

<p>1 Friday, 13 April 2018 2 (10.00 am) 3 (Proceedings delayed) 4 (10.18 am) 5 THE CORONER: Sorry we are late starting. 6 Yes. 7 MR WASTELL: Sir, this morning we have some written evidence 8 from DC Lennon to hear. We then have two live 9 witnesses, Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell and Detective 10 Superintendent Ian Pollard. If I can start very briefly 11 with the written evidence. This is found behind tab 5 12 of the supplementary bundle 1. 13 Sir, you will see it is a statement from 14 DC Snezhanna Lennon, dated 31 August 2017, dealing with 15 her review of documents contained on a computer believed 16 to belong to Mr Perepilichnyy. The review was conducted 17 in late 2012. 18 Sir, I ask that you admit that evidence under 19 Rule 23(1)(d) on the basis of being unlikely to be 20 disputed. Before doing so you have to announce the 21 nature of the written evidence and the full name, as we 22 have done, and that any interested person may object to 23 the admission and is entitled to see a copy, which they 24 of course have and they do not object. 25 THE CORONER: You have very kindly done that for me, thank</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 confirm that any information I may view in connection 2 with the investigation I would not discuss with anybody 3 outside of SECTU. 4 "During that briefing it was explained to us that 5 Katy and I would be asked to triage the forensic 6 downloads of computer equipment believed to belong to 7 the deceased. A computer examination strategy was 8 presented to us and explained that it was prepared by 9 the SIO of the investigation (whose name I do not 10 remember) in order to direct the investigation and to 11 set clear instructions for the officers involved. 12 "Katy and I were given a room in SECTU. I was 13 informed that the room in question was a sterile room, 14 ie there was no internet connection within the room and 15 we were not allowed to bring any electronic devices with 16 us into that room. Katy and I were given a standalone 17 computer each within that room, which was not connected 18 to the internet. It was explained to me that this was 19 in order to preserve continuity and integrity of the 20 information evidence as it was a live investigation at 21 the time. This was not out of the ordinary for me as 22 having worked as an investigator in CID I attended High 23 Tech Crime Unit (HTCU) of Thames Valley Police on 24 numerous occasions for numerous investigations carried 25 out by me and a similar protocol was always followed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>
<p>1 you. 2 Evidence of DC SNEZHANNA LENNON (read) 3 MR WASTELL: Sir, DC Lennon says this: 4 "I am Detective Constable Snezhanna Lennon of 5 Wiltshire Police, currently based at Swindon CID. 6 "I make this statement in connection with a task 7 I was given in December 2012. At that time I was 8 a Police Constable in CID with Thames Valley Police 9 (TVP). I was contacted by DC Nigel Jones from the 10 South-East Counter Terrorism Unit (SECTU), who informed 11 me he was assisting Surrey/Sussex police with enquiries 12 for the investigation of the circumstances of death of 13 Alexander Perepilichnyy. I hadn't heard of this name 14 prior to DC Jones's contact. He contacted me because 15 Russian is my native language. 16 "I was invited to SECTU in December 2012 (I do not 17 recall the exact date) where I was met by DC Jones. 18 I also met Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell (Katy) there on 19 the same day, who was a civilian employee of Thames 20 Valley Police at that time. I had not met her prior to 21 that. 22 "Both Katy and I were briefed about the task that 23 was set before us. I cannot recall who exactly briefed 24 us but it was a SECTU employee. I was asked to sign 25 a confidentiality agreement, which I did. This was to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>	<p>1 "The information which we were tasked with reviewing 2 had already been loaded on to the computer or it was 3 coming from attached drives. I cannot specifically 4 remember how it was being fed in. For me to access the 5 files I had to click on the files which then opened 6 them. I do not recall how many files I examined but 7 each file had a list of sub folders. The computer 8 examination strategy was clear to me at that time and 9 I understood what I had to search for. However, as it 10 was almost five years ago. I do not recall now the 11 exact words I had to search for. I can confirm that I 12 followed the strategy as requested, I remember that the 13 material I had to review was in the form of Excel 14 spreadsheets, Word documents and PDF files. I do not 15 recall what information exactly was there but I clearly 16 remember none of the information reviewed by me appeared 17 in any way sinister. It all appeared entirely normal 18 for me business to have. None of them were relevant in 19 terms of the computer examination strategy with which 20 I had been provided with at that time. 21 "On Monday, 28 August 2017, solicitor Gilly Jones 22 provided me with a copy of officer's report from 23 PC Snezhanna Lennon to DC Jones, which I produce as 24 exhibit SL/1. I can confirm that it looks like the 25 report I submitted to DC Jones at that time. However,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

<p>1 I cannot confirm this is the exact copy of the report 2 provided by me at the time. I did not retain a copy of 3 my report and therefore have nothing to compare it with. 4 I was requested at that time not to retain any copy of 5 the documents I was dealing with in connection with the 6 investigation in question. I was instructed not to make 7 pocket notebook entries or any copies of any records or 8 notes. Any notes I made I handed over to DC Jones or 9 an officer who was assisting us at that time. Again, 10 this was not unusual for me as having worked on incident 11 rooms (run by major crime investigations) I have been 12 requested to hand over any notes as soon as I completed 13 allocated tasks for disclosure purposes. This is no 14 ensure that nothing has been missed out. 15 "At the same time I was also provided by solicitor 16 Gilly Jones a copy of a computer examination strategy 17 version 2, which I produce as exhibit SL/2. I can 18 confirm that it looks like the strategy that I was 19 provided with at that time. However I cannot confirm 20 this is the exact copy of the strategy given to me at 21 the time. This is for the same reason as with exhibit 22 SL/1. I did not keep a copy of the strategy given to me 23 at that time and have nothing to compare it with. Also, 24 due to the time lapse, I do not remember the exact 25 content of the strategy given to me at that time.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 "Information predominantly relating to dairy milk 2 industry, various dairy products and tinned fruit and 3 veg produced by numerous dairy companies owned by 4 Perepilichnyy. 5 "Wages paid to staff of the dairy companies. 6 "Various loans taken or to be obtained for 7 businesses. 8 "Other information related to the above businesses. 9 "In the PDF files I found a scan of certificate 10 (GCSE equivalent) ... issued by a secondary school in 11 Lviv, Ukraine. There was also found filled in 12 an application for a college in the UK in the same name 13 (this was in English). Perepilichnyy was listed in the 14 section [of the application] 'parent/guardian' and it 15 was for the academic year ... 2012." 16 Sir, now we will hear the live witness, Ms Ekaterina 17 Clarke-O'Connell. 18 MS EKATERINA CLARKE-O'CONNELL (sworn) 19 Questions from MR WASTELL 20 MR WASTELL: Can you state your name for the court? 21 <b>A. Yes, Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell.</b> 22 Q. Ms Clarke-O'Connell, remember to keep your voice up, it 23 is quite a large courtroom. In front of you there 24 should be a bundle opened at tab 4, I hope. Do you see 25 a document there "Statement of Ekaterina</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>
<p>1 "I do not remember the exact dates when I was 2 seconded for the task in question. I remember that it 3 was only three or four days in December 2012. Exhibit 4 SL/1 -- officer's report from PC Snezhanna Lennon to DC 5 Jones, show the dates I was seconded were Monday, 6 17 December 2012 until Thursday 20 December 2012. 7 I have no reason to think that these are not the correct 8 dates. They can be confirmed with Thames Valley Police 9 records of my duties on those dates. I can confirm that 10 I had no other involvement with the investigation in 11 question. I had no contact with DC Jones or Katy after 12 that, nor did I have any further contact with anybody 13 else concerning this investigation." 14 Sir, we will hear about SL/2 from the live witness 15 but I will just read SL/1, which is from PC Lennon 16 entitled "PC Lennon re-examination of spreadsheets from 17 deceased's computer": 18 "I am PC 1557 Lennon of Thames Valley Police, 19 currently based at Newbury police station, local CID. 20 I am a native speaker of Russian/Ukrainian languages. 21 During the period from Monday, 17 December 2012 until 22 Thursday, 20 December 2012, I viewed Excel spreadsheets, 23 Word documents and PDF files from a download of 24 a computer owned by Alexander Perepilichnyy. 25 The spreadsheets contained the following:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>	<p>1 Clarke-O'Connell"? 2 <b>A. Yes.</b> 3 Q. Is that the statement you made for the purposes of this 4 Inquest? 5 <b>A. I believe so, yes.</b> 6 Q. If you turn forward to page 52, you will see the page 7 numbers at the bottom right. 8 <b>A. Yes.</b> 9 Q. Is that your signature? 10 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b> 11 Q. Dated 17 August 2017? 12 <b>A. It looks correct, yes.</b> 13 Q. Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and 14 belief? 15 <b>A. I believe so, yes.</b> 16 Q. Ms Clarke-O'Connell, as you are aware, we have called 17 you to give evidence because some documents can no 18 longer be found that were taken from the computer of 19 Mr Perepilichnyy? 20 <b>A. Yes.</b> 21 Q. Can you just tell us, what is your job. 22 <b>A. I work for Thames Valley Police, I work in resource 23 management department.</b> 24 Q. You are a civilian employee, is that right? 25 <b>A. Yes, police staff, yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

<p>1 Q. With Thames Valley Police?</p> <p>2 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>3 Q. Is that the job you held at the time in 2012 that these</p> <p>4 matters relate to?</p> <p>5 <b>A. Yes, it is the same job, the same.</b></p> <p>6 Q. Yes?</p> <p>7 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>8 Q. Is it right to say that you are not a police officer?</p> <p>9 <b>A. That's correct.</b></p> <p>10 Q. You have never been a police officer?</p> <p>11 <b>A. I never been police officer.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Do you have any training in investigatory work?</p> <p>13 <b>A. I don't.</b></p> <p>14 Q. Have you ever had any experience of investigatory work?</p> <p>15 <b>A. Apart from this one and some other assistance that</b></p> <p>16 <b>I provided for SECTU, no.</b></p> <p>17 Q. In this case we know your services were purely</p> <p>18 translation --</p> <p>19 <b>A. Yes, that was the same with the other job.</b></p> <p>20 Q. The same as the other, so the only experience you have</p> <p>21 had of investigatory work is as a translator, do I have</p> <p>22 that right?</p> <p>23 <b>A. Yes, assisting in investigation for translation of the</b></p> <p>24 <b>documents, yes.</b></p> <p>25 Q. We will come to how that played out in this case in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 computer of Mr Perepilichnyy?</p> <p>2 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b></p> <p>3 Q. That is the work you were doing?</p> <p>4 <b>A. I believe that was his, because like DC Lennon said that</b></p> <p>5 <b>we don't know where they come from, this evidence, so we</b></p> <p>6 <b>just been given a room to work in and all the documents</b></p> <p>7 <b>they were already there, so we had just been given</b></p> <p>8 <b>access to those files and we have been told to work on</b></p> <p>9 <b>those files and produce a type of report back, yes.</b></p> <p>10 Q. I will just come to that in a moment --</p> <p>11 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>12 Q. -- but DC Lennon described very specific dates that she</p> <p>13 undertook this work, think between 17 and</p> <p>14 20 December 2012?</p> <p>15 <b>A. I remember it was December. I can't remember the exact</b></p> <p>16 <b>dates but I know it was towards Christmas, I believe,</b></p> <p>17 <b>like towards the end, I believe.</b></p> <p>18 Q. In your statement you say 2013. I think from wider</p> <p>19 context and from DC Lennon she says 2012. Just thinking</p> <p>20 back, does that sound right to you, that it was 2012 and</p> <p>21 2013?</p> <p>22 <b>A. To be honest I think it would be 2012, I think, yes.</b></p> <p>23 Q. Yes.</p> <p>24 <b>A. I can't say for sure.</b></p> <p>25 Q. You cannot remember?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>
<p>1 a moment but the other experience you had was the same,</p> <p>2 was it?</p> <p>3 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>4 Q. Just help the court, you are a Russian native speaker,</p> <p>5 is that right?</p> <p>6 <b>A. That's correct, yes.</b></p> <p>7 Q. Were you born in Russia?</p> <p>8 <b>A. I was born in Russia.</b></p> <p>9 Q. Obviously you live in the UK now, when did you leave</p> <p>10 Russia?</p> <p>11 <b>A. In 2002.</b></p> <p>12 Q. You came to the UK, did you?</p> <p>13 <b>A. And I came to the UK.</b></p> <p>14 Q. You have been in the UK ever since?</p> <p>15 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>16 Q. How did you become involved in this case?</p> <p>17 <b>A. I was contacted by DC Jones and he asked my manager for</b></p> <p>18 <b>permission if I can be abstracted from my work and</b></p> <p>19 <b>assist them with investigations that they were doing and</b></p> <p>20 <b>my job was to translate from English or to English from</b></p> <p>21 <b>Russian any documents that I can help them with</b></p> <p>22 <b>translation.</b></p> <p>23 Q. We have heard just now from DC Lennon --</p> <p>24 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>25 Q. -- who looked at documents reported to be taken from the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>	<p>1 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>2 Q. But if DC Lennon says it was 2012 in her report at the</p> <p>3 time, you have no reason to contradict that?</p> <p>4 <b>A. Yes, yes, I know we worked together, yes.</b></p> <p>5 Q. Is it right, as she described it, that you were given</p> <p>6 a room --</p> <p>7 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>8 Q. -- not connected to the outside world?</p> <p>9 <b>A. That's correct.</b></p> <p>10 Q. And a computer in front of you to look at some files?</p> <p>11 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b></p> <p>12 Q. She thought that it took place over four days. Does</p> <p>13 that sound about right to you?</p> <p>14 <b>A. I think it was a little bit longer.</b></p> <p>15 Q. What sort of timeframe?</p> <p>16 <b>A. Because there were some holiday periods, I remember</b></p> <p>17 <b>I needed to come back for it and that is for some reason</b></p> <p>18 <b>in my mind, maybe it was a different case, I don't know.</b></p> <p>19 <b>But I have a feeling this is the same case, it was over</b></p> <p>20 <b>Christmas and I had to do like one week or a few days in</b></p> <p>21 <b>one week and then come back another week.</b></p> <p>22 Q. For another week -- so we are talking maximum</p> <p>23 two weeks --</p> <p>24 <b>A. I would about two weeks maybe.</b></p> <p>25 Q. -- but days or two weeks?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

<p>1 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>2 Q. What sort of files were you reviewing?</p> <p>3 <b>A. There was copies of text messages, Skype messages,</b></p> <p>4 <b>photos, invoices, in different formats, so --</b></p> <p>5 Q. Word documents, were there?</p> <p>6 <b>A. Possibly, yes.</b></p> <p>7 Q. Excel spreadsheets?</p> <p>8 <b>A. I think they were about work related to the deceased,</b></p> <p>9 <b>yes.</b></p> <p>10 Q. How good is your memory of reviewing those documents</p> <p>11 some -- what is it now -- five, five and a half years</p> <p>12 ago?</p> <p>13 <b>A. Hmm, I can't say for sure, it depends on the documents.</b></p> <p>14 Q. Maybe we will find out as we go through the questioning.</p> <p>15 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>16 Q. How did you go about reviewing the material? DC Lennon</p> <p>17 describes a computer strategy?</p> <p>18 <b>A. We have been given trigger words, so anything that could</b></p> <p>19 <b>flag up, let's say threats, something unusual, money</b></p> <p>20 <b>laundering activities, there was some names for people</b></p> <p>21 <b>that we needed to look for, just anything that look</b></p> <p>22 <b>abnormal maybe.</b></p> <p>23 Q. We will come to the detail of the strategy in a moment.</p> <p>24 Before I do, were you given any briefing about the case,</p> <p>25 or any context to it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 Q. Yes, do you think you were aware of the media around the</p> <p>2 case?</p> <p>3 <b>A. Yes, because it was on news.</b></p> <p>4 Q. Yes, during the review and subsequently?</p> <p>5 <b>A. Possibly, yes. Yeah.</b></p> <p>6 Q. Were you aware of anything about a Russian fraud</p> <p>7 involving Hermitage Capital companies alleged to have</p> <p>8 been stolen?</p> <p>9 <b>A. I never heard of this company before but obviously once</b></p> <p>10 <b>this happened and through the media and just the general</b></p> <p>11 <b>knowledge, I have learnt that there might have been some</b></p> <p>12 <b>connections. So I have heard of those names through</b></p> <p>13 <b>that.</b></p> <p>14 THE CORONER: Had you heard anything about them when you</p> <p>15 were actually doing your review?</p> <p>16 <b>A. Only what -- if I found something in documents, yes. So</b></p> <p>17 <b>nothing specifically, yes.</b></p> <p>18 MR WASTELL: What about the case of Sergei Magnitsky --</p> <p>19 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>20 Q. -- were you aware of that before conducting your review?</p> <p>21 <b>A. I believe I briefly known about it but I don't know any</b></p> <p>22 <b>details about it.</b></p> <p>23 <b>But I didn't know that these are two connected, at</b></p> <p>24 <b>the time, if you know what I mean. So because I believe</b></p> <p>25 <b>it happened way before, I don't know the days but, yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>
<p>1 <b>A. I can't recall for sure but possibly we have been told</b></p> <p>2 <b>that a Russian gentleman died and that is about it.</b></p> <p>3 Q. Were you told anything about the Russian gentleman's</p> <p>4 life, as you recall?</p> <p>5 <b>A. To be honest, I don't think so. I can't recall but no.</b></p> <p>6 Q. Told anything about the companies he had worked for?</p> <p>7 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>8 Q. Told anything about the circumstances in which he had</p> <p>9 been found dead, or his last movements?</p> <p>10 <b>A. All I know that I believe he left the house and possibly</b></p> <p>11 <b>was found on the street but I don't know if I know it</b></p> <p>12 <b>because I was told about it or from a general knowledge</b></p> <p>13 <b>from that newspaper type.</b></p> <p>14 Q. Do you think, and be quite careful about this as you</p> <p>15 recall, that you had read anything about the case before</p> <p>16 reviewing the documents?</p> <p>17 <b>A. Not before because I had no knowledge of it, so ...</b></p> <p>18 Q. Have you read then media articles about the case since</p> <p>19 the review or even during the review?</p> <p>20 <b>A. Yes, maybe during, because I think it was on the news,</b></p> <p>21 <b>so whatever was on news, I would only listen or maybe</b></p> <p>22 <b>read but nothing specifically to research about.</b></p> <p>23 Q. You were, nonetheless, aware of media around the case</p> <p>24 either during the review or subsequently?</p> <p>25 <b>A. Yes. Could you repeat the question?</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>	<p>1 Q. But anything you knew, it is what you had read in the</p> <p>2 papers or seen on TV?</p> <p>3 <b>A. Yes, because I don't live in Russia so -- and I know it</b></p> <p>4 <b>might have been big in Russia but I can't recall much</b></p> <p>5 <b>about it, I just heard there was something like that</b></p> <p>6 <b>happened, so --</b></p> <p>7 Q. Yes, can we just look then at the strategy that was</p> <p>8 given to you; just so we are clear about it. It is at</p> <p>9 page 58, behind tab 4.</p> <p>10 You see there the computer examination strategy</p> <p>11 version 2, dated 11 December 2012.</p> <p>12 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>13 Q. That is the strategy you say that you were given, so</p> <p>14 just looking through it, presumably questions 1 to 4</p> <p>15 didn't involve you at all, they are technical questions</p> <p>16 about the computer, is that right?</p> <p>17 <b>A. Yes, I don't recall seeing this document. So it might</b></p> <p>18 <b>have been a brief -- what we need to look for or it was</b></p> <p>19 <b>separately written trigger words, but I might have seen</b></p> <p>20 <b>it, I can't say, like I say I can't --</b></p> <p>21 Q. You say in your statement that you were provided with</p> <p>22 a computer examination strategy and this is the one that</p> <p>23 you exhibit.</p> <p>24 <b>A. Okay.</b></p> <p>25 Q. Your report refers to trigger questions from the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

