>deceased</b> 15:2 18:7	226:8	desperate 6:17
<b>Davies</b> 96:11	dealings 47:20	20:20 21:3,9,17	deeper 58:6	<b>despite</b> 25:12 75:13
day 1:14,18,19,19	89:24 229:15	101:19 104:15	defensive 123:14	detail 16:25 46:17
2:1,3,15 32:7	dealt 77:22 102:21	110:4 112:16	172:4,7 174:10	56:11 57:1,5,10
35:18 52:16 69:20	103:17 112:11	115:15 125:9,12	defer 186:12	123:10 126:19
75:24 95:13	152:15 170:15	142:3 144:11,20	definitely 64:5	165:6 171:20
110:12 112:11	206:17	151:8 153:13	70:17 175:13	235:24 238:3
125:20 136:6	death 18:3,13	155:2 163:24	definition 218:7	<b>detailed</b> 56:7 85:17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	•	•	i	
182:17	147:3 152:6 159:7	disclosed 28:6	166:8	doorstep 243:17
details 6:25 42:8	160:3 164:14	231:6	doctor 214:13	doorstepped
56:21 57:18 76:7	169:18 177:9	discloses 237:16	222:21 229:11	238:15
152:12 154:4,22	181:25 183:16	discovered 133:14	document 4:6	doubt 21:6 59:18
163:1 236:2	188:5,24 189:1,8	219:10 231:21	19:17 44:5 50:15	103:11 131:15
detect 243:15	189:8 195:16	discretionary	79:18 84:6 85:12	133:21,24 135:7
<b>detected</b> 187:20,24	200:21,23 202:17	32:12	85:14 86:18 90:7	136:20 165:24
detective 16:10	203:9 207:23	discuss 22:19 96:16	98:5 128:11,25	166:18,21 180:11
107:16,21 109:23	209:25 210:6	96:17 118:20	150:12,15,20	180:19 186:6
111:8 115:23,24	211:8 222:8,12	164:10 201:12	152:6,7,9 154:7	187:3,4
115:25 122:3	differently 182:6	204:17 241:18	154:11,19 157:23	dozen 102:2
124:3 131:17	187:16 207:1	247:25	159:7,24 162:5,8	<b>Dr</b> 149:19 192:13
161:14 162:19	difficult 78:5	<b>discussed</b> 22:20,21	166:23 167:9	192:14,17 209:2
163:7 166:1	102:18 128:11	57:1 87:2 121:8	179:16,19 180:16	250:23
186:15,17,22	173:8 175:15	163:21 236:20	194:3	<b>dragged</b> 174:3,4
224:20 225:6,11	205:18	240:10 241:12	documentation	dragging 171:25
detective's 122:12	difficulty 30:23	244:3	33:2 63:3 64:24	drawn 32:14
detectives 110:25	175:3,4,7	discussing 64:7	documented 60:22	dressed 20:5
186:13	digital 127:14	99:6,8	documents 31:8	123:12
determine 133:20	169:6	discussion 70:23	39:2,6,13 46:15	<b>Drinkwater</b> 235:1
detrimental 57:23	diligence 32:8	95:8,13 117:1	59:16 77:16 78:1	241:2
development	36:19 44:16 50:17	126:2 128:4 190:9	80:20 82:7,10,19	driven 12:2
186:25 187:1	54:22 55:9 56:17	198:3,6 230:12	84:14,15,21 85:7	<b>driving</b> 10:2,12
developments	63:4 80:17 82:6	231:24 238:5,7	86:24 90:19,20,23	11:12 17:14
219:12	82:11,16 86:16,24	discussions 163:1	91:1 93:8,11 94:8	dropping 120:23
<b>DI</b> 160:17 170:14	103:10,15 104:9	170:7 231:20	94:14,15,16,17	<b>DS</b> 107:12 115:10
<b>dialogue</b> 37:22,24	105:6	235:19,24,25	148:1 150:4	161:21 163:2
126:19 139:25	dim 76:20	dispatch 4:7	154:11 194:2	167:16,19 168:15
dictating 233:6	dipped 165:1	dispatched 4:11,12	<b>dog</b> 19:7	169:10 171:1,2,18
dictionary 218:6	<b>directed</b> 6:23 7:20	dispatcher 4:15,18	<b>doing</b> 19:8 22:1	175:11 177:4
die 135:15	70:9 177:9	dissident 83:17	52:13 56:8 63:10	183:23 184:2,9
died 60:13 62:24	directing 142:11	dissimilar 75:18	63:20 64:23 65:4	235:1 250:15
110:18 135:23	direction 125:11	distance 13:22	65:6 70:4,5 85:18	<b>Dubai</b> 56:2 78:23
141:11 181:8	139:20 158:18	18:16	104:1 140:14	due 28:22 32:8
240:7	directly 44:10	distinguish 5:18	142:15 161:18	36:19 44:16 47:10
difference 64:16	62:13	124:5	183:24 184:3	50:17 54:22 55:9
121:2 137:16	<b>director</b> 51:6 66:1	<b>distress</b> 28:22 29:1	187:12 188:16	56:17 63:4 75:10
149:1,3	81:6,25	distressed 6:14,15	198:13 204:4	80:16 82:6,11,16
different 45:16	directors 65:21	24:12,12 28:3	217:15	86:16,24 103:10
50:20 53:18 58:13	66:11	67:22	dollars 47:2	103:15 104:9
61:13 75:6,7	disappear 177:24	disturbance 172:8	domain 184:12	105:6 116:19
121:13 122:7,15	disappearance	divide 228:23	domestic 56:12	120:4 177:23
127:17 129:15,18	125:22	divided 45:15	113:8	179:4 190:15
137:2 138:21	discern 173:8	divider 91:9	domiciled 40:10	duly 15:15
139:14,19 141:18	disclose 237:17	<b>division</b> 131:19,20	92:6	duration 54:4,7

1 / 2 / 7 / 10 / 2	110 2 127 22	6 211.22	. 1 170 10	202.2
duty 3:17 110:12	119:3 127:20	enforce 211:23	episode 172:18	203:3
111:5 112:8 116:2	155:23 159:14	enforcement	173:3	eventually 123:4
116:3 163:6,6,15	160:16 167:2	211:21	equally 187:15	125:13 237:18
<b>dying</b> 121:1	168:23 169:6	engage 110:25	equipment 118:18	244:21,21
	171:24 173:10	engagement 111:20	<b>equities</b> 52:5 53:3	everybody 1:7 2:5
	225:14 247:7	engineering 46:5	<b>equity</b> 46:7 52:7	2:12,13,15 29:23
E 250:3	elaborate 19:4	<b>England</b> 101:7,12	equivalent 246:25	76:17 107:20
earlier 9:9 26:7	236:7	103:21	era 45:25 56:1 80:6	114:14,21,23
100:16 182:10	electronic 152:23	<b>English</b> 57:20 69:6	escalate 175:23	211:4 214:4
early 70:22 92:21	element 52:21	193:7,12,15	176:10 178:13	223:20 248:25
101:19 116:9	80:16 81:23	199:16 203:25	escalated 176:8	evidence 16:7
218:10	122:16 243:15	228:18	178:3	51:18 69:22,24
earphones 12:16	<b>ELENA</b> 192:14	enhanced 36:19	escalates 178:20	77:8,8,20,21
ears 12:16	250:23	103:15 105:6	189:18,22	81:22 90:22
education 101:7	elephant 77:23	enjoyed 49:1	especially 79:10	113:22 116:24
effect 28:17 69:24	Elias 8:18 9:5 10:4	enquire 19:3	essentially 159:21	121:3 123:23
167:21	10:8,12,15 11:11	enquiries 115:12	160:4	133:3,22 134:12
effective 131:18	12:22 13:16 16:17	115:15 117:8	establish 89:2	135:21 136:15
166:1,7	17:12 19:11,15	134:18 138:21	146:7 157:20	137:16 138:9
effectively 32:5	22:6,10,23,25	142:7,19 155:11	192:22	143:18,21 147:6
39:22 44:22 48:25	23:3 134:23 151:5	160:12	established 56:14	148:14,15,16
52:16 61:12,21	Elias's 9:24 13:5	enquiry 137:2	149:4,16	151:15 161:21
70:5,5 91:16	15:23	ensure 152:2	<b>estate</b> 10:19 47:12	162:2 173:24
128:1 142:11	elicited 236:25	<b>enter</b> 26:1,20	120:23 175:18	174:8 176:5
146:9 152:11	elided 239:4	158:22 159:1	estimate 110:8	179:10 184:2,9
158:1 185:11	eliminating 19:22	entered 26:13	et 55:14 122:15	188:17 192:6
220:11	elimination 28:3	165:19	244:22	223:4 248:3,6
efforts 20:22 21:12	<b>Elizabeth</b> 29:19,20	<b>entering</b> 26:16,20	<b>Eugene</b> 8:18 9:5	evidenced 122:8
25:12	250:10	27:1	28:21 151:5	evolve 48:21
<b>EFG</b> 31:18,19	email 91:5,11 95:5	entire 26:23 97:2	Eurasian 194:22	evolved 61:2
33:10 40:10 73:16	96:10,20,22 97:14	101:15 222:25	Euroventures 46:8	evolves 158:8
73:18 74:6 76:3	109:13 126:13,24	entirely 51:3	evening 19:21	EVU 57:17
76:21 82:7 83:21	127:5 140:24	104:15	20:19 151:16	ex 42:17
84:16,17,20 85:22	142:6	<b>entities</b> 58:25 59:9	156:5 178:11	exact 48:6
89:11 94:9,11	emails 73:11 89:16	entries 128:12	181:14 189:6	<b>exactly</b> 73:17 102:8
104:8,14	95:17 109:18,20	158:13 160:6,9	190:18 191:1,7,17	148:18 157:20
<b>EFG's</b> 74:12 76:5	emanates 157:21	entry 4:10 8:2	223:22	193:3,23 199:18
94:13	emerge 103:7	129:11 130:21	evenly 45:15	200:6,6,12 212:17
eight 72:22 89:22	emergency 135:2	132:22 143:6	event 19:18 48:8	220:12 221:15
90:13	employees 33:10	144:16 145:14	86:17 96:23 97:24	222:5 243:13
eight-fold 100:10	employer's 86:13	146:1 150:22	98:17,21 151:16	exam 193:24
either 32:11 35:4	en 121:16	151:21 159:4,6,15	events 2:23 18:11	examination
37:11 44:12 63:7	encompass 58:1	159:16,17 162:13	48:7 92:20 99:16	117:14 149:16
71:12 80:8 91:2	ended 149:23 178:4	162:15,20 165:9	161:6 186:25	170:19 171:15
97:12 116:16	ends 154:7	165:20 166:10,11	187:1 190:13	172:3
		<u> </u>		
	I	ı	I	ı

	ı	ı	I	ı
examinations	52:4,8,14,24	extra 2:10 139:10	78:2 79:19 81:9	125:10,16
193:12,13	53:11,15 105:12	166:4	123:25 162:5	<b>fifth</b> 214:6
examine 6:1	106:25 139:2	extract 3:13	181:3 195:4	<b>fight</b> 123:14
examined 123:10	154:10 164:8	extracted 150:15	familiarity 86:15	figure 100:24
<b>example</b> 26:1 65:17	169:3 173:4,9	extremely 75:5	86:23	figured 82:19
65:23 75:8,8,17	187:15	eye 82:17 122:12	families 225:16,21	<b>file</b> 31:4 39:7 59:16
78:12 100:18	experienced 52:5	122:12	family 11:17 29:1	80:23 82:12 84:12
113:8 114:2	169:3	eyeballs 199:15,20	43:12 97:8,18	91:6 96:2 140:21
116:21 123:17,20	<b>expert</b> 187:25		98:16,20 114:6	150:9
129:10 130:10	expertise 105:12	F	225:13,15 226:10	<b>files</b> 29:25
134:23 138:23	experts 138:6	face 13:1 28:9 44:5	226:12 239:4,8	fills 35:3
139:2 140:12	<b>explain</b> 31:16 35:19	151:2 175:3	far 17:1 18:2 20:15	<b>final</b> 39:3,4 99:5
156:22 159:4	52:20 55:14 152:6	face-to-face 86:2	42:14 46:24 50:19	105:9 165:2
168:15,18 182:16	154:11 176:14	<b>faced</b> 178:9,10	51:7 65:16 80:13	financial 58:24
182:25 183:9	182:10 189:4	facing 124:16 127:8	89:7 99:10 106:14	64:13 74:4,5,17
187:18 196:2	195:16 199:19	fact 26:15 29:14	115:12 127:14	76:1,20 81:7,9
203:25 218:21,22	200:21 202:16	34:23 35:14 36:4	137:8 143:25	83:22 84:1,8,9,11
219:15 223:17	204:20 209:17	40:6 41:19 42:9	146:18 192:18,24	106:2
excess 33:17 41:2	220:14 225:3	56:8 60:23 62:15	215:1 217:25	<b>financier</b> 120:19,22
46:24 47:6,8	234:8	69:9,25 72:6	fashion 106:6	144:13,22 145:21
97:22	explained 55:12	92:20 103:17	fast 129:24 158:19	155:2 156:12
<b>exchange</b> 46:6 49:1	89:13 118:13	108:14 112:2	159:13	175:12,14,17,21
49:1,8 52:22,25	163:21 211:7	120:22 121:1	fault 77:24 212:5	178:12,15,18
53:5,13,16 197:22	240:13 246:24	125:24 134:21	favourite 194:21	191:3
exchanging 202:5	explaining 98:2	139:7 142:18,20	<b>FBI</b> 103:23	<b>find</b> 30:2 35:14
exciting 105:20	explains 94:8	143:14 147:4	FCA 104:23 105:2	37:8 49:19 50:20
exclusive 156:13	explicitly 197:11	162:18 182:11	FCA/FSA 76:13	56:8,17 58:3,4
execution 49:22	exploration 27:18	185:14 187:19,23	<b>FCIG</b> 153:14,16	59:8 66:9 75:22
executive 38:15	explore 165:6	194:3 232:18	fear 112:24	79:1,2 113:14
exercise 103:15	167:15	241:4 243:14	feature 219:25	117:10 125:18
<b>exhausted</b> 12:6,7,8	<b>exposed</b> 38:17,18	factor 175:5	February 99:9	135:12 136:5
exhibit 28:2	243:4,19	facts 25:11 190:18	<b>fed</b> 34:24 35:1 39:1	138:24,25 147:10
<b>existence</b> 187:19,23	express 197:11	factual 147:23,23	129:19 197:6	167:2 173:6
existing 44:13	expressed 177:4	<b>fail</b> 116:13	Federation 56:12	176:13 211:14
<b>expand</b> 236:17,17	231:1 247:7	failings 76:3	<b>feel</b> 117:20 243:3	227:19 232:14,17
expect 26:11 59:2,3	expressing 241:6	failure 149:1	<b>feeling</b> 24:24 25:4	236:5
85:13 203:9	expression 207:12	fair 53:8 77:5 99:15	229:20	<b>finding</b> 34:23 138:1
215:10 236:12	219:6 220:9,13	183:4	feelings 220:14	235:19 236:5
245:10	extended 102:9	fairly 45:15 77:8	feels 77:10	<b>fine</b> 12:1 89:6
expected 143:6	extensive 57:20	127:24 151:7	<b>fell</b> 16:17	<b>fined</b> 76:21
167:10 168:11	59:25 241:8	169:21	<b>felt</b> 25:5,15 100:5	finish 15:23 103:2
177:24	extent 1:13 65:3	fall 172:21	122:8 168:13	157:1 223:5 248:3
expecting 155:8	175:24 248:17	<b>fallen</b> 139:4 191:6	246:1	248:12
<b>Experian</b> 154:1,22	external 55:21	191:12	<b>female</b> 17:16 18:18	finished 35:10
experience 28:1	79:24 131:4	<b>familiar</b> 68:8 77:17	22:11 23:9 125:8	88:25 117:7