<p>1 strategy.</p> <p>2 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>3 Q. It is likely that you were given or following through</p> <p>4 this strategy, isn't it?</p> <p>5 <b>A. Yes, maybe not in that way it looks.</b></p> <p>6 Q. Not in this form?</p> <p>7 <b>A. Yes, not in this form, that is what I want to say, yes.</b></p> <p>8 Q. But the content would be the same?</p> <p>9 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>10 Q. Looking at what you addressed, in number 5 you were</p> <p>11 asked to search for evidence of any threats, blackmail</p> <p>12 or intimidation towards Alexander Perepilichnyy?</p> <p>13 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>14 Q. Viewing retrievable email and web mail, chat rooms or</p> <p>15 similar?</p> <p>16 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b></p> <p>17 Q. Then, under 6, search for any Word or Excel spreadsheets</p> <p>18 to show any correspondence between Mr Perepilichnyy,</p> <p>19 Hermitage Capital Management and authorities in</p> <p>20 Switzerland which highlight any concerns for his</p> <p>21 welfare, safety or requests for help in protecting him.</p> <p>22 Do you see that?</p> <p>23 <b>A. Yes, I remember that, yes.</b></p> <p>24 Q. You remember that.</p> <p>25 7, you were asked to look at calendar entries,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 encryption or partitions?</p> <p>2 <b>A. No, because it is not technical -- my job was not</b></p> <p>3 <b>technical.</b></p> <p>4 Q. No.</p> <p>5 Then finally you were asked to carry out keyword</p> <p>6 searching on the following terms and you are given</p> <p>7 a list of terms.</p> <p>8 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>9 Q. That just means, does it, as you were looking through</p> <p>10 documents, if you see that word, put it in your report,</p> <p>11 is that right?</p> <p>12 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>13 Q. Do I have that right?</p> <p>14 <b>A. That's correct.</b></p> <p>15 Q. If you see the word or the name "Sergei Magnitsky" in</p> <p>16 any document --</p> <p>17 <b>A. Yes, I would note it, yes.</b></p> <p>18 Q. Would it go in your report?</p> <p>19 <b>A. Yes, I would say so, yes.</b></p> <p>20 Q. Just so we are clear, in terms of names in your keyword</p> <p>21 searches, there was no name there Vladen Stepanov or</p> <p>22 Valid Lurakhmaev, was there?</p> <p>23 <b>A. It would be hard to say now but if I didn't note it</b></p> <p>24 <b>I would say probably not.</b></p> <p>25 Q. And the name at the end, "Andrey Piatov", were you given</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>
<p>1 documentation, email or internet search history that</p> <p>2 intimates that his health was poor or of concern. Yes?</p> <p>3 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>4 Q. Then you were asked under 8 to search any listed bank</p> <p>5 accounts stored on the HDD, that would be hard disk</p> <p>6 drive presumably, or web searches for banks any stored</p> <p>7 credit card numbers or sort codes in any stored folder</p> <p>8 on the computer linked in with finance or similar. Yes?</p> <p>9 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>10 Q. You were being given a search and retrieve request using</p> <p>11 your translation abilities, no more, no less?</p> <p>12 <b>A. Say again?</b></p> <p>13 Q. You were given a request to look for anything which</p> <p>14 matches these categories using your translation</p> <p>15 capabilities?</p> <p>16 <b>A. That's correct.</b></p> <p>17 Q. 9 I am going to skip over.</p> <p>18 10, search for any internet history linked to</p> <p>19 poisons, toxins or any other methods of administering</p> <p>20 substance to bring about death used by assassins and</p> <p>21 ways of countering it. So any internet history about</p> <p>22 poisons or antidotes?</p> <p>23 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>24 Q. 11 looks to me like a technical question, which</p> <p>25 presumably wouldn't have been addressed to you, about</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>	<p>1 any other versions of that name to search or just do you</p> <p>2 think what is in this document?</p> <p>3 <b>A. I wouldn't recall anything like that.</b></p> <p>4 Q. Does the name "Andrei Pavlov" mean anything to you?</p> <p>5 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>6 Q. Turning then to the results of your report, under those</p> <p>7 headings, you have helpfully both in your report and in</p> <p>8 your statement set out the headings and what you found.</p> <p>9 Looking at the first, evidence of threats, blackmail</p> <p>10 or intimidation, this is paragraph 17 and onwards in</p> <p>11 your statement, you found I think initially three</p> <p>12 Russian text messages --</p> <p>13 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>14 Q. -- is that right?</p> <p>15 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>16 Q. In your paragraph 18.1, you say there a text message</p> <p>17 from a number dated 26 June. Just read out what you</p> <p>18 translated the message to say.</p> <p>19 <b>A. In English?</b></p> <p>20 Q. What did you find on 26 June in a text message?</p> <p>21 <b>A. Well, do you want it in Russian or in English?</b></p> <p>22 Q. In English, your translation.</p> <p>23 <b>A. "Alexander you will go to prison for a long time, if you</b></p> <p>24 <b>want to have a safe/free life you will need to pay</b></p> <p>25 <b>300,000 roubles ... you will need to reply with your</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

<p>1 <b>answers by 2.00 tomorrow."</b></p> <p>2 Q. If I can just have passed up to you --</p> <p>3 <b>A. I've got the Russian version.</b></p> <p>4 Q. You have got the Russian version there.</p> <p>5 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>6 Q. I appreciate you were looking on a computer?</p> <p>7 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>8 Q. We have looked at SMS messages extracted from the phone</p> <p>9 STO/2, one of Mr Perepilichnyy's phones.</p> <p>10 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>11 Q. Looking at the top Russian message there, dated 26 June,</p> <p>12 is that the message, do you think that you have</p> <p>13 translated from the computer?</p> <p>14 <b>A. Yes, it looks similar. Well, I would say it is -- it</b></p> <p>15 <b>translates exactly the same.</b></p> <p>16 Q. The figure there of £6,000, so "... pay 300,000 roubles,</p> <p>17 (£6,000)". Is that your translation of how much it is</p> <p>18 worth?</p> <p>19 <b>A. Yes, because I think it is only mentioned in roubles so</b></p> <p>20 <b>at that time I probably just calculated how much it</b></p> <p>21 <b>would roughly be in English money. I don't know why</b></p> <p>22 <b>I did that, but I guess I did.</b></p> <p>23 Q. There were two other text messages you found, were there</p> <p>24 not?</p> <p>25 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 Q. Yes.</p> <p>2 <b>A. The third message in the Russian paper, I remember</b></p> <p>3 <b>putting "Do not do silly moves", but looking at it now,</b></p> <p>4 <b>I mean you can say it in that way but you can also say,</b></p> <p>5 <b>"No need to do sudden moves". So it is both ways you</b></p> <p>6 <b>can translate it.</b></p> <p>7 Q. It could be "Do not do silly moves" or "Do not make any</p> <p>8 sudden moves"?</p> <p>9 <b>A. Yes, so you can translate it in that way as well.</b></p> <p>10 THE CORONER: "No need" did you say?</p> <p>11 <b>A. Yes, it says there, "No need to do sudden moves, we have</b></p> <p>12 <b>got everything under control".</b></p> <p>13 THE CORONER: That is another possible translation?</p> <p>14 <b>A. Yes, so it depends in the text context I say. That is</b></p> <p>15 <b>why I am saying when you look at the file it is singled</b></p> <p>16 <b>out, so it is hard to judge how it sounds. Does it make</b></p> <p>17 <b>sense?</b></p> <p>18 MR WASTELL: Just to be clear, did you have any wider</p> <p>19 context to this conversation as you looked through the</p> <p>20 computer.</p> <p>21 <b>A. No, because they were all separate files.</b></p> <p>22 Q. If they are the same messages, as it appears that they</p> <p>23 are, they have all come through on 22 June within a very</p> <p>24 short time?</p> <p>25 <b>A. Probably, yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>
<p>1 Q. "Do not do any silly moves as we have everything under</p> <p>2 control" and then, "The details were to transfer the</p> <p>3 money will follow your reply/decision".</p> <p>4 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>5 Q. You don't give a date of those messages in your</p> <p>6 statement.</p> <p>7 <b>A. I don't know why but -- I can't say.</b></p> <p>8 Q. Was that a sequence? We see in the Russian versions we</p> <p>9 have taken from the phone it appears to be a sequence of</p> <p>10 messages on the same date within 30 seconds.</p> <p>11 <b>A. Yes. The way files were presented to us, they were</b></p> <p>12 <b>random and all mixed up so that they were not in</b></p> <p>13 <b>sequence for us to view. So obviously if I open the</b></p> <p>14 <b>file, I just go by the file, what is there, so</b></p> <p>15 <b>I wouldn't look for the other sequence because DC Lennon</b></p> <p>16 <b>could have had it or PC Lennon could have had it for</b></p> <p>17 <b>example, so it doesn't mean I would have had the whole</b></p> <p>18 <b>picture of in sequence. Does that make sense?</b></p> <p>19 Q. Yes.</p> <p>20 <b>A. So I can't say why I didn't put a date.</b></p> <p>21 Q. Looking at the Russian messages we have abstracted from</p> <p>22 the mobile phone, do the second and third messages</p> <p>23 correspond to the translations you have given us?</p> <p>24 <b>A. Yes, if you look at them, they pretty much say the same</b></p> <p>25 <b>thing.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>	<p>1 THE CORONER: You have a date in your statement of 26 June,</p> <p>2 haven't you, 2011.</p> <p>3 <b>A. Yes, so the first one obviously I put a date on and</b></p> <p>4 <b>maybe because I am not an expert how to assess and</b></p> <p>5 <b>I never been trained, so maybe I was hoping when I make</b></p> <p>6 <b>a note of first date they will probably will look at the</b></p> <p>7 <b>rest of the files, whoever will investigate maybe?</b></p> <p>8 <b>I can't say.</b></p> <p>9 MR WASTELL: If I go to your report at page 54.</p> <p>10 <b>A. Which page?</b></p> <p>11 Q. The report, page 54. Your statement is obviously taken</p> <p>12 from your report that you made at the time?</p> <p>13 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>14 Q. You see next to 5, just under the line, "In relation to</p> <p>15 the strategy I can report the following ..." You report</p> <p>16 that text message of 22 June 2011, not the 26th.</p> <p>17 THE CORONER: Do you see? Does that look as though you have</p> <p>18 made a mistake in your statement, because your report</p> <p>19 says the 22nd?</p> <p>20 It is quite likely, isn't it, that is your report in</p> <p>21 which you have put the 22nd and then in your statement,</p> <p>22 which you have made on 17 August 2017, quite a few years</p> <p>23 later, does it look as if you have the date wrong?</p> <p>24 <b>A. Possibly.</b></p> <p>25 THE CORONER: It looks like that to any outside observer.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

1 **A. It could be, yes.**  
 2 THE CORONER: Yes.  
 3 **A. Because I wouldn't have remembered exact dates.**  
 4 MR WASTELL: You presumably made your report from notes you  
 5 were making at the time.  
 6 **A. Yes, I guess, so maybe it is mistyped or I really cannot**  
 7 **say.**  
 8 Q. Anyway, in terms of your strategy, this looked like  
 9 a threat to you, did it?  
 10 **A. Say again?**  
 11 Q. It looked like a potential threat to you, so you simply  
 12 put it in your report. You didn't go any further than  
 13 that?  
 14 **A. Yes, because like I said it is hard to judge, either it**  
 15 **sounds like a threat or somebody is advising not to do**  
 16 **sudden movements, it is hard to judge, you know.**  
 17 Q. Yes, your job was simply if it falls within my remit,  
 18 send it over to the people who are the investigators?  
 19 **A. Yes, that's correct, yes.**  
 20 Q. Did you look for other messages from that same number?  
 21 **A. I possibly did. I can't say, but I would probably pay**  
 22 **attention to it more if obviously I found something in**  
 23 **that context, so I would probably pay attention to**  
 24 **similar.**  
 25 Q. You would have put it in your report, presumably?

Page 25

1 **A. Yes.**  
 2 Q. As you say in paragraph 26 in your statement, "There was  
 3 nothing else on the computer which related to any of the  
 4 above that would give any other assistance or context?"  
 5 **A. Yes, so I believe that was all that I could see.**  
 6 Q. You also found at paragraph 25, you deal with this,  
 7 a text message written in English --  
 8 **A. Hmm.**  
 9 Q. -- from Mr Perepilichnyy's wife.  
 10 **A. Hmm.**  
 11 Q. In which you refer to someone -- it refers to someone  
 12 called Rishat milking him like a cow but he betrayed him  
 13 a long time ago?  
 14 **A. Yes, I recall this message. As in I remember something**  
 15 **like that, yes.**  
 16 Q. You don't have a date for that, do you?  
 17 **A. No.**  
 18 Q. We have an English message in the police disclosure,  
 19 that seems to be the same --  
 20 **A. Okay.**  
 21 Q. -- dated 28 August 2011.  
 22 **A. Okay.**  
 23 Q. You have no evidence to help us whether that is right or  
 24 wrong or what you were seeing?  
 25 **A. I didn't --**

Page 26

1 Q. Can I just pass you up a copy of that.  
 2 **A. Okay. (Handed)**  
 3 **Thank you.**  
 4 Q. Do you think the message in the middle there, I don't  
 5 need to read it out, is likely to be the same message  
 6 that you were reporting at the time? Do you see there  
 7 in the middle, it has the "Rishat milking you like  
 8 a cow, he betrayed you a long time ago"?  
 9 **A. I would say it is probably the same message, yes.**  
 10 Q. Thank you.  
 11 Did you know who Rishat might be to  
 12 Mr Perepilichnyy?  
 13 **A. No idea.**  
 14 Q. Yes, thank you.  
 15 Moving then to the next topic that you were looking  
 16 at, you didn't find anything else that came under the  
 17 threats/intimidation banner, other than what you put in  
 18 your report presumably?  
 19 **A. Even thinking now I can't recall any more information,**  
 20 **no.**  
 21 Q. No. You were then asked to look for correspondence  
 22 between Mr Perepilichnyy and Hermitage and the  
 23 authorities in Switzerland, although I think the  
 24 strategy goes further and suggests it was in relation to  
 25 concerns for his welfare, safety or requests for help in

Page 27

1 protecting him.  
 2 You found nothing directly involving him and  
 3 Hermitage, did you?  
 4 **A. Nothing to suggest, only some statements or some kind of**  
 5 **money transfers, but I don't know where they were going**  
 6 **or where they were coming from or who they had been sent**  
 7 **to.**  
 8 Q. No, well you identify three under paragraph 29 of your  
 9 statement.  
 10 The first is you describe as copies of a court case  
 11 document and you refer to a Skype folder on 18 May 2011  
 12 talking to Capital EDAD, referring to the Swiss  
 13 authorities and "Hermitage Money Laundry".  
 14 **A. Hmm. Yes.**  
 15 Q. Can you recollect now seeing that document?  
 16 **A. As you can see, I put a folder name, so, again, I was**  
 17 **hoping for investigators to pay attention to those**  
 18 **numbers, I guess, so I didn't go into details.**  
 19 Q. Do you know what the phrase "Hermitage Money Laundry"  
 20 means, it seems to be a conflation of two concepts?  
 21 **A. I might have copied it from the document. I can't say.**  
 22 Q. Can I show you, because we have --  
 23 **A. Yes.**  
 24 Q. -- in the course of the Inquest translated a series of  
 25 Skype messages. There should be a larger bundle in

Page 28

<p>1 front of you.</p> <p>2 <b>A. Okay.</b></p> <p>3 Q. Bundle 8/1 of the Skype messages.</p> <p>4 If you turn to page 151 of that bundle, and there is</p> <p>5 a small pagination at the bottom right, and there may be</p> <p>6 two copies of page 151, it is the green one that I want</p> <p>7 you to go to. Now, top of the page, we are all now</p> <p>8 familiar in court with how these documents are laid out,</p> <p>9 but this is a Skype message, 18 May 2011, so the same</p> <p>10 date that your documents referred to, from a Skype ID</p> <p>11 attributed to Mr Perepilichnyy to EDAD.</p> <p>12 I am just going to read this out and my question is</p> <p>13 whether you think this may be the message that you are</p> <p>14 referring to:</p> <p>15 "The investigation is being conducted both in</p> <p>16 Switzerland and in Russia. The investigative committee</p> <p>17 of the Russian Federation began checking the employees</p> <p>18 of the tax inspectorate at the request of the Hermitage.</p> <p>19 We must be ready that there will be an exchange of</p> <p>20 materials, in the extreme case the Swiss will send</p> <p>21 documentation to the Russians. If you pay millions it</p> <p>22 is strange if you receive these millions with one</p> <p>23 wording and pay with another, especially with another</p> <p>24 firm. This can be clarified as an attempt to launder or</p> <p>25 as an attempt to conduct financial activities."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 Q. Do you see the text at the bottom?</p> <p>2 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>3 Q. Again correspondence between EDAD and Alex?</p> <p>4 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>5 Q. Referring to, at the bottom, courts and having documents</p> <p>6 to prove this. The last line of the last text.</p> <p>7 <b>A. In yellow?</b></p> <p>8 Q. Do you see that? In yellow?</p> <p>9 <b>A. In yellow.</b></p> <p>10 Q. It should be page 150 of 625.</p> <p>11 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>12 Q. It starts, the message is, the quote is incorrect, do</p> <p>13 you see that?</p> <p>14 <b>A. Hmm, yes.</b></p> <p>15 Q. It finishes with "... falsified documents, counteracted</p> <p>16 in court, you have all the documents to prove this".</p> <p>17 Do you see that?</p> <p>18 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>19 Q. If not the message I took you to first, there appears to</p> <p>20 be in this series of messages, doesn't there, references</p> <p>21 to court documents, the Swiss --</p> <p>22 <b>A. They look something to what I have seen probably, yes,</b></p> <p>23 <b>they do.</b></p> <p>24 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>25 <b>A. Because I remember that quote about ignorance of the</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>
<p>1 The time on that is 5.28.59 UTC.</p> <p>2 That includes Switzerland, Hermitage, and</p> <p>3 a reference to launder?</p> <p>4 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>5 Q. Are you able to help us whether you think that may be</p> <p>6 the message you are referring to?</p> <p>7 <b>A. Well, it says there, "Copies of a court case and</b></p> <p>8 <b>May 2011" but nothing mentioning 2011 here, so make me</b></p> <p>9 <b>think this may be another message.</b></p> <p>10 Q. Sorry, what are you -- copies of court case documents,</p> <p>11 Skype folder?</p> <p>12 <b>A. Under 29, yes, is it the one you read previously?</b></p> <p>13 Q. So we have a number of messages from 18 May.</p> <p>14 <b>A. Skype holder 4579, whatever that is, it says 18 May, 18,</b></p> <p>15 <b>talking to Capital EDAD, which refers to Swiss</b></p> <p>16 <b>authorities, so it seems similar, the context of the</b></p> <p>17 <b>text --</b></p> <p>18 Q. Yes.</p> <p>19 <b>A. -- but I don't know where the date obviously comes from</b></p> <p>20 <b>and there is no date here, so there must have --</b></p> <p>21 Q. If you look at the previous page, page 150, and again</p> <p>22 the page -- there are two page 150s, I want you to go to</p> <p>23 the page before, it should be a green page. It should</p> <p>24 also be page 150.</p> <p>25 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>	<p>1 <b>law.</b></p> <p>2 Q. You remember the quote about?</p> <p>3 <b>A. About the ignorance of the law doesn't take</b></p> <p>4 <b>responsibility ... take off responsibility ...</b></p> <p>5 <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>6 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>7 The second document you found, copy of the unknown</p> <p>8 document and you give a number, 103-9757, this is</p> <p>9 paragraph 29(ii) of your statement. Is that a police</p> <p>10 reference you have given to the documents, do you know?</p> <p>11 <b>A. Yes, probably it was the folder number that I could</b></p> <p>12 <b>identify it as, yes.</b></p> <p>13 Q. Referring to provocative actions against Hermitage and</p> <p>14 HSBC?</p> <p>15 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>16 Q. Do you know now or can you recall who it was saying</p> <p>17 should take the provocative actions?</p> <p>18 <b>A. I really cannot recall any contents of it.</b></p> <p>19 Q. Again, you were simply passing this over to the</p> <p>20 investigatory team?</p> <p>21 <b>A. Yes, because that is why I made a number note, so they</b></p> <p>22 <b>can refer to it when they needs to.</b></p> <p>23 Q. Then lastly, again you appear to refer to a Skype</p> <p>24 message, 6 September 2011, from UKk532 to another Skype</p> <p>25 ID that we are familiar with in this court --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>



<p>1 <b>A. Hmm.</b>                  2 Q. -- about "Spoken to a lawyer, they have advised me not                  3 to mention Bill Browder for now".                  4 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  5 Q. Did you know who Newsrucom was or might be?                  6 <b>A. No, I do not know.</b>                  7 Q. The next category that you include in your statement                  8 relates to the bank accounts but if we go back to the                  9 strategy, number 7 was anything intimating that                  10 Mr Perepilichnyy's health was poor or of concern, if we                  11 just turn up your report, page 54, behind tab 4.                  12 <b>A. Hmm.</b>                  13 Q. Do you see there, below, above the last paragraph,                  14 number 7:                  15 "No documents found."                  16 <b>A. Hmm.</b>                  17 Q. Is it right then that you found nothing on the computer                  18 intimating that Mr Perepilichnyy's health was poor or of                  19 concern?                  20 <b>A. No, I didn't see anything like that.</b>                  21 Q. Looking at the topic 8, which was to search for bank                  22 accounts, banks, credit card numbers, sort codes in any                  23 stored folders.                  24 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  25 Q. You have given a list of documents there in paragraph 32</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 company named in it "Quartel" was one of your search                  2 terms, wasn't it?                  3 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  4 Q. Okay, the only one not involving one of your search                  5 terms was the fifth document that you found and this                  6 was, you record, from a bank statement from May 2011,                  7 money transaction from "precious metal", sum of half                  8 a billion dollars.                  9 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  10 Q. Do you remember seeing that document?                  11 <b>A. Yes, I remember seeing it because it is a large sum.</b>                  12 Q. It is a very large sum, isn't it?                  13 <b>A. It is.</b>                  14 Q. Did you see any context to it?                  15 <b>A. No.</b>                  16 Q. For example did you see who "precious metal" was?                  17 <b>A. Yes I think it is probably that name, I just took it out                  18 of the statement and just note it so they can refer                  19 again to it.</b>                  20 Q. Do you recall seeing Mr Perepilichnyy's name on the                  21 document?                  22 <b>A. I can't recall, no.</b>                  23 Q. Did it seem incongruous to you, out of context, to                  24 Mr Perepilichnyy's financial documents on the computer                  25 more generally?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>
<p>1 of your statement that you found.                  2 The first two documents there, were they there                  3 because they related to one of the search terms that you                  4 were looking for? Namely "Aliondo", or because they had                  5 on the documents bank account details?                  6 <b>A. Yes, I think it may be a combination. I can't remember                  7 if Aliondo was part of the search but I guess                  8 anything -- the sums were quite large, so I thought it                  9 was maybe matter to note it.</b>                  10 Q. If you go to page 59 of the bundle, which is the                  11 computer strategy, showing the key terms, search terms,                  12 do you see the right at the bottom there --                  13 <b>A. Yes, yes.</b>                  14 Q. The two companies there, Quartel and Aliondo, were two                  15 of the search terms?                  16 <b>A. Yes, it must have been, yes.</b>                  17 Q. That explains the third document there?                  18 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  19 Q. The fourth similarly refers to the same, one of the                  20 search term companies?                  21 <b>A. Hmm.</b>                  22 Q. And the sixth does as well. Do you see there?                  23 <b>A. Is it page 54, yes?</b>                  24 Q. Yes, in your report paragraph 32 of your witness                  25 statement, the sixth document that you identify, the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>	<p>1 <b>A. What? Related to him, his bank statements?</b>                  2 Q. Yes.                  3 MR BEGGS: Sir, can I rise just to say we are getting into                  4 what seems to be extraordinarily speculative evidence                  5 from a non-financial investigator giving memory evidence                  6 about fragmentary documents which she doesn't have                  7 before her. Whether the speculation is going to help                  8 you is a matter for you really.                  9 MR WASTELL: Sir, we are trying to get the best evidence.                  10 THE CORONER: Just trying to see if she can remember                  11 anything about it, but I take your point.                  12 Let's see how we get on.                  13 MR WASTELL: We are trying to get the best evidence in the                  14 circumstances where we don't have the documents, for                  15 reasons we will come to.                  16 Looking at your paragraph 33, just read paragraph 33                  17 of your statement to yourself again?                  18 <b>A. You mean the text?</b>                  19 THE CORONER: 33 in your statement? Do you have that? That                  20 is in divider 4.                  21 MR WASTELL: Then 34, if you have tab 4 your witness                  22 statement, read paragraphs 34 and 33 to yourself.                  23 <b>A. Hmm. Yes.</b>                  24 Q. You see, so when you were putting your statement                  25 together, your impression was that this may not be as</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

<p>1 large a sum as it first appears in the context of 2 Mr Perepilichnyy's wealth. 3 <b>A. Well, if I was told -- this is just my opinion -- if</b> 4 <b>I was told I am looking at someone's computer or phone</b> 5 <b>data, so I assume it belongs to him or because</b> 6 <b>I personally wouldn't have someone else's bank account</b> 7 <b>in my computer, so I assume it was his, because why</b> 8 <b>would you have a statement -- but then again it is my</b> 9 <b>opinion.</b> 10 Q. These are just assumptions and questions of opinion, we 11 are not interested in those, you simply passed it over 12 to the investigatory team? 13 <b>A. Yes.</b> 14 Q. You cannot help us with further context to it now? 15 <b>A. I remember that there was some documents like PC Lennon</b> 16 <b>said there was some business was agriculture, so maybe</b> 17 <b>some names -- well, his name was mentioned on those sort</b> 18 <b>of invoices or statements, but I can't recall for sure.</b> 19 Q. Yes. 20 I think if you look at paragraph 39 of your 21 statement, your impression you say was that he had some 22 sort of farming business, perhaps to do with milk, 23 vegetables or food products and you saw documents 24 regarding that? 25 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 Section 10 in the computer strategy was about 2 internet history of poisons toxins or antidotes. There 3 is nothing in your report or in your statement about 4 section 10. Does that mean you didn't find anything or 5 that it was not part of your search ruling? 6 <b>A. I believe it was part of my research but I can't recall</b> 7 <b>anything obvious or something that I would have paid</b> 8 <b>attention to, as in like I haven't noticed anything.</b> 9 Q. Then in relation to section 12, which is the search 10 terms, we have already seen that you have pulled up some 11 company information relating to some company search 12 terms, you also I think found reference to Mr Magnitsky, 13 didn't you on the computer? 14 <b>A. Hmm.</b> 15 Q. This is your paragraph 50 of your statement, just tell 16 the coroner what you found? 17 <b>A. Yes, I think it was an internet search about like</b> 18 <b>an article, about Mr Magnitsky.</b> 19 Q. What was the date of that? 20 <b>A. Well, according to what is written here is December.</b> 21 <b>I wouldn't know the date from my memory --</b> 22 Q. No. 23 <b>A. -- it would be --</b> 24 Q. 30 October 2012 is what you put there and in your 25 report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>
<p>1 Q. You go on to say, in paragraph 40, you took the view 2 that the financial transactions which you had reported 3 on were entirely separate from the business 4 transactions? 5 <b>A. Yes, because they were different. The farming ones were</b> 6 <b>more in Russian, obviously in Russian roubles and</b> 7 <b>written in Russian, the other documents were</b> 8 <b>predominantly in foreign languages, like I think maybe</b> 9 <b>French or English. But I don't know if it was French,</b> 10 <b>but I am just saying not in Russian.</b> 11 Q. Just so the coroner is clear, is your evidence then that 12 the six you have listed under paragraph 32 seemed 13 separate to what you understood from your review of the 14 computer to be Mr Perepilichnyy's main business, 15 relating to produce? 16 <b>A. Sorry, could you repeat that question?</b> 17 Q. Yes, just so the coroner is clear, is your evidence that 18 the six documents that you have listed under 19 paragraph 32 appeared to you to be entirely separate 20 from what appeared to be Mr Perepilichnyy's main 21 business in produce? 22 <b>A. I believe so, yes.</b> 23 Q. Thank you. 24 Section 9 of your search, I am not going to ask you 25 about.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>	<p>1 <b>A. Okay, yes.</b> 2 Q. That is what you wrote at the time, so it appeared to 3 you that he had made an internet search for 4 Sergei Magnitsky on 30 October 2012? 5 <b>A. Well I assume if that is what I wrote, it must have been</b> 6 <b>those dates.</b> 7 Q. Moving back to your overall impression, so away from the 8 search terms, you touch upon these in your statement, 9 whose computer did it appear to you to be? 10 <b>A. I don't know for sure because I think maybe it was</b> 11 <b>a family computer. I can't say for sure because just</b> 12 <b>judging by the amount of data that we have been</b> 13 <b>assessing, I can't say that it is heavily used for</b> 14 <b>business use. So I mean, yes, there was some traces of</b> 15 <b>it but at the same time there was just a for leisure</b> 16 <b>use, like just a general computer use I would say, or</b> 17 <b>nothing significant. So I can't say whose it was or ...</b> 18 <b>why documents were there, so ...</b> 19 Q. In terms of threats, we have dealt with those, but you 20 say in your statement that his computer use seemed 21 relatively normal, you didn't consider from what you had 22 seen it appeared he was in fear for his life or under 23 threat. 24 <b>A. Not that I have noticed, no.</b> 25 Q. You do say in your report so page 55, 54 and 55, page 55</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

1 of your report, if you go to page 55.  
 2 **A. Yes.**  
 3 Q. Third paragraph up from the bottom, starting, "My  
 4 impression ..."  
 5 **A. Yes.**  
 6 Q. Do you see that? The last line:  
 7 "Most retrieved documents/emails/texts are dated  
 8 2011, not many for 2012."  
 9 Do you see that?  
 10 **A. Yes.**  
 11 Q. Did you feel you were getting the complete picture of  
 12 what was going on in 2012?  
 13 **A. Sorry, could you repeat that?**  
 14 Q. Did you feel you were getting a complete picture from  
 15 the computer of what was going on in 2012?  
 16 **A. No, like I said to you it seemed like something was**  
 17 **missing and like I said earlier, the files were**  
 18 **presented to us in like chaotic order, as in like they**  
 19 **were not consistent. So it could be just a random**  
 20 **picture from a computer, like you know, like a cursor(?)**  
 21 **sign or something, so it wasn't very clear items to look**  
 22 **at sometimes, just really random items.**  
 23 Q. Okay.  
 24 **A. So --**  
 25 Q. Just two last topics about the overall impressions you

Page 41

1 found. In your paragraph 47, I just want to deal with,  
 2 of your statement, just read it to yourself again.  
 3 **A. Do you want me to read it, yes?**  
 4 Q. Just to yourself, just read it to yourself. (Pause)  
 5 **A. Hmm.**  
 6 Q. You found no direct evidence about Mr Perepilichnyy  
 7 having stolen money or being involved in money  
 8 laundering, that is right, isn't it?  
 9 **A. Well, again we are going back to the fact that I am not**  
 10 **trained to be an investigator and look for things, so my**  
 11 **job was to translate what I found. So what I found,**  
 12 **yes, I have seen large sums of money going in and out to**  
 13 **different type of accounts, but whose it belong to**  
 14 **exactly? Is it being requested by the deceased?**  
 15 **I don't know.**  
 16 **But obviously it is a natural thought, if you think**  
 17 **to see something suspicious going in and out, large sums**  
 18 **of money, as a human, as a person or maybe just me, you**  
 19 **think maybe something is not right.**  
 20 Q. But it wasn't the nature of your task to investigate  
 21 those sorts of things --  
 22 **A. That's correct, it was just my assumption because just**  
 23 **I thought that.**  
 24 Q. You were there as a translator. You passed over any  
 25 impressions that you thought might warrant a further

Page 42

1 look by an investigatory team; is that right?  
 2 **A. Yes, so my job was to flag up something which I did,**  
 3 **like, you know, mentioning some large money which I did.**  
 4 Q. You say there -- you are scrupulous to say you made no  
 5 assertions that he was doing anything lawful or  
 6 unlawful, that is your paragraph 41.  
 7 **A. Yes, I can't recall anything direct to say that I did**  
 8 **this sort of thing, do you know what I mean, so I can't**  
 9 **remember seeing something specifically suspicious from**  
 10 **Alexander Perepilichnyy as such. So ...**  
 11 Q. You have no detailed knowledge of Russian business  
 12 practices for example?  
 13 **A. No, I wouldn't know.**  
 14 Q. The use of protection or bribes?  
 15 **A. No.**  
 16 Q. Finally, in your paragraph 41, you say that you formed  
 17 the impression that something was not right --  
 18 **A. Hmm.**  
 19 Q. -- in Mr Perepilichnyy's life, but you make no  
 20 assertions beyond that?  
 21 **A. Well, again, we are going back to the picture I had that**  
 22 **obviously.**  
 23 MR BEGGS: Sir, can I rise again. There is  
 24 a contemporaneous document in December 2012 and my  
 25 learned friend is taking the witness to a document that

Page 43

1 she signed many years later, which doesn't contain some  
 2 of these opinions. She is not an expert, so opinion  
 3 evidence is prima facie inadmissible. I am not trying  
 4 to be unhelpful but I am trying to be fair to the  
 5 deceased person.  
 6 THE CORONER: Perhaps we should go to the contemporaneous  
 7 document.  
 8 MR BEGGS: Exactly, because if there is something in that,  
 9 I can see the evidential value but to run some of these  
 10 inexperienced opinions out loud when they are just that,  
 11 inexperienced, fragmentary and so forth is a little rum  
 12 really.  
 13 THE CORONER: Yes, and in a sense the witness I think is  
 14 possibly less, when they are put.  
 15 You are not always clear they are necessarily as you  
 16 remember matters now, are you -- I'm listening to your  
 17 answers.  
 18 MR BEGGS: No, that underscores my point in many ways.  
 19 THE CORONER: I agree.  
 20 MR WASTELL: Sir I was simply going to say as my next  
 21 question is not in your original report that impression?  
 22 **A. To summarise in some ways, not to offend anybody, what I**  
 23 **seen, this person was a businessman, he was interested**  
 24 **in football or some kind of sports, he was a family man,**  
 25 **there were some different bank accounts and large sums**

Page 44

1 **of money in and out. I can't say who they exactly**  
 2 **belong to or where they are going or why they are going**  
 3 **in or out. I know there was some affairs going on or**  
 4 **presumably affairs because there was some dating**  
 5 **websites, entries and so on.**  
 6 **Other than that, so that is why --**  
 7 Q. You cannot take it any further than your impression of  
 8 a civilian who was translating a series of documents?  
 9 **A. Yes, so ...**  
 10 MR WASTELL: Thank you, I have no further questions.  
 11 Questions from MR FEAR-SEGAL  
 12 MR FEAR-SEGAL: Ms Clarke-O'Connell, I am asking questions  
 13 on behalf of Mr Perepilichnyy's life insurer.  
 14 **A. Okay.**  
 15 Q. I think you understand why you are here and that is  
 16 because you are the best evidence that we can get today  
 17 as to what was actually on this computer. You  
 18 understand that, don't you?  
 19 **A. Yes.**  
 20 Q. I think we are going to hear more about it later but  
 21 essentially, the original documents you looked at have  
 22 gone missing, it is either the fault of Surrey Police or  
 23 SECTU, it is not totally clear which, and the back up  
 24 cannot now be accessed by SECTU, so all we have is you,  
 25 you understand that?