	i	ī	ī	
196:21,23	flown 229:19	<b>formally</b> 20:5 27:6	fraudsters 61:19	<b>Gated 243:2</b>
finishes 108:16	flows 225:24	format 129:15	free 246:9	gather 56:4
firm 43:13 59:8	focus 21:6,8,11,18	141:18 152:8	French 1:5 2:18,19	gathered 137:16
235:11	21:24 63:2	154:16	2:22 250:5	gathering 171:5
<b>first</b> 1:3,4,11 8:21	focusing 244:23	former 161:21	Friday 114:25	Gazprom 100:9
9:16 17:13 31:12	focussing 194:3	forms 54:17 89:17	115:5 247:24	gear 123:12
34:1 38:3 45:23	folder 39:7	89:19,23 163:8	friend 184:16,18	general 81:6,25
58:20 94:21,24,24	<b>follow</b> 24:6 83:15	186:9	208:20,20 214:21	92:2,8 110:23
94:24 95:7 99:25	188:2 216:19	forth 11:21 22:7	216:23	125:11 134:19
100:24 111:7	244:9,14	25:17 103:21	front 3:1 4:5 6:4	165:19 179:19,20
112:9,13 113:24	<b>followed</b> 168:7,10	146:23	10:16 16:23 29:25	180:18 209:23
124:2,23 127:5	following 49:20	forthcoming 79:5	30:7 88:21 93:23	236:16
134:2 135:24	55:11 56:16 80:25	102:19	93:24 107:24	generally 39:1
136:3 138:17	116:13 151:24	forward 34:19	162:3 180:22	159:19 186:3
142:1 147:4,20	154:20 237:20,23	62:19 96:19	184:23 187:8	generate 188:18
148:19 149:8	238:2 249:3	141:25 144:8	193:4 194:10	generated 157:23
155:11 157:22	follows 26:18 95:10	151:6 152:5	frozen 185:7	157:25 159:19,23
164:21 171:7	food 47:12 63:21	forwards 38:5	FSA 76:24 78:4	219:4
183:17 189:11	65:1,7,15 86:10	found 3:24 17:2,20	FSA's 104:23	generating 87:13
192:22 193:6	footing 223:9	58:16 59:18 61:24	fuel 106:4	generic 166:10,11
195:4 199:8	<b>footprint</b> 146:13	66:20 76:11 81:23	full 42:21 43:3	Geneva 58:12
206:12 207:11	147:6,25 148:13	94:21 103:11	55:13 107:14	gentle 100:4
208:3 216:19	force 152:13,13	111:13,16 113:13	119:1 121:22	gentleman 6:10
220:5 221:6,7,12	153:20 161:8	113:15,16 117:17	144:11,19 154:19	64:10 93:12
225:3 227:7,8,8	174:7 188:16	123:12 124:19	164:19 169:15	105:10,24 122:5
228:1 229:18	forces 168:10	125:10 138:18	204:1 208:17	131:7
230:18 231:2	foreign 49:1,1,8	139:12,18 142:5	224:18 228:5	gentleman's 27:13
232:25 240:19	52:22,24 53:5,13	151:9 156:12	fully 204:20	55:21
242:16	53:16 193:8	171:14 174:25	<b>funded</b> 46:7	gentlemen 120:18
firstly 22:5 160:5	forensic 27:1,2,22	177:11 210:14	funding 177:23	gently 24:5
fit 33:25	117:13 127:13	212:3	funds 53:5 69:3	genuine 169:24
<b>five</b> 18:4 41:3 49:14		<b>founded</b> 81:13	75:11,14 78:20	genuinely 62:12
53:21 54:3,20	176:24 177:13	four 5:25 9:24	furore 238:8	geography 102:8
99:16 117:5	178:25 182:22	23:12 27:25 67:11	further 14:23 15:25	George's 10:19
170:25 188:4	forensically 27:19	73:3 101:25	16:2,3 26:7 57:12	71:2,20,25 72:1,8
five-year 54:1,7	forget 104:9 114:25	108:11,19 135:23	58:14 88:17 93:16	102:7 155:16
70:12 92:11,15	forgive 84:25	221:2 236:18	95:8 97:7 140:22	162:21 242:11
190:19	184:20	four-page 3:7	141:9 220:18	Germany 58:16
fixing 218:20	form 49:21,25 52:1	228:9 241:25	231:18 240:13	getting 88:16
flashing 13:23 26:8	54:18 56:4 63:4	fourth 233:8	futures 52:7	110:11 118:7
flip 98:9	83:7 85:15 89:19	France 58:16	FX 52:7	215:13
floor 79:24,25	186:6 200:3	fraud 60:20 61:19		<b>Gherson</b> 45:10
FLOs 108:18	202:12,13 204:7	135:22,24 175:22	G	69:25 227:11
flow 201:25	formal 20:11 143:8	175:24,24 176:3	gallstone 229:9	234:24 240:10
flowed 36:14	143:14	178:2 238:11	gangsters 211:25	Gherson' 241:1
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				rage 204
<b>Gherson's</b> 240:14	216:3,22 217:16	164:11 168:4	guidance 50:21	happening 248:18
Ghersons 228:10	Global 194:24	169:14 173:19	179:20	happens 55:17
gifting 92:16	glorious 80:6	196:3,10,11,16	<b>guidelines</b> 37:15,17	186:10
Gill 109:15,18	gloves 117:13	200:14 208:25	37:18	happily 246:10
126:24,25	go 6:7 15:10 23:23	218:5 223:16,21	gully 125:3,3	happy 38:5 71:13
gilt 41:1,4 70:12	31:2 32:21,23	223:22 224:25	<b>Sun</b> j 120.0,5	119:11 170:9
gilts 41:7	34:12 36:12 40:21	226:2,4,9 232:5	H	178:10
give 2:9 32:11,15	41:17 42:21 43:2	238:4,19 239:23	habit 121:1	harass 243:17
33:4,6 41:25	43:17 56:9 58:13	240:9 248:2,4	hair 12:17	hard 139:10 153:3
42:13 48:14,16	59:13 66:8 76:7	gold 156:19	half 2:7,9,10 23:17	154:6 158:19
49:3 51:15 56:6	76:16 77:14 80:24	good 18:21 26:21	114:10 223:18,18	harm 113:7 174:22
65:23 70:13 71:15	81:3,24 82:17	31:12 76:12 85:11	224:9,13 236:18	190:6 230:16,22
73:4 75:8 76:7	89:15 95:18 98:6	101:12 114:15	half/three 105:5	243:17
77:8,8,20 84:8	99:24 107:9 108:2	127:13 142:2	halfway 10:1 129:6	head 67:9
92:18 94:7 107:14	109:6 121:2 122:8	156:15 192:15	hand 12:15 132:16	headache 199:3,5,6
111:19 114:16	122:10 128:18	223:17 248:22	177:21,21,22,22	202:25 204:11
122:15 127:12	133:2 138:21	Google 58:5 120:6	handed 33:2 44:19	206:21,22 217:19
135:7 136:1,14,14	141:25 148:6	156:11	150:7 183:5 209:1	217:22,24 220:24
138:23 143:15	153:23,24 166:25	<b>Googles</b> 34:5	209:1 210:8	221:8,9,10,12,19
144:3,6 155:9	167:15,18 168:1	Gorbachev 45:25	244:21	221:20
207:8 214:3,9	170:6,6,9,13,16	55:25	handled 156:21	headquarters
223:4 224:18	198:8,9 206:2	government 52:6	225:16,20	184:6
given 41:7 55:20	213:25 215:8	53:1 205:24 210:1	handling 76:6	health 60:4 228:24
60:23 69:4,25	223:16 226:16,25	210:6 218:8	handover 179:8	229:2 241:13
84:2,3 91:1 94:16	235:10,24 236:2	governmental	hands 171:25 172:3	hear 10:8 14:13
126:8 127:7 128:3	246:8	103:20	172:8	29:23 30:24 42:19
136:15 137:1,10	goes 18:17,24 19:6	Granville 3:20 9:8	handwriting	62:5 82:9 96:15
138:10 139:3	38:4 43:15 97:21	10:13,13,21 11:3	232:25 233:1	107:20 167:4,8
155:7 156:10,11	116:17 126:3	11:6,9,12,22,23	handwritten 109:4	181:1 191:10
156:13 158:14	128:21 172:6	17:14 151:7	109:8 173:20	192:19
159:25 176:2,21	206:4	162:21	232:22	heard 6:13 13:12
179:20 184:13,14	going 2:4 8:21 9:8	grass 17:17	Hang 189:7	60:15,17 66:25
	11:16 24:19 30:20	grateful 192:18	hangings 173:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
185:22 186:4,7,9 187:22 207:8	31:8 33:15 39:19	248:24	happen 20:24,25	68:11 83:10,11,12
238:8	40:1,1 47:13	great 2:12 31:7	62:18 68:25	83:12,19 184:2,9 191:21
gives 2:2 53:20	48:14 53:24 57:5	94:7 193:6	244:11	hearing 1:8 16:23
O	60:24 61:13 64:2		happened 6:18	30:24 107:25
55:13 114:6 132:14 178:17	64:20 66:13 67:16	greater 28:1 188:7 190:11	8:23 13:20,25	115:14 141:15
237:10	72:14 77:20 105:2		14:7 34:1,16	179:17 214:11
	107:18 118:20	grey 127:23 ground 3:25 4:22	44:15 96:12 99:1	heart 116:21
<b>giving</b> 6:21 51:18 90:22 114:21		6:21 24:20 112:22	99:2 145:10	163:24
135:21 230:19	121:19 122:3,10 128:10 130:21		151:20 169:10	
	131:20 135:18	<b>group</b> 46:2 47:16 57:4 156:19 218:9	174:24 183:19,19	held 15:6 41:3,9
glass 213:3,4,9,16			187:7 219:12	46:18 48:3,4 59:5
213:20 214:3,5,8 214:20 215:21	143:4 144:8	Grynova 228:2	234:10	59:6,15
214.20 213.21	146:19 150:8	Grynova's 228:12	<i>23</i> 1.10	help 10:7,9 15:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				rage 200
17:9 30:20 32:7	hold 7:20 48:2	233:22 243:3,4	140:19 156:15	implied 93:1
64:5 72:20 84:22	59:10,11 79:3	houses 46:18	214:21 216:23	implies 85:6 186:11
85:8 91:2 92:13	189:22 196:22	HSBC 45:17	241:16,17	important 1:18,19
94:20 115:2	215:3 221:2	huge 107:19	ideas 49:5	2:15 19:12 149:7
128:12 135:1	holder 41:20	hurry 236:3	identification	152:1 245:24
144:16 150:12	holding 12:14	husband 14:4,19	19:23 25:16 28:2	impossible 87:12
168:3,20 200:17	22:16,20 59:6,6	15:1,9,15,18	28:18 141:4 163:9	218:11
helped 135:1	Holdings 59:5	24:17,21 41:19,25	identified 9:5 10:20	impression 18:11
helpful 130:14	hole 185:12	50:12 51:4 75:10	27:6,15 57:22	20:21 79:7,13
helpfully 165:18	<b>HOLMES</b> 129:15	87:9 101:16	58:21,23 60:1	impropriety 104:1
Hermitage 60:15	160:2,12	231:15 233:20,21	79:20 80:25	inaccuracy 106:4
60:17 61:5 66:25	home 17:24 18:2	236:20 238:21	149:25 151:3	inaccurate 105:18
67:9 238:11	231:6 242:20	241:18 243:19,22	177:6 190:2	170:17
240:16 241:12	homeland 199:9	husband's 14:8	identify 11:18 17:9	inadequate 170:17
hiding 185:17,24	201:21 202:24	28:17 42:1 225:1	27:3 115:15	INB 239:25
high 31:20 33:16	204:13,15 206:19	229:2 239:4	identity 149:15	incepted 73:5
36:18,20,21 38:14	206:22 207:4	husbands 69:15	idiom 199:16,17	inches 10:22
47:5 52:20,20	208:18 214:22	75:24	200:4 220:11,13	154:22
53:6 63:24 74:13	216:4,24 217:9	hypotheses 116:8	idiomatic 195:14	incident 7:24 14:22
74:14,20 76:15	honest 100:5	116:11,13 122:21	195:15 197:13	15:9,25 19:21
123:20 220:6	honesty 104:16	133:4,4 151:10	200:1,14 207:12	26:13 110:10,17
higher 71:12	106:13	168:25 172:23	IFA 31:23 33:8	111:14 113:8
highlight 139:6	hope 1:6 210:8	173:19	71:15,18 85:16	116:7 118:14,25
<b>highly</b> 24:12	hopefully 232:14	hypothesis 133:6	89:18 91:13,15,17	128:7,9,21 136:3
117:18	hoping 1:22,24	hypothesise 137:23	104:11,11	152:11,15 156:20
hill 1:23 12:2,3	10:8,16	hypothetical	IFA's 85:12	158:1,2,6,7,21
13:22 71:2,20,25	horizons 53:20	138:24	IFAs 33:10 72:19	160:11 169:14
72:1,8 102:7	horizontally 23:15	hypothetically	73:6	173:12 183:13
113:24 114:24	Horus 46:2 47:16	119:21	<b>ill</b> 68:1 121:1	188:15 191:24
125:1,3 155:16	57:4 65:24 81:24	hysterical 15:4	illegally 218:9	incidents 110:15
162:21 167:7	hour 2:7,9 8:12	23:10	illnesses 229:3	152:2 155:10
175:6 179:13,14	114:10 130:22		images 127:14,14	192:4
184:20 188:21	223:18,18 224:10	I	imagine 14:25	inclination 223:12
191:9 242:11	224:13	iCAD 129:20	134:18 204:1	223:14
250:21	hours 2:10 10:2,11	152:10 157:18,22	207:22 208:5,5,7	<b>incline</b> 125:11
Hill's 10:19	115:21 176:23	157:24,25 158:2	immediate 229:7	include 19:19 102:8
Hillcrest 5:7,9 6:5	246:14	158:21,23 159:1,9	230:22	176:24
7:5,16 10:23 11:3	house 13:5 14:16	159:13 160:5	immediately	included 63:16
hindsight 68:23	15:10,14 18:13	iCADs 154:14	151:21 177:11	186:22 211:10
72:9 156:3,4	20:8 23:23 24:5	ice 24:14	immense 238:17	including 45:23
190:24	27:20 89:9 115:17	icebreaking 218:19	immigration 45:11	47:22 55:24 56:21
<b>hint</b> 65:8 104:1	115:17 125:15,15	<b>ID</b> 112:16 142:4	impact 123:20	57:6 103:23
147:15	142:20 143:7	idea 61:6 114:15	impervious 25:22	145:13 155:17
<b>history</b> 45:23 81:1	163:9,9 195:10	116:23 117:6	impinge 248:11	163:8 192:25
hit 113:5 116:14	200:6 212:19	132:14 133:2	implicated 75:11	229:2
	-	-	-	-

income 39:10	27:17,24 33:8	initially 24:8 32:7	114:24 126:8	185:3,21 194:21
101:15 219:3	34:24,25 35:1,5,6	48:13,22 101:2	insurance 33:5	210:25
incorrectly 206:13	35:17 42:4 45:1	110:16 135:8	70:23 71:8 72:14	interposed 108:20
increase 100:10	45:19 55:16 56:4	158:10 176:25	72:17 73:3,14	interpret 218:21
incumbent 167:11	56:7,20 58:4,6,14	177:22 180:2	85:1 88:18 89:8	interpretation
independent 36:1	58:21 59:18 63:3	226:4 242:1	89:22,25 90:13	212:23
38:23 50:22 51:1	80:25 81:16 83:21	initials 96:7	92:12,15,24 94:11	interpreted 231:14
62:9 105:7	83:23,25 84:1,2,2	initiated 115:11	94:22 95:10,23	231:16
independently 35:5	84:9 85:19 89:19	163:8	96:5,13,17,17	interpreter 227:17
244:16,17	94:10,12 110:11	injuries 112:18,20	99:8 102:22	227:18
index 161:5	112:14 116:9,23	112:21,23 122:15	insurers 194:20	interrupt 30:16
indicate 21:15	116:24 121:5	123:13 128:14	intelligence 35:20	77:1
95:19 99:11	129:9 130:17	142:4 171:24	35:22 59:25 120:7	interrupted 13:8
129:14 155:1	133:14 136:5	172:4	136:8 146:8 184:5	191:13
indicated 11:1	137:6,10 140:25	injury 117:10	188:12	intertwined 239:21
145:20	141:9 145:7,24	131:4 139:7	intelligent 100:6,7	intervening 175:9
indicates 97:3	146:1,5 150:17	168:25 174:2	100:8	190:19
indicating 100:16	152:16 153:3	187:9	intention 122:11	intimately 74:21
indication 228:21	154:7 155:3	<b>input</b> 150:19	158:22	intimidating
230:21	158:10,14,16,20	inquest 102:13	interacting 25:1	192:23
indications 119:5	159:6,12,18,23	105:18 146:20	interest 60:24	intranational 60:7
126:6	160:8 167:1	147:2,21 152:21	88:23 101:6	introduce 32:11
indicative 95:8	170:18 171:4	194:5 249:3	interested 17:12	71:18 72:19
individual 34:6	172:12 175:25	inquiry 88:1	102:5 149:1	introduced 42:16
36:3 46:12 55:11	176:2 182:3	155:19	201:20 206:19,20	43:11,23 44:2,13
63:7,24 66:21,23	184:11,13 185:20	insist 119:16 128:3	206:23 207:5	introduction 33:9
120:11 169:1	185:22 188:4,23	insisted 48:17	213:16 216:2,4	42:11,14 44:8
individuals 31:21	189:4,12 191:7,14	inspection 123:5	217:15,16 245:7	45:4
63:2 64:4 68:9	191:17,23 192:1	134:10	interesting 83:14	intrusion 239:14
industry 65:15	202:7 230:18	inspector 7:21	interests 57:2	243:6,7,9
198:7 201:17	234:17 235:13	115:23,24,25	interface 225:16	invest 53:1 63:16
202:18 206:5	240:10	116:2,3,4 131:17	interference 124:6	64:19 65:18 70:10
214:20 216:23	informed 21:2	132:20 133:18	124:8	70:12 81:24
inevitably 25:5	234:25	153:21 161:14,18	intermediary 43:12	invested 61:18
inference 245:24	inhalation 190:15	163:16 166:1	44:6	64:11
inflicted 173:11	inheritance 89:14	186:15	internal 9:22 55:21	investigate 111:14
influenced 106:17	92:6	inspectors 186:17	79:25 120:12	115:11 137:18
183:11	initial 34:5 35:11	186:22	232:18	investigated 65:16
inform 168:4	35:13 42:11,13	instance 34:2 53:16	international 31:19	103:19
Informality 194:24	43:1,8 121:15 161:15 162:24	65:22,24 66:15	60:8 92:2 193:13	investigating 60:21
Informality.com 194:24		instruct 39:25	211:6 internet 120:11	186:18,19 225:7
	165:4 167:15 172:12 206:15	55:18,23 instructed 142:18	internet 120:11	investigation 21:23 26:6 27:11 28:5
informally 20:6 information 13:9		instructed 142:18 instruction 155:7	146:13 147:6,25 148:13 154:2	
14:20,21,23 22:17	227:11 236:1,19 238:4	instruction 133:7	183:24 184:22	74:6,7,22,24 75:3 75:15 104:24
17.20,21,23 22.1/	430.4	msu ucuviis /0.13	103.24 104.22	/3.13 104.24
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				<u> </u>
108:8 111:25	150:2	157:17 171:18	Jupiter 42:25	know 1:14 4:18
115:12 121:16	involved 15:1 19:19	230:5 238:11	jurisdiction 40:4	6:17,18 8:22
125:20 126:16,22	21:19,23 24:3	241:20,21 242:6	41:5,11,20	10:23 11:6 13:22
133:16 140:17	26:6 28:8 60:14	issued 56:15 94:9	jurisdictions 74:16	14:2,6,24 15:1
146:21 151:5	62:1 73:7 74:21	issues 37:23 75:24		16:16 20:15,17
152:4 159:19,22	74:23 75:1 78:4	230:12 231:3	K	23:9 26:22 27:16
161:16 163:8,21	86:3 95:24,25	232:13 237:22	kaleidoscope	28:6 32:6 34:2,20
182:6 225:18,22	112:25 125:21	239:5 241:13	218:18	36:22 38:4,22
226:1,2,9,11	126:22 134:2	items 83:24 139:13	<b>Karina</b> 109:15	44:13,25 50:21,24
236:9 241:8	150:1,7 158:25		126:24,25	53:10 54:3,4,12
244:13	161:15 168:21	J	<b>Kay</b> 225:4 232:1	56:6 58:2 60:19
investigations	174:14 175:25	<b>January</b> 3:5 43:19	233:5 245:9	61:14 64:20,22
38:21 57:14	177:15 182:7	99:9	<b>Kaye</b> 29:19,20 30:1	67:8 68:14,16
104:19 139:11	183:23 189:25	<b>JB</b> 85:23 96:25	31:3 51:25 74:3	69:19 71:25 72:1
146:24	213:2	<b>jeans</b> 18:20	99:21 250:10	72:3,7,18,24 73:1
investigator's	involvement 15:25	<b>Jo</b> 1:11,14	keen 119:11	73:1,13 74:17
141:20 232:3	45:5 68:7 73:10	<b>job</b> 6:7	keep 31:2 66:13	75:1,19 78:12,14
240:20	111:15 113:1	<b>jogger</b> 123:21	76:18 82:16	79:2,3,5 82:5 86:3
investing 53:12,17	116:16 123:18	jogging 120:25	114:20 160:20	86:19 88:2 91:19
53:24 63:7,18	134:2,13 135:9,10	135:14,15 175:1,2	167:5 191:4	92:13 95:24 96:3
70:4,6 217:17	135:21 137:21	175:6,18	207:19 248:9	97:10,13 106:9,21
investment 32:11	138:1 140:22	<b>join</b> 96:13,18	kept 25:16 104:5	106:25 111:12
40:15 41:1,14	141:2,9 142:5,25	211:23	key 197:20 230:5	114:17 119:11,11
45:3 49:19,21	149:23,24 151:12	joint 48:22,25 50:6	<b>Kim</b> 190:15	124:23 129:1
52:1,4 53:19 63:4	162:18 172:15,25	50:8 69:2,8	kin 13:14	132:19 136:11,13
63:19,19 65:8	173:5 175:22	<b>jointly</b> 168:21	kind 31:16 38:4	138:6 141:19
70:14 81:7 88:7	177:2 179:5,23	Jonathan 33:12	58:8 63:6 65:8	143:25 145:8
100:9,10	225:17 238:11	73:8,12 85:4,24	76:13 113:4	147:9 148:18
investments 32:16	241:9	87:2 89:17,17,20	123:25 124:3	149:13 153:16,16
46:7 47:22 48:20	involving 105:19	91:13,21 92:19	134:13 136:16	156:18 158:4
49:7 54:20 63:1,5	110:4 213:2,9,16	95:5,12,20 96:11	138:23 139:24	171:6,8,15,16,17
63:5,22 64:1,6	217:16	96:13,18,19 98:10	154:10 168:20	176:25 177:1
65:11,12,13 87:9	inwards 140:2	98:24	200:19 207:14	184:6,12 189:14
<b>investor</b> 34:5 40:21	iPhones 113:17	Jonathan's 97:11	230:23	196:8 197:11,11
47:7 48:11,15	<b>iPod</b> 12:16	Jones 7:20,21	kindly 150:10	200:8 203:22
52:11,12 69:9,13	<b>Iris</b> 7:3 8:5	Jong-un 190:15	222:25	204:20 205:21,23
70:3 75:9,13,23	IRO 145:24	journalists 238:16	knew 31:14 49:4	205:23 207:13
89:4,5	irrelevant 121:4	July 42:10 43:22	72:21 73:17 77:19	208:17 209:19,20
investor's 41:13	225:11	85:21 87:7 96:10	78:10,14,15,19,21	209:22,24 213:3
investors 63:25	irrespective 121:25	96:21 98:8,19	78:22,23 93:3	213:11 214:7,18
65:17	isolated 57:16	junction 11:21,22	101:22 124:4	219:24 220:14
invests 46:6 88:8	issue 22:22 34:19	17:15,22 26:3	149:9,14 191:3	221:16,21 222:16
invitation 213:8	62:24 99:6,6	June 1:1 30:14	230:15 235:7	223:2 229:15
invite 117:5	119:13 140:24	73:21 246:15	241:15	231:10 233:13,14
invited 148:22	141:4 154:17	<b>junior</b> 169:11	knifing 168:17	234:1 239:18