Page 45

1 **A. Okay.**  
 2 Q. You answered in questions from Mr Wastell that your role  
 3 was one of translator, I just want to explore that very  
 4 briefly with you --  
 5 **A. Yes.**  
 6 Q. -- because whilst you were no doubt performing  
 7 a translation operation, you were going beyond that,  
 8 I am going to suggest because you didn't provide Surrey  
 9 Police for example with a list of translations, you went  
 10 rather further than that because that is what you were  
 11 asked to do by the computer forensic examination  
 12 strategy.  
 13 **A. That's correct, yes.**  
 14 Q. You may not have to look at it, but do you remember you  
 15 were asked to look for example for evidence about  
 16 certain things by that forensic strategy document?  
 17 **A. Well I guess in a way, yes, because what I noted seemed**  
 18 **to be relevant at that time would be in evidence,**  
 19 **wouldn't it?**  
 20 Q. Certainly.  
 21 **A. Yes.**  
 22 Q. You said in answer to questions to Mr Wastell that in  
 23 preparing your report that we see at page 18, you made  
 24 some notes. That is an unsurprising thing to hear  
 25 because we didn't think you were writing this as you

Page 46

1 went along. Do you know what happened to those notes?  
 2 **A. They would have probably stayed in the SECTU or was put**  
 3 **in the security bin, you know, the disposal thing.**  
 4 Q. They would either have stayed with SECTU or they would  
 5 have been disposed of because --  
 6 **A. Yes, because we cannot obviously -- it is a secure**  
 7 **building, so ...**  
 8 Q. I understand, I understand.  
 9 **A. Yes.**  
 10 Q. I think when Mr Wastell asked you what your role was you  
 11 described yourself there as a civilian and as someone in  
 12 relation to resource management.  
 13 **A. Yes.**  
 14 Q. As someone who doesn't have anything to do with the  
 15 police, what is "resource management"?  
 16 **A. Are you familiar with the term "duties"?**  
 17 Q. Duties? I suppose yes, perhaps not in the same way that  
 18 you mean.  
 19 **A. We basically manage police officers and police staff**  
 20 **everyday life, as in hours leave, sicknesses, we are not**  
 21 **a HR, we don't employ, we already deal with people who**  
 22 **are employed and making sure that streets are safe.**  
 23 Q. If I described it as staffing logistics, would that  
 24 be --  
 25 **A. Yes, I would say so, yes.**

Page 47

1 Q. It is fair to say that you don't have -- I think you  
 2 have already told Mr Wastell you have had no  
 3 investigative role?  
 4 **A. No.**  
 5 Q. You did say you had worked on one other investigation,  
 6 was that prior to this or after this?  
 7 **A. I think it is after.**  
 8 Q. Yes.  
 9 So this is your first investigation?  
 10 **A. If you call it that way, yes.**  
 11 Q. It is certainly your first murder investigation perhaps?  
 12 **A. That's correct, yes, that is why in a way I do remember**  
 13 **some things because to me being not in that profession**  
 14 **it is significant experience, so, yes.**  
 15 Q. Well, we are all very grateful for that.  
 16 I think your evidence to Mr Wastell was that the  
 17 document we see at page 14, the forensic computer  
 18 examination strategy, was essentially all the  
 19 information you had prior to coming to this. Other than  
 20 that you were cold, as it were?  
 21 **A. You mean I didn't know about the case?**  
 22 Q. Yes.  
 23 **A. Yes, that's correct.**  
 24 Q. Not only did you not know about the case, did you know  
 25 for example when you were asked at point 5 to look for

Page 48

<p>1 evidence of threats, that bringing fake litigation, be 2 it civil or criminal, is said by some to be one of the 3 threat tactics used by Russian organised criminal 4 groups, did you know that? 5 <b>A. Could you elaborate on this?</b> 6 Q. At point 5, if you look at page 14. 7 <b>A. Page 14 in?</b> 8 Q. Page 14 in bundle -- I call it bundle 1, it is the same 9 bundle your witness statement is in. 10 <b>A. Sorry, where am I looking?</b> 11 Q. Point 5, above the second hole-punch. 12 <b>A. Yes.</b> 13 Q. You were asked to look for evidence of threats blackmail 14 or intimidation. 15 <b>A. Yes.</b> 16 Q. I am suggesting to you that because you are a civilian, 17 you might not have been someone who knew that bringing 18 fake litigation, be it criminal or civil, is one of the 19 means that Russian organised criminals are alleged to 20 use. You might not have known that, I am asking if you 21 did? 22 <b>A. If I see obviously text or some kind of -- yes, text</b> 23 <b>that looks suspicious, I guess I take it as a threat but</b> 24 <b>I am not trained to identify what threat is in some</b> 25 <b>ways, probably. If it is obvious, yes, I would have</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p>	<p>1 <b>A. Yes.</b> 2 Q. Can I ask you something about the technical background 3 to these keyword searches. Now, I as someone who used 4 search engines all the time know that if you put, for 5 example, Klyuev organised crime group between inverted 6 commas, the documents that come up are documents that 7 say "Klyuev organised crime group" in that order. 8 <b>A. Yes.</b> 9 Q. Is that the means by which you were searching? 10 <b>A. No, because like I said the documents were in a file, so</b> 11 <b>it could have been like a PDF or Excel -- not</b> 12 <b>probably ... I can't recall what, what you call it, what</b> 13 <b>format they were, so we literally physically opened each</b> 14 <b>single file and if in that file there was any --</b> 15 <b>anything that contains what we were looking for, I would</b> 16 <b>note. It wasn't like a search thing.</b> 17 Q. It means pick it up if you can see it? 18 <b>A. Yes.</b> 19 Q. I understand, I understand. 20 You have already told Mr Wastell about how you found 21 evidence of a threat of prison, an attempted 22 extortionate attempt for around £6,000 and I think in 23 your statement you have pointed out that there is 24 a suggestion that Mr Perepilichnyy's business was being 25 controlled by some sort of extortionist, do you remember</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p>
<p>1 <b>noted it.</b> 2 Q. Yes. 3 <b>A. If that is what you are asking.</b> 4 THE CORONER: I don't think that is quite what you were 5 being asked, but what you are saying is if there was 6 an obvious threat you would have noted it? 7 <b>A. Yes.</b> 8 <b>MR FEAR-SEGAL: I am asking you whether the bringing of</b> 9 <b>criminal proceedings would have amounted to an obvious</b> 10 <b>threat to you?</b> 11 <b>A. I am not sure I follow the question, sorry.</b> 12 Q. Can we look, please, at page 15 -- 13 <b>A. Hmm.</b> 14 Q. -- and at the search terms at point 12 by the first 15 hole-punch, do you see this? 16 <b>A. 15?</b> 17 <b>Yes.</b> 18 Q. Do you see the first one is Klyuev organised crime 19 group? 20 <b>A. Hmm.</b> 21 Q. Did you know what that was? 22 <b>A. No.</b> 23 Q. What did the word "Klyuev" mean to you? 24 <b>A. I believe it is a Russian surname.</b> 25 Q. Yes, but that is all you knew at that time?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p>	<p>1 that, "We have everything under control"? 2 <b>A. Yes, like I said earlier, it could be taken two ways, it</b> 3 <b>could be advice, it could be a threat, so it is hard to</b> 4 <b>judge because when you look at the text it is unclear</b> 5 <b>what way it goes, sort of thing.</b> 6 Q. Yes. 7 You have said in your statement, your report rather, 8 that someone called Rishat was milking Mr Perepilichnyy 9 like a cow. 10 <b>A. Yes.</b> 11 Q. You didn't figure out from reading the computer 12 documents that for example Mr Rishat Ismagilov is 13 alleged by some to be a director and shareholder of 14 a company alleged by Hermitage to be a Russian money 15 laundering vehicle, that was not something you were able 16 to divine from those documents? 17 <b>A. No.</b> 18 Q. You didn't figure out for example that he was 19 Mr Perepilichnyy's brother-in-law? 20 <b>A. No.</b> 21 Q. And I don't think you noticed that he was also mentioned 22 in the Skypes? 23 <b>A. I believe so, yes.</b> 24 Q. You believe you did realise that or you -- 25 <b>A. No, I might have seen his name but ... in text</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p>

<p>1 <b>somewhere. I can't recall a lot about him to be honest.</b></p> <p>2 Q. Yes. Do you recall seeing in the Skypes that he had</p> <p>3 been the subject of an interrogation or an interview</p> <p>4 which was perceived not to have gone well? Do you</p> <p>5 remember seeing that?</p> <p>6 <b>A. Who, Alexander Perepilichnyy?</b></p> <p>7 Q. No, Rishat.</p> <p>8 <b>A. I might have -- I know there was several court cases</b></p> <p>9 <b>mentioning all the time, well, in some that I have</b></p> <p>10 <b>noted, but I can't recall much about it, to be honest.</b></p> <p>11 <b>I didn't pay attention to the content in that respect,</b></p> <p>12 <b>so ...</b></p> <p>13 Q. Could we look at page 18 now, please, at point 6 which</p> <p>14 Mr Wastell has looked at with you already. It says</p> <p>15 there there were no direct documents found between</p> <p>16 Alexander and Hermitage?</p> <p>17 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>18 Q. It goes on to say, but there are a few items of</p> <p>19 correspondence mentioning Hermitage and then you mention</p> <p>20 the Skype folder and the correspondence with EDAD.</p> <p>21 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>22 Q. You have looked with Mr Wastell at some of those Skypes</p> <p>23 already and you have recorded that they were referring</p> <p>24 to Swiss authorities and the Hermitage money laundering.</p> <p>25 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p>	<p>1 what they show, isn't it? If you don't remember that is</p> <p>2 fine, we can look through them.</p> <p>3 <b>A. No, I remember obviously there was some kind of</b></p> <p>4 <b>documents of some sort that was mentioning this sort of</b></p> <p>5 <b>names, but I can't say that -- either way, if he is</b></p> <p>6 <b>involved or not involved, because it is hard to judge --</b></p> <p>7 THE CORONER: In a sense they speak for themselves. I am</p> <p>8 just wondering -- I have been listening as you have to</p> <p>9 all the evidence so far and I am just wondering how much</p> <p>10 I am going to be helped by the witness's opinion, to be</p> <p>11 honest. You probably have your own view about that.</p> <p>12 MR FEAR-SEGAL: I have, sir.</p> <p>13 THE CORONER: Of course, but I am just --</p> <p>14 MR FEAR-SEGAL: You have the point I am on, Mr Pollard says</p> <p>15 that Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell's report says there is</p> <p>16 no evidence of it. That is not right and I want to make</p> <p>17 that clear.</p> <p>18 THE CORONER: They probably speak for themselves don't they,</p> <p>19 in a way?</p> <p>20 MR FEAR-SEGAL: Very well, sir.</p> <p>21 Can we look, please at point 8, which is on the same</p> <p>22 page. You have very dutifully written down there the</p> <p>23 documents you have found which correspond to the search</p> <p>24 terms, the ones I am interested in here are obviously</p> <p>25 Aliondo and Quartel.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 55</p>
<p>1 Q. Having gone through them a bit with Mr Wastell, do you</p> <p>2 accept that those Skype messages are evidence that</p> <p>3 Mr Perepilichnyy was concerned with or involved with the</p> <p>4 Swiss investigation into the Hermitage fraud?</p> <p>5 MS BARTON: Sir, with respect, I don't think she is</p> <p>6 qualified to say that. She is a translator with no</p> <p>7 investigation experience or evidential knowledge.</p> <p>8 MR FEAR-SEGAL: Well Mr Pollard considered that she was</p> <p>9 qualified to do that, so that is a difficult position</p> <p>10 for Ms Barton to take because</p> <p>11 Ms Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell has been asked to</p> <p>12 determine whether there was evidence and she has</p> <p>13 provided an opinion about it in that report. I think my</p> <p>14 client is entitled to ask questions as to whether that</p> <p>15 opinion is correct. I am not countermanding any of what</p> <p>16 she says, I am saying it was correct and I want to show</p> <p>17 the evidence that underpins it.</p> <p>18 <b>A. Then I was asked to note the bullet points of, you know,</b></p> <p>19 <b>a trigger word, so if there was mentioning any of those</b></p> <p>20 <b>names, so I think that is probably what I did, I just</b></p> <p>21 <b>noted it.</b></p> <p>22 Q. You have expressed the opinion, and I am suggesting to</p> <p>23 you that it is correct, that those Skype messages are</p> <p>24 referring to the Swiss authorities and to Hermitage</p> <p>25 money laundering, you have used a shorthand but that is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 54</p>	<p>1 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>2 Q. You I don't think had any understanding of why you were</p> <p>3 looking for those particular words?</p> <p>4 <b>A. Well, in a way, just maybe it is my nature, I would just</b></p> <p>5 <b>put two and two together. Obviously it is normal,</b></p> <p>6 <b>I guess, when there is an investigation, to look for</b></p> <p>7 <b>anything and obviously if whoever is interested to find</b></p> <p>8 <b>out if this person was involved in any kind of</b></p> <p>9 <b>activities, I guess, that is why I noted it.</b></p> <p>10 Q. Were you aware at the time that Aliondo and Quartel was</p> <p>11 being alleged by Hermitage at the time of this</p> <p>12 investigation to be a money laundering --</p> <p>13 <b>A. No, those names mean nothing to me, so ...</b></p> <p>14 Q. You have noted, if we look over the page at page 19 --</p> <p>15 <b>A. Sorry, could you repeat that?</b></p> <p>16 THE CORONER: Page 19.</p> <p>17 <b>A. No, no, but what was the question.</b></p> <p>18 MR FEAR-SEGAL: I'm sorry, I said if you just look over the</p> <p>19 page at page 19, one of those documents you have</p> <p>20 identified it by number, is in French, "Ref</p> <p>21 A Perepilichnyy &amp; Quartel", do you speak French?</p> <p>22 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>23 Q. Presumably you highlighted it by a number so that in</p> <p>24 future, because it was one of your search terms --</p> <p>25 <b>A. The investigator could refer to that document, yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 56</p>

1 Q. Somebody else could have a look at it. Yes.  
 2 If we look at two paragraphs down from that, you  
 3 point out that you couldn't find any threats made in the  
 4 last year. Then you summarised his relationship with  
 5 his family, which I think you have said already but do  
 6 you see about halfway through the page, you say,  
 7 "Perhaps his bank statements need to be looked at to  
 8 identify money related frauds"?

9 **A. Yes, so basically I am pointing out, like I mentioned  
 10 earlier, there are some transactions that I cannot judge  
 11 on because I don't know what they are about, so it is up  
 12 to investigator just to flag up, yes, there may be some  
 13 kind of activities but I can't judge on them. So it is  
 14 up to the police to investigate.**

15 Q. Yes, I think you have probably been asked exhaustively  
 16 about this already and if you feel you have then just  
 17 say so but are you able to tell us anything else about  
 18 that half a billion dollar document?

19 **A. I know there was some bank statements and transactions  
 20 that were in large sums of money. Like I said, I don't  
 21 know if he was involved or not, it is very difficult to  
 22 judge. I can't recall.**

23 Q. Were there any others of similar sorts of sums?  
 24 I appreciate that is a very --

25 **A. Yes, I would say, I mean this is not the only maybe**

Page 57

1 **large sum which is 500 -- was it million dollars?**

2 Q. Yes, half a billion?

3 **A. Some other maybe less significant but there were some  
 4 large sums of money.**

5 Q. Yes, and you have given us the date there of  
 6 26 April 2011?

7 **A. Yes.**

8 Q. Because, as you have already said, all you had was the  
 9 forensic computer examination strategy, I don't think  
 10 you knew that that was almost the same date that  
 11 Mr Perepilichnyy's Swiss accounts had been frozen, did  
 12 you know that?

13 **A. I wouldn't know about it.**

14 Q. Yes. The learned coroner has already suggested the  
 15 Skypes speak for themselves so I will spare you going  
 16 all the way through them again. I would like to say at  
 17 this stage that there it is absolutely no criticism of  
 18 you whatever who, if I may say so, was tasked with  
 19 a very difficult obligation, not being an investigator  
 20 and as I understand it, the documents were not set out  
 21 chronologically?

22 **A. They were all over the place.**

23 Q. It is a spider diagram type thing and you are trying to  
 24 piece them together to figure out what they mean?

25 **A. Yes.**

Page 58

1 Q. If you had found in the Skypes that they showed that  
 2 Mr Perepilichnyy was trying to reach an agreement about  
 3 the evidence that he would give in Switzerland, seeking  
 4 to minimise his own alleged role in that alleged fraud,  
 5 is that something that you would have put in your  
 6 report, if you had found it?

7 **A. Yes, I would have probably, yes. Anything -- let's call  
 8 it that way juicy, I would have noted.**

9 Q. Yes, very good.

10 If, for example, you had found in those Skypes that  
 11 he was trying to negotiate his way out of legal  
 12 proceedings and the threat of detention at the border,  
 13 if you had found that, is that something you would have  
 14 put in your report?

15 **A. I think some of the -- like I say, those texts may be  
 16 were referring to 300,000, maybe they relate to  
 17 something.**

18 Q. Sorry, I didn't catch that?

19 **A. The texts which we have got here about 300,000 roubles,  
 20 I think that could be taken as a way of organising  
 21 something, but it is not up to me to decide.**

22 Q. Yes.

23 But if there were any mentions of detention at the  
 24 border, if you had found that for example, that is  
 25 something you would have put in your report?

Page 59

1 **A. I would have put in, yes.**

2 Q. If you had found, for example, that he was trying to  
 3 bribe his way out of criminal proceedings, and that  
 4 a sum of €1 million had been sought for him, that is  
 5 something which I think you would have recorded as well?

6 **A. Yes.**

7 Q. Yes.

8 There are obviously lots of different perspectives  
 9 on the Skypes, and I can show you the transcript  
 10 references if you like, are you aware that those three  
 11 matters I have just put to you are matters which  
 12 Mr Pollard agrees feature in the Skypes? You may not be  
 13 aware of that, you may not have been shown the  
 14 transcript of his evidence and I don't think you were  
 15 here when he was here.

16 **A. I am not sure I understand your question.**

17 THE CORONER: Does it matter?

18 MR FEAR-SEGAL: Perhaps it is not really a question.

19 THE CORONER: It is not really, is it? I am not sure it  
 20 really matters much does it whether she agrees or  
 21 disagrees?

22 MR FEAR-SEGAL: When it comes to my questioning of  
 23 Mr Pollard I think it will matter, sir. If you would  
 24 like to make a note of those three matters because I put  
 25 to the witness they appear in the transcript, it is on

Page 60

<p>1 Day 6, pages 131, 133 and 136.                  2 Thank you very much Ms Clarke-O'Connell. Nothing                  3 further from me, sir.                  4 Questions from MS HILL                  5 MS HILL: I ask questions on behalf of Hermitage as you                  6 know. I just have a few questions of you and I will do                  7 the best I can not to repeat what you have already been                  8 asked.                  9 We have heard evidence about how you and your                  10 colleague, DC Lennon, computer. Were you aware of                  11 an officer, Mr Sazonov, looking at the telephone?                  12 <b>A. No.</b>                  13 Q. And that was a fellow Russian speaker who was analysing                  14 the telephone material?                  15 <b>A. He wasn't with us at that time; it was just me and her.</b>                  16 Q. I see. Could I ask you to be shown please report R4H,                  17 which I think we have copies of. It comes from our                  18 original bundles 644, our original bundle 621, we have                  19 some copies of it which is Mr Sazonov's report on the                  20 phone.                  21 (Handed)                  22 THE CORONER: Thank you very much.                  23 MS HILL: Ms O'Connell, just to help with this, you don't                  24 need to read the whole of the report, it may be I can be                  25 corrected if I am wrong, the Skype messages were</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p>	<p>1 page 48, please, of your witness statement, that is in                  2 bundle 1 in the witness box, I think.                  3 <b>A. Hmm.</b>                  4 Q. At the top of page 48 is your translation of that                  5 roubles message?                  6 <b>A. Hmm.</b>                  7 Q. If you just compare it, you can see there are some                  8 differences between how Mr Sazonov translates it,                  9 because he has -- you both have, "Alexander, you can                  10 seriously sit, get done, go to jail", I think you have,                  11 "Go to prison", "for a long time" and then he adds in                  12 this:                  13 "Lose everything that you have."                  14 Which I don't think you quite translate, "If you                  15 want to remain free and live quietly [you have something                  16 similar, 'A safe and free life'] you will have to pay                  17 300,000 roubles".                  18 Then Mr Sazonov seems to have this detail, "to make                  19 a decision and preparation of money, you have exactly                  20 24 hours until 2.00 pm the following day".                  21 It does seem as if his translation is slightly more                  22 detailed than yours.                  23 <b>A. Yes, Probably more detailed. Mine probably in a shorter</b>                  24 <b>version.</b>                  25 Q. Is that partly because of the way in which Russian is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p>
<p>1 available it seems now from the evidence on the material                  2 you looked at on the computer, but also it seems on the                  3 telephone as well?                  4 <b>A. Yes, there was a computer and phone.</b>                  5 Q. I see.                  6 <b>A. But I don't know obviously from how many phones he had</b>                  7 <b>or what -- you know, so it was just downloaded and files</b>                  8 <b>were there, we have been asked to go through each file,</b>                  9 <b>which we did. And so I wouldn't know where they come</b>                  10 <b>from exactly.</b>                  11 Q. Just to help us understand, I think it is right,                  12 isn't it, that when you looked at the download, that                  13 included text messages and Skype messages?                  14 <b>A. Yes, yes.</b>                  15 Q. If you look at page 644 of this report, in the box at                  16 the page of 644 that goes over to 645, what we seem to                  17 find there is Mr Sazonov having found the roubles                  18 message from the telephone, do you see that?                  19 <b>A. Yes, but it is exactly the same that we mentioned</b>                  20 <b>earlier.</b>                  21 Q. Yes, I am just trying to understand the process, that he                  22 was also looking at a similar pool of data from the                  23 phone to what you were looking at?                  24 <b>A. Possibly, yes.</b>                  25 Q. Just while we have this document, if you look at your</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p>	<p>1 translated or is it just that he has a longer and more                  2 thorough translation of the document? He seems to have                  3 more details than you do, without criticising you,                  4 doesn't he?                  5 <b>A. Perhaps he is a better translator, I don't know.</b>                  6 Q. Did you regard what you were doing as a line-by-line                  7 translation or were you simply flagging a message that                  8 your investigative colleague should look at?                  9 <b>A. I think it is both, but the message I believe, if you</b>                  10 <b>look at it is the same meaning as his, maybe he added</b>                  11 <b>like extra 24 hours, but I didn't, but the purpose and</b>                  12 <b>the meaning is the same.</b>                  13 Q. But your broad role was not an official translation role                  14 was it, it was to indicate to Mr Pollard's team that                  15 they should look at this material more closely?                  16 <b>A. Yes, so that is what I was doing, I was noting all the</b>                  17 <b>documents for obviously further investigation by</b>                  18 <b>obviously qualified investigators.</b>                  19 Q. Thank you.                  20 Can I ask you to look at the examination strategy                  21 that you were given, please, which if you go forward                  22 into your witness statement at page 59, you will find                  23 it. If you look, please at the list of names on                  24 page 59.                  25 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 64</p>