				rage 200
240:16 244:20	205:19	156:21 184:16,18	240:20	listen 110:14,24
245:14,17,19,25	<b>Kuala</b> 190:13	learning 238:22	letting 4:18 26:3	lists 59:25
248:11	Kvartel 66:3,5 82:3	learnt 190:24	level 71:12 173:8	literal 196:2,8
knowing 73:14	82:24	leave 2:4,11 58:3	175:23 176:10	197:3 199:1 207:3
238:13		91:14 106:12	178:13,20 182:14	209:9
knowledge 3:9	L	113:19,21 114:23	182:25 189:18,20	literally 195:7
30:10,12 52:14,19	laboratory 140:16	133:5 194:15	Lexus 58:11,12	196:9 200:4
52:24 53:11,15	lack 126:11 128:14	202:16 203:9	liabilities 46:17	218:17 220:5,10
75:3 87:9 88:12	134:12 174:10	204:5 219:22	88:8	little 1:20 11:1
108:25 154:13	lady 7:3 13:18	247:23	liability 81:7	16:25 24:8 50:12
173:9 214:20	17:13 18:14 19:23	leaving 106:11	liaise 248:19	71:19 78:10 80:15
215:7 216:22	27:20 29:11,11	156:10 202:22	liaised 153:9	97:7 150:4 198:11
241:11	77:16,19 222:20	206:5	liaison 225:13,15	208:25 213:22
known 5:7 50:24	lady's 23:23	led 50:19 51:9	225:20 226:10	218:2 222:12
60:17 62:10 82:21	laid 182:8	117:18 176:5	<b>Liam</b> 3:25 6:11	239:21
83:16 112:11	language 57:21	177:6 181:25	lid 196:19,24,25	Litvinenko 181:6
137:6 140:9	197:10 220:12	235:22	197:3,3	live 71:22 223:13
149:20 178:1	languages 193:8	<b>left</b> 10:21,24 11:7	lies 147:25	246:9
190:16 208:20	205:8	13:16 15:21 29:1	<b>life</b> 33:5 70:23 71:8	lived 7:5 80:8,10
209:22 211:24	large 176:3 192:17	40:12 43:24 44:17	72:14 73:3,14	lives 223:13
222:10 236:21	largely 186:19	104:24 132:16	85:1 89:8,22,24	living 190:3 231:10
243:15 245:3	lastly 112:14	137:14 140:19	90:13 92:11,15,24	233:14 243:25
246:25	late 1:21,25 114:4	166:3 188:2 204:2	94:11,22 95:10	LK 96:25 97:4
knows 1:7 247:14	114:11 222:22	247:18	96:5,13,16,17	load 60:6
Kompromat	248:25	left-hand 10:20	97:8,18,23 99:8	loan 32:13,13,18,19
105:15	launch 218:11	17:16 18:18 23:16	102:22 114:6	32:19,20,23 33:2
krysha 194:25	launder 65:19	23:17 24:7 150:13	152:9 229:12,15	40:16,20,22 41:7
195:2,3,5,12	laundering 36:21	185:2	<b>light</b> 172:12	41:17 42:18 48:14
197:2,2 199:11,20	37:20,21 47:3	legal 41:25 92:2,8	<b>lights</b> 13:23 26:8	70:6,7,14 71:6
200:10 203:20	55:10 60:1 66:24	legitimately 56:15	<b>limit</b> 59:10,11 92:5	loans 32:18 48:16
204:6 212:8,10,12	law 41:16,23	65:19	186:23	<b>lobbying</b> 205:23,25
215:2 216:10	194:22 211:21	legs 171:25	<b>limited</b> 66:3,6 81:7	206:1 210:2,4,5
217:18,19,19,21	Lawrence 121:15	<b>length</b> 157:18	116:9,22	218:19
217:21 218:7,11	lawyer 34:2 41:24	<b>Leonor</b> 118:13	line 5:3 6:4 37:15	local 68:13 88:9,10
218:13,22 219:1,2	lawyers 44:14	169:12	95:7 98:23 137:2	155:15,22 193:12
219:6 220:9,13,19	laying 3:24	let's 9:20 33:17	153:19 162:20	211:25
220:20,25 221:14	lays 166:23 167:9	46:21 49:12,13	233:8	<b>location</b> 72:9 85:22
221:14,15,21	lead 65:5,6 229:18	53:12 58:11 65:23	lines 4:14 9:24	128:14 131:4
222:5	leading 81:8 92:1	79:6 81:24 88:19	14:25 23:12 29:8	140:10
krysha' 209:3,9	leads 125:17	88:19 90:16	100:22 216:3	locked 182:21
211:24	Leahy 245:9,10	219:22 224:1,12	link 83:1 209:16	log 4:7 7:25 8:13,14
kryshavanye 204:5	leaning 30:17	letter 55:23 83:7	226:10 241:7	26:23 128:8,9
205:2,9,14,19	learn 187:15	98:8 185:11	linked 135:23	129:23 152:12
kryshevat 205:11	learned 103:14	194:19 229:11	<b>listed</b> 47:13 53:17	158:7 159:10
kryshuyushchiy	107:2 120:14	<b>letters</b> 240:13,15,15	173:20	logged 27:1 129:10

				rage 205
loggist 26:10,15	229:5 231:18	100:4	130:22 142:3	171:24,25 174:2
logs 131:10	232:5 241:24	low 53:2	151:6 154:4,23	matched 53:9
London 85:22	242:21 245:21	lowest 95:9	man 5:23 6:25 8:18	material 44:17 94:9
101:20 102:6,8	looked 5:2,21 9:9	<b>Lumpur</b> 190:13	9:9 12:3 22:22	167:14 177:16
185:17,24 190:3	12:3,6,22,24 13:1	lunch 115:2	58:6 61:10 97:22	180:21 187:13
240:22	13:3 23:1,2 31:20	lunchtime 2:9	100:4,6,7 104:15	189:18,22
long 35:14 39:14	50:17 74:20 81:18	114:10,14	106:13 110:17	matter 153:25
55:3 57:2,12	81:18 85:7 186:6	lying 24:20	123:12 139:3	163:15 164:10
88:15 92:17	191:5,11 201:14	lying 24.20	180:23 182:19	165:21 185:14
109:25 204:19	202:5 209:21	M	185:14 203:12	217:13
205:10 222:24	210:15 211:9	ma'am 157:19	208:18,19	matters 149:22
223:8,20 231:6	215:22 217:3	158:24	man's 181:22	170:15 223:4
233:11,12,13	looking 7:23 21:17	mad 196:3,10,11,13	manage 1:18 114:4	mature 166:24
246:9	51:25 54:19 69:18	196:14,15,16,17	125:18 128:4	176:12 178:8
long-sleeved 18:19	71:3 72:5 82:23	200:15,15	133:5	190:9
longer 2:2 40:11,12	82:23 89:9 91:6	mafia 209:25	management 31:21	mean 5:6 20:14
168:11 182:1	91:23 92:24 94:13	210:22 218:8,25	31:22 32:10 38:6	22:19 25:22 32:3
203:20	97:8 109:5 124:4	Magnitsky 60:18	38:6 43:21 57:17	33:16 37:9 40:8
look 8:24 9:20	126:24 132:7	60:19,20 241:7	60:15 62:19,22	47:25 52:15 53:15
10:19 28:11 32:5	156:20 173:16	main 65:14 86:10	74:25 131:18	53:23 56:19 58:2
34:14 36:2,10,11	197:21 210:10	98:20 101:13,14		
42:3 54:22 57:11	215:13 216:15	maintain 68:10	157:5 166:2,7	58:9 61:9,10,14 66:19 71:15 72:2
		69:20 138:14	manager 83:9	
65:22,23 75:21	232:21 233:17	maintained 152:18	119:17 153:19	85:9 97:1,1,4
77:16 78:1 79:16	243:1	major 7:24 74:6	161:2 169:13	106:21,24 112:2
80:16 85:11 90:16	looks 4:19 86:4	127:1 160:1,11,12	managers 62:14,23	112:20,21 114:3
91:4,24 93:18	89:20 128:25	176:9,9 179:2,5	126:23 169:3	117:4 125:24
94:23 96:7 103:5	144:15	181:13 186:19	managing 160:18	128:18 131:24
114:1 118:12,12	loose 102:8	189:3,5 190:7,17	ManCo 43:20	132:24 133:8
122:13,14,14,21	lost 64:12	190:20 226:11	mandates 49:22	135:11 136:13
128:7,10,12	lot 20:10 37:1 39:5	229:2	manner 28:18	139:25 147:9
132:10 133:5	40:7,8 45:13,14		manuscript 17:4	152:22 168:2
137:2 141:15	52:8 57:3 64:12	majority 36:9 44:12 54:2 63:10	244:24	176:16 181:6
143:21 148:1	64:18 68:7 70:19	75:22 82:1 96:4	map 10:14,17,18	189:8 195:7,22
150:4 151:21	72:11,12 74:14		March 161:12	196:10 200:2,4,6
153:2 154:5,21	76:10 77:21	making 10:4 30:18	margin 52:23	200:8 202:19
162:1,10 179:17	128:13 153:3	64:1 86:8 129:24	mark 11:1 111:3	203:2,6 204:6,12
179:24 180:7	185:14 194:2	135:20 167:16	148:10 171:2	205:22 206:1,7
184:15,21 185:1	195:23 200:14	171:3 178:3	176:6	209:3,10,15 212:8
185:10 194:10	202:25 207:13	183:16 186:12,13	marked 10:22	212:10,12,12,15
197:16 201:17	209:24 217:24	227:20	150:22 183:5	217:20 218:14
202:7 204:25	219:14 236:15	male 3:23,24,25	233:24	219:8,13,18 220:5
206:16 209:5,24	lots 58:13 80:11	4:22 5:20 6:21	market 81:8	221:7,12 222:6
210:7,23 213:21	84:14,14	9:4 12:17 13:3	markets 63:16	234:20,24
214:15 215:12	loudly 32:25	21:3,19 23:1,2	marks 4:15 123:17	meaning 131:6
217:23 218:1,24	lovely 61:10,10	25:11 112:16	153:4 171:17,18	195:16 200:1,20
	-	-	-	•

				raye 270
201:11 204:3	225:14	79:23,24,25	237:6	69:1,7 72:12 88:4
209:17 221:25	members 11:17	138:12	minutes' 18:4	185:14,16 189:21
222:16	27:14 28:7	MG11 23:17	miscellaneous 31:4	189:24 202:8
meanings 195:5	memory 139:16	Michael 107:12,16	79:16 85:12 91:7	203:4,22 207:22
means 1:10 127:10	145:12 229:4	107:21 250:15	93:21 99:24 103:6	246:14
133:10 145:6,19	men 213:12	microphone 30:17	194:13,14 213:25	months 28:1 39:15
189:1 190:5 195:8	mention 67:5 94:21	middle 4:25 51:18	214:12	161:19
195:23 196:3,9,13	234:16 236:23	166:6 205:10	misconceived	<b>MOP</b> 130:23 131:1
196:14,15,16,19	240:10	218:13 248:6	156:14	morning 1:4
196:20 199:19	mentioned 17:18	midnight 151:23	mishear 191:22	113:25 248:16
200:13,18,20	42:7 44:4 47:17	159:5	mishmash 83:23	mortgage 92:14
201:20 202:25	67:3 72:14 87:4	midway 46:5	misled 206:14	mortgages 31:23
203:18 209:20,20	123:23 184:18	Mike 43:23,24	missed 62:2,15	Moscow 46:3 56:22
212:15,19 214:23	189:25 229:10	44:20 168:8	156:5 157:4,8	57:17 63:16 78:24
218:1,18 219:14	234:5,12,13 235:3	170:10	173:7	79:6,8,10,14,20
220:10,24 221:8	237:21	milk 63:12,13	missing 145:1	80:1,2,21 100:17
meant 19:3 182:11	mentioning 77:22	million 33:18 40:16	missing 143.1 mission 34:23	193:8 235:18
209:15	114:5	40:23 41:2,3 42:2	mistranslation	MOU 164:14
media 34:7 36:3	mentions 60:6	46:24 47:6,8	246:11	166:12 168:8
58:8 64:9,14 65:5	229:9	48:20 49:13 71:4	misunderstood	169:20
105:9,13,18,21,25	merged 239:2	71:10,10,11 73:16	93:7	mouth 6:20
105.9,13,18,21,23	message 128:24	73:18,18 76:22	mm-hmm 45:22	move 23:14 152:5
155:12,13 169:6	129:16 157:18,22	79:11,12,12 89:10	76:23 95:16 102:3	241:13
238:8,20 239:3,14	157:24 194:7	89:11,12 91:22,23	198:7 208:10,12	moved 72:3,8 118:4
239:15 243:4	201:18 204:22	92:21,25,25 93:5	215:19,23 218:15	124:17,20,23
medical 21:20	214:6 215:1	95:9 97:23,24	221:11 225:2	139:23 199:16
138:6 172:18	230:23,24 236:23	100:20 102:10	230:14	moving 101:7
173:2	237:24 238:1	millions 100:13	mobile 27:15,19	<b>Moxon</b> 16:4 22:3
medium 53:4	241:22,23,23	mind 27:25 29:17	125:8 142:5	30:23 74:2,3
meet 70:15,20	241.22,23,23	90:8,19,20 111:21	236:24	77:14,15 78:9
meeting 33:20 38:9	246:6,15,21	111:22 120:3	moderate 52:19	84:20 86:7,23
50:2 73:6 85:20	messages 197:19	133:2 137:8 165:1	moment 4:3 8:24	88:15,18,20,22
85:22 86:2,3	201:13 204:19,19	167:6 176:4	84:25 87:4 103:7	89:1 90:11,16,24
95:19,25 96:14,18	201:13 204:19,19	mindful 139:6	134:22 135:4	91:11 93:10,15
96:20,24 97:2,4	213:13 217:3	mine 75:20 121:11	154.22 133.4	104:23 141:14,15
97:12,16,18 98:6	222:4 242:5 244:2	216:14	200:22 223:7	143:19,21 144:7
98:19,24 227:9,11	244:15,22 247:17	minute 196:22	Monday 2:1	143.19,21 144.7
228:5,6,10 232:17	met 16:17 34:15	201:5 210:11	money 36:21 37:20	147.11,13,19
236:1,20 237:19	50:13 63:9 67:10	212:2 213:18	37:21 40:6,7	148:7,20,22,23
238:4 239:12	70:17,18,19 86:4	minute's 18:3	45:24 46:6 48:24	149.17 130.2,9,12
242:3 245:10	86:5 99:22 101:25	minutes 8:12 51:13	49:6 50:23 54:1	184:19 191:19,25
meetings 67:16	102:2 174:4	96:20 115:4	55:9 56:9 60:1	208:23,24,25
68:18 96:4 227:5	189:16 227:19	160:20 162:16	61:18 63:7,23	208.23,24,23
member 27:5,7	233:25	170:25 215:18	64:11,12,19 65:18	210:23 211:8
28:21 131:2 218:8	metres 5:25 79:23	223:18,19,24	66:23 68:5,9,25	210:23 211:8 212:4,5,7 213:19
20.21 131.2 210.0	men es 3.43 / 7.43	443.10,17,44	00.43 00.3,7,43	414.4,3,7 413.19
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

213:22,25 214:9	near 174:18	45:1 50:23 62:7,8	39:14 54:2 62:18	193:19,22
214:11 215:14,16	nearly 83:17	62:25 67:3,4,6,8	127:14 154:15	notwithstanding
216:1,15 217:13	147:11 150:25	67:21,23 68:6,25	157:24	41:19 104:22
218:5 219:22	necessarily 47:25	76:10 77:2 86:17	north 11:7 129:7,8	117:15 122:3
223:7 250:12,17	58:11 64:2 66:19	86:18,19 87:2	131:10	noun 205:14
250:25	133:8 135:11	102:16,18 105:1	northern 129:8	November 2:23
<b>multiple</b> 72:15	necessary 116:25	137:11 140:9,13	notation 234:1	3:17 4:10 101:23
mum 237:12	122:8 183:10	143:15,17 187:20	note 8:25 11:11,16	104:14 132:21
murder 116:17	necessitating 241:7	187:24 243:24	30:16 43:5,11	146:12 149:8,24
124:3 182:2,4,9	necessity 219:2	nevertheless 97:23	81:14 96:6 99:13	150:24 162:16
186:20 218:20	neck 207:14	new 38:10 169:21	131:23,24 170:24	168:6 192:2
225:25 226:7	need 1:13 11:17	184:8 219:12	174:10 230:11	197:18 226:23
murdered 236:10	29:22 54:1 57:10	news 62:3,4 83:14	233:9 235:15,22	227:3,6,9
	63:15 71:11 77:11	194:22 198:5,6,17	239:7 242:25	<b>NT21</b> 129:25 130:1
N	77:16 105:16	201:16,19,21,21	246:5	131:10
N 250:3	111:18 114:3,18	204:16 217:5	note/report 244:3	NTH 129:4,6
nailed-down 25:21	114:22 115:3	newspapers 106:11	notebook 3:13 9:14	131:10
name 2:21,24 3:25	126:16 135:8	Nexus 58:11,12	9:21 23:16 24:6,7	nuisance 248:15
8:18 9:24 10:23	139:6 145:8	nice 135:12	28:25 141:16,17	number 3:2 4:7,15
14:8 27:14,15	151:18 156:3	Nicky 96:11	141:19,20 143:6	10:1 17:6 25:25
34:10 36:4,5 41:9	164:20 168:20	<b>night</b> 177:3 179:3,9	162:9 232:3 233:1	37:2,4 55:14
42:24 44:4 55:13	170:3 177:19	184:7	240:1,20	73:21 75:4,19,21
63:22 82:18 86:15	178:25 221:9	nine 39:15 170:20	noted 172:7 236:4	76:10,14,15 77:4
87:1 93:12 107:14	222:22 224:12	nodding 103:2	237:4,7,14,19	77:15 80:17,18
107:16 108:11	229:4 234:8	Nods 103:4 163:11	238:2,5 244:24	81:3 82:22,24
150:13 224:18	244:20 247:24	194:8 231:4	245:12 246:2,21	83:13 105:9 130:5
228:1,12 243:3	needed 32:13	nominal 51:6	246:22	156:4 167:25
names 46:18 69:7	114:13 137:5	nominee 69:10,13	notes 9:14 10:4	168:5 178:21
80:4,22	170:13 190:22	non-English 57:20	109:4,8,10 122:20	186:24 192:4
narrative 55:15	246:1	non-managed	143:3 145:6	226:23,25 227:5
56:24	needles 182:17	49:21	162:12 170:5	242:25 245:3
nascent 169:21	needs 1:15 139:15	non-sus 127:11	171:3,23 173:20	246:21
national 37:15,17	152:20	non-suspicious	175:15 179:20	numbered 171:3
nationality 42:7	negative 37:11	127:21 133:21	228:4 229:11	210:8
55:13 121:3	39:21 40:1 246:3	136:21 151:3,15	230:2,4 232:2,3	numbering 232:14
natural 116:19	Neighbourhood	151:17 166:21	235:9 239:1	numbers 57:7
151:12 180:1	155:4	183:6,12,14,15,18	240:18,19 242:22	232:18
nature 25:6 116:6	nervous 59:13	187:11 189:9	243:9,13 244:11	numerous 74:13
127:10 182:18	net 31:20 33:16	non-UK 40:10,10	244:25	231:20 235:19,24
185:23 239:2	47:5 63:24	92:6	nothing's 24:13	
Navalny 83:7,10,13	Nettlingham 16:10	normal 47:25 63:23	<b>notice</b> 94:8 126:12	0
83:13	111:3 130:2 171:2	63:25 72:7 139:2	207:6	o'clock 2:2,8,11
navy 12:20	171:22	167:24 197:13	Noticeable 13:3	14:5 151:1
<b>ND4</b> 129:25 130:3	neutral 106:6	229:19	noticeably 12:25	objectives 53:20
130:7,21 153:13	never 5:20 44:19	normally 38:3	Nottingham 193:16	98:13
	•	•	•	•