<p>1 Q. You have already been asked questions about Mr Piatov 2 and I think your evidence was that the name 3 Andrei Pavlov didn't mean anything to you and you were 4 asked I think already about Valid Lurakhmaev and you 5 said that didn't mean anything to you either?</p> <p>6 <b>A. Which one is it.</b></p> <p>7 Q. The name is Valid Lurakhmaev.</p> <p>8 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>9 Q. I am just going to give you some other names, Dmitry 10 Klyuev?</p> <p>11 <b>A. The gentleman mentioned that, I don't know who that is.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Vladen Stepanov?</p> <p>13 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>14 Q. Olga Stepanova?</p> <p>15 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>16 Q. Akhmad Khamidov?</p> <p>17 <b>A. No, I do know who that is --</b></p> <p>18 Q. Milena Gordeeva?</p> <p>19 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>20 Q. And Elmira Medynska?</p> <p>21 <b>A. No, I can't recall any like that.</b></p> <p>22 Q. Sir, for your note, if it helps, that is the group of 23 individuals for whom recently Eurostar travel data was 24 obtained by the solicitor, so that is the group it was 25 felt appropriate to search for.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p>	<p>1 <b>two weeks of before the death, so I can't recall now,</b> 2 <b>but what my point is that I would have looked at</b> 3 <b>everything that was given to me regardless in a way of</b> 4 <b>timeframe.</b></p> <p>5 MS HILL: I understand, thank you.</p> <p>6 Sir, I do have a few more questions for the witness. 7 I think it has been suggested there is a need for 8 a break not much more but about 10 minutes.</p> <p>9 THE CORONER: We are just going to have a break now for the 10 stenographers.</p> <p>11 <b>A. All right.</b></p> <p>12 <b>(11.45 am)</b></p> <p>13 <b>(A short adjournment)</b></p> <p>14 <b>(12.08 pm)</b></p> <p>15 MS HILL: Ms O'Connell, you were asked some questions about 16 the level of briefing you were given on the background 17 to Mr Perepilichnyy, and I will not go into that in 18 detail. Is it fair to assume from your evidence so far 19 that you weren't made aware of the information Hermitage 20 had provided to Surrey Police in late 2012?</p> <p>21 <b>A. I don't know anything about it, no.</b></p> <p>22 Q. You didn't know about the visit to Hermitage's offices 23 on 6 December 2012?</p> <p>24 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>25 Q. That is about two weeks before you did your work.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p>
<p>1 When you were given this strategy, were you told 2 anything about focusing on the last two weeks of 3 Mr Perepilichnyy's life?</p> <p>4 <b>A. Possibly, but again, we looked through each single</b> 5 <b>document that was given to us so we wouldn't be able to</b> 6 <b>miss anything that was given to us, so if it was within</b> 7 <b>two weeks, within three years, whatever was there, we</b> 8 <b>looked at.</b></p> <p>9 Q. What I am trying to establish is that we have heard some 10 evidence to suggest that the strategy here was to focus 11 on the last fortnight, yet you appear to have looked at 12 material that went back much further.</p> <p>13 Was there any sense that you were looking at 14 material that went back further than two weeks with 15 a slightly different approach, with a light touch 16 approach or anything like that?</p> <p>17 <b>A. I see where you are coming from, but at the same time if</b> 18 <b>there was some statements or any of those names or</b> 19 <b>trigger words were mentioned in all the documents, that</b> 20 <b>was part of the job in a way, you know what I mean. So</b> 21 <b>in order for me to assess, I still have it look at each</b> 22 <b>file, so --</b></p> <p>23 Q. I see --</p> <p>24 <b>A. You know what I mean, so probably I can't recall if</b> 25 <b>I was at that time concentrating more for the last</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p>	<p>1 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>2 Q. Or about the dossier of material they provided?</p> <p>3 <b>A. No.</b></p> <p>4 Q. When you were doing the work with your colleague 5 DC Lennon, as we now know also the officer looking at 6 the telephone, is it fair to say that each of you worked 7 on your own rather than discussing with your colleagues?</p> <p>8 <b>A. That's correct, yes.</b></p> <p>9 Q. If you found something that you thought was not 10 significant, you would just take a view on that and your 11 colleague would do the same, you didn't compare your 12 results, is that right?</p> <p>13 <b>A. I don't think we were -- definitely we were not</b> 14 <b>comparing, as in we were mentioning maybe once or twice,</b> 15 <b>like, "I have found something interesting, so I wrote it</b> 16 <b>down", but we haven't discussed, we haven't made</b> 17 <b>a decision together about something.</b></p> <p>18 Q. So essentially, if there was an item in a folder, it was 19 going to be looked at by one of you, not both of you is 20 how I have understood it.</p> <p>21 <b>A. Yes, I assume so, yes. Because I don't know after we</b> 22 <b>finished what happened to those documents as in has</b> 23 <b>anyone else looked at it. So I don't know.</b></p> <p>24 Q. I think your evidence has been that you essentially 25 divided these up between you and took one half each?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p>

<p>1 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  2 Q. If I ask you to look please at paragraph 27 of your                  3 witness statement on page 48. At the foot of page 48                  4 you said that one of the questions or difficulties was                  5 that -- you said this:                  6 "Part of the trouble in undertaking the exercises                  7 was that while there may have been some messages,                  8 I could not see the whole message chain, they were just                  9 odd disjointed messages, that was why it was very                  10 difficult to put everything into context."                  11 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  12 Q. Even when you did see you found a bit bitty?                  13 <b>A. Yes, like I said, that is why as we say we referred to</b>                  14 <b>those three messages because they were not like one</b>                  15 <b>after another, so I could have seen this today but the</b>                  16 <b>other one I could have seen tomorrow let's say. So they</b>                  17 <b>were not consistent and in order.</b>                  18 Q. Also, if there was a message chain or a series of                  19 incidents or events, your colleague might have seen one                  20 bit, you might have seen one bit?                  21 <b>A. Yes, so she could have seen something and I might have</b>                  22 <b>seen another part, yes.</b>                  23 Q. You might have both thought that was not very                  24 interesting but had you put them together you might have                  25 thought that is interesting, that is clearly possible,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p>	<p>1 the communications timeline which I think we have copies                  2 of.                  3 Sir, we looked at this a lot at the last hearings,                  4 this is the A3 document. I don't know if you have                  5 available the version that you used before. If not, we                  6 can give you a clean one.                  7 This is the composite of all of the communications                  8 from different sources that was put together.                  9 If you did have the original and you have marked it                  10 at all, it might be helpful to find it.                  11 THE CORONER: You don't have that, have you?                  12 MS HILL: We can give the witness one now.                  13 THE CORONER: Yes. (Handed)                  14 <b>A. Thank you.</b>                  15 MS HILL: I will just let those be handed out, sir.                  16 Ms O'Connell, just to help you, this is a document                  17 that has been prepared by lawyers involved in this case,                  18 in fact by those who instruct me, based on all the Skype                  19 messages, text messages and different other                  20 communications. I just thought it would help, please to                  21 orientate within the overall timeline the items that you                  22 found.                  23 If you go, please, to the third page. You will see                  24 about eight lines down where we see 22 June, and there                  25 are three text messages with "Belarus" in brackets,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p>
<p>1 isn't it, because you were working separately?                  2 <b>A. Well, we are in the same room, I guess.</b>                  3 <b>I can see where you are coming from but it is -- it</b>                  4 <b>is -- everything is possible, of course, yes.</b>                  5 Q. You had the overall impression, as you said, that this                  6 was not Mr Perepilichnyy's main computer, that was just                  7 the sense that you had from the material?                  8 <b>A. That is my assumption, so --</b>                  9 Q. Is it similar, just briefly, that your sense overall was                  10 that there was something not right but you didn't know                  11 what it was?                  12 <b>A. Only because I saw maybe the bank statements, maybe</b>                  13 <b>I referred to that side where I can see some kind of</b>                  14 <b>activities involving large amount of money, and</b>                  15 <b>I probably presumed that is not correct, you know,</b>                  16 <b>activities.</b>                  17 Q. It was just an overall impression you had?                  18 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  19 Q. Just in terms of what you did find, we have, I think, if                  20 you turn up, please, at page 48 and 49 of your witness                  21 statement, I think you also have that open. That is                  22 where we find the text messages that you describe and                  23 the Skype folder entries. I think the text messages are                  24 on page 48 and the Skype messages are on page 49. Can                  25 I just try and get you, if possible, please, to look at</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 70</p>	<p>1 I think it is the third page in. Can you find the group                  2 of entries for 22 June, all described as messages and                  3 there is a Belarus phone number?                  4 <b>A. It is the fourth page.</b>                  5 THE CORONER: You have them anyway.                  6 MS HILL: If you find the 22 June group.                  7 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  8 Q. Do you see those three messages are the roubles message                  9 details of where to transfer the money and the silly                  10 moves. That group of three are your text messages that                  11 you found.                  12 <b>A. Hmm.</b>                  13 Q. Then just go back to the first page, and you see on the                  14 first page and running into the second page, quite a lot                  15 of messages dated 18 May that seem to be to and from                  16 Mr Perepilichnyy and EDAD Moscow, do you see that?                  17 <b>A. Hmm.</b>                  18 Q. Sorry, Mosow actually, isn't it?                  19 You were taken to this group I think by Mr Wastell                  20 but there are a lot of messages on that particular day.                  21 This must be right, is it, that you looked at some of                  22 those but do you know now whether you looked at all of                  23 those or not?                  24 Maybe we can do it in this way, Ms O'Connell, that                  25 from what I understand you have been saying, the Skype</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 72</p>

<p>1 messages were in particular folders, they were not 2 necessarily in chronological order. You certainly saw 3 something, as you say at your page 49, from 18 May and 4 you have been taken to those already.</p> <p>5 <b>A. Just trying to think ...</b> 6 <b>(Pause) --</b></p> <p>7 Q. I think the final entry that you are clear that you 8 found is 6 September 2011. If you go through the 9 documents you will find that. 10 6 September, there is the message about spoken to 11 the lawyer. I think you will find that, if you look at 12 the times, it is 6 September, and it is at 10.06, sorry, 13 it is at 11.00 on 6 September, do you see that?</p> <p>14 <b>A. Sorry, what was the time?</b></p> <p>15 Q. It is 11.00 on 6 September. It says: 16 "I spoke with a lawyer, there are no questions 17 regarding Stepanov, he advised not to mention Browder, 18 at least not for now." 19 That is the other Skype message you identified?</p> <p>20 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>21 Q. You have already been taken through some of the 22 messages, but on the basis that this represents a clear 23 chronology of different sources of information, can you 24 see that the messages you identified are just a small 25 part of a much bigger picture?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p>	<p>1 Questions from MR BEGGS</p> <p>2 MR BEGGS: Just a couple of questions from me on behalf of 3 the widow. 4 I think you think you spent about up to two weeks 5 looking at this data?</p> <p>6 <b>A. Roughly, yes.</b></p> <p>7 Q. Roughly. What you say at page 55, if you can turn it 8 up, please, do you see the first main paragraph on that 9 page: 10 "After examining the evidence, I could not find 11 anything to suggest that he had any threats made against 12 him in the past year." 13 Do you see that?</p> <p>14 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>15 Q. Then just one other matter, at the end of that 16 paragraph, you had picked up that although he was 17 renting a property in the UK, you saw evidence that he 18 was planning to purchase a house for someone in the 19 region of £5 to £6 million?</p> <p>20 <b>A. Well I believe there were some emails or communication 21 to some agent or something involving a house, yes.</b></p> <p>22 Q. Yes, and you even put a price on?</p> <p>23 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>24 MR BEGGS: Yes, thank you very much. 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p>
<p>1 <b>A. Yes, yeah.</b></p> <p>2 Q. You have already explained I think that the significance 3 of some of the addresses in Skype, like Newsrucom was 4 not clear to you?</p> <p>5 <b>A. Yes, I think so, because I have not obviously noted it.</b></p> <p>6 Q. Again, the coroner can go through the Skypes and see 7 what they say but you haven't picked up the issue that 8 we have heard some evidence about where there was 9 a suggestion of a gratitude for \$1 million, you hadn't 10 picked that up had you? 11 Sorry, euros, that is right. 12 That is something that I think is not something that 13 you identified, you spoke about the roubles threat, but 14 you didn't have anything to do with a 1 million euros 15 bribe or extortion effort.</p> <p>16 <b>A. I guess if I at that time didn't see it, I guess that is 17 why maybe I didn't record it. So I can't recall 18 anything about it, maybe -- like I said, maybe PC Lennon 19 did, but I can't say now.</b></p> <p>20 Q. As far as you are concerned, you didn't? And her 21 evidence has been read and the coroner can look at 22 DC Sazonov's statement?</p> <p>23 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>24 MS HILL: Thank you, sir. 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p>	<p>1 Questions from MS BARTON</p> <p>2 MS BARTON: May I just deal with one or two points with you 3 to summarise where we are with your evidence. 4 You were not part of the investigating team on this 5 case, were you?</p> <p>6 <b>A. That's correct.</b></p> <p>7 Q. In fact you are a civilian employed by a different 8 police force to the investigating force?</p> <p>9 <b>A. Technically, yes, because although SECTU comes under 10 Thames Valley but then obviously it was a Surrey job, 11 so --</b></p> <p>12 Q. What SECTU does is it provides assistance and resources 13 in major investigations?</p> <p>14 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>15 Q. In this case your role was to translate Russian messages 16 and to flag up any messages that met the criteria set 17 out in the strategy that you had been provided with?</p> <p>18 <b>A. I believe so, yes.</b></p> <p>19 Q. Was it your expectation that once you had flagged up 20 material that met that strategy, that the information 21 you had flagged up would go back to the investigators 22 for them to consider?</p> <p>23 <b>A. That's correct, yes.</b></p> <p>24 Q. Yes. 25 So it was not for you and part of your role to make</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 76</p>

<p>1 any decisions about what you had picked up from the 2 computer? 3 <b>A. That's correct.</b> 4 Q. As far as you are aware, was the process that the entire 5 computer had been downloaded and then split into two 6 parts, one half you examined and one half your colleague 7 Ms Lennon examined? 8 <b>A. Yes.</b> 9 Q. Just so that we know what the process of passing on 10 information was from you to the investigating team in 11 Surrey. You, having carried out your inspection of the 12 computer material, compiled a report. When you compiled 13 that report, were you aware that that was the document 14 that was going to be used by the investigating team as 15 the results of your investigation? 16 <b>A. Well, in some extent, yes, because obviously I am just</b> 17 <b>flagging up and, again, it is up to someone else to</b> 18 <b>maybe look deeper and look more if they have further</b> 19 <b>questions obviously to question if they need or -- yes.</b> 20 Q. What you had flagged up as being consistent with the 21 search that you were required to do as a result of the 22 forensic strategy you understood would be going back to 23 the investigators for them to put into the wider 24 context? 25 <b>A. That's correct, yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p>	<p>1 The purpose of the questions today is not to reopen 2 all the evidence from last year, in other words I am not 3 going to ask you in detail about the nature of the 4 investigation that you conducted with a view to forming 5 any opinion about that. It is a question of clarifying 6 what evidence was available to you at the time and what 7 evidence you had that was not available to you at the 8 time, in particular to do with the family and business 9 computer. 10 Can I take you to your statements first of all and 11 then I will start asking you some questions. 12 The first one is in the bundle in front of you at 13 tab 1, please. 14 You can see at page 1, this is a statement from you. 15 On the final page you can see the date of that 16 statement, the final page being page 4. It is signed by 17 you on 29 June last year; is that correct? 18 <b>A. That's correct, sir.</b> 19 Q. Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and 20 belief? 21 <b>A. Yes, it is, sir.</b> 22 Q. Thank you. 23 Your second statement under tab 2, page 5, is signed 24 on page 12 and is dated 11 August last year, again is 25 that true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p>
<p>1 Q. Did you personally have any direct contact with the 2 senior investigating officer? 3 <b>A. I don't know who was the investigating officer.</b> 4 Q. Mr Pollard, Ian Pollard. 5 <b>A. No. No.</b> 6 Q. As far as you received any information from him, it was 7 the written forensic computer strategy, the document 8 that you have exhibited to your statement? 9 <b>A. Yes.</b> 10 MS BARTON: Thank you very much. 11 MR WASTELL: Sir, unless you have any questions? 12 THE CORONER: No, thank you very much. 13 <b>A. Okay.</b> 14 MR SKELTON: Sir, the next witness is Mr Pollard. 15 DS IAN POLLARD (sworn) 16 THE CORONER: There is a seat there if you want to sit down. 17 <b>A. Thank you, sir.</b> 18 <b>Questions from MR SKELTON</b> 19 MR SKELTON: Mr Pollard, you are returning to give evidence 20 having given evidence last year about the investigation 21 that you were the senior investigation officer for, 22 ie the investigation into Mr Perepilichnyy's death. 23 Since the Inquest was adjourned last year, you have 24 provided three further statements to clarify some 25 matters which have required further assistance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p>	<p>1 <b>A. Yes, it is, sir.</b> 2 Q. The third statement under tab 3, dated 18 September, 3 signed on page 24, by you and again, is that true to the 4 best of your knowledge and belief? 5 <b>A. Yes, it is, sir.</b> 6 Q. Briefly, if I may, taking you back to the first of your 7 statements, in that statement you explain the major 8 incident crime process and in particular focusing on how 9 exhibits are processed within the investigation or with 10 an investigation of that type? 11 <b>A. That's correct.</b> 12 Q. First of all, you explain the positions of the various 13 personnel that you have involved in the investigation 14 and they include an office manager, an indexing team 15 leader -- 16 <b>A. Yes.</b> 17 Q. -- and indexers, what do they do? 18 <b>A. So the office manager -- excuse me -- the office manager</b> 19 <b>is a detective sergeant and they receive all of the</b> 20 <b>statements, reports, documents into the incident room.</b> 21 <b>They read through those and in accordance with, in this</b> 22 <b>case, my lines of enquiry and policy, they will raise</b> 23 <b>actions from those documents.</b> 24 <b>They then hand those copies of documents that they</b> 25 <b>have marked to the indexing team leader, who is a police</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p>

<p>1 member of staff. The indexing team leader then 2 registers those documents and raises the actions from 3 the HOLMES, the Home Office Large Major Enquiry System. 4 Those actions are then passed back to the office 5 manager who will allocate them to the outside enquiry 6 team. 7 The indexer, that is a police member of staff, and 8 they will index the – be it statement, document or 9 report into HOLMES. And then, where names of 10 individuals are mentioned, they will then attach other 11 relevant documents to that person's name, so you have 12 a record of where a person is named in any document or 13 statement. And that individual in HOLMES is given what 14 they call a nominal number. 15 Then the indexer will pass those documents et cetera 16 to the analyst, who will then, subject to what they have 17 been tasked with, will provide analytical products. 18 Q. In addition to the analyst, there is an exhibits 19 officer. They obviously occupy an important role in the 20 sense that exhibits are at some point to be used 21 potentially in criminal proceedings and therefore 22 a clear record of those exhibits and their continuity is 23 of great importance. Is that correct? 24 A. That's correct, sir. So the exhibits officer will 25 receive an exhibit from the officer who has presented</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p>	<p>1 the computer that was examined. 2 Q. To give some background to that, you had taken 3 possession, I think voluntarily, of a computer from 4 Mr Perepilichnyy's house, from Mrs Perepilichnaya? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. You had given it over to another policing authority to 7 look at; is that correct? 8 A. Yes, so that was given to SECTU, to their High Tech 9 Crime Unit, because they had offered their assistance in 10 examining or downloading that computer. 11 Q. Who are SECTU? 12 A. SECTU are the South-East Counter Terrorism Unit and they 13 are all of the four forces in the south-east, so Sussex, 14 Surrey, Hampshire and Thames Valley – in fact it is 15 five, it is Kent, contribute to the South-East Counter 16 Terrorism Unit. 17 Q. You have given over the exhibit to them to do the 18 analysis, the computer analysis which is a specialist 19 form of investigation and they had given you what you 20 thought was a copy of the hard drive back? 21 A. Yes, so in all regardless of whether it is SECTU or 22 forces, but with the High Tech Crime Unit, examinations 23 of laptops are done in a certain way. So what they do 24 is they forensically image the content of a laptop, so 25 in effect they photocopy it and they work off that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p>
<p>1 that exhibit, that will be with a copy of a statement 2 and they will then register that exhibit into the 3 exhibit store. Clearly there are occasions when, if the 4 exhibit officer isn't there or out of hours, there is 5 a secure storage system within the major crime office 6 where exhibits can be left for the exhibits officer the 7 following day to then register those in the HOLMES 8 system. 9 Q. Were you content that your investigation put in place 10 the conventional processes for managing exhibits and 11 that those processes were followed? 12 A. I am satisfied that the processes were followed, that 13 the right people were assigned the right roles for this 14 investigation. 15 Q. You were asked during the course of the Inquest hearings 16 last year to see if you could locate a particular 17 exhibit, which was RTB/408, which you can see referred 18 to under paragraph 17 onwards, page 3 of your statement. 19 Could you just explain what that was? 20 A. What the exhibit? 21 Q. Yes. 22 A. As I understand it, that was a disk that was produced by 23 Mr Barrington, the late Mr Barrington who sadly has 24 passed away. 25 That disc, as I understood it, contained contents of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 82</p>	<p>1 photocopy. 2 That is what I understood SECTU had done, that they 3 had forensically imaged the laptop and then worked off 4 of that laptop – sorry, off of that image. Then the 5 contents of that image were contained on what I thought 6 were the discs that we had been provided. 7 Q. Was it your expectation that they themselves took a copy 8 which they kept for their analytical purposes and gave 9 you a copy, effectively for your exhibit record? 10 A. As I understand it, the copy was given to me after the 11 copy had been examined. 12 Q. What happened to the original laptop itself? 13 A. That was returned back to Mrs Perepilichnaya. 14 Q. Enquiries were made of you last June as to whether you 15 still had a copy that could be investigated or 16 interrogated of the hard drive. When did it become 17 apparent that that was not the case? 18 A. That was in later in June – it became apparent that 19 that, the contents of the two discs that we had did not 20 contain the forensic image of the computer. 21 Q. In your next statement, you describe the process that 22 then ensued. 23 Under the heading "Missing material" can you see in 24 your second statement on page 10. 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 84</p>

1 Q. First of all, just to clarify, you hadn't yourself  
 2 interrogated the hard drive material, you had relied on  
 3 others, as we have heard?  
 4 **A. That is right, there are obviously people with certain  
 5 skills that I commissioned to carry out enquiries on my  
 6 behalf, so I had had no reason to review the contents of  
 7 that computer.**  
 8 Q. After that original investigation had concluded and,  
 9 effectively, the investigation of Mr Perepilichnyy's  
 10 death had wound up for all intents and purposes, you  
 11 didn't have cause until the inquest to revisit that  
 12 material?  
 13 **A. That's correct, sir, yes.**  
 14 Q. You then I think contacted SECTU last year to find out,  
 15 presumably after you had realised that your image was  
 16 not workable, your exhibit was not workable, to see if  
 17 they had the original copy?  
 18 **A. That's correct.**  
 19 Q. It became apparent, did it, that that was not the case?  
 20 **A. Yes, it was apparent that the disc or the two discs that  
 21 I had received into my enquiry, which I thought the  
 22 image would be on one of them wasn't. So when I went  
 23 back to SECTU to question why that was, it appeared that  
 24 only on one of the discs there was in effect what was  
 25 described to me as a link and that that disc needed to**

Page 85

1 **be put into a server which was held at SECTU in order to  
 2 enable -- sorry, access, the forensic image of the  
 3 computer.**  
 4 **When they went to the server, unfortunately, and it  
 5 is covered in the evidence of Mr Pugh from SECTU, it  
 6 appears that that forensic image and material relating  
 7 to Operation Daphne was no longer on the SECTU servers.**  
 8 Q. You describe a series of unfortunate and unforeseeable  
 9 events at SECTU, but it appears in summary that they had  
 10 had at least one or two server failures and then some  
 11 problems accessing material which they had transferred  
 12 on to another system and the upshot effectively was that  
 13 they couldn't locate the copy?  
 14 **A. That's correct. Unfortunately they didn't back that up  
 15 on to a disc, which is what I thought I had in my  
 16 possession.**  
 17 Q. Is it right that the present position is that that  
 18 material has never been recovered or located?  
 19 **A. That's correct. In fairness, they did extensive work to  
 20 try and rebuild servers and so forth to try and locate  
 21 the material or the image but it wasn't there  
 22 unfortunately.**  
 23 Q. To summarise, the original analysis of the hard drive  
 24 had concluded with the reports that have been put before  
 25 the court, you then had cause to try and find the copy

Page 86

1 of the original hard drive on your own exhibits record  
 2 and realised you did not have a proper copy. Went back  
 3 to SECTU and SECTU, for whatever reason, could no longer  
 4 locate their copy or it had been lost or destroyed  
 5 accidentally and therefore there is no longer any copy  
 6 of that computer?  
 7 **A. Well that's correct. I mean in terms of the actual full  
 8 forensic image, I think there was some documents on one  
 9 of discs but clearly not all of the computer and clearly  
 10 not the documents that Mr Moxon Browne had asked for.**  
 11 Q. Thank you.  
 12 Just in terms of the other matters that you deal  
 13 with within your statements, within the second  
 14 statement, at page 6, you address the computer  
 15 examination strategy. You explain really the matters  
 16 that Ms O'Connell was asked about earlier, which was  
 17 about the nature of that strategy and the various  
 18 matters that you ask her to look at when examining the  
 19 computers.  
 20 **A. Yes.**  
 21 Q. Are you content, having heard her evidence, and having  
 22 had the opportunity to revisit that strategy over the  
 23 course of this Inquest, that the matters that you  
 24 included within it were appropriate?  
 25 **A. I considered them appropriate at the time. I felt that**

Page 87

1 **the strategy was in effect a plan of how to examine the  
 2 computer. It had some areas that I wanted to look at to  
 3 see if they were contained on there. And also, to my  
 4 mind, I thought there was a very clear instruction on  
 5 there to the people that examined the computer from  
 6 a technical perspective, to ensure that all material was  
 7 retained on encrypted discs. So I felt that that plan  
 8 was a suitable and appropriate plan and it would be  
 9 carried out in accordance with my instructions.**  
 10 Q. As far as the investigation itself is concerned,  
 11 although the plan is comprehensive and you are familiar  
 12 with it -- it is on page 14 if you want to refresh your  
 13 memory -- were you satisfied that the people conducting,  
 14 putting into practice that plan, were properly qualified  
 15 to do the job, bearing in mind one of them, the witness  
 16 who has given evidence this morning, was not a police  
 17 officer?  
 18 **A. I was satisfied that obviously to have people that spoke  
 19 and could read and translate Russian, to look at  
 20 documents on a computer, that the strategy I felt had  
 21 some signposts for them, for things to look at, and then  
 22 report back if they found material on there and  
 23 obviously that report would then inform any subsequent  
 24 action that I chose at the time to take.  
 25 **So I do think that the use of the two translators****

Page 88

1 **was an appropriate use and that there was sufficient**  
 2 **guidance for them to look at what I thought was**  
 3 **reasonable at the time.**  
 4 Q. Do you say that bearing in mind that really they are  
 5 looking for evidence of criminality, threats, and it  
 6 could be construed as a complicated task given the  
 7 really quite complex factual background to  
 8 Mr Perepilichnyy's life, in terms of his businesses and  
 9 his dealings in another country, do you think they were  
 10 sufficiently qualified to investigate those matters?  
 11 **A. I think so because, as I say, you have to start**  
 12 **somewhere and you have to have someone to look at that**  
 13 **computer, and if it happens to be in Russian, then we**  
 14 **will use Russian translators. I do think, as I say,**  
 15 **that there were sufficient signposts on there for them**  
 16 **to look at and of course I was also of the mind that**  
 17 **that material would be retained in the event, subject to**  
 18 **the outcome of the investigation I could have revisited**  
 19 **it.**  
 20 THE CORONER: If there was an issue about it, you thought  
 21 you had a backup copy, as it were?  
 22 **A. That's correct, sir, yes.**  
 23 MR SKELTON: May I just briefly address your final statement  
 24 under tab 3, if I may.  
 25 **A. Yes.**

Page 89

1 Q. You were examined during the last hearing, obviously in  
 2 some detail, about Skype messages which had appeared to  
 3 have gone to and from Mr Perepilichnyy prior to his  
 4 death.  
 5 After the Inquest concluded, or those hearings  
 6 concluded, further messages were obtained and  
 7 translated. I think you have taken the opportunity to  
 8 look at those messages also; is that correct?  
 9 **A. That's correct, sir.**  
 10 Q. Having reviewed the contents of those messages, which  
 11 are exhibited to your statement within tab 3, what is  
 12 your overall conclusion as to whether or not they are  
 13 significant from your perspective as a detective, to  
 14 whether or not Mr Perepilichnyy was murdered?  
 15 **A. None of those messages that I read influenced or changed**  
 16 **my original conclusions and did not provide any evidence**  
 17 **that he had been murdered.**  
 18 Q. Had you been aware of those messages at the time, as in  
 19 had they become translated for you in their entirety and  
 20 you had had the opportunity to see them, would they have  
 21 prompted a line of enquiry, either domestically or  
 22 internationally?  
 23 **A. Not in relation to the circumstances of his death, no.**  
 24 Q. Is that a caveat or are you saying there would be other  
 25 lines of enquiry?

Page 90

1 **A. No, no, no. I suppose what you could say is if I was**  
 2 **conducting a fraud investigation, which I wasn't, then**  
 3 **perhaps those messages would have been of some**  
 4 **relevance, but in terms of whether those messages**  
 5 **suggested that he had been murdered. No, they were not**  
 6 **relevant.**  
 7 Q. Are you saying in summary there is some evidence that  
 8 you could regard as suspicious about financial  
 9 activities, although no more than, but there isn't any  
 10 evidence which as far as you are concerned is probative  
 11 of how he died?  
 12 **A. No, there isn't, sir.**  
 13 MR SKELTON: Thank you, Mr Pollard.  
 14 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE  
 15 MR MOXON BROWNE: Mr Pollard, I just want to ask you about  
 16 one matter that has arisen since you last gave evidence.  
 17 It was something which we were told about by Ms Medynska  
 18 a couple of days ago.  
 19 Were you in court when she gave evidence?  
 20 **A. Yes, sir.**  
 21 Q. You heard all of it?  
 22 **A. Yes.**  
 23 Q. Yes.  
 24 She told us that on the day of Mr Perepilichnyy's  
 25 death, departed early in the morning at

Page 91

1 Charles de Gaulle airport, that on that day and in the  
 2 course of the day that they had exchanged text messages.  
 3 I think you in fact knew that, because we see on the  
 4 timeline that was prepared by one of your researchers  
 5 exactly when those exchanges took place?  
 6 **A. Yes.**  
 7 Q. So that wasn't news to you.  
 8 Your officers seized Mr Perepilichnyy's two mobile  
 9 phones immediately after his death and exhibited those  
 10 as I think STO/2 and STO/3?  
 11 **A. Correct.**  
 12 Q. They would have been put in evidence bags and so on in  
 13 the ordinary way?  
 14 **A. That's correct.**  
 15 Q. Ms Medynska told us that some days after the death,  
 16 death on the 10th, she mentioned specifically the 12th  
 17 and the following day, the 13th, that she received phone  
 18 messages from someone claiming to be from what she  
 19 described as the emergency services and she had the  
 20 impression that they were speaking from a hospital. Do  
 21 you remember that evidence?  
 22 **A. Yes, I do, sir.**  
 23 Q. She described the person who spoke to her. I had the  
 24 impression -- it is perhaps a matter for the coroner --  
 25 that it was the same person that phoned her both on the

Page 92

<p>1 12th or the 13th and she described them as having a very 2 British voice? 3 <b>A. Yes, I remember her saying that.</b> 4 Q. You remember that. 5 I am not quite -- again a matter for the coroner 6 what that means, but it may mean it was someone who for 7 whom English appeared to be their first language? 8 <b>A. Yes.</b> 9 Q. And perhaps that they were noticeably well spoken, 10 but -- 11 <b>A. Well, I just recall her saying it was a British male's</b> 12 <b>voice.</b> 13 Q. Very British, I think were her words. 14 Of course those calls took place at a time when the 15 telephone which had the information about her phone 16 number in France were in police custody. 17 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b> 18 Q. But I think it is plain that the person making those 19 calls was not one of your officers? 20 <b>A. Well I wasn't involved at that stage, so I was aware</b> 21 <b>that they had been seized by DC Burden and so they will</b> 22 <b>have been with Surrey Police at that point, so --</b> 23 Q. So, sorry? 24 <b>A. So I don't know who -- those phones, to answer your</b> 25 <b>question, were at that time with Surrey Police.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p>	<p>1 Q. You don't know? 2 <b>A. I don't know, no.</b> 3 MR MOXON BROWNE: Thank you. 4 Sir, with your leave, Mr Fear-Segal would like to 5 ask some questions in a technical area. 6 Questions from MR FEAR-SEGAL 7 MR FEAR-SEGAL: Mr Pollard, I confess I remain slightly 8 confused as to the role Ms Clarke-O'Connell was playing 9 in this piece. 10 Was she acting as a translator, someone who brought 11 messages to your attention in accordance with the 12 forensic computer examination strategy for you to 13 review, or was she someone who was looking through those 14 messages, summarising them and performing 15 an investigative function? 16 <b>A. Well, as I explained, she was a translator as was</b> 17 <b>DC Lennon, to look at the contents of the computer in</b> 18 <b>accordance with the strategy that set out certain things</b> 19 <b>to look for, to then bring to my attention in the report</b> 20 <b>that she provided.</b> 21 Q. Yes, just focusing for a moment on the question though, 22 is she performing a translation function and you then 23 review what she has translated or is she looking at the 24 raw material, performing an investigative function and 25 reporting back to you on it --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p>
<p>1 Q. Yes. 2 Of course your evidence has been very clearly that 3 you didn't know at that point, the police didn't know at 4 that point, who Mr Perepilichnyy was in the sense of 5 what his background was and were not suspicious about 6 his death, they had come to the conclusion that he had 7 died from natural causes. So it wasn't a case for 8 investigation, was it, at that point? 9 <b>A. Not at that point, no, it wasn't. No it wasn't.</b> 10 Q. We have had no witness statement from anybody indicating 11 that they did use STO/2 to get information to enable 12 those calls to be made. It would, in any event, have 13 been a pretty mean trick to play on an innocent person, 14 to ring them up and say that someone that they knew was 15 in hospital and so on. It is not something the police 16 would do, is it? 17 <b>A. No.</b> 18 Q. One would hope. 19 We have to at least think about who did make those 20 calls and I want to ask you straight. Do you know 21 whether in fact either those phones or perhaps the 22 information within the phones was given to British 23 Intelligence or MI6 within a very short time of 24 Mr Perepilichnyy's death? 25 <b>A. I don't know that, no.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 94</p>	<p>1 <b>A. It would be --</b> 2 Q. -- I suggest to you that all the evidence we have had to 3 date suggests she is performing the investigative 4 function, because the word "translator" has never been 5 used before today, that I have read anyway. 6 <b>A. Well, I think it was at the start of her report as being</b> 7 <b>commissioned as a translator to translate messages and</b> 8 <b>look at the computer. So it was to look at the computer</b> 9 <b>in accordance with the strategy and bring to my</b> 10 <b>attention matters that were contained within that</b> 11 <b>strategy. And then it would be for me to determine what</b> 12 <b>I then choose to do with that information.</b> 13 THE CORONER: She was put on to it because she was a Russian 14 speaker, she was not part of your team of detective 15 detectives for example? 16 <b>A. No, sir, that's correct.</b> 17 THE CORONER: If any of them had spoken Russian presumably 18 you would have used one of them? 19 <b>A. I would have used those, yes.</b> 20 <b>MR FEAR-SEGAL: You do not accept that she fulfilled</b> 21 <b>an investigative function; is that what you are saying?</b> 22 <b>A. There is element, if you use the word "investigate", if</b> 23 <b>you look and examine something then that is --</b> 24 THE CORONER: Then she is telling you about it -- 25 <b>A. That is exactly right.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 96</p>