- <b>L</b> 4 - 140 - 11	- <b>66</b>	102.1 105.10	150.11	41:
objects 140:11	offering 155:24	193:1 195:18	operators 158:11	outlined 169:19 223:9
obligation 82:15	offers 101:12	196:21 201:7	<b>opinion</b> 29:2 127:12	
obliges 105:11	office 57:17 113:16	207:6,22 208:19		outlining 127:18
observations	122:19 140:20	210:18,20 215:4	opportunities	outside 40:4,7,23
111:17	188:11 227:19	215:18,19 216:12	156:4,6 176:18	41:20
obtain 75:9 127:13	officer 14:13 16:4	221:1,23 222:15	206:19,20,24	outstanding 73:22
<b>obtained</b> 35:5	16:14 22:5 23:7	226:17 229:4	207:5 216:2,4	overall 59:20
42:22 95:9 145:25	26:16 27:1,10	230:5 232:12,16	217:9,11,12	201:25
152:16 184:11	32:1,4 43:25 44:1	247:25 248:1,13	opportunity 2:16	overcritical 147:8
<b>obvious</b> 19:8 84:5	74:23 91:15 120:8	old 13:19 15:4	110:3 114:21	overheard 110:21
105:16 112:18	121:16 127:3	24:13 169:22	157:4,8 169:7	110:21 231:7
123:13 137:20	142:21 152:16	omitted 246:5	174:22 175:9	overlabour 206:2
142:4 163:22	158:25 161:5	on-call 118:23	178:24 213:2,9,16	overleaf 52:18
168:23 171:24	179:15,19 184:5	163:15 170:14	214:23 236:2	53:19 54:8 56:11
172:7 181:18	185:1 188:12	once 32:10 35:16	opposed 63:12	57:5 58:15 109:3
201:24	225:7,8,14	36:9 53:8 70:18	230:7 243:17	131:12 230:13
<b>obviously</b> 1:18 8:22	officer's 108:3	70:19 73:6 137:19	<b>ops</b> 153:18,19,23	<b>overly</b> 67:19
17:9 19:21 21:11	110:9 122:12	148:21 155:17	153:25,25	overruled 119:12
24:19 31:22 39:21	239:22 240:1	one-year 54:6	optimistically	overseas 59:9 60:7
41:23 42:15 59:13	244:11	ones 30:18 213:21	30:17	oversight 105:7
65:3 71:11 72:17	officers 19:18 25:6	<b>ongoing</b> 21:2 73:12	<b>option</b> 28:14,16	overt 180:24
78:1,6 106:16	25:25 26:6,13,20	82:16	<b>options</b> 52:7 53:6	overtly 127:10
114:12,16,19	112:24 116:8	open 31:3,7 58:4,4	order 18:2 88:5	overview 210:20,21
139:12 173:12	124:10,12 129:23	61:11 65:5 83:7	142:16 198:8,14	owes 185:14 189:20
177:7 179:23	134:19 138:10	108:2 120:9,10,14	ordered 84:20	189:23
181:5 240:3,8	146:3,8 151:6	133:2 136:7	ordinarily 40:3	owned 56:21 79:7
occasion 34:8,21	158:18 159:22,24	144:13,23 145:19	170:11	79:13,21 80:12,15
36:1 43:1 128:1	179:21 183:24	146:8 152:15	ordinary 129:9	80:23 82:2
occasionally 66:18	186:4,7,11,12	155:1 158:17	organisations 60:6	<b>Oxford</b> 18:19
occasions 26:14	187:18,21 225:13	184:3,10 185:11	60:8	
34:20 37:10 50:13	225:15 226:10	187:12,13 189:18	organised 59:25	P
110:6 167:25	officers' 186:18	189:22 218:6	190:1 219:2	package 139:13
168:5	offshore 47:11,22	opened 43:8 64:25	orientate 16:5	packaged 33:7
occupants 143:7	58:25 59:9 80:3	158:22	origin 146:5 152:7	pads 172:10
occupation 86:9,10	80:12	opening 54:13	181:4	page 3:2,3 4:5 7:25
229:14 241:14	<b>Oh</b> 208:6	64:21 70:18 81:22	original 3:15 9:21	8:2 9:1,2,3,22,23
occupied 224:22	oil 198:7 201:16	opens 84:9	55:25 162:12	9:23 11:18 16:24
occurred 68:18	202:18 206:5	operating 168:11	206:24 242:21	23:8,11,14 24:6,7
176:19	okay 9:20 10:7,14	169:22	originally 36:15	24:24 29:8 42:4
occurring 4:1	18:9 23:5 30:22	Operation 108:6,8	72:3 91:13 198:25	43:6,7,9,18,23,24
<b>October</b> 54:10,19	35:12 44:11 78:8	226:13,20	originals 232:8,10	45:21 49:19 52:3
91:5,20,24 92:21	95:25 99:15,18	operations 132:19	232:12	54:23 56:11,25
oddly 145:1	111:10 143:2	142:11	origins 23:16	57:3,5,11 65:23
offer 84:23,23	160:4 162:8	operator 81:8	ought 127:21	65:24 79:16 81:24
213:1	165:18 180:16	130:18 132:5,5	outer 8:9	85:11 86:8 87:3
	I .	Į	<u>I</u>	Į

91:5,7 92:9 93:19	<b>panic</b> 73:4	particularly 1:23	pay 36:1 39:23 68:5	Perepilichnyy 22:8
94:23 95:2,15,18	paper 38:4 152:24	20:25 37:20,21	68:9 246:10	22:23,24 31:14
96:8 98:6,9 99:25	193:24	69:5 74:9,12 77:6	paying 68:16 87:14	34:13 39:3 42:6
100:21 101:1,15	papers 1:13 25:20	105:19 177:10	203:3 207:21	50:14 56:15 57:24
103:5,18 108:12	143:20 147:5	190:5	<b>PC</b> 1:5 2:18,19,22	58:7,24 59:19
108:17,17,18,21	248:17	parties 212:25	3:17 4:12,16 8:5,9	60:2 61:2,17
108:22,22 116:10	paperwork 140:20	parties' 241:9	13:12 27:4 130:7	63:25 64:6,11
125:5 126:3,4	paragraph 9:2	parts 140:2 186:8	130:8 250:5	65:25 66:4 67:1
128:8,10,13,19	17:13 56:24 57:3	party 42:17 53:19	penultimate 100:20	70:23 75:18 78:10
129:5,6 130:11,24	58:20 59:17 79:19	112:20 113:1	<b>people</b> 6:4 7:8	79:22 81:14,25
132:10 135:20	80:24 81:17 89:15	116:16 123:18	21:25 26:19 27:7	83:2,22 84:3 86:1
141:4,16 142:1,1	99:9 100:20	124:8 134:12	33:17 40:6,9	87:13 88:4 89:3
150:14,22,23	103:10 127:6	137:21 138:1	44:13 58:8 62:11	92:23 93:13 94:11
152:6 153:4,4	145:2 205:3,4,10	142:5 151:11	64:1,5 69:7 72:11	94:22 95:5 96:21
154:7,8,20,21	209:7 228:1	168:23 169:8	73:2 80:2 83:14	103:20 106:13
155:3 162:20	233:24 242:16	172:15,25 173:5	92:6,14 105:20	122:25 124:7,14
163:3,14,19 165:8	paramedic 117:15	174:8,23 175:9	114:6 120:25	137:23 141:10
165:9,13 167:18	paramedics 3:23	177:14 179:5	122:23 129:10,18	142:6 144:12,21
179:18,20,24	4:23 6:23 21:19	189:19 213:12	135:1,1,15 142:15	145:20 146:12
180:6 184:17,21	parameters 138:20	Pasley 3:17 4:12	148:7 155:16	149:2 154:24
184:24,25 185:10	<b>Pardon</b> 44:23	16:6 130:8	158:14,18 167:3	184:10 185:13,24
185:12 194:16	parent 237:12	Pasley's 4:16	168:3 181:3	213:14 233:18
197:16 204:25	parents 238:22,23	pass 71:17 179:6	183:17 190:6	236:10
205:3 207:9 209:5	<b>Paris</b> 78:22 229:19	passage 141:25	196:10 197:10	Perepilichnyy's
209:7,8 210:7,11	part 21:2 40:25	191:20	201:12 204:19	17:24 18:1 40:15
210:12,13,18,19	56:17 68:12 92:21	passed 14:10,20	207:19 208:3,3	52:4 64:15 83:8
211:18 214:4,9,12	106:9,10 110:14	50:12 51:8,10	222:9 223:13	104:24 108:9
215:12,22 216:7	139:3,4 151:7	70:19 106:15	233:25 238:13	135:21 140:17
216:20 217:4	156:13 162:9	passes 111:24	243:16 247:1	148:13 161:16
218:1,3,13,24	165:8 169:8	133:14	<b>PEP</b> 38:14	163:7 185:21
219:1 227:1 228:3	171:11,12 173:7	passport 55:14	perceived 238:23	238:9
228:24 230:13	180:14 186:6,9	passports 55:21	238:24	perfectly 103:1
232:15,18,21	199:8,11 206:12	56:12,16	perception 239:8	perimeter 138:11
240:9,19 241:24	207:11 231:24	paste 166:12	perceptions 156:14	177:9
242:14,25 243:1,2	234:4,15 235:5	paths 125:12	Perepilichnaya	period 20:18 26:23
244:3	participle 205:16	<b>paunch</b> 5:19	19:20 20:2,16	53:25 54:1,18
pages 55:3 108:11	particular 6:19	pause 10:14 18:9	40:17,18 41:18	67:19 69:14,16
108:19,20 204:25	34:8,15 49:11,15	22:14,14 43:4	42:7 50:11 69:24	74:10 76:14 79:9
210:24 216:16	59:4 66:23 75:20	84:25 201:5	70:15 71:20 87:8	134:3 175:8
paid 35:25 218:9	80:3 81:17,19,20	210:16 214:25	143:15 225:1	190:19 235:25
pain 9:9,17 12:4	81:23 82:6 86:17	215:25 229:8	227:6,21 228:18	240:4
pair 18:20 225:4	90:7,18 100:13	233:19 239:22	229:1 235:8	permanent 35:8,9
Panfilova 192:13	151:24 152:4	240:18 242:24	236:19 238:12	permits 212:23
192:14,17 209:2	166:17 194:3,4	245:4 <b>Parasi</b> na 171:18	240:11 241:11	permitted 26:1,20
250:23	240:4	Pausing 171:18	246:23	person 9:17 15:2

24:20 32:4 33:13	176:17	played 244:5	174:18 176:10	<b>polonium</b> 181:6,15
34:18,20 38:18	photographers	please 2:21 11:19	179:25 183:16	181:16
44:4 56:18 61:13	177:23	12:1 21:10 23:14	206:3 222:8,13	poorly 211:22
85:3 102:23	photographic	35:19 54:24 85:11	226:5 237:16	popular 72:1 205:7
118:21 151:25	117:2,6,25 118:1	93:18 94:23 95:15	pointed 123:21	position 27:10
154:17 157:12	118:15,15,17	96:7,15 98:5	pointing 10:25	48:12 81:15 98:12
167:12 183:25	127:11 153:10	100:1 103:5	217:2	104:5 106:12
188:15 205:21,24	164:1,7 167:20	107:15 108:2	points 81:3 103:8	118:3 124:14,19
231:8,8,13	168:1,13 169:4	132:10 135:19	103:18 211:20	124:24 133:10,13
person's 35:8	177:21	155:5 162:4 163:4	poison 138:2	133:19 192:22
personal 46:14,20	photographs 118:2	179:15,24 180:7	140:12 182:19	224:22
56:5 63:19,22	139:21,22 140:1	184:15,17 185:1	190:5,11,15	positions 58:15
64:7 65:14 80:4	149:5 177:8	186:3 189:13	poisoned 137:23	positive 246:3
80:19 85:14	photography	194:12 195:19	180:23,25 181:2,4	possibility 2:4,6
personally 63:8	118:18	197:16 214:11	181:11,18 187:10	92:16 114:9
78:11 243:16	<b>Photos</b> 243:3	224:14,19 226:16	poisoning 181:5,6	<b>possible</b> 56:7 72:15
personnel 152:14	phrase 77:23 180:8	229:6 242:23	181:14	114:7,19 117:19
persons 38:17	197:4 199:20	248:6	poisons 187:19,24	124:22 130:9
105:24 135:23	203:9 204:1,10	<b>plenty</b> 1:19 107:9	187:25	145:3 206:6 207:9
perspective 51:3	207:9	<b>plus</b> 68:19	Pol 233:25	221:5 223:6 238:8
122:16 123:7	phrasing 12:7	plutonium 181:5	<b>police</b> 1:3 4:6 9:14	238:25 239:20
<b>Peter</b> 92:10	180:9	181:14	16:6 24:25 25:6	248:5
<b>Peters</b> 240:23,23,24	physical 117:8	<b>pm</b> 110:9 115:7,9	25:25 26:13,16,25	possibly 12:16
240:24	123:6 174:15	122:17 145:3	28:5 107:17,22	18:20 19:2 54:17
<b>PhDs</b> 192:25	189:17 218:20	160:22,24 162:16	110:10,23 118:23	58:12 129:13
<b>phone</b> 10:1 112:10	pick 11:18 34:21	163:17 165:9	120:6,12 127:3	156:14 172:21
112:13 113:12,15	154:20	224:5,7 249:2	138:6 142:21	214:24
125:8 145:4 146:2	picked 65:20 68:21	pocketing 185:16	146:21 152:11	post-event 156:22
146:4 152:11	75:2,4 83:24	<b>point</b> 14:18 15:6	154:16 156:7	post-Soviet 211:21
158:15,16 181:13	piece 43:4 230:18	16:5 21:5 28:15	157:6 158:17	postcode 86:14
188:7 231:7	pips 49:10,14 88:5	42:22 48:14 53:14	161:2,2,5 224:21	postdates 148:15
236:24 240:3	<b>place</b> 17:19 18:11	54:20 58:9 59:4	225:8,17,22	postmortem 137:22
244:15	18:14 26:10	59:12 63:9 64:7	231:22 234:25	140:7 149:8,16,18
phonecall 235:1	117:12 121:22	70:22 79:12 80:3	235:20 240:22	176:22,24 183:10
phoned 112:9	149:23 171:16	81:19 83:1 98:15	241:8	postmortems 150:1
137:7	179:9 182:15,23	104:4 106:15	Police's 156:19	potential 19:12
phones 27:15,20	183:1 187:1 214:1	117:11 120:4	policies 72:15,22	172:4 178:16
28:4,16 34:2	227:11,16	127:13 131:3	73:3,5 89:22	189:19
113:13 140:11	places 57:6 71:21	139:5 145:13	90:13 94:12 158:3	potentially 13:13
244:21,22	plainly 103:3 106:6	147:12 148:2,6,24	policy 71:8 72:18	131:7 158:15
photo 15:15	152:8	149:17 154:20	92:25 94:12 97:18	168:24 172:16,24
photocopying 11:8	plan 122:21	161:19 164:11,14	186:11,14 187:3	172:24 176:19
photograph 15:19	planning 31:24	164:24 168:13	political 106:2	178:7,24 181:13
24:17	48:4	169:20 170:8	201:21 218:19	189:25 190:24
photographer	<b>plate</b> 219:15	171:13 173:2	politically 38:17,18	236:12 237:23
-				

245:24	242.11		(7.17.72.7.12	5.7.6.4
	243:11	<b>private</b> 31:18,20 46:6 48:17 52:6	67:17 73:7,12 84:22 104:4	property 5:7 6:4 10:22 15:21 18:5
pounds 47:1,3 100:14	pressure 231:9,14	91:21 120:23		
	233:14 234:21,22 234:23 239:15,17	175:18	136:11 168:6,9,21	42:18 56:2,21 63:16,18 65:11
<b>power</b> 19:7 119:20 205:24	,		169:9,22 170:19	/
	presumably 6:2	proactively 247:16	176:8 180:14,18	70:24 71:2,3,5,10
powerful 208:19	39:17 47:24 56:5	<b>probably</b> 1:24 5:17 14:25 17:6 52:12	182:8 186:13 188:17	78:23 79:6,7,9,13
practically 218:10	58:17 61:20 72:11	54:1,16 73:2		79:20 80:7,10
practice 37:14	113:10	83:19 92:13 110:7	processing 171:5	92:16 100:17 101:20 102:5,23
55:10 117:4,5	presume 40:9		produce 84:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
167:24 169:18	87:23	114:5 115:1 129:7	produced 15:15	propose 224:9
pre-2013 40:21	presumed 94:16	130:2 137:7	19:17 84:6 85:8	proposition 105:16
pre-agreed 49:9,16	pretty 17:19,23	138:16,20 139:5	85:15,16 145:24	188:1
preamble 148:3	18:12,15,21 48:24	140:15 150:24	154:11,18	propositions 77:12
precautions 36:23	49:4 80:1 105:16	162:24 166:11	production 65:1,7	prosecutions 190:1
precise 18:22	138:12	176:22 184:7	65:15	prosecutors 185:7
precisely 1:12	prevalent 105:19	187:12 188:15	products 33:7 85:2	prospect 114:18
165:18	previous 127:9	201:13 203:14	professional 81:1	prospective 35:6
precollapse 172:21	167:25 168:5	204:16 206:3,13	147:20 186:25,25	protect 211:22
precursor 180:6	184:20 199:23	208:19 218:23	<b>profile</b> 34:25 35:3	protected 68:24
prefer 9:22	206:11 216:13	228:8 247:13	35:11 42:4 45:4	protection 68:5,9
premature 97:25	234:15 247:10	probity 59:19	45:20 47:10 49:19	97:9,19 98:16
98:3,21	previously 34:18	103:12 104:16	49:21 52:1 63:3	199:21,25 200:3,7
premise 143:23	56:13 124:3	106:13	75:7,17 85:14	200:19 201:4
premises 143:1	127:23 235:12	problem 30:22	profiles 75:5	202:8,10,20 203:3
premiums 95:9	price 49:9,11,15	68:14 79:9 106:15	<b>profit</b> 219:3	203:16,18,21,23
<b>prepare</b> 32:13 33:1	<b>prices</b> 49:12 100:17	195:11,12 199:15	profits 87:14	204:1,3,4,7,14,23
77:6 230:3	pricing 49:17	217:21 248:13	prognosis 142:2	206:7 207:22
prepared 15:18	primacy 179:6	problems 195:23	progress 25:16	208:17 209:3,4,10
208:13	primary 41:20	200:14 201:22	126:16 249:1	209:12,16,18,19
preposition 221:24	<b>principal</b> 69:9 70:3	203:1 204:13	progressed 182:9	209:25 211:2,24
221:24,25	<b>print</b> 128:25	207:13,14 217:17	prohibition 26:19	212:9,10,12,13,15
presence 25:6	154:13,15	217:24 219:14	<b>Project</b> 194:24	218:20 219:8,13
present 14:1 15:5	printed 128:19	227:20	prominent 83:6	219:18 221:22
96:24 97:17 98:12	150:17 152:24	proceed 36:9	prompted 29:17	222:3,16
161:20 228:22	154:17	222:24	pronounce 205:15	<b>prove</b> 40:22 47:7
238:14	printout 153:5	proceeded 149:20	205:16	66:18
presentation	<b>prior</b> 50:13 60:16	182:6	pronounced 146:25	proved 41:5
174:15	70:17,20 151:8,9	proceedings 114:11	pronouncing 195:1	provenanced
presented 167:13	151:21 162:18,19	178:16,19	pronunciation	152:12
179:11 192:1,6	164:8 168:5	proceeds 39:23	111:3	<b>provide</b> 92:11 94:9
preservation	179:23 185:21	76:6,11	<b>proper</b> 27:2 84:8	98:20 126:17
128:15	239:1,5 240:8	process 13:8 26:17	146:22 147:22	203:23 205:22
preserved 131:10	priority 21:24	26:18 33:19 37:5	properly 77:8	218:9
press 60:22 107:8	prison 246:8	37:6,7 39:14,16	properties 56:21	<b>provided</b> 4:6 55:19
160:16 243:6,10	privacy 72:12	39:17 42:21 43:3	80:2,12,21 100:19	55:22 56:19 94:14
	-			
	1	1	1	1

				<u> </u>
102:12 167:1	232:24 239:16	99:21 107:13	212:9,11,12,13,15	reality 70:3 103:25
172:14	243:21 244:25	141:14 146:22	219:14,18 221:22	really 12:4 31:13
providing 130:17	puts 2:12	151:19 157:16	222:3,16	50:12 53:11,23,25
Proximal 35:15,16	putting 77:12 93:8	161:3 179:13,14	racket' 209:3,4,10	56:18 61:9,10,14
35:19 36:2,4,7,10	130:18 147:22	188:22 192:9,16	209:12	63:4 65:4 70:14
37:22 38:20 39:19	150:8 208:9	199:24 208:24	racketeer 219:9	95:24 115:1 122:4
39:20,21,22,24,25	233:14 239:11	220:18 223:2,3	rackets 209:25	138:5 148:2,23
50:20,21 51:1		224:11,16 237:1,2	radio 13:12 110:10	149:17 180:15
55:3,6,22,23	Q	245:2,5,6 246:2	110:22,23 142:10	223:12 236:1
56:19 58:3 62:10	qualification 59:1	250:6,7,8,9,11,12	radioed 110:20	238:18 241:13
62:12 65:5,22	193:18	250:13,14,16,17	raise 24:19 111:24	246:8 247:8 249:1
79:1,17 80:13	qualifications	250:18,20,21,22	121:20 147:3	reason 3:22 24:1
83:24 103:19	192:23	250:24,25 251:2	157:4 229:1,7	26:21,24 27:19
<b>PS</b> 171:2	qualify 58:22	quick 39:16 162:10	241:21	28:2 40:20 48:9
<b>PSA</b> 46:13	qualifying 221:25	quickly 6:17	raised 25:5 37:23	101:13,14 103:11
<b>public</b> 27:5,14 28:7	quality 127:13	132:10 146:9	105:6 137:7 226:6	122:4 126:21
28:21 131:2	<b>Quartel</b> 82:4,18,23	quite 17:21 19:12	247:16	131:25 157:10
184:12 243:7	83:2	20:10 31:8 37:4	range 103:20	163:22 241:19
publication 243:3	quarter 151:22	47:11,25 52:8	192:23	reasonable 245:23
purchasing 102:5	query 126:14	63:14 70:19 72:7	rank 161:13 224:18	reasonably 169:11
purely 164:12	querying 194:20	83:5 84:5 88:15	rare 44:11 69:15	reasoning 165:6
purpose 61:16 99:7	<b>question</b> 2:23 18:9	90:2 109:19 113:5	Ratcliffe 149:19	reasons 101:11
102:22 225:13	19:24 21:10 22:5	117:16 140:1	Rationale 43:5,11	103:7 113:7 128:3
<b>purposes</b> 27:1 28:3	24:16 25:7 52:10	145:23 155:25	reached 4:19	155:10
69:10 97:9 137:4	72:10 78:24 79:4	156:25 164:8	reacted 15:3	reassurance 237:10
152:20 176:15	88:2 90:2,5,21	168:22 169:21	reaction 61:7,8	recall 5:5 19:19
pursued 95:23	91:25 103:2 134:5	173:12 175:10	121:10,11	26:3 97:16,17
<b>push</b> 118:22 169:23	143:23 146:17	177:17 184:8	read 12:22 61:7	98:2 124:15
169:24	147:13,18 148:4,8	187:16 192:18	64:14 73:19 74:18	139:17 228:15,17
pushed 207:7	148:10 149:12,13	199:1 204:23	98:1 106:11,17	229:22 230:3
<b>put</b> 19:24 25:4	150:3 171:7	223:16 236:17	132:22 145:1	233:7 236:2,12
29:15 48:24 54:3	181:17 186:8	quotations 84:6	147:5 172:2	237:2,4 238:20
62:19 68:25 69:1	187:6 200:21	quote 91:22,23	198:18 205:5,19	245:8 246:4
69:7 77:4 88:24	212:21 216:22	92:8	211:5,13,15,16	receive 36:10
105:9,17 131:24	219:20 222:23	quotes 92:7,12,15	212:1,9,16 220:23	received 131:11
132:3,17,20 133:5	231:12 245:18	R	230:3,4 232:24	162:24
133:19 141:1	246:2 247:19		243:9	receiving 21:21
143:23 148:8	248:17	<b>R-I-O</b> 145:25	reading 22:25	recognise 27:21
150:2,5 151:22	questioning 220:1	<b>R2</b> 226:25	42:16 45:21 60:24	28:4 85:13
152:3 156:15	245:6	R3 226:23 242:1	229:10	recognised 28:16
157:21 158:10	questions 2:20 16:2	racket 199:25	ready 147:15	197:13
159:15 201:3	16:3 22:4 23:6	200:3,7,19 201:4	real 47:12	recollect 68:22
208:13 211:1	29:6,7,9,24 34:12 52:2 74:2 77:2	203:18 204:1,23	realise 93:7 149:2	109:17 238:5,6
212:24 229:24	93:16,17 99:19,20	208:17 209:16,18 209:20 211:3,24	168:17	247:6
231:9,15 232:1,3	75.10,1/ 77.17,20	207.20 211.3,24	realised 149:7	recollection 11:14

				1 age 277
72:23 96:23 99:12	57:23,25 58:23	229:1,13 233:21	remote 175:10	reprising 191:19
101:11 102:21	60:2 63:14 202:17	240:21	remotely 149:19	reproduced 152:8
229:7,25 239:24	206:5	relationship 31:25	remove 140:9	reputation 81:10
recommendation	referral 157:6	32:4 43:25 44:1	177:12	request 118:14
190:7	referred 73:8 79:18	48:18 51:4 61:21	removed 126:9	227:15,17,18
Recommendations	126:18 136:22	74:23 91:15	140:5 146:25	requesting 177:12
98:18	156:8 157:18	relatively 174:25	render 120:23	240:22
reconsider 138:19	183:14 238:21	relayed 164:16	repeat 96:15 167:7	require 98:16
194:6	referring 11:24	relevant 74:10	191:9 220:2	111:15 139:14
record 24:9,23	90:1,4 156:6	84:21 88:10 141:6	repeated 106:22	173:8
26:14,15 27:2	159:6 201:9	141:10 207:25	repeating 165:2	required 1:12 4:1
35:8,9 76:24 77:3	210:25 211:4	208:1	replaces 8:9	32:14 46:25 69:21
86:8 121:14	230:23 233:18	reliant 188:10,12	reply 217:5	requirements
129:11 151:18	240:25 241:1	188:13 230:1,20	report 26:14 35:15	53:19
152:23 165:8	refers 97:4 185:7	relied 246:18	35:16,19,21,22,25	requires 97:23
169:5 231:5	reflected 100:8	rely 38:19 80:14	36:10,11 37:22	research 39:1
232:17 235:20	101:18	169:6	38:20 39:3,4,24	57:14,22 120:5
recorded 9:24 10:7	reflecting 180:21	remain 153:13	39:25 50:20 51:1	155:1 183:24
12:14,23 13:1	reflects 180:16,18	187:4	54:22 55:18 65:5	184:10 188:7,14
15:17 29:10 30:19	reformatted 17:10	remaining 133:6	65:22 74:17 77:3	188:17 194:21
79:21 83:21 84:12	refresh 229:4	remains 152:21	77:7 79:17 96:2	researchers 188:10
101:10,14 121:12	refused 144:4	remarks 104:22	100:8 101:1 104:3	researching 188:14
129:24 159:20	refuses 144:5	remember 5:1,5,8,9	108:3,11,16,25	residence 42:8
162:2 167:19	regard 65:2 165:7	16:10,11,12 19:4	110:9 118:13	resident 40:10
175:15 237:6	regarding 96:4	19:16,25 20:1,7	125:5 126:18	87:25
242:9 246:23	regardless 226:7	25:23,24 26:6	135:17,18 153:20	residential 125:24
247:6	region 47:21	27:17 29:9,16	163:2 218:24	resisted 119:10
records 150:6	registered 193:2	34:10 48:6,23	226:20 227:3	resolved 29:2
160:4 171:18	registration 57:6,7	49:12 51:8 64:6	228:9,23 233:2,4	Resource 81:24
recover 139:13	regulations 48:1	70:16,21 71:22	238:25 239:1,22	resources 119:1,13
recovered 176:11	55:10 69:12	73:16,17 89:8	239:24 241:24,25	122:22 143:4
recovering 176:17	rehearse 151:13	98:22 99:10 112:9	242:1 244:12,18	169:16
recovery 179:1	reintroduction	139:18 146:1	244:25	respect 37:14 39:2
red 207:23 221:2	45:7	156:1 164:5	reportable 88:13	61:25 81:1
refer 85:3 157:11	reiterate 103:8	171:19,20 175:13	reported 60:14	respectfully 104:18
157:24 170:4	relate 93:11 94:16	175:16 191:2,15	87:24 100:19	responded 245:12
201:3,4 232:12	94:18 98:23	200:11,16 211:1	170:15	response 94:13,14
239:22 240:17	related 9:10,17	215:21 218:4	reporting 58:8 61:7	111:6 112:24
247:15	33:8 203:7	230:7,19,21,22	61:8 105:13,19	122:12 124:11
reference 45:20	relating 47:11	246:17,19	136:16	129:22 134:18
47:9 56:1 57:16	57:23 75:13 86:24	remembered 29:10	reports 36:7 39:21	159:22 164:12,13
205:1 211:2	relation 23:21	remind 51:16 87:7	70:16 96:2 105:9	176:10 178:9
213:20 214:11	37:20,21 55:9	reminder 132:8	108:15 135:20	184:5 188:12
215:21 239:17	58:22 82:15 83:22	reminding 31:2	223:11	198:16,18 233:21
references 46:4	101:7 105:24	remitted 75:15	represent 166:14	responsibility
			1	
L	<u> </u>	l	ı	ı

				1 age 270
110:24 111:23,25	4:5 5:12 6:1 7:10	52:21 53:6 66:23	107:19 120:8	56:12 57:21 58:17
150:19 170:14	7:25 13:6 14:11	74:13,14,20 76:5	128:22 129:21	59:4,5 60:18,23
responsible 93:8	14:14 15:19 20:11	76:15 120:7	131:25 132:19	66:16,20 68:7
131:17 138:10	22:13 29:22 30:19	189:19 242:6,15	136:9 152:13,14	74:13,14,20 81:8
166:1,25 167:12	30:25 33:4,24	242:16	153:20,21,24	82:3 105:20
170:18	51:21 61:1 74:3	risks 53:12	158:11,20 167:6	120:19,22 121:2
rest 78:21 135:9	77:25 81:12 83:5	road 3:20 4:25 5:2	roubles 246:12,24	135:22 144:12,13
188:16	85:3 89:2 91:10	5:4 9:8 10:13,21	rough 110:7	144:20,22 145:20
restricted 75:12	93:22 105:10	10:21 11:3,6,8,12	roughly 33:16	156:10,12 157:12
restrictions 147:2	107:20 114:5	11:23 13:21 17:14	110:6	175:11,13,17,21
resubmitted 62:21	115:6 116:12,20	17:17 18:19 26:2	round 209:1	178:12,15 181:4
result 116:16 124:6	122:1 125:4,14	26:7 28:11 116:14	route 40:22 41:17	181:11 191:2
151:11 164:22	129:17 132:9,21	123:1,22 124:15	70:7,14 121:17	193:7 196:1,1,4
168:9 174:22	133:15 143:2,17	124:20,22 125:9	routine 41:21	196:13,19,20
189:16	153:15 145:2,17	125:25 138:21	165:21	197:2 198:19,22
resulted 76:5	165:15 177:17	151:7,11 162:21	routinely 40:3	199:16 203:17,19
100:10 173:13	179:21 180:4,6	164:2 167:21	RTC 173:21	203:20,21 205:7
238:9	182:2,15 183:20	172:22,24 173:22	rubbed 155:25	206:24 207:23
resulting 183:13	183:25 184:3	roadside 138:8	<b>Rudnick</b> 240:22	208:2 210:22
results 58:22	188:8,19 191:8,15	140:14	rule 127:20 158:19	211:24 214:17
136:11	191:18 192:2	robbery 113:10	241:9	217:22 218:16,25
resuscitate 21:7,13	193:20 194:16	116:17 151:14	ruled 61:21	220:3,4,13,24
117:17 124:7	201:9 203:5 208:6	Roger 45:10 240:10	ruling 151:13	221:6 227:23
resuscitation 20:22	208:22 210:17	240:14	run 108:14,15	246:24
21:12	215:8 217:7	role 83:8 91:16	116:14 191:6,12	<b>Russians</b> 63:7 72:2
retire 161:11	219:11 222:13,18	110:14 111:12	198:12 211:25	105:12
retired 86:13 161:8	223:20,23 227:24	161:18 244:23	239:13	
retrospect 68:20	227:25 228:9,13	roles 89:20	running 10:21 12:3	S
return 39:11 87:24	232:14,19,23	<b>Roman</b> 211:7	12:11 14:5 16:16	<b>sadly</b> 21:9
88:10,10	235:21,23 248:2	<b>roof</b> 195:8,9,10,11	123:12 125:1,2,12	safe 243:3
returned 231:6	248:22,23	195:18,20,21,24	139:4 151:6 158:7	safety 230:12 231:1
returns 84:10	right-hand 5:3,4,6	196:3,5,9,12,17	159:9 171:9	231:25 237:10,11
reveal 103:18	9:23 24:24 91:9	196:25 198:22,23	runs 108:11	237:22 242:11,13
revealed 120:14	94:3 150:14,23	199:2,3,6,22	<b>Russia</b> 48:5 51:11	243:16 247:10,11
review 32:21 38:3	210:9 232:19	200:2,4,6,13	83:17 136:19	247:20,21
54:23 55:8,9 63:4	ring 172:2	202:25 203:13,13	176:4 193:9 203:3	sake 51:13 222:23
76:15 104:6 105:3	ringing 155:20	204:11 206:21,22	203:4 208:15	sales 219:3
116:24 122:13	<b>RIO</b> 120:7 145:25	211:25 212:19	211:21 213:1,8,15	<b>Sarah</b> 1:5 2:19,22
133:3 152:1	146:2,5 184:2,5	217:20,20,22	213:15 214:23	250:5
reviewed 39:13	188:10	221:1,15,19,20,25	216:2,5 217:16,17	<b>sat</b> 7:9
140:25	ripples 76:8,10	222:1,2	218:8 233:25	satisfied 53:9
reviewing 173:16	rise 148:11 224:2	roof' 209:9 218:18	<b>Russian</b> 35:12,13	104:15 127:24
173:16	rising 219:24	220:10	36:16,17 37:1,2,4	169:24 173:15
rich 68:8	risk 36:18,20,21,21	roofs 219:8	37:14 38:11,12	179:10 191:8,18
<b>right</b> 2:24 3:2,18	36:21 38:14 52:20	room 29:21 77:23	45:13 46:6 48:2	192:2
	•	•	•	•

				1490 279
170:14	18:3 21:13 25:19	scribbled 233:10	28:14 29:21 32:6	242:6,22 244:15
Saturday 137:9	25:21 26:1,10,13	scuff 171:25	36:3 42:9 43:2	246:15,23 248:4
<b>Saudis</b> 74:15	26:16,20,21,23,25	se 186:14	44:18 45:3,20	Seear 107:10,12,14
saw 4:22 5:10,15	27:2 109:14 111:7	search 56:22 58:5,6	46:4,8,23 47:12	107:16,21 115:10
6:4 9:17 11:19	111:13,17,23	117:8 138:19	47:15 50:14 51:7	161:21 162:18,19
12:3 17:16 18:18	112:1 113:16	182:14,17,22,25	52:3,18 53:19	162:25 163:2
20:3 34:18 62:3	116:8 117:6 118:3	searched 138:11,13	55:22 56:23 57:7	164:17,23 167:16
122:6 135:12	118:14 119:18	searches 38:25 39:8	65:21,25 70:8	167:19 168:8,15
146:23 151:6	121:20,24 122:2	39:9 57:20 79:20	72:20 79:19,23	169:10 170:10
saying 9:16 12:10	122:17,23,25	136:12 138:14	80:5 81:25 85:20	171:1,2,18 175:11
19:8 28:15 48:23	124:3 126:6	177:9	85:23 91:5 92:9	177:4 183:23
72:2,21 80:13	128:15 129:22	searching 138:9,11	94:20 95:2,7,17	184:2,9 250:15
82:9 90:17,19	131:5,6,8,8,10,21	seat 142:12	96:24 97:7 98:8,9	seeing 156:20
92:3 97:16 113:24	133:3 134:10,19	secluded 72:9	98:13 100:18,20	seeking 92:20
119:3 145:9,10	137:6,11,15,18,22	second 1:16 9:1	100:21 101:5	Seema 224:15,20
151:14 188:6	137.0,11,13,18,22	71:5 100:24	100.21 101.3	251:1
193:21 202:9	139:18 140:5,6,23	127:12 147:19,21	104:10 100:14	seen 1:4 5:20 9:9
205:6 207:19	142:10,14 143:4	150:22 153:19	108.3 109.3,0,8	11:20 17:13 18:14
208:8,11 211:3	146:3,23 153:10	171:12 173:7	116:4,10 123:13	19:17,20 22:6
213:1,3,15 214:2	153:22 155:22	185:12 197:21	126:2 127:5 128:8	40:15 55:16 64:3
214:7,19 222:9	158:18 163:9	199:11 202:18	130:6 132:11	86:17,18,19 89:20
229:22 231:9	164:25 165:3	204:2,4 205:5	145:8 148:14	89:23,24 94:15
233:16 237:5	166:25,25 167:3,3	209:8 227:9 234:4	150:15 151:22	105:17 117:11
says 9:2 11:9 34:2	167:14,17 168:1	seconds 198:15	150:13 131:22	122:5 124:21,21
42:9 43:5,10,17	169:3,7 170:9,16	215:9	154:22 159:4	125:8,16 134:20
46:23 49:23 56:13	170:21,22 173:4	secretaries 237:7	163:3,14 165:9	134:22,23 140:13
57:18 58:20 59:17	173:16 174:23	section 57:2,12,13	166:3,6,10,24	140:23 141:18
59:22 86:11 95:7	175:10 174:25	93:23 98:13	170:24 180:6	148:15 151:4
96:24 97:7 98:18	176:20 177:6,14	179:24 242:6	185:1,3,8,18	156:11 162:5,9
115:22 120:13	179:2 181:13,24	sections 228:23	188:21 192:24	165:5 171:6,8,12
128:18,19 129:2,4	182:2,4,9,16,20	secure 137:18	198:3 201:11,16	172:20,20 174:17
129:6 153:5	182:20 183:2	176:18	201:25 202:10	174:20 175:1,2,6
163:14 165:22	186:16 188:2	secured 177:7	203:23 204:9,10	175:7 227:16
171:21 177:17	189:15 190:8	182:21	204:22 205:9	246:18,22
185:11 210:21	scenes 110:3	securing 163:9	206:14 207:11,25	sees 33:22
211:20 212:10	123:24 187:2	176:16	209:3,12,13,23	seizing 139:15
216:3 217:16	scepticism 177:4	security 8:9 155:15	210:21,24 211:2	self 173:11
218:7 229:14,18	school 69:20	155:20,22 242:19	212:10 213:5,6	self-inflicted
231:18 246:7	238:23	see 1:17 3:12 4:14	214:2,6 215:1,10	168:24
scarcely 105:16	schools 101:12	6:7 7:25 8:2 9:6	215:17 217:9,10	sell 85:1
scared 237:11	scope 55:8 146:20	9:12,23 10:2,17	218:23 222:5,7,15	selling 203:21
243:21	147:1 155:18	10:24,24,25 11:1	223:11 224:1,10	semi 86:13
scenario 116:18	scores 185:15	11:2,3,10 13:21	224:13 226:23	send 39:11 40:24
scene 3:24 4:19	scratch 44:22,24	13:23 17:4 23:11	228:2,9,12,24	92:7 246:14
7:24 14:2 15:19	screaming 23:10	23:16 26:5,8	230:7,11 235:10	sender 246:21
,				
	<u> </u>	l		l

				1 age 200
sending 213:12	services 74:4,17	131:14 165:24	244:10,12	192:17 194:1
senior 16:14 27:10	76:1,20 135:2	180:11	sir 1:3 2:18 28:13	195:22 197:4
118:20,23 157:5	203:22 205:22	shows 4:10 132:15	29:6 51:12,15	198:11,15 199:8
164:9 167:11	218:19	132:17 219:5	77:1,11 84:25	201:23 204:12
178:6,24 186:18	set 42:24 59:24	sick 6:20	93:10 99:19	205:20 206:2
245:10	75:9,14 116:8,22	side 4:25 5:3,4,6,6	100:25 113:19	207:16 219:24
sense 19:9 73:4	seven 27:25 110:1	17:16 18:18 23:16	114:24 128:23	220:7,16 222:22
195:14,15 200:14	215:18	23:18 24:7,24	129:6,12 130:10	223:9 224:2,4,9
200:17 221:21,22	share 65:12	31:21 38:19 52:18	130:19 140:8	224:14,16,17
223:17,24 239:16	shareholder 51:6	65:14 85:15,16	143:17 146:19	226:13 232:24
239:19	51:11 66:17	123:21 125:3	147:15,19 149:22	234:22 240:9
senses 200:10	shareholders 66:2	185:2 192:19	155:18 156:22	242:15 244:2
sensible 24:16	66:4,11	sight 155:24	167:4 192:9,13	245:23 247:23
143:5	shareholding 82:1	sign 35:11 37:9	198:12 220:17,18	248:15,19,21
sensibly 23:25	shares 46:22 53:5	38:8,9,13 131:4	224:9 242:15	250:11,16,20,24
24:14	53:17,25 82:2	181:19	sit 1:25 36:13 114:3	251:2
sensitive 28:10	she'd 50:23 245:14	<b>signatory</b> 50:15	site 16:14	skills 188:13
sent 109:15 126:24	she u 30.23 243.14 shifting 248:17	signature 17:5 54:8	sits 202:13	skin 171:24
201:13 211:5,6	shirt 18:19,22	signed 30:13 32:9	sitting 248:21,22	slang 197:10
sentence 165:2	short 20:17 51:23	32:10 50:14 54:12	248:25	212:23 220:12
202:14,18,19	55:19,19 91:22	61:3 104:11	situ 153:13	slightest 131:15
203:11 205:5	115:8 147:23	significant 23:2	situated 92:5	133:21 136:20
206:12	151:9 160:16,23	139:19 177:11	situation 18:13,13	165:24 166:17,21
sentences 207:10	175:8 204:7	189:17,21,24	103:1 177:18	180:11,19 186:5
separate 22:22	207:19 218:3	247:8	197:9	187:3,4
35:24,25 118:16	224:6	significantly 5:16	situs 88:13 92:4	slightly 19:2 28:9
177:22 210:13	short-lived 155:25	73:2	six 39:15 197:19	85:17 127:17
separately 158:16	shorter 92:15	signing 67:17	size 138:20	129:14 141:18
sequence 159:15	shortfall 102:23	signs 123:25 124:5	<b>Skelton</b> 29:19,24	222:8 233:10
sergeant 7:20 16:9	shorthand 55:6	138:1 172:9 173:5	29:25 30:7 31:2	<b>slipped</b> 196:3,5,9
16:10,15 107:16	60:20	180:24 187:9	51:12,15,25 74:1	Slow 32:25
107:21 109:23,25	shortly 21:7,15	silence 24:9,9	77:1 103:17	small 18:12 80:1
110:1,8,12 111:5	25:4 27:13 207:17	<b>Silva</b> 7:3,17 8:5	105:10 107:10,13	138:12
111:6 112:8 130:1	225:1	similar 54:13 75:5	107:14,23 108:20	smaller 138:15
130:2 141:15	shorts 5:11 12:20	89:17 121:11	108:22 109:8	SMS 246:14
143:5 155:22	shoulder 17:6	180:14	113:18 115:10	snapshot 104:8
162:19,25 163:6,7	153:7	Similarly 55:15	129:18 130:20	<b>SOCO</b> 109:14
164:17,23 171:21	show 10:14 16:21	simpler 148:5	132:10,21 133:17	117:2,6,9 118:7
sergeant's 141:19	27:8 42:1 73:12	simply 28:10 113:5	134:7 137:3 140:4	118:15,20,23
series 109:18,20	81:22 159:17	151:23 204:10	140:11 141:13	119:11 121:8
236:25 237:2	160:9 162:8 165:7	219:14 244:6	148:11 160:16,20	126:11,20 127:11
serious 76:3 136:17	<b>showed</b> 188:18	single 92:11 237:12	160:25 161:3,4	127:21 128:5
seriously 246:8	242:1	237:12	165:16 166:5	132:25 137:4,7,11
service 171:16	<b>showing</b> 216:21,25	<b>SIO</b> 108:6 226:13	167:15 175:11	138:13,24 140:24
172:17	shown 28:3 90:23	226:20 241:25	179:12 192:13,16	144:9 149:4 153:9
	-	-	-	-