<p>1 THE CORONER: -- because it was a task that you couldn't do 2 because of the language problem? 3 <b>A. That's correct, sir.</b> 4 <b>MR FEAR-SEGAL: Mr Pollard, I will move on very shortly but</b> 5 <b>the coroner has, for example, ordered translations of</b> 6 <b>the Skype messages, we have them done by his own</b> 7 <b>translator. There is no comment on the contents of that</b> 8 <b>and I am going to suggest that there is a difference</b> 9 <b>between an investigative function and a translation</b> 10 <b>function, but you perhaps don't accept that from what</b> 11 <b>I --</b> 12 <b>A. No, I don't, sir.</b> 13 Q. You say that she was asked to perform a translation 14 function and you say that is what is recorded at the 15 beginning of her report? 16 <b>A. That she is a translator, yes.</b> 17 Q. Let's look at her report at page 18 in that bundle 1. 18 Because you say the word "translation" has not just 19 been raised today, she has always been briefed as 20 translator. If we look at the first paragraph it says 21 there: 22 "Following a request by DC Nigel Jones ... on behalf 23 of Surrey/Sussex police for Russian/Ukrainian speaking 24 personnel, Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell ... civilian 25 member of staff ... and Snezhanna Lennon were seconded</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p>	<p>1 Q. Page 7, it is the tail end of paragraph 15. 2 <b>A. Yes.</b> 3 Q. "It is however important to note that I have no reason 4 to doubt the ability or integrity of Ms Clarke-O'Connell 5 or DC Lennon and thus I believe that the resulting 6 reports would have been compiled in accordance with the 7 strategy and would have drawn to my attention all 8 relevant or potentially relevant matters." 9 <b>A. That's correct, yes.</b> 10 Q. I don't think anybody could criticise you for suggesting 11 Ms Clarke-O'Connell was a woman of great integrity, but 12 I think we know, you having heard her evidence this 13 morning, that that report did not draw to your attention 14 all potentially relevant matters? 15 <b>A. Well, that is the report I had at the time. I didn't</b> 16 <b>seek to find it necessary to go behind that report.</b> 17 <b>I thought that the plan or the strategy was quite clear</b> 18 <b>in what I was asking them to look at, so for that reason</b> 19 <b>I had no cause to go back at that stage of the</b> 20 <b>investigation and subsequent.</b> 21 Q. Yes, but you know now, don't you, that that report did 22 not bring to your attention all potentially relevant 23 matters as you say in your statement. You have to 24 correct that on the basis of what you have heard this 25 morning, that is right, isn't it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p>
<p>1 to view downloaded material from a computer owned by the 2 deceased Alexander Perepilichnyy." 3 You see why I suggest that she was not expressed in 4 this report to be a translator she was performing 5 an investigative function. You accept that, I hope? 6 <b>A. Download and view material from a computer owned by</b> 7 <b>Mr Perepilichnyy because she was a Russian/Ukrainian</b> 8 <b>speaker, yes --</b> 9 Q. Yes. 10 <b>A. -- and then she works in accordance to the strategy.</b> 11 Q. The second thing I just want to bring to your attention 12 Mr Pollard is if she was acting as a translator, one 13 thing we might have expected to see is a table of the 14 things she had translated. 15 <b>A. Possibly, but she did a report that identified what she</b> 16 <b>looked at, contained in that report for my attention, so</b> 17 <b>there are a number of ways in which perhaps you could</b> 18 <b>have had a report. If I had have wanted all the</b> 19 <b>messages translated I would have asked for that but the</b> 20 <b>report was in accordance with the strategy and</b> 21 <b>Ms Clarke-O'Connell brought to my attention matters that</b> 22 <b>she felt accorded to that strategy.</b> 23 Q. Yes. I think you have told us in your statement, if we 24 look at page 7, at the tail end of paragraph 15. 25 <b>A. Sorry, which?</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p>	<p>1 <b>A. Not really, no.</b> 2 Q. Can I just remind you of what 3 Ms Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell said when I suggested to 4 her that if she had found in the Skypes evidence to 5 suggest that Mr Perepilichnyy was trying to reach 6 an agreement about the evidence that he would give in 7 Switzerland and seeking to minimise his alleged role in 8 the alleged Hermitage fraud, that is something she would 9 have put in her report. 10 <b>A. Okay.</b> 11 Q. Yes. 12 That is a potentially relevant matter, isn't it, 13 that is why you asked her to look into it? 14 <b>A. That was in accordance with the strategy, yes, to look</b> 15 <b>for things, yes.</b> 16 Q. You will remember also that she said that if she had 17 discovered that Mr Perepilichnyy was trying to negotiate 18 his way out of legal proceedings, and I particularly put 19 to her the point about detention at the border, if she 20 had discovered a message suggesting that, that is 21 something she would have included in her report? 22 <b>A. As she said today, yes --</b> 23 Q. Yes. 24 <b>A. -- that I have based on obviously on the report produced</b> 25 <b>at the time.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p>

<p>1 Q. I know, I know.</p> <p>2 I also put to her, and she accepted, that if she had</p> <p>3 discovered a message in the Skype suggesting that</p> <p>4 Mr Perepilichnyy was trying to bribe his way out of</p> <p>5 criminal proceedings and that a €1 million sum had been</p> <p>6 sought from him, that was something that she would have</p> <p>7 put in her report. You heard that as well, didn't you?</p> <p>8 <b>A. She said that, yes.</b></p> <p>9 Q. You will remember that those three matters are all</p> <p>10 things that you accept the Skypes do show?</p> <p>11 <b>A. They do show, yes.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Yes, so when I said to you before that it is not correct</p> <p>13 to say that that report drew to your attention all</p> <p>14 relevant or potentially relevant matters, you said when</p> <p>15 I first started that that was not the case. I think</p> <p>16 now, on reflection, you will accept that?</p> <p>17 <b>A. At the time, when I received that report, I understood</b></p> <p>18 <b>that that report was in accordance with my strategy and</b></p> <p>19 <b>what they were asked to look at.</b></p> <p>20 <b>Obviously today, Ms Clarke-O'Connell having been</b></p> <p>21 <b>asked some questions and shown some other material has</b></p> <p>22 <b>said that if she had have seen that, she would have</b></p> <p>23 <b>reported that. But I can only base my decisions on what</b></p> <p>24 <b>I am presented with at the time.</b></p> <p>25 Q. I will move on shortly, Mr Pollard, but just focus on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p>	<p>1 and the one third from the bottom which are Quartel</p> <p>2 Trading and Aliondo Investment Limited?</p> <p>3 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>4 Q. You asked very pertinently Ms Clarke-O'Connell to look</p> <p>5 at those two company names because you knew that they</p> <p>6 were companies which Hermitage were suggesting were</p> <p>7 involved in the \$230 million fraud. That is why you</p> <p>8 asked her to look at those matters, didn't you?</p> <p>9 <b>A. I believe at the time they were from Hermitage --</b></p> <p>10 <b>I think that that is correct, that is why they would</b></p> <p>11 <b>have been incorporated in there.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Yes.</p> <p>13 Can we look now please at page 19 which is</p> <p>14 Ms Clarke-O'Connell's report and at the second thing</p> <p>15 down which starts "doc 140041", it says there:</p> <p>16 "Doc 140041 -- in French ref A Perepilichnyy &amp;</p> <p>17 Quartel."</p> <p>18 <b>A. Hmm.</b></p> <p>19 Q. That is a document which Ms Clarke-O'Connell is bringing</p> <p>20 to your attention in accordance with your strategy,</p> <p>21 which she says she cannot understand for obvious reasons</p> <p>22 because it is in French. So what did you do about that?</p> <p>23 <b>A. Nothing about that.</b></p> <p>24 Q. Now, you and I might think that in Switzerland, where</p> <p>25 the \$230 million fraud was being investigated, French is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p>
<p>1 the question. Knowing what you do now, you accept that</p> <p>2 that report did not bring to your attention all</p> <p>3 potentially relevant matters, did it?</p> <p>4 <b>A. Knowing what I know now, yes, that's correct.</b></p> <p>5 Q. Yes.</p> <p>6 You for obvious reasons -- we have all seen the</p> <p>7 manner in which she gives evidence and obviously the</p> <p>8 very careful attention she gave to the task she had been</p> <p>9 entrusted -- would presumably have taken seriously any</p> <p>10 matters which Ms Clarke-O'Connell did bring to your</p> <p>11 attention?</p> <p>12 <b>A. Sorry, can you repeat the question, sir?</b></p> <p>13 Q. Ms Clarke-O'Connell, obviously, took the task with which</p> <p>14 she had been entrusted very carefully, very seriously,</p> <p>15 and if she brought something to your attention in that</p> <p>16 report, you would have acted upon it?</p> <p>17 <b>A. It depends what that was but yes, I mean I would have</b></p> <p>18 <b>reviewed the report along with all the other evidence</b></p> <p>19 <b>that I reviewed during the course of the investigation,</b></p> <p>20 <b>yes.</b></p> <p>21 Q. Can we just look quickly at the examination strategy and</p> <p>22 in particular at page 15 and the keyword searches you</p> <p>23 asked her to carry out.</p> <p>24 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>25 Q. Can we look, please, at the second one from the bottom</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p>	<p>1 a language often used officially, isn't it?</p> <p>2 <b>A. Yes, but of course what I did have was correspondence</b></p> <p>3 <b>from the Swiss authorities about the fraud and indeed in</b></p> <p>4 <b>their correspondence they too mentioned Quartel and the</b></p> <p>5 <b>nature of their investigation, so in terms of satisfying</b></p> <p>6 <b>myself in relation to that, there was some other</b></p> <p>7 <b>information from the Swiss authorities about that.</b></p> <p>8 Q. You are satisfied that what is written in document</p> <p>9 140041 is information which you could glean from the</p> <p>10 information you got from the Swiss authorities?</p> <p>11 <b>A. It was relating to the Swiss investigation -- well,</b></p> <p>12 <b>certainly the Swiss investigation, I was aware of that,</b></p> <p>13 <b>so -- and having made those enquiries of the Swiss</b></p> <p>14 <b>authorities, at that stage I didn't need to go beyond</b></p> <p>15 <b>that or seek to go behind what that particular document</b></p> <p>16 <b>was about.</b></p> <p>17 Q. Mr Pollard, just reflect for a moment on whether you</p> <p>18 really can give proper evidence that what is in this</p> <p>19 document, all of which we know about it is that it is</p> <p>20 ref A Perepilichnyy &amp; Quartel, that it would not have</p> <p>21 provided you with any further information that that</p> <p>22 which you had received from the Swiss proceedings. That</p> <p>23 is something which you can confidently give evidence to</p> <p>24 this coroner about, is it?</p> <p>25 <b>A. Not about in document, but in terms of whether that was</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 104</p>

1 **just a document about Quartel, so but not about that**  
 2 **specific document.**  
 3 Q. So a document in French about Quartel is something which  
 4 your own, you say translator, had brought to your  
 5 attention as a document which she had discovered in  
 6 accordance with your strategy and which she could not  
 7 understand, you did nothing more about?  
 8 **A. Not at that stage, no, or subsequent.**  
 9 Q. You say not at that stage, at what stage did you --  
 10 **A. I did say "or subsequent". No, I didn't.**  
 11 Q. Can we look, please at paragraph 19 of your statement,  
 12 which is at page 8. Do you have that, Mr Pollard?  
 13 **A. Sorry, yes.**  
 14 Q. It says that -- sorry, Mr Pollard, I think we are going  
 15 to take a quick break now to rest our stenographer.  
 16 MR SKELTON: Sir, it is 1.00.  
 17 MR FEAR-SEGAL: I'm sorry that clock is solid at 11.52,  
 18 I have been thinking it is 10 to 12.00, I do apologise.  
 19 MR SKELTON: I think it is the lunch adjournment.  
 20 THE CORONER: I just can see if we were going to finish  
 21 within a short period of time, you would probably manage  
 22 a bit.  
 23 MR SKELTON: We gather there is probably another 10 minutes  
 24 to go and then obviously Ms Hill has some questions,  
 25 which may last some time.

Page 105

1 THE CORONER: Fine, we will do that.  
 2 Mr Pollard, we are just going to take our break now,  
 3 all right, and then back again at 2.05.  
 4 All right.  
 5 (1.02 pm)  
 6 (The Luncheon Adjournment)  
 7 (2.23 pm)  
 8 MR FEAR-SEGAL: Yes, Mr Pollard, when you first came into  
 9 the box, Mr Skelton took you through some quite detailed  
 10 evidence as to what had happened to Mr Perepilichnyy's  
 11 computer that you were looking at. That evidence was  
 12 very detailed but would it be fair to summarise it like  
 13 this. The hard copy of the hard disc has gone missing,  
 14 the self-encrypting disc on which the documents were  
 15 placed, that has gone missing?  
 16 **A. The forensic image -- well, yes, there was no disc of**  
 17 **the forensic image of the computer, that's correct.**  
 18 Q. We can summarise it like this, South-East Counter  
 19 Terrorism Unit say they gave it back to Surrey Police  
 20 and Surrey Police say that SECTU have got that wrong,  
 21 that Surrey Police never got it back from them?  
 22 **A. It wasn't entered into the exhibits store, no, that's**  
 23 **correct.**  
 24 Q. That is a fair summary of where we have reached on that.  
 25 There was also a back up copy on the SECTU servers?

Page 106

1 **A. Yes.**  
 2 Q. And that has either been lost or destroyed or is  
 3 otherwise inaccessible?  
 4 **A. That's correct, yes.**  
 5 Q. The sum total of all of that means that nobody can now  
 6 look at the Aliondo statement concerning the  
 7 \$500 million transaction and they cannot look at the  
 8 French Quartel stuff that Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell  
 9 brought to your attention way back when she wrote her  
 10 report but which you didn't look at at that time. That  
 11 is right, isn't it?  
 12 **A. That's correct.**  
 13 Q. It also means that we cannot do any further keyword  
 14 searching beyond what Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell has  
 15 told us?  
 16 **A. That would appear to be the case, yes.**  
 17 Q. Yes. Can we look, please, at page 15.  
 18 You I think have probably lost count of the number  
 19 of questions you have been asked over the course of this  
 20 Inquest about one Mr Vladen Stepanov?  
 21 **A. Yes.**  
 22 Q. Yes. And also questions you have been asked about  
 23 Mr Pavlov?  
 24 **A. Yes.**  
 25 Q. The reason you have been asked so many questions about

Page 107

1 those two individuals is because, as you know, they are  
 2 central figures to the \$230 million fraud in which  
 3 Hermitage is very concerned?  
 4 **A. That is what is alleged, yes.**  
 5 Q. Yes. That is something which you were investigating  
 6 Mr Perepilichnyy potential links to, but neither of  
 7 those names appear on your keyword search list, do they?  
 8 **A. Yes, no, I see that. It is encapsulated under the**  
 9 **Klyuev organised crime group, yes I see that.**  
 10 Q. You say it is encapsulated under that. What information  
 11 did you provide to Ms Clarke-O'Connell as to the alleged  
 12 members of the alleged Klyuev organised crime group?  
 13 **A. Yes, no, there are not any of those names listed so**  
 14 **I can see that, yes.**  
 15 Q. Do you accept that that is a shortcoming in relation to  
 16 your investigation to establish whether Mr Perepilichnyy  
 17 did in fact have anything to do with the \$230 million  
 18 fraud?  
 19 **A. In not setting out the names, yes, but obviously if they**  
 20 **had have searched or found information about the Klyuev**  
 21 **or alleged Klyuev organised crime group their names may**  
 22 **have featured there but I accept that by not**  
 23 **individually setting out people's names yes, that was**  
 24 **a shortfall.**  
 25 **MR FEAR-SEGAL: Thank you.**

Page 108

<p>1 MS HILL: Thank you, sir.                  2 Questions from MS HILL                  3 MS HILL: Mr Pollard, as you know I ask questions on behalf                  4 of Hermitage. I covered a lot of ground in questioning                  5 you last summer and I don't propose to repeat any of                  6 those areas of questioning but I do have some questions                  7 for you, not least, and I hope, sir, I have made this                  8 clear -- that Hermitage remains concerned about the                  9 quality of the police investigation and wishes to                  10 reflect in light of all the evidence on whether to make                  11 a submission to you in relation to regulation 28. That                  12 of course is a preventing further deaths report and so                  13 some of my questions bear on that lessons learning                  14 issue, as well as the issues that directly bear on the                  15 cause of this man's death.                  16 MS BARTON: Sir, may I deal with this. If this is going to                  17 be an area of questioning because the lessons learned                  18 are intended to relate to the cause of death, not to the                  19 investigation into it. Therefore, in my submission,                  20 this is outwith the remit of that rule.                  21 MS HILL: Sir, perhaps I can press on with my questions and                  22 if any are deemed inappropriate Ms Barton and I can make                  23 separate submissions on what the scope of regulation 28                  24 is.                  25 Mr Pollard, you have been asked a lot of questions</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 109</p>	<p>1 fully investigated?                  2 <b>A. Based on the reports that were prepared or presented to</b>                  3 <b>me, I didn't see the need at that stage to conduct any</b>                  4 <b>further investigations at that stage in response to</b>                  5 <b>those reports, no.</b>                  6 Q. Do you accept that the structure of the strategy, which                  7 involved these officers acting effectively in a silo,                  8 each of them looking at a group of items of                  9 correspondence but not really communicating with each                  10 other, inevitably meant things might be missed?                  11 <b>A. Well, I only obviously realised that today, having heard</b>                  12 <b>from Ms Clarke-O'Connell. So I wasn't aware that</b>                  13 <b>they -- that they did that. She did say that there were</b>                  14 <b>times where they would perhaps converse or clarify</b>                  15 <b>things but it -- but I don't know exactly whether that</b>                  16 <b>affected what they looked at in its entirety.</b>                  17 Q. Would you accept, having heard her evidence today, that                  18 with no disrespect to her, she didn't have a clear                  19 understanding of the significance of a lot of the names                  20 that we have been hearing about?                  21 <b>A. I think some of that was also attributable to the manner</b>                  22 <b>in which the documents had been placed in folders,</b>                  23 <b>because I think she also described that they were not</b>                  24 <b>all in order themselves, so that would have perhaps not</b>                  25 <b>have been helpful as well.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 111</p>
<p>1 about the computer examination strategy and I won't go                  2 over those. You would accept, I think now, would you,                  3 that there were inevitable limits placed on what those                  4 officers were going to find in light of, for example,                  5 people like Mr Khamidov's name being missing from your                  6 list on your strategy?                  7 <b>A. If those names were not identified, well, in fact from</b>                  8 <b>the Klyuev organised crime group searches there was</b>                  9 <b>nothing on those, so those subsequent names were not</b>                  10 <b>identified.</b>                  11 Q. If, as a matter of common sense, there were members of                  12 an organised criminal group as individuals, and those                  13 names were not on that list, it is perhaps unlikely that                  14 emails from them would have a footer saying "Organised                  15 criminal group" for example. You need to know the                  16 individual's names, don't you?                  17 <b>A. I don't think Mr Khamidov's name was known then but I do</b>                  18 <b>take the point obviously as I have mentioned with</b>                  19 <b>Mr Fear-Segal, that obviously the names Stepanov and</b>                  20 <b>Pavlov were not on that list, which would have perhaps</b>                  21 <b>been helpful.</b>                  22 Q. Would you accept that the way in which the examination                  23 strategy was implemented meant that those officers who                  24 were looking at the Russian material flagged things up                  25 for your officers and some of these things were not</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 110</p>	<p>1 Q. But she certainly hadn't been fully briefed about all                  2 the circumstances that Hermitage had tried to place                  3 before you when they met you and your colleagues                  4 in November and December 2012?                  5 <b>A. Well I think one of the things to bear in mind is</b>                  6 <b>obviously to, as quickly as possible, try and examine</b>                  7 <b>the contents of the computer, and so it was quite a big</b>                  8 <b>task, there were two officers that were assigned to do</b>                  9 <b>that and it took them over several days. So I think the</b>                  10 <b>important thing was to try and identify as best we could</b>                  11 <b>with this strategy key words that may have been present</b>                  12 <b>that may have helped the investigation. So it was quite</b>                  13 <b>a task and one in which we wanted to know some stuff</b>                  14 <b>pretty quickly, so ...</b>                  15 Q. Do you accept that my understanding that I put to the                  16 witness this morning is correct, that essentially there                  17 are two officers who were looking at the laptop and that                  18 Mr Sazonov was also looking at the phone. Is that where                  19 you understand the Russian language input came from?                  20 <b>A. Yes, so two looking at their computer and one at the</b>                  21 <b>phone -- phones, correct.</b>                  22 Q. That some of what was on the computer was also on the                  23 phone, there were some Skypes I think on both of those                  24 devices?                  25 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 112</p>