				1490 201
153:15,18,22	143:11,19,23	special 36:16 156:8	112:15,15 116:4	241:17
164:1,6,9 166:22	144:17 148:11	156:16,24 157:6	117:3,21 118:22	<b>statement</b> 3:5,7,9
167:19,25 168:9	165:11,13 167:4,7	157:12	119:5 120:2	7:17 8:5,24 9:1
168:13,20 169:2	170:6 173:7 181:1	specific 9:13 14:23	123:11 142:14	13:6 15:23 16:18
169:11 176:17	184:23 187:6	18:10 47:8 206:4	182:7 242:5,19	16:21 17:10 20:12
177:5,12,19 178:4	191:5 193:12	237:22	245:7	20:15 22:25 23:7
178:6,24	198:8 200:9 212:4	specifically 11:24	staged 178:9	28:21 29:17 30:2
SOCOs 117:11	212:5 220:19	17:21 34:4 38:9	stages 161:16	30:3,10 31:13
118:17 138:6	225:19 228:3	59:22 70:12 73:1	230:25	35:10 46:14 67:10
177:24	240:5 242:12	110:22 126:18	staggering 19:1,3	78:13,17 80:19
sold 102:24	248:2,3,20	186:5 241:1	22:6,12	85:6 89:7 90:5
sole 50:15 101:15	sort 14:25 47:15	specified 69:14	<b>Staines</b> 110:14	93:1 99:6,16
solely 235:13	51:6 58:5 111:19	speed 224:10	122:19,19 142:9	100:3 101:6,19
<b>solicitor</b> 45:9,11	116:2,7 122:21	spelt 227:25	stand 107:1	102:12 107:1
102:13 194:5	126:14 147:17	spine 93:21	standard 48:4 59:7	143:8,14,16,18,25
234:18	152:7 170:18,19	spirit 27:18	127:14 152:8	144:6 193:4 194:9
solicitor's 235:11	174:4 177:15	split 9:2	155:6 180:9	206:16,18 209:2,6
solicitors 227:11	182:25 183:1,10	spoke 6:7,10,17,25	stark 166:23	211:1,9 220:8
228:21 240:16,21	233:24 244:9	7:3,8 13:18,19	starkest 167:9	statements 39:8,10
240:22 241:15	sorts 77:12 152:2	29:12 112:10	start 1:7 2:11 10:11	84:11
247:13	155:10	118:13 121:5	17:15 31:12 33:19	States 60:7 103:21
solutions 91:21	sounds 28:9	125:7 127:8	37:25 47:2 53:17	<b>Statinko</b> 86:14,15
somebody 8:15	source 36:24 50:22	169:11 171:21	53:24 83:5 91:4	86:20,25
33:7 47:6 52:12	65:5 75:11 78:20	spoken 81:20	103:18 109:22	station 183:24
54:2 66:16,19,21	101:15 120:10,10	111:16 130:23	113:25 120:5	status 87:3,10
68:16 72:24 92:8	120:14 136:7	136:2 169:13	140:14 152:9	statutory 147:2
92:20 157:6	144:13,23 145:20	190:17,20 196:2	171:3 186:8	stay 41:2
173:13 187:8	146:8 155:1	196:13,19,20	198:11,12 223:23	staying 40:14 45:19
197:8 199:24	158:17 184:3	198:22 203:20,21	225:25	steam 30:20
208:13 233:13	187:12,13 189:18	217:22 220:3,4,24	started 2:8 8:25	steep 125:1,2,3,11
236:10	189:22 206:24	221:6	44:21,24,24,25	151:7
someone's 80:16	sources 57:21	<b>spot</b> 77:4 82:25	114:11 115:11,15	stenographer
168:17	58:13 85:22	<b>spring</b> 10:18	145:2 177:24	224:4
somewhat 164:14	south 11:12 193:16	spring/summer	200:11 230:12	stenographer's
soon 75:13	193:19,22	231:19 235:20	<b>starting</b> 1:21 34:12	222:23
sorry 10:18 30:16	Soviet 80:6	<b>St</b> 7:1 10:19 71:2	57:2,14 108:18	stenographers 1:17
32:25 33:1 37:3	<b>space</b> 79:24,25	71:20,25 72:1,8	123:11 179:25	114:13 248:24
38:16 43:9 51:15	speak 7:16 14:14	102:7 155:16	216:18	stenographers'
77:1,18 79:24	18:2 62:12 104:12	162:21 242:11	starts 42:4 55:8,14	51:13
80:9,23 82:9	105:25 112:7,12	stab 123:17	72:10 126:3 128:8	step 157:5 178:23
87:17 91:6,7	174:6 178:23	stabbed 168:22	130:21 150:15	Stepanov 64:10
93:20,25 94:2	192:19 228:18	staff 128:5 153:20	198:14	67:5 83:7,11,12
96:15 108:15	speaker 227:23	225:9,12,14	state 2:21 66:16,20	185:8,11,22
109:5 115:14	speaking 10:4	stage 14:3 15:17	90:11 172:21	steps 115:10 136:5
129:6 130:24	32:25 39:1 167:3	24:23 39:13 70:9	<b>stated</b> 231:19	178:21 190:10
	•	•	•	•

				<del></del>
sterile 140:15	subsequent 168:7	179:4 190:1,14	surviving 92:17	sworn 29:20 107:12
sterling 88:8	170:19	suggestions 241:17	sus 127:10	192:14 250:10,15
sticky 172:10	subsequently 44:18	suggests 139:2	suspect 1:20 137:21	250:23
stilted 24:9	56:1 126:12	189:20 212:8	151:23	Symes 92:2,10
stock 46:6 81:8	164:22	248:9	suspects 135:22	sympathy 147:7
stomach 5:14,16	subsidiary 31:19	<b>Suisse</b> 78:16	suspicion 24:20	system 42:24
stood 9:4 13:21	37:18	suits 117:12	59:12 111:21	129:18 130:18
69:12	substantial 46:24	sum 48:24 102:9	178:1	132:15 150:18
stop 20:22 116:10	73:13 75:14	summary 178:1	suspicions 102:13	151:25 159:6,12
116:14	146:13 147:24	sums 92:5	124:13	159:18,23 160:2,8
stopped 104:4	148:12 174:2,2	supervision 152:4	suspicious 112:3	160:12 165:8,19
136:22	substantive 58:20	supervisor 152:2	117:4,18,20	231:22
stops 154:11	subtle 173:6,8,14	supplied 82:20	118:25 119:2,4,8	systematic 76:3
story 36:14	<b>suburbs</b> 156:13	support 64:24	119:21 120:2,24	systems 76:12
straight 13:16	sudden 123:24	218:10	123:6 124:8	120:12 136:13
154:15	175:18 179:21	supporting 81:22	125:23 126:1,8	158:16,17
<b>Straw</b> 22:4,5 23:5	suddenly 120:23	suppose 139:14	127:10,19,21,24	
93:17,18 94:1	suffered 163:24	203:25 208:13	131:14,16,18,23	T
99:4,18,25 102:21	sufficient 52:23	222:12 226:2	132:1,25 133:8,9	T 115:22
250:7,13	173:15	240:2 247:1	133:16,20,25	<b>T-junction</b> 11:8
strayed 148:20	suggest 17:23 22:17	sure 11:24 16:22	135:11 137:5	t-shirt 12:20
street 243:4	58:23 77:11 78:9	17:21 30:18 34:6	138:18 149:19	tab 3:2,12 4:4 7:24
stressed 67:20,20	104:18 113:1,4	34:14,18 36:14,24	164:18 165:24,25	9:21 30:1 31:7
102:16	123:14,18 126:7	44:20 48:24 56:8	166:7,19,22 168:2	93:18 108:2 109:6
stretch 125:9	129:7 130:1	66:14 87:20 130:2	168:12,14 169:15	109:12 127:5
223:22	134:19 137:20	136:9 142:23,24	170:2 173:17	135:18 147:25
strike 25:20	142:16 143:2	156:6 175:14	175:19 176:6	162:3,10 163:3
strongly 80:14	149:6 174:13	198:13 203:12,13	177:7 180:1,10,12	165:10,11 170:25
structures 210:1	181:13 212:25	204:24 223:3,15	182:16 186:5	184:24 194:12
struggling 12:4	229:12	230:9 232:7	187:2,4 188:3	226:16
167:4 187:6	suggested 71:7,21	surprise 77:18	189:2,10 190:21	table 218:21,23
213:23	90:12 114:13	surprised 61:9	190:23 191:22,24	tailored 186:19
stuff 105:20	117:2 123:19	77:20 78:18	192:7 226:7,8	take 7:17 13:5
subject 4:18 40:14	127:9 136:23	121:12	Sussex 118:23	16:18 27:7,23
81:6 92:5 96:20	139:9 140:1	<b>Surrey</b> 4:6 107:17	164:13	28:20 32:6 33:24
168:17	144:14,23 147:22	107:22 129:8	sustained 169:1	36:23 39:13,14,15
subjects 225:21	163:24 164:1	154:16 156:7,19	Suter 100:3 102:12	40:3 44:24 49:10
submission 147:1	169:20 172:17	157:6 161:2	swift 223:2	57:10 60:24 63:14
submit 32:8,19	190:2	164:12,13 224:20	Swiss 31:15,20	71:6,7 79:6 89:11
39:10 140:19	suggesting 21:8,14	231:10 233:14,22	37:18,18 38:19	94:20 96:19 97:8
244:18	80:11 92:22	234:25 236:21	78:18 82:20 185:7	104:8,13 114:24
submitted 32:14	144:17 217:14	238:13 240:22	185:7	115:2 118:2
38:2,6 39:6 62:4	suggestion 71:19	surrounding	switched 89:20	121:22 126:21,23
80:20 82:8,11	73:6 110:16	182:23	<b>Switzerland</b> 38:12	130:9 136:5 140:15 142:23
244:12	112:23 143:5	survived 105:5,6	58:17 78:13	140.13 142.23

				Page 283
147.15 140.11	246.7	162.15 170.20	112.10 114.24	17.5 10.21 20.1
147:15 149:11 163:4 178:22	246:7 tax 39:11 47:24	162:15 170:20	113:18 114:24	17:5 19:21 20:1 21:15 24:23 25:4
	48:4 84:10 87:3	ten-year 54:5 tend 137:17 138:16	115:6 130:14,20 141:13,24 157:15	26:24 28:1 29:14
179:6,9 183:1			-	30:6 31:25 34:9
184:16 187:1	87:10,15,24,25	140:7 225:10	160:14,15,15	
197:23 204:18	88:1,8,9,10,12,21	tension 147:18	161:4 179:12	35:10 39:25 44:4
219:5 224:12	89:14 92:5,6,17 92:18	term 205:7	188:21 191:25	44:11,21 45:7,15
228:3 230:25	/ =	terminal 132:18	192:9,11,12,21	45:16 48:5 54:23
244:20	<b>Taxpayer</b> 87:5	terminology 125:4	194:1,15 197:12	57:10 58:11 66:25
taken 20:12,15 26:25 27:19 32:20	<b>Taylor</b> 224:14,15 224:20 251:1	terms 4:3 32:15	206:9 207:16	67:10 68:20 69:22
		34:23 36:21 39:3	212:3 219:23	70:22 71:3,4 72:6
49:14 62:3 63:2	TDCI 115:22	39:4 40:14 48:19	220:7,16 222:18	73:18 74:3 75:22
75:23 77:18 83:6 88:23 89:10 103:6	team 32:12 35:24	53:10,23 66:2	222:21,21 224:17 224:24 230:10	79:18 83:5,16
	115:1 117:15 127:1 155:4 160:1	75:7 124:14 137:15 138:21		85:6,15,23,24
139:19,21 140:4			234:14 248:14,24	86:11,11,24 88:15
140:18 141:25	160:11 176:9,9 179:5 184:3 189:4	140:24 166:23	249:1	88:20,21,24 89:1
143:1,8 159:5		167:9 168:25 172:3 173:15	Thanks 23:5	90:17,23,24 91:4
171:16 177:8	189:6 190:7,17,20		theirs 85:17 thereabouts 54:16	93:23 99:22 101:2
180:7,8 182:15,23	225:10 226:11,12	175:24 177:14		101:3,10,22,25
183:9 190:10	244:13	178:25 186:14	thin 12:18	103:14 104:20,21
193:6 244:22	team's 135:10	202:7 204:3 236:4	thing 1:16 9:16	104:22 105:23,23
takes 121:3 166:21	teams 155:20	test 127:17 133:17	34:1 41:21 58:8	107:8,10 108:11
talk 31:1 33:12	technical 198:5,17	180:19 186:6	64:23 113:25	108:14 110:21,22
51:19 84:12 112:4	201:19 217:5	testimony 23:9	139:24 149:7	112:6,10 117:3
113:22 171:4	Technology 193:9	testing 140:11	183:1 189:11	118:12 119:7,10
185:13 190:22,25	telephone 86:2	tests 140:14,16	207:14 211:7	119:12,13 121:15
199:9 202:23	142:10 240:7	text 166:4,5 194:6	231:2 234:4	125:22 126:16
203:2 204:3,12,15	telephones 143:14	198:15 199:12	235:15 245:24	130:7,7 132:15
207:4 208:3,3	television 201:12	204:19,20 206:5,6	things 1:10 28:10	134:4 135:25
217:8 248:7	201:14,15,16	207:18,19,21	31:12 36:8 39:11	137:3,6 138:12
talked 203:25	tell 1:12 5:14 6:18	208:4,9,14 212:22	53:9 61:13 75:19	139:10,16 140:13
204:6 238:12	6:20 8:20 19:15	222:4 237:24	77:5 78:2 82:17	140:17 141:3,16
talking 17:21 18:5	20:11 23:1 25:10	238:1 241:23	86:8 110:24 112:4	142:24 145:2,8,15
21:25 22:11 134:1	37:9 39:5 80:14	246:6 247:17	113:4 132:14	146:11 147:13,17
155:21 202:3,8,10	81:17 82:5,14,22	texts 197:18 198:12	138:23 139:12	147:19 148:5,25
211:9 216:1	83:25 91:12 101:2	202:17 206:6	140:12 147:22	149:6,12,13,15
217:13 218:16	119:17 120:17	thank 11:16 13:5	182:6,11 197:10	150:5 153:7,18,22
219:7 221:21	121:6 155:23	16:2 22:3 29:5,6	199:9 202:24	154:20 155:17,22
222:15 238:14,18	221:5	29:18 36:6 40:13	204:13,15,17	156:15 161:8
240:3,6,6	telling 14:4 17:12	42:3,6 45:7,19	207:2 209:23,24	162:9 163:2
talks 238:17	151:6 231:8	49:18 52:17 74:1	217:8 236:16,17	164:11 168:22
tall 12:18	235:14 239:11	93:15 99:4,18,19	247:7	170:25 174:21
tasks 122:24	246:19	100:25 101:14,22	think 2:1,5,5,24 4:7	177:10 178:17
Tatiana 42:7	temporary 115:24	105:4 107:5,6,7	5:10 6:23 7:8,19	181:12 182:1,5
231:19 235:10	115:25 161:18	107:23 108:24	8:24 12:7 14:8	184:2,9,16,18,24
241:17 242:10	ten 51:13 160:20	109:3,17,21	15:8,17 16:6,9,23	188:6,17 189:5

				1 agc 201
191:21 193:21	threatening 229:12	159:13,17,25	246:16	161:17 186:3,7,9
194:23 196:25	threats 230:6,22	161:5,15 162:2	tomorrow 1:6,20	186:24 187:21,22
197:15 198:3,11	237:22	164:7,11 166:13	114:1,2,16,19	188:13
198:25 199:19	three 4:14 23:12	167:12 168:5	246:14 248:8,10	transaction 49:15
203:7 205:1	52:25 53:21 54:3	175:8,14 176:1	top 2:10 3:2 4:5	transactions 75:12
206:16 208:15,16	54:20 67:10,13	181:12 183:22	5:11 7:25 10:19	transference
208:18 209:19	68:19 73:3 76:4	191:2,3,11,16	10:21,24 11:6,9	177:16
210:15,23 211:3	101:25 105:18	192:3,3,6 215:9	11:12 23:17 24:6	translate 201:24
211:10 212:9,15	154:21 157:3	219:5 224:12	91:5 95:2 150:13	translated 194:2
213:5,8,23,25	160:4	230:8 235:10	150:14,23 162:20	197:20 199:2,14
214:2,4,10,23	tick 53:7	236:15 237:5,8	165:15 185:1	200:1 202:19
216:13 219:18	ticked 52:5,19,19	243:18 248:4,12	194:16 196:7	206:13,25 207:1
222:3,25 223:21	53:2,8	timed 160:5,5,8	205:22 209:8	209:23 212:13
224:4 227:5,8,23	tidy 1:13	165:9,18	214:15,17 215:20	241:22 244:2,8,16
228:1,4,7,10	tidying 1:14	times 7:23 21:15	215:21 216:8,17	244:17 246:7
232:21 233:8,17	time 2:23 4:2 5:10	67:11 70:15 99:22	216:19 232:19	247:17
234:1 235:7,17	10:9 12:23 16:5,9	101:25 102:2,4	240:11	translates 237:18
236:8 237:3,10,18	16:17 17:6 20:13	170:20	topic 87:3 105:9	translating 222:13
237:23 238:2,8	20:14,18,23 21:21	timetable 114:20	topics 198:5,17	222:14
239:10,19,23	21:24 29:1,3	timing 28:6 132:11	201:19 207:3	translation 192:24
245:20 246:11,11	31:14 36:9 41:21	166:5 239:2	217:6	193:7 194:6,20
246:17 248:8	41:22,23 42:15	timings 4:4 132:15	torso 171:25	196:2,8 197:3
thinking 61:1	44:20 45:4 53:20	tired 77:23 197:5	total 26:19	198:25 199:23
132:8 192:4	53:25 55:25 59:4	title 42:6 56:1	totality 176:4	206:12,15 207:3,7
thinks 199:25	61:1 62:15 64:12	96:22 205:4 232:4	totally 19:22 48:1	209:9 214:18
third 42:17 112:20	67:19 68:22 69:11	today 77:21 94:15	61:11 78:15	215:6 216:13
113:1 116:16	69:14,16 73:23	194:2 230:4	touching 13:24	221:17,18 228:14
123:18 124:8	76:24 78:1 79:9	247:23	26:8	237:19 244:23
134:12 137:21	80:4 81:19 82:5	told 6:22 7:5,9 8:21	trade 49:8,13 52:22	translator 193:2
138:1 142:5	94:25 102:20	10:12,15 11:20	52:24 70:10 88:5	198:1
151:11 168:23	104:8 109:19,23	12:2,6 13:25	trader 52:16	transliteration
172:15,25 173:5	110:2,8 113:2,9	14:18,21 15:8	trades 52:13	82:3
174:8,23 175:9	114:6,15,21	16:25 21:25 22:22	trading 49:1,2,8	transparent 49:17
177:14 179:5	115:23 116:2,23	22:23 25:15 35:17	52:7,15 53:5,13	trauma 174:2
231:13	117:16 118:2,16	36:12,15 63:1	53:16 66:3,5 82:4	Traumatic 174:7
thirds 46:10 125:5	122:7 124:4	64:22 70:12 80:21	87:20,23	travelled 142:14
thorough 104:18	126:15 127:2,8	81:4 87:8 89:21	traffic 116:14	treat 131:4 182:3
thought 13:13	129:24 131:5	116:6 119:14	151:11 173:22	treated 5:24 6:2
25:10 47:2 88:3	133:14,19,23	120:18,21 125:16	train 191:13	20:21 131:13,16
93:1 112:3,12	136:1 139:8 142:9	132:25 134:15	trained 146:8 187:2	132:24 133:16
133:23 157:7	143:2 144:12,21	145:19 146:4	187:18 211:22	165:23,25 166:19
175:12 181:21	145:9,14 146:11	165:20 175:11,13	225:15	180:1,10,12 182:1
186:13 191:14,15	147:4,19,20,21	175:14,17 190:21	trainee 161:17	treating 3:24 4:23
threatened 68:3	148:17,19 149:16	235:8 236:19	trainers 172:1	21:19
178:19	151:9 152:1	239:8 244:6	training 92:14	trees 13:24 26:9
	ı		I	I .

trespassing 146:20	155:10 168:10	uncontroversial	193:11,16,18	220:14 222:4,5,9
155:18	171:10,12 201:24	198:4	unknown 113:8	useful 24:1
trial 218:16,17	207:10 213:12	underfunded	135:4 231:8	uses 160:11 190:5
tried 123:14 135:1	217:3 220:21	211:22	unrelated 75:15	197:14
167:21 184:16	221:6,7,9,12,13	understand 25:22	unsure 15:9	USSR 56:13
<b>trigger</b> 111:19	222:24 226:5,17	45:13 47:9 49:6	untimely 98:17	<b>usual</b> 5:19
triggered 119:22	228:4 239:21	49:18 53:12 59:14	127:9 131:13,21	usually 37:7 177:13
151:18	240:21 247:16	66:3 75:25 84:20	131:25 133:15	209:24
triggers 166:22	type 18:22 47:4	86:7 111:2 125:1	157:11 165:23	utilising 59:24
trouble 115:14	85:13,18 169:18	130:7 138:9	166:13,15 170:12	
<b>true</b> 3:9 30:10	239:24	162:15 179:18	170:20 173:10	V
78:15 108:25	typed 157:25 228:7	181:17 187:7	180:10 189:16	valedictory 1:8
trust 48:4	232:2 233:2,4	201:15 204:8,9	192:7	<b>valuable</b> 79:7,13
try 58:3,14 61:17	types 33:15 36:24	212:14,14 222:14	untouched 104:25	81:16
85:10 94:19	39:12 195:16	227:15 228:20	untoward 37:7	value 41:2
114:17 192:20	201:24	229:10 239:18	60:11 134:20	various 42:8 46:19
205:15 220:9	typewritten 234:4	Understandable	<b>unusual</b> 19:2 58:17	47:15 57:4,13,20
<b>trying</b> 21:7 114:20	235:22	222:7	69:5 122:2 126:13	58:23 73:11 86:8
117:16 122:20	<b>typing</b> 233:6	understandably	139:5 140:14	151:10 163:8
124:7 169:6 187:7		15:3	225:24	168:24 192:25
<b>Tuesday</b> 115:1,5	U	understanding	unwell 12:25 13:3	195:5 228:23
turn 3:1 4:4 7:23	UK 36:23 40:6,23	41:22 63:9 78:21	23:1 229:20	vegetable 63:13
8:2 23:15 29:22	58:16 64:5 69:14	89:3 101:17,18	<b>update</b> 121:19	vehicle 7:15 10:13
95:15 101:1	69:15 72:3 86:13	131:21 158:5	131:11 140:25	56:22 113:6
107:18 117:10	87:5,10,15 88:9	190:4,11 226:6	144:10 151:25	123:20 174:4
119:19 126:14	88:13,13 89:4,5	227:20 228:22	153:14	ventures 219:3
153:10 168:16	92:4	235:2 240:17	updated 25:16	verb 205:13,14
170:24 194:12	<b>Ukraine</b> 63:17	244:14	62:21 101:3	verification 38:24
turned 37:12 118:4	86:14 214:24	understood 26:24	128:20 129:20	62:9
137:19 179:17	Ukrainian 205:7	27:25 65:11,12,13	152:13	verifications 59:24
<b>turning</b> 3:12 11:18	Ukrainian/Russian	87:19 183:22	<b>updates</b> 116:6,7	version 17:10 89:18
115:10	155:2	227:20	129:21 145:3	154:19 207:9
turns 43:17 64:23	ultimate 66:16	underway 115:13	158:17	233:11
<b>Tustin</b> 89:21,24	ultimately 35:1	115:17	upline 137:8	victim 151:4
90:11 91:12,16	52:15 73:13	unexpectedly 231:7	<b>upset</b> 14:4 15:6	victims 110:4
92:10	111:14,17	unfortunate 84:24	61:12	225:16,21
twice-weekly 38:9	unable 79:2	unfortunately 11:7	urgency 73:4	view 19:22 33:24
two 1:10 2:10 7:8,9	unacceptable 76:5 unaware 36:8	120:25 124:2	use 9:21 40:25	48:14 53:14 64:8
9:23 10:22 27:19		<b>uniform</b> 141:22	58:11,12 60:19	76:20 119:7
29:25 46:10 50:13	uncertainty 23:20	uniformed 186:11	77:23 125:4 146:8	126:15 127:13
53:9 75:19,21	unclear 81:14 170:13 231:13	186:12	155:13 160:1,2	134:4 151:19
76:15 80:18 82:24		unit 118:17	178:25 194:15	170:3 172:13,14 174:17 177:18
92:11 94:19 105:5	uncomfortable 24:25	unitary 164:12	195:12 197:4	
108:14 113:13,17	unconscious 2:13	United 60:7 103:21	200:5 201:12,14	190:21,22,25 viewed 168:2,3
125:5 154:21	unconscious 2.15	University 193:9	203:12 207:12	vieweu 100.4,5

171 10 22	10.16.10.10.1	06250016007	164 22 165 20	156516104
171:19,22	18:16,18 19:1	86:3,5 89:16 90:7	164:23 165:20	156:5 161:24
views 62:5	22:7 125:10,25	95:24 96:3,4	211:8 217:13	182:12 245:7
Viktoriya 227:25	Walsh 3:25 6:11	97:12,14 98:22	219:6 239:8	Weybridge 3:20
228:2,12	want 39:24 40:2	99:2 102:4 104:25	248:22	156:13 162:22
violent 124:1	66:14,21,22 72:11	105:1 110:17	we've 40:15 55:15	whatsoever 60:11
173:12 174:23	78:1,9 89:1,25	119:4,5,14 120:2	80:25 88:25 91:20	whichever 25:19
virtual 219:2	103:8 114:17	123:6 134:21	107:8 114:2 150:5	87:24
visa 34:5 40:21	117:9 118:12	135:5 137:10	186:6 189:15	<b>whilst</b> 125:20 145:4
41:13 47:7 48:11	140:15 145:23	139:16 143:8,10	213:20 232:1	233:6
48:12,15 69:10,13	147:7 153:9,22	143:12 146:14	weaknesses 76:4	whistleblower
75:10,13,15	157:17 159:1,9	150:1 153:11	wealth 31:21,22	136:17,18 176:3
visas 75:23	170:24 189:14	155:24 173:17	36:25 47:21 50:22	238:21
<b>visible</b> 137:20	190:25 198:13	175:25 181:23	51:3 75:11 148:16	whistleblowing
139:9 171:24	199:9 200:24	182:11 184:1,14	wealthy 46:12	61:25 175:22
187:9	202:23 203:2	220:17 228:22	97:22 120:22	178:2
<b>visit</b> 34:3	204:12,15,17	234:11,15 236:1	wearing 5:11 19:20	whistles 164:19
<b>visited</b> 142:21	205:5 206:2,16	238:7 243:21	20:2 29:11	white 12:20,24 13:1
visiting 234:17	207:4 208:7	245:9	web 58:4	18:19
visual 123:5 138:5	210:23 212:24	WASTELL 1:3	website 81:13,19	whites 6:10
Visually 139:1	214:8 217:8 224:3	2:18,20,21 11:3	194:22	wide 149:12
<b>Vladen</b> 64:10 185:8	226:25 229:8	16:2 250:6	websites 194:21	widely 82:21
<b>voice</b> 165:1 167:5	230:15 243:16	watch 183:19 198:5	Wednesday 1:1	243:15
191:4 230:23	244:15 246:9	198:17 201:19	week 10:8 52:13	wider 190:4 212:21
voicemail 236:23	wanted 15:10 32:7	217:5	135:25	widow 23:9 99:22
237:16,17,21	40:21 42:18 48:9	watching 204:16	weekend 162:23	widow's 101:15
241:23 244:5	48:11,15 49:4	water 182:17	176:23	wife 12:10 18:2
247:17	53:1,2,4,7 65:18	way 23:1 24:25	weeks 19:18,18	23:3 41:25 48:11
volatile 100:18	68:24 69:2 72:8	28:10 35:12 36:14	60:13 126:17	50:23 68:24 69:2
<b>volume</b> 29:22	89:8,11 97:15	45:14 46:10 51:7	226:5	69:4
107:18	112:4 117:8	68:23 78:25 88:16	welcome 1:9	Wikipedia 209:4
volunteer 236:24	122:24 126:22	101:23 109:12	222:19	209:12,16,21
vyshe 219:25 220:3	146:7 207:17,21	124:15 125:5	went 2:24 7:16 13:5	210:14 211:10
220:4,9,13,19,20	208:2 220:17	126:25 129:9	13:16 14:16 15:12	Wikipedia.org
220:24 221:14,14	227:16 244:19	137:15 139:12	15:23 18:1 20:8	211:2
221:23,25	wanting 52:22 73:5	140:1 154:10	24:5 28:20 37:5,6	<b>Wilson</b> 2:24 8:5,9
	wants 1:9 96:13	156:21 166:14	50:14 70:7 71:4	130:7
<u>W</u>	warning 51:15	176:18 177:9	132:11,14 159:17	window 174:21
wait 16:3 210:11	warrants 52:7	183:6 187:17	166:14 200:10	witness 1:4 16:18
waited 137:22	wasn't 19:8 21:20	200:1 203:8,10	234:16	19:13 29:19 30:1
151:24 222:25	22:19 25:22 26:10	206:10 239:14	weren't 8:15 15:17	30:16 77:2,7,10
walk 18:4,4 19:7	26:22 33:6 45:17	243:9,22	21:4 33:4 41:16	85:6 89:7 90:25
walk-in 44:12	48:13 61:14 65:3	we'll 51:14 153:2	61:4 73:24 75:5	93:1 101:18
walked 45:1	73:7,23 74:7	248:19	86:6,7 90:20	130:23 134:12,21
walking 11:20	75:20 77:24 78:4	we're 10:7 48:7,14	94:17 99:1 113:9	151:5 162:3 167:5
12:11 17:16 18:14	78:4 79:4 82:24	56:8 94:13 135:18	115:12 128:1	171:9,12 178:16
				ŕ

178:19 184:19,20	work 31:14,18	163:2 201:7	1	11A 3:2
191:9,21 194:11	35:12 42:21 44:16	214:17 223:10	19:3 40:16 41:2,3	<b>12</b> 30:1 95:18
194:13 209:2,5	62:18 64:17 68:17	229:23 230:8	48:20 49:13 66:5	149:24
213:23 226:16	84:17 85:18 98:23	232:6 233:2,4	82:1 95:9 147:25	<b>12.40</b> 115:3,4
witnessed 17:5	137:17 138:8	235:12 239:25	147:25 150:14	<b>122</b> 4:5 99:25 100:9
28:23 172:22	177:25 225:3,10	244:6 245:15,18	151:2 153:25	<b>123</b> 101:15
witnesses 107:25	worked 7:5 91:13	wrong 86:12 89:2	173:20 184:15,17	<b>128</b> 49:19
122:22 125:8	130:22	101:2 116:17	184:18,21,24,25	<b>13</b> 29:8 95:18 108:2
134:22 155:14,15	working 31:15	139:3 143:23	240:19	150:15 153:4
158:15 171:10	45:17 66:15,21	wrongly 90:24	<b>1.05</b> 115:7	154:8,8 162:3
222:25 223:9,13	76:22 105:12	wrote 145:5,16	<b>1.40</b> 115:3	211:18 243:2
wives 69:8,18 75:23	112:11 117:16	233:2,16	<b>10</b> 3:17 4:10 52:13	<b>130</b> 132:10 165:8,9
wives' 69:7	140:2 159:25	<b>Wyborn</b> 118:13	71:4,10,10 79:11	165:13
woman 11:20 22:6	184:7	169:12	89:12 95:13,22	<b>134</b> 52:18
24:1,12 25:1	world 56:5	<b>T</b> 7	100:20 102:10	<b>13A</b> 163:3 167:18
26:24 28:4 125:22	worried 67:24	X	132:21 138:12	<b>14</b> 55:1 95:18 149:8
142:21	208:8	<b>X</b> 46:21 250:3	146:12 153:4	162:10 170:25
women 7:9	worry 30:7 239:18	<u> </u>	162:16	<b>14.17</b> 215:9
wonder 113:19	worst-case 116:18	$\frac{1}{\text{Y} \cdot 46:22}$	<b>10.10</b> 1:2	<b>14.24</b> 197:22,23
wondered 9:10	worth 31:21 33:16	yards 11:21 17:15	<b>100</b> 37:10 103:5	198:12 215:17
114:1 144:24	41:1 46:22 47:5,6	151:8	127:11	<b>14.26</b> 197:22
wondering 9:16	47:8 63:24 72:4	year 30:14 67:11	100,000/£150,000	<b>14.27</b> 197:22
88:6,16 157:9	79:11,12 89:10	69:20 70:18 79:11	40:25	<b>1400</b> 246:14
word 30:24 57:25	100:19	80:5 101:25	<b>104</b> 7:25	141 250:17
130:9 142:23	wouldn't 27:7,9,23	161:12 187:1	106 8:2	<b>148</b> 95:15
157:4 194:4,25	28:18 34:19 66:21	years 27:25 41:3	<b>107</b> 250:15,16	<b>149</b> 94:23 95:2
195:1,5,7,12	69:11 82:22 88:11	53:21 54:3,20	<b>109</b> 128:8,19	<b>15</b> 95:19,20 110:7
197:2,14 199:11	104:1 119:11	60:23 67:13 68:19	<b>11</b> 2:8,11 55:3 95:3	153:4 161:19
199:22,24 200:2,5	120:1 126:1 140:5	74:9 76:4 82:18	150:24 162:20	165:10,11
202:13 203:10,12	148:8 156:23	97:24 99:16 105:5	210:7,11,12,13,18	<b>157</b> 250:18
203:13,14,19	235:11 246:18	110:2 117:5 158:4	210:19	15A 7:24
205:1,9 211:24	wounds 122:15	188:4 235:16	<b>11-inches</b> 12:18	15B 128:8
214:3,4,8 215:2	123:14,17 172:7	236:18	<b>11.00</b> 1:7 113:25	15C 4:4 165:12
216:10 217:9,11	174:10	yesterday 6:13 16:7	114:12 248:21,22	<b>16.40</b> 10:2,11
218:1,16,21 219:25 220:5	write 37:25 43:8	95:8	249:3	<b>161</b> 250:19,20
	126:13 239:7 writing 17:4 50:3,4	yoghurt 63:11	<b>11.20</b> 51:22	<b>166</b> 85:11 179:20 <b>167</b> 179:18,24
221:17,17,23 222:4,9 233:10	99:15 109:17	young 238:16	<b>11.40</b> 51:24	<b>167</b> 179.18,24 <b>169</b> 86:8
wording 97:13	122:20 145:2,4,11		111 42:4 43:18	17 3:5
words 25:11 65:19	185:23 207:18	Z	<b>112</b> 45:21 47:13	<b>17.21</b> 4:10
144:24 145:17	238:25 239:1	<b>Zurich</b> 78:16	<b>113</b> 43:7,9 47:13	<b>17.21</b> 4.10 <b>17.21.29</b> 4:10
206:23 207:5,23	written 10:9 55:3		52:3	<b>17.21.29</b> 4.10 <b>17.34.42</b> 4:14
213:17 218:12	99:13 101:3	0 0005 40:14	<b>115</b> 128:10,13	<b>17.38</b> 142:2,2,19
220:21 221:2,9,13	116:11 128:11,15	<b>0.0005</b> 49:14	129:6 130:24	<b>17.42</b> 133:11
wore 18:19 29:15	139:17 145:6,14	<b>0.5</b> 73:18	<b>116</b> 128:10 180:6,8	<b>17.42</b> 133.11 <b>17.42.10</b> 132:21
,, oic 10.17 27.13	137.17 173.0,17	<b>0would</b> 130:3	<b>118</b> 130:11	1101201J2,21
	l			<u> </u>

Page 288

170 87:3					raye 200
179 250:21   171:55   200 11:21 17:15   151:8   2003 34:10 42:10   42:15 43:22 44:15   151:8 2003 34:10 42:10   42:15 43:22 44:15   151:8 2179:25 184:17,21   42:15 43:22 44:15   151:8 2003 34:10 42:10   42:15 43:22 44:15   151:8 2279:25 184:17,21   471:11 79:12 85:21   779:22   8	<b>170</b> 87·3	115:4 235:16	<b>206</b> 91·4 7	<b>31</b> 98·8 185·3	<b>72</b> 176·23
18   1547   226:16   18.00   115:21   17:15   17:15   2179:23   108:4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   5   4   5   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
18.80   118:22   144:8				30 7.23	
18.50   118:22   144:8   145:3   145				4	
145:3				<b>4</b> 71:11 79:12 85:21	11 7.22
18.45 8:3         54:16 81:4,5         250:7         92:21,25 93:5         8 162:16 218:24           18.58 16:21         101:3,19         224 25:1,12         96:10,21 98:19         24:13           186 93:25         2005 110:1         226 108:18 228:3,9         4.00 1:25         24:13           186 93:25         2006 181:8         227 228:24 240:9         227 228:24 240:9         227 228:24 240:9         227 228:24 240:9           153:6         2006 181:8         227 228:24 240:9         227 228:24 240:9         4.53 224:5         8.55 150:25           188 250:22         2008 40:7 5.5:1 61:3         101:23 103:10         21 10:23 103:10         194:12 250:8         4.53 224:5         8.55 150:25           1995 144:16,25         101:23 103:10         24:124         436 232:22         436 232:22         436 232:22         46:10 2:22 4:7,11         17.5           1995 80:6         2015 54:10         2014 3:19 54:14         232 244:3         232 244:3         433 4:11         47 24:7         47 24:7         47 24:7         46:10 2:22 4:7,11         17.5         5         514:5 79:12 197:18         221:12         29 12:12 59:35         85 23:18         816:11:19 19:4         222:22 44:3         230:14:10         29 12:22 59:33         29 12:22 59:33         29:19 10:23         20:22:23 3:17         20:22					8
18.50 8.8 18.50 8.8 18.50 8.2 18.55 162:21 101:3,19         83:23 84:1,7 101:3,19         2236 132:19,21 24 251:1,2 24 251:1,2 226 108:18 228:3,9 2005 110:1 2006 181:8 227 228:24 240:9 2008 64:12 2009 97:10 2009 945:7 55:1 61:3 101:23 103:10 101:23 103:10 104:5,14 215:99 2012 22:3 3:17 104:5,14 215:99 81:13 2011/2012 82:25 2015 223 3:17 2012 224:17 2012 224:17 2012 224:17 2012 224:17 2012 224:17 2012 223 3:18 2012 224:17 2012 224:17 2012 224:17 2012 224:17 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 20			,		8 162·16 218·24
18.55 162:21         101:3,19         224 251:1,2         108:21,21 218:1,3         24:13           1865 130:6,11,12 153:6         2006 181:8         224 251:1,2         206 181:8         227 228:24 240:9         4.00 1:25         8.15 122:17           188 250:22 188 250:22 2008/2009 79:10 293:18 2008/2009 79:10 293:144:16,25 145:20 110:23 103:10 104:51,4         2009 45:7 55:1 61:3 194:12 250:8 230:13 242:7,15,16,17 245:19 102:23,24 45:20 102:23 24:14 2019 24:24 24:3         407:10 89:12,13 18:5 223:18,18 223:24 47:10 18:15 223:18,18 223:24 24:3 194:12 250:8 230:194:16 227:1 23:24 24:3 198:15 223:18,18 223:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:41:6 227:1 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:25 24:3 199:8 24:24 24:3 199:9 10:23 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:25 14:1 2.12 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 19:24 24:1 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:3 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:24 21:0 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:24 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:24 23:24 24:2 199:1 24:2		,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
186   93:25   186   5130:6,11,12   2005   181:8   226   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2008   64:12   2009   45:7   55:1   61:3   93:24   154:20   10:23   103:10   10:23   10:33		•	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1865 130:6,11,12 153:6         2006 181:8 2008 2009 79:10 2008 2009 79:10 2009 45:7 55:1 61:3 93:24 154:20 19.05 144:16,25 145:91,01,01,4,17 145:19 2250:23,24 1959 68: 84:4 2011 54:10,19 67:13 74:10 82:18 1995 895:13 2011 54:10,19 67:13 74:10 82:18 185:3 2011/2012 82:25 29:3 30:14 33:18 40:23 42:2 46:24 476,8 73:16,18 89:10,11 92:25 97:24 150:23 153:18,19,23,25 174:8 179:24 209:5,7 250:5,6 2.05 113:23 2.10 57:3 2.11 81:24 115:9 2.17 215:12 2.21 179:25 2.23 59:17 103:10 2.02 2012 48:5 122 27:28:24 240:9 228 230:13 40:71:10 89:12,13 198:15 223:18,18 223:24 436 232:22 46:10 233:244:3 232 244:3 436 232:22 46:10 2:22 4:7,11 17:5 4633 4:11 472:47 17:5 4633 4:11 472:47 17:5 1472:47 17:5 146:12 18:13 18:13 18:1995 193:17 2011/2012 82:25 2011/2012 8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		The state of the s	
133:6					
188 250:22         2008/2009 79:10         2008/2009 79:10         23 185:10,12         40 71:10 89:12,13         85 23:14         85 23:14         85 23:14         85 23:14         85 23:14         85 23:14         86 24:6 93:19,25         94:1,2         93:24:54:50:8         94:7:10 84:3         109:12 103:10         109:10 104:5,14         109:10 104:5,14         2010:43:19 54:14         2010:43:19 54:14         2010:43:19 54:14         2010:43:19 54:14         2010:43:19 54:14         2010:43:19 54:14         2010:43:19 54:14         2010:43:19 54:14         2010:59:18         2011:54:10,19         2011:54:10,19         2011:54:10,19         2011:54:10,19         2011:54:10,19         2011:20:22:33:17         2011:20:22:33:17         2011:20:22:33:17         2011:20:22:33:17         2016:16         5         5         5         5         5         14:59:79:12:197:18         99:10:123         99:10:123         2011:20:22 82:18         2013:24:27:12         2012:22:33:14         2011:61:10:63:14         163:19 167:18         99:10:123         99:10:123         2012:22:33:17         40:21:40:32         2013:22:22         2013:22:23         2013:14:14         2013:24:27:15,16,17         2019:24:24:12         2019:24:22:19         2019:24:22:29         2019:22:23:218         2019:22:23:22         2019:22:23:22         2019:22:23:22         2019:22:23:22:23         2019:22:23:22         2019:22:23:2					
19 31:7 91:9 93:18   93:24 154:20   101:23 103:10   104:12 250:8   20194:16 227:1   241:24   4610 2:22 4:7,11   17:5   4633 4:11   47 24:7   101:201 282:18   1095 193:17   1099 81:13   2011/2012 82:25   2012 2:23 3:17   20199 81:13   2011/2012 82:25   2012 2:23 3:17   20199 81:13   2011/2012 82:25   99:3 30:14 33:18   49:23 42:24 46:24   47:6,8 73:16,18   89:10,11 92:25   97:24 150:23   153:18,19,23,25   174:8 179:24   209:5,7 250:5,6   2.05 113:23   2.10 57:3   2.11 81:24 115:9   2.22 2013 3:4   2.23 59:17 103:10   2.24 217:3   2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 9:19 2.29 56:24 80:24   2.27 9:19 2.29 56:24 80:24   2.27 9:					
93:24 154:20 19.05 144:16,25 145:9,10,10,14,17 145:19 192 250:23,24 195 56:8 1965 80:5 19798:6,12 1990s 46:2 218:10 1995 193:17 1999 81:13  2 2 29:3 30:14 33:18 40:23 42:2 46:24 47:6,8 73:16,18 89:10,11 92:25 97:24 150:23 153:18,19,23,25 174:8 179:24 209:5,7 250:5,6 2.05 113:23 2.10 57:3 2.11 81:24 115:9 2.17 215:12 2.21 2.15:12 2.21 2.15:12 2.21 2.15:12 2.21 2.15:12 2.21 2.15:12 2.21 2.15:12 2.22 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.22 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.22 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.22 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.17 2.15:12 2.22 2.17 2.15:12 2.21 2.21 2.21 2.21 2.21 2.21 2.21 2.			,		
19.05   144:16,25				,	,
145:9,10,10,14,17       104:5,14       231 242:7,15,16,17       4610 2:22 4:7,11       9         192 250:23,24       195 96:8       84:4       233 204:25 205:3       4633 4:11       47 24:7         1965 80:5       2011 54:10,19       67:13 74:10 82:18       235 214:12,12       216:16       5         1995 193:17       1999 81:13       2011/2012 82:25       216:7,16       2012 2:23 3:17       207:9 215:14       218:13       218:13       25509 224:7       514:5 79:12 197:18       215:5 126:3       92 108:12,22       216:7,16       258:15       218:13       256 097:16,17       207:9 215:14       216:16       5       514:5 79:12 197:18       125:5 126:3       92 108:12,22       216:7,16       258:18       218:13       264:19 54:10       258:91.5       2509:12.24       256 99:15       256 99:15       2509:10:10       5.223:8,22       135:20 141:4       93 56:11 79:16       141:16 250:13       94 56:25 57:3 142:1       95:57:66:24 81:24       99:9 101:23       29 154:21 227:9       250:9,10,11       254:210       250:9,10,11       209:55:24 81:24       250:9,10,11       299:57:250:5,6       228:10       3174:16       2215:26       66       62:2152:6       6000 144:4 163:17       223:5,14:10       99:97:11 66:7,8       226:23 227:3,6       60       221:15:21       220:35:91:71 103:10					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
145:19	,				<b>69</b> 103.3 240.14
145:19   173   174:19   175:		,			9
192   230   23   24   24   24   24   24   24   24					
1965 80:5   197 98:6,12   1990 8 46:2 218:10   1995 193:17   1999 81:13   2011/2012 82:25   2012 2:23 3:17   67:14 70:22 82:18   85:21 87:7,22,22   291:20,24 92:21   79:23   25:18,19,23,25   174:8 179:24   209:5,7 250:5,6   2.05 113:23   2.11 81:24 115:9   2.17 215:12   2.21 79:19   2.21 29:19 2.24 217:3   2.27 23 99:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 23 99:9   2.24 217:3   2.27 23 99:9   2.24 217:3   2.27 23 99:9   2.24 27:3   2.27 23 99:9   2.24 217:3   2.27 23 99:9   2.24 217:3   2.27 23 99:9   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 23 99:9   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 29:19   2.24 217:3   2.27 23 29:9   2.27 23 29:5   2.28 29:5	,				
197   98:6,12   67:13   74:10   82:18   185:3   207:9   215:14   216:7,16   799   81:13   2011/2012   82:25   2012   2:23   3:17   67:14   70:22   82:18   85:21   87:7,22,22   95:3   96:21   98:8   99:9   101:23   104:14   108:4   209:5, 7   250:5   13:23   2015   13:5   2015   13:5   2015   13:5   2015   13:5   2012   13:5   2012   23:3   17   67:14   70:22   82:18   85:21   87:7,22,22   95:3   96:21   98:8   99:9   101:23   104:14   108:4   146:12   148:14   188:10   192:2   197:18   224:22   226:23   2217   226:23   2217   225   226:23   227:3,6   2217   223   235   91:7   103:10   224   217:3   227:79:19   229   56:24   80:24   2015   40:12   2015   40:12   2015   40:12   2015   40:12   2016   27:3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4/24:/	
1998   46:2   218:10   185:3   2011/2012   82:25   2012   2:23   3:17   207:29   215:14   216:7,16   2442:10   25   89:15   2442:10   25   89:15   2012   2:23   3:17   67:14   70:22   82:18   85:21   87:7,22,22   91:20,24   92:21   95:3   96:21   98:8   99:9   101:23   104:14   108:4   146:12   148:14   188:10   192:2   197:18   226:23   217:28   226:23   217:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   22179:25   2218:10   226:23   227:36   226:23		,		5	
183.3   183.17   1998 81:13   2011/2012 82:25   2012 2:23 3:17   67:14 70:22 82:18   85:21 87:7,22,22   91:20,24 92:21   95:3 96:21 98:8   99:9 101:23   104:14 108:4   146:12 148:14   166:13 168:6   184:7 187:14   209:5,7 250:5,6   205 113:23   2012/2013 74:4   209:5,7 250:5,6   22179:25   2.17 215:12   2.17 215:12   2.17 215:12   2.17 9:25   2.23 59:17 103:10   2.24 217:3   2.7 9:19   2.9 56:24 80:24   2.17 215 40:21   2.17 215:12   2.17 215:1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
20112 2:23 3:17 67:14 70:22 82:18 85:21 87:7,22,22 91:20,24 92:21 95:3 96:21 98:8 99:9 101:23 104:14 108:4 146:12 148:14 1995,7 250:5,6 205,7 250:5,6 20113:23 2.11 81:24 115:9 2.17 215:12 2.2 179:25 2.23 59:17 103:10 2.24 217:3 2.79:19 2.9 56:24 80:24 208:13 97:23 99:9 208:13 97:23 99:9 2012 2:23 3:17 67:14 70:22 82:18 85:21 87:7,22,22 91:20,24 92:21 95:3 96:21 98:8 99:9 101:23 104:14 108:4 146:12 148:14 188:10 192:2 197:18 224:22 226:23 2.11 81:24 115:9 2.17 215:12 2.2 179:25 2.23 59:17 103:10 2.24 217:3 2.9 56:24 80:24 208:13 97:23 99:9 2012 11 87:12 2017 1:1 187:12 2017 1:1 187:12 2018 12 97:23 99:9 2012 2:23 3:17 24 42:10 25 89:15 26 43:19 54:10 79:23 27 79:24 25 91:44:20 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.42 165:9 5.45 249:2 500,000 71:8 500,000 71:8 500,000 71:8 500,000 71:8 500,000 71:8 500,000 71:8 500,000 246:25 6.00 14:4 163:17 223:5,16,22 6.30 144:8 145:3 673 214:10 723:5,16,22 77:12 23:21 77:11 23:221					
2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
2         3         55.21 87:7,22,22         25.43 30:14 33:18         40:23 42:2 46:24         40:23 42:2 46:24         47:6,8 73:16,18         89:10,11 92:25         99:9 101:23         25.39 6:21 98:8         27 79:24         25.09,10,11         25.79:24         55.34 4:20         55.7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:5 65:24 81:24         95:7:1 66:7,8	<b>1999</b> 81:13				
29:3 30:14 33:18       83.21 87.7,22,22       91:20,24 92:21       9		67:14 70:22 82:18	<b>25</b> 89:15		
40:23 42:2 46:24       47:6,8 73:16,18       95:3 96:21 98:8       27 79:24       27 79:24       5.34 4:20       5.42 165:9       142:1       142:1       142:1       95:7:5 65:24 81:24       142:1       142		85:21 87:7,22,22	<b>26</b> 43:19 54:10		
47:6,8 73:16,18       99:9 101:23       27/9.24       5.42 165:9       142:1         89:10,11 92:25       104:14 108:4       29 154:21 227:9       5.45 249:2       99:57:11 66:7,8         97:24 150:23       146:12 148:14       129th 227:8 228:5       500,000 71:8       250:9,10,11         153:18,19,23,25       166:13 168:6       184:7 187:14       188:10 192:2       58 215:9         1057:3       197:18 224:22       226:23       3,000 246:10       3,000 246:10         3.12 160:22       3.12 160:22       3.24 160:24       6.000 114:4 163:17         2.17 215:12       2013 3:5 41:15 48:5       2013/2012 91:19       2014 48:5 150:15       2015 40:12       2015 40:12       307 16:24       300,000 246:12       673 214:10       7         2.9 56:24 80:24       2017 1: 1 187:12       2017 1: 1 187:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7       7       7		91:20,24 92:21	79:23		
89:10,11 92:25       104:14 108:4       250:9,10,11       250:14		95:3 96:21 98:8	<b>27</b> 79:24		
97:24 150:23 153:18,19,23,25 174:8 179:24 209:5,7 250:5,6 2.05 113:23 2.10 57:3 2.17 215:12 2.17 215:12 2.2 179:25 2.23 59:17 103:10 2.24 217:3 2.7 79:19 2.9 56:24 80:24 208:12 97:23 90:9 210 124 209:5,7 23 90:9 210 124 211 187:12 2250.9,10,11 29th 227:8 228:5 228:10 29th 227:8 228:5 228:10  29th 227:8 228:5 228:10 3 3 174:16 3,000 246:10 3,000 246:10 3,12 160:22 3.24 160:24 30 97:24 155:3 226:23 227:3,6 300,000 246:12 307 16:24 30th 227:10 228:4		99:9 101:23	<b>29</b> 154:21 227:9		
153:18,19,23,25       166:13 168:6       228:10       50s 18:25         174:8 179:24       184:7 187:14       3       58 215:9         2.05 113:23       197:18 224:22       3 174:16       3,000 246:10       3,12 160:22         2.17 215:12       2012/2013 74:4       30.97:24 155:3       223:5,16,22         2.2 179:25       2013/2012 91:19       2013/2012 91:19       226:23 227:3,6         2.7 79:19       2015 40:12       300,000 246:12       300,000 246:12         2.9 56:24 80:24       2015 40:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7         208:12 97:23 90:9       2017 1:1 187:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7	,	104:14 108:4	250:9,10,11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
174:8 179:24       184:7 187:14       188:10 192:2       3         2.05 113:23       197:18 224:22       3 174:16         2.10 57:3       226:23       3,000 246:10         2.11 81:24 115:9       2012.11.5 215:9       3.12 160:22         2.17 215:12       2012/2013 74:4       30.97:24 155:3         2.23 59:17 103:10       2013/2012 91:19       226:23 227:3,6         2.24 217:3       2014 48:5 150:15       300,000 246:12         2.9 56:24 80:24       2015 40:12       30th 227:10 228:4         20 8:12 97:23 90:9       101 24	97:24 150:23	146:12 148:14	<b>29th</b> 227:8 228:5	*	250:14
184.7 187.14       188.10 192:2       3         2.05 113:23       197:18 224:22       3 174:16         2.10 57:3       226:23       3,000 246:10         2.11 81:24 115:9       2012.11.5 215:9       3.12 160:22         2.17 215:12       2012/2013 74:4       3.24 160:24         2.2 179:25       2013 3:5 41:15 48:5       30 97:24 155:3       223:5,16,22         2.24 217:3       2014 48:5 150:15       300,000 246:12       300,000 246:12         2.7 79:19       2015 40:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7         2.9 56:24 80:24       2017 1:1 187:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7         20 8:12 97:23 99:9       101 24       30th 227:10 228:4       7         20 8:12 97:23 99:9       20 15 40:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7         20 8:12 97:23 99:9       20 15 40:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7         20 8:12 97:23 99:9       20 15 40:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7         20 8:12 97:23 99:9       101 24       30th 227:10 228:4       7         20 8:12 97:23 99:9       101 24       30th 227:10 228:4       7		166:13 168:6	228:10		
2.05 113:23       197:18 224:22       3 174:16         2.10 57:3       226:23       3,000 246:10         2.11 81:24 115:9       2012.11.5 215:9       3.12 160:22         2.17 215:12       2012/2013 74:4       3.24 160:24         2.2 179:25       2013/2012 91:19       30 97:24 155:3       226:23 227:3,6         2.24 217:3       2014 48:5 150:15       300,000 246:12       66         3.00 246:25       6.00 114:4 163:17       223:5,16,22         6.00 114:4 163:17       223:5,16,22       6.30 144:8 145:3         300,000 246:12       300,000 246:12       673 214:10         307 16:24       30th 227:10 228:4       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 246:12       7         300,000 2		184:7 187:14		<b>58</b> 215:9	
2.05 113:23       197:18 224:22       3.000 246:10         2.10 57:3       226:23       3.000 246:10         2.11 81:24 115:9       2012.11.5 215:9       3.12 160:22         2.17 215:12       2012/2013 74:4       3.24 160:24         2.21 79:25       2013/2012 91:19       2013/2012 91:19         2.24 217:3       2014 48:5 150:15       2015 40:12         2.9 56:24 80:24       2017 1:1 187:12       30th 227:10 228:4         20 8:12 97:23 99:9       101 24		188:10 192:2		6	
2.10 57:3       226:23         2.11 81:24 115:9       2012.11.5 215:9         2.17 215:12       2012/2013 74:4         2.2 179:25       2013 3:5 41:15 48:5         2.23 59:17 103:10       2013/2012 91:19         2.24 217:3       2014 48:5 150:15         2.7 79:19       2015 40:12         2.9 56:24 80:24       2017 1:1 187:12         2018 12 24       2017 1:1 187:12             3,000 246:10       6,000 246:25         6.00 114:4 163:17       223:5,16,22         6.30 144:8 145:3       673 214:10         7       71:1 232:21	<b>2.05</b> 113:23	197:18 224:22			
2.17 215:12 2.2 179:25 2.23 59:17 103:10 2.24 217:3 2.7 79:19 2.9 56:24 80:24 20 8:12 97:23 99:9 20 12.11.5 215:9 20 12/2013 74:4 20 13 3:5 41:15 48:5 20 13/2012 91:19 20 14 48:5 150:15 20 15 40:12 20 17 1:1 187:12 20 17 1:1 187:12 20 18 12 24 20 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	<b>2.10</b> 57:3		<b>3,000</b> 246:10		
2.17 213.12 2.2 179:25 2.23 59:17 103:10 2.24 217:3 2.7 79:19 2.9 56:24 80:24 2013/2012 91:19 2014 48:5 150:15 2015 40:12 2017 1:1 187:12 2018 12 97:23 99:9 2018 12 97:23 99:9 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	<b>2.11</b> 81:24 115:9	<b>2012.11.5</b> 215:9	<b>3.12</b> 160:22		
2.2 179:25       2013 3:5 41:15 48:5       30 97:24 155:3       223:5,16,22         2.23 59:17 103:10       2013/2012 91:19       226:23 227:3,6       300,000 246:12       6.30 144:8 145:3         2.7 79:19       2015 40:12       307 16:24       7       7         2.9 56:24 80:24       2017 1:1 187:12       30th 227:10 228:4       7       71:1 232:21	<b>2.17</b> 215:12	2012/2013 74:4	<b>3.24</b> 160:24		
2.23 59:17 103:10     2013/2012 91:19       2.24 217:3     2014 48:5 150:15       2.7 79:19     2015 40:12       2.9 56:24 80:24     2017 1:1 187:12       201 24     2017 1:1 187:12         201 3/2012 91:19     226:23 227:3,6       300,000 246:12     673 214:10       30th 227:10 228:4     7       71:1 232:21	<b>2.2</b> 179:25		<b>30</b> 97:24 155:3	, ,	
2.24 217:3 2.7 79:19 2.9 56:24 80:24 2017 1:1 187:12 2018 42:10 20	<b>2.23</b> 59:17 103:10		226:23 227:3,6		
2.7 79:19 2.9 56:24 80:24 2017 1:1 187:12 2017 1:1 187:12 2018:12 97:23 99:9	<b>2.24</b> 217:3		<b>300,000</b> 246:12	0/3/214:10	
2.9 56:24 80:24   2017 1:1 187:12   30th 227:10 228:4   7 1:1 232:21   328:5 6	<b>2.7</b> 79:19		<b>307</b> 16:24	7	
20.8:12.07:23.00:0   101.24   228:5.6	<b>2.9</b> 56:24 80:24		<b>30th</b> 227:10 228:4		
/13.3	<b>20</b> 8:12 97:23 99:9		228:5,6		
				113.3	
		I	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>