<p>1 Q. But that allowing for those three individuals looking 2 across the devices in question, what seems to be the 3 case is that not one of them picked up on the entry that 4 we have looked at several times where it is suggested 5 there is an attempt to extort a significant amount of 6 money from Mr Perepilichnyy. That is one reading of the 7 Skypes that talk about the \$1 million price. Do you 8 remember that exchange about the gratitude? One reading 9 of that exchange is he is being put under pressure to 10 provide that large amount of money and my understanding 11 of the combined effect of the O'Connell, Lennon and the 12 Sazonov evidence is that none of them picked up on that 13 from the Skypes. Do you understand? 14 <b>A. I understand what you are saying but if you read it -- 15 my reading of that message was actually him asking how 16 much it would cost to ensure he or his company or 17 whoever would not be implicated and that figure came 18 back as €1 million. He asked and said that seemed quite 19 expensive.</b> 20 <b>So the conversation was not as described by 21 Ms Hills, it was a conversation asking how much it would 22 cost, so perhaps that is a reason --</b> 23 Q. Just the one "Hill", but it doesn't matter terribly. 24 <b>A. Pardon?</b> 25 Q. It is just the one "Hill".</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 113</p>	<p>1 Q. This is a statement from June of last year and Mr Pugh 2 seems to be saying that the current position is we 3 believe we may hold the data, we cannot currently access 4 it, we are currently in the process of doing the 5 following. The ending of it is to set out some further 6 potential options, we cannot progress to this particular 7 stage until we have completed the current initial 8 processes. 9 Is there anything that you can add by way of update, 10 are any of these things being done or is the view now 11 that this data cannot be obtained? 12 <b>A. No, my understanding is that they could not -- they 13 exhausted all avenues of trying to recover that data and 14 unfortunately it wasn't possible.</b> 15 Q. I see. 16 We have heard some evidence this morning about what 17 was found on the laptop. Do you recollect knowing that 18 one of the things that was found on the laptop was 19 an extract from one of the Dzhirsa judgments, do you 20 remember this evidence where it was said there was on 21 the laptop a copy of a court judgment? Do you remember 22 this? 23 <b>A. Not on the laptop. I thought -- which text are you -- 24 is it the DC Pollard's report, is it?</b> 25 Q. No, I think there is evidence we have that a Russian</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p>
<p>1 <b>A. Sorry.</b> 2 Q. It doesn't matter. 3 It is the case though, isn't it, that the officers 4 who were reviewing that material were effectively being 5 asked by you to flag up anything that your team should 6 look at more closely. They missed this exchange, didn't 7 they? 8 <b>A. That wasn't highlighted to me, that is correct, yes.</b> 9 Q. You have been asked some questions about the data loss, 10 and I won't go over that in any detail with you but it 11 does of course mean, doesn't it, that this coroner is 12 limited in examining the material that underlay the 13 examination of those devices? 14 <b>A. Only the laptop, because we obviously have the content 15 of the telephones, but it is unfortunate, sir, that, 16 yes, that we don't have the image of the computer.</b> 17 Q. Forgive me if this was covered with you earlier, but at 18 the end of Mr Pugh's statement, perhaps I can just ask 19 you to turn that up; if you look, please, at page 104 of 20 volume 1, there is a heading "Possible solutions and 21 ongoing work". 22 I have been told this has been dealt with but 23 I don't think it was covered. 24 Do you see the heading on 104? 25 <b>A. I do, yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 114</p>	<p>1 version of one of the Dzhirsa judgments was found on 2 Mr Perepilichnyy's laptop, do you remember that? 3 <b>A. I don't, I am afraid, no.</b> 4 Q. All right, just bear with me a second. We have a page 5 reference for it, which is bundle 5.2/329, I do not have 6 the D number for it, bear with me a second. 7 I think it is D172 and it came in a part of 8 Ms O'Connell's written evidence. 9 Perhaps I can deal with it in this way, we can hand 10 a copy of it round, if you wish. It is in the Russian 11 but you can perhaps have a look at it. 12 As I say it comes from our original bundles 5.2, 13 page 239. 14 I am not sure it is possible to tell what the date 15 of this judgment is but I am told that this is one of 16 the judgments that we looked at in the English. 17 Do you remember having any awareness of this 18 document being on the computer during the course of your 19 investigation? 20 <b>A. No, I don't, sir, no.</b> 21 Q. You I think were in touch at various points weren't you, 22 Mr Pollard, with those who liaise with the Home Office 23 on behalf of Surrey Police, do you remember this? At 24 various points you were asked for an update on the 25 investigation so that the Home Office could be updated?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 116</p>

1 **A. Yes.**  
 2 Q. At one of those points you represented to those liaising  
 3 with the Home Office, "I have not discovered any links  
 4 to Dmitry Kovtun". Do you remember that?  
 5 **A. Yes.**  
 6 Q. Is that because at no point did you make a link between  
 7 Dzhirsa and Mr Kovtun?  
 8 **A. Well probably that would be correct, yes, that would be,**  
 9 **yes.**  
 10 Q. I think you were in court earlier this week, on Tuesday,  
 11 when Mr Lipkin gave evidence, when I put to him an  
 12 article from the Telegraph newspaper, which reported at  
 13 that time, so in 2012, Mr Kovtun saying that Dzhirsa was  
 14 a company in which he was involved. It was a matter in  
 15 the public domain by that point but you didn't make  
 16 those links, is that right?  
 17 **A. It may have been -- I don't know when I gave that update**  
 18 **to the Home Office, so I may not have seen that article**  
 19 **at the point then. But obviously I was aware of**  
 20 **certainly Mr Kovtun because in the early stages of the**  
 21 **investigation there was an action raised in relation to**  
 22 **him.**  
 23 Q. If it helps you on the dates at all, the Telegraph  
 24 article is 5 December 2012. Your update to the Home  
 25 Office I think is 21 December, and that is our document

Page 117

1 D52.  
 2 Do you now understand -- even if you didn't at the  
 3 time -- that we have now seen a series of judgments, and  
 4 documentation around that series of disputes between  
 5 Dzhirsa and Mr Perepilichnyy?  
 6 **A. Now, yes, that is a lot clearer, yes.**  
 7 Q. You have said in your witness statement that having  
 8 reviewed the messages that Ms O'Connell identified, you  
 9 believe there is a certain context that can be put on  
 10 each of those text messages, don't you? You regard  
 11 them, for example, as quite distant from the date of his  
 12 death, 2011 and he died in 2012?  
 13 **A. In relation to the one isolated message that was**  
 14 **in June 2011, yes.**  
 15 Q. If I ask you to turn, please to, page 62H of bundle 1.  
 16 You deal there with these different text messages.  
 17 **A. Yes.**  
 18 Q. You do essentially look at them in isolation, because  
 19 you say don't you at your paragraph 23, they were  
 20 received almost 18 months before his death, and you try  
 21 and explain why you don't regard these as serious  
 22 evidence of threats. Is that right?  
 23 **A. Yes, that's correct, yes, as I gave my evidence about**  
 24 **this matter in June and the reason for that. And in**  
 25 **fact even subsequent, having read all of the Skype**

Page 118

1 **messages, there is no similar message and no reference**  
 2 **to this message at all.**  
 3 Q. I don't want to go over exactly the same ground,  
 4 officer, but just putting it broadly, on the last  
 5 occasion, I put to you that you were minimising the  
 6 totality of the evidence of the threats and that  
 7 statement is a statement that you have given since the  
 8 last hearing. I am suggesting to you that you are still  
 9 doing that, you are still trying to interpret any  
 10 evidence to threats in a way that suggests this is not  
 11 really a threat at all and this can be explained. That  
 12 is what I am suggesting to you.  
 13 **A. My position is the same, I am not seeking to minimise,**  
 14 **what I try to do and my interpretation of that is**  
 15 **putting context around that isolated message in**  
 16 **conjunction with, as I said at the time, and my position**  
 17 **remains the same, sir, with all of the other**  
 18 **investigation that was done, and evidence gathered**  
 19 **during the course of my Inquiry.**  
 20 **So my position remains the same.**  
 21 Q. Two other elements in relation to this, please. As at  
 22 today's date, we have made no further progress in  
 23 obtaining a second computer for Mr Perepilichnyy, have  
 24 we?  
 25 **A. No, we haven't.**

Page 119

1 Q. I can't remember the exact date but on the Skype  
 2 messages, they end, don't they, in early 2012?  
 3 **A. Well, off the phones they do but the additional Skype**  
 4 **messages that were translated in August last year,**  
 5 **I think were from the computer and actually they fill**  
 6 **the gap between May 2012 to October 2012, I think that**  
 7 **is what the issue was last time, wasn't it.**  
 8 Q. I see forgive me, yes, you are correct about that.  
 9 But as far as the totality of the data was  
 10 concerned, the other gaps that I put to you on the last  
 11 occasion still remain, don't they, so we still have only  
 12 one laptop, we have more of the Skypes but there were  
 13 certain issues around what was on the phones that was  
 14 capable of being downloaded and they haven't been  
 15 remedied either?  
 16 **A. Well, I am not sure what Ms Hill is saying with -- I get**  
 17 **the point about only one computer, I get the point about**  
 18 **when we downloaded the Skype messages from the two -- or**  
 19 **from the telephone. The gaps that were there, but**  
 20 **obviously those gaps have been filled because the**  
 21 **remainder of those were on the laptop, so I am not sure**  
 22 **what other gaps Ms Hill is referring to.**  
 23 Q. I'm sorry to put it in a clumsy way, I am trying to  
 24 short circuit things here and I am not doing it very  
 25 well. On the last occasion we had certain exchanges

Page 120

<p>1 around what was on the phones, for example how many 2 emails you could get off the phones and things like 3 that. 4 Where we are now is the gaps that have been 5 addressed to some degree are that we have more Skype 6 messages, but I think in light of where the data loss 7 has landed that is the only thing that we now have that 8 is different, is that right? 9 <b>A. That is -- well that is -- yes, that's correct, sorry.</b> 10 Q. The only development is that pool of material? 11 <b>A. Of Skype material, yes.</b> 12 Q. Yes. 13 If I could ask you some questions about some of the 14 enquiries that have taken place through this process, 15 the coronial process, which I am sure you have been kept 16 aware of. We know, don't we, that the coroner's 17 solicitor has been able to obtain for example from 18 Russia those various judgments that Mr Lipkin spoke 19 about? 20 <b>A. Yes.</b> 21 Q. Those judgments seem to be publicly available, or easily 22 available, they didn't require, as far as I understand 23 it, any particular international letter of request. Is 24 that your understanding? 25 <b>A. I think one of them was on an open site, wasn't it,</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 121</p>	<p>1 Q. We now have been able to obtain them with relative ease, 2 is that not right? 3 <b>A. I think the important thing to note, sir, is obviously</b> 4 <b>as I said before in my evidence in June, in terms of</b> 5 <b>pursuing lines of enquiry, you need to know what it is</b> 6 <b>you are pursuing in terms of making enquiries into</b> 7 <b>individuals or in other countries. So at that stage,</b> 8 <b>yes, it was brought to my attention, no, it wasn't</b> 9 <b>followed up in the early stages and neither in the</b> 10 <b>latter stages. Because at the conclusion of all of the</b> 11 <b>extensive tests which is quite important, there was no</b> 12 <b>evidence of murder or poison. So therefore pursuing</b> 13 <b>those enquiries was not relevant to my enquiry.</b> 14 Q. You may remember on the last occasion, Mr Pollard, I put 15 to you that that approach is a rather circular one that 16 you seem to be saying, "Because I have no evidence of 17 a murder I am not going to carry out these lines of 18 enquiry". I put to you that you were putting the cart 19 before the horse, but ... 20 THE CORONER: We have sort of done that a few times. 21 MS HILL: Something that is definitely new that the coroner 22 has obtained is material in further detail from the 23 French investigation. That is correct, isn't it. 24 <b>A. Yes.</b> 25 Q. One of the things that the coroner has been able to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 123</p>
<p>1 <b>internet, yes.</b> 2 Q. I thought they were all publicly available, the 3 judgments. 4 MR MOXON BROWNE: Yes, I wouldn't say they were easy to 5 access. 6 MS HILL: They were not the subject of any formal mutual 7 legal assistance or anything of that nature, I don't 8 think. 9 The existence of that judgment, in particular the 10 one that talks about Mr Perepilichnyy being absent from 11 Russia because of fears for his life, that was something 12 that Hermitage had flagged with you in December 2012, 13 isn't it? 14 <b>A. That followed a news article in one of the papers.</b> 15 Q. Yes, that is the Telegraph article I have just gone to 16 which I think is 5 December. 17 For the learned coroner's note, in volume 1, 18 page 307, is a copy of a letter that Hermitage wrote to 19 you on 18 December 2012, after that meeting at their 20 offices, where they said for example, "There is this 21 report about the series of lawsuits in Russia, these 22 involve Dzhirsa, these involve Mr Kovtun". That was 23 something that was not specifically followed up by you, 24 was it? 25 <b>A. Not at the end of my investigation, no.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 122</p>	<p>1 obtain is information about the Hotel Bristol in further 2 detail than you were able to get? 3 <b>A. Yes.</b> 4 Q. If you turn up, please, bundle 2, page 4. (Pause) 5 <b>A. What was the page, Ms Hill, sorry?</b> 6 Q. It is page 4, bundle 2. It should be an official 7 report, Mr Pollard, from the French police. 8 It should be a list of names of people who were 9 staying at the Hotel Bristol. 10 <b>A. Yes.</b> 11 Q. I think, as I have established, this is material that 12 you didn't obtain that Mr Suter was able to get. It 13 lists a series of people on page 4 who were staying at 14 the hotel at around the same time as Mr Perepilichnyy 15 was? 16 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b> 17 Q. Have you seen this document before, Mr Pollard? 18 <b>A. Only, well, when it was sent to all the IPs, yes.</b> 19 Q. You have looked at it before right now? 20 <b>A. Yes.</b> 21 Q. Are any of these names of any significance to you? 22 <b>A. No; sir.</b> 23 Q. So Nikolai Patrushev at the foot of that list, is that 24 of any significance to you? 25 <b>A. Not to me, sir, no.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 124</p>

<p>1 Q. I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you?</p> <p>2 <b>A. Sorry. Not to me, sir, no.</b></p> <p>3 Q. Not to you at all. I think we have heard some evidence</p> <p>4 or it has been put in questioning, I don't think it is</p> <p>5 in dispute, that quite a few of the Russian individuals</p> <p>6 who were staying at the hotel at around the time that</p> <p>7 Mr Perepilichnyy was were there with some high profile</p> <p>8 Russian guests and was there for a particular event, but</p> <p>9 for the coroner's note, the coroner has already adduced</p> <p>10 on 23 June of this year the entirety of chapter 9 of the</p> <p>11 Alexander Litvinenko Report, in which Mr Justice Owen</p> <p>12 found that the FSB operation to kill Mr Litvinenko was</p> <p>13 probably approved by Nikolai Patrushev who at the time</p> <p>14 was the head of the FSB.</p> <p>15 That is the same name as we see here on the hotel</p> <p>16 guest list, is it not?</p> <p>17 <b>A. We have the same name, yes.</b></p> <p>18 Q. Is that something that you would now wish to investigate</p> <p>19 further?</p> <p>20 <b>A. No, because there is no evidence that has been presented</b></p> <p>21 <b>to me that says he was murdered or poisoned, sir.</b></p> <p>22 Q. There are several issues that have arisen in the</p> <p>23 evidence that are the result of questioning of some of</p> <p>24 the witnesses here. For example, the questioning of</p> <p>25 Ms Medynska seemed to suggest that Mr Perepilichnyy had</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 125</p>	<p>1 Q. Well, what the evidence showed from looking closely at</p> <p>2 the CCTV and the visitor logs was that somebody was</p> <p>3 coming to the coach house at a particularly significant</p> <p>4 time, it turns out now --</p> <p>5 THE CORONER: There are two coach houses.</p> <p>6 MS HILL: -- it is a different coach house, but; that is not</p> <p>7 the sort of detail you went into on the visitor logs and</p> <p>8 the CCTV, is it?</p> <p>9 <b>A. No, and I clarified that in my evidence in June, in</b></p> <p>10 <b>terms of we secured the physical -- the documentary log</b></p> <p>11 <b>and the ANPR to secure that evidence, it wasn't looked</b></p> <p>12 <b>at because at that stage we didn't know what to look for</b></p> <p>13 <b>and subsequently the examinations did not reveal</b></p> <p>14 <b>evidence of murder or poisoning and so that was not</b></p> <p>15 <b>revisited. I explained that back in June, sir.</b></p> <p>16 Q. I asked you some questions back in June about your</p> <p>17 understanding of Mr Pavlov's role, do you remember those</p> <p>18 questions that I asked you?</p> <p>19 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>20 Q. And whether or not he was a member of the KOCG, things</p> <p>21 of that nature. Have you now been made aware through</p> <p>22 documentation provided in these proceedings since the</p> <p>23 hearing in June that -- if you turn up, please,</p> <p>24 volume 3, page 21.</p> <p>25 <b>A. Sorry, what was the page again, please.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 127</p>
<p>1 been to the Hotel Meurice and spent a not insignificant</p> <p>2 amount of money without her, do you remember that part</p> <p>3 of the evidence, at 3.44 there is a credit card</p> <p>4 transaction at the Hotel Meurice and she said she didn't</p> <p>5 go there?</p> <p>6 <b>A. That's correct, yes.</b></p> <p>7 Q. Is that something that you would now look at</p> <p>8 investigating?</p> <p>9 <b>A. Not really, because she also said that she didn't</b></p> <p>10 <b>leave -- that they didn't part company when they were</b></p> <p>11 <b>together in Paris, so she was fairly clear on that, as</b></p> <p>12 <b>I understand it, that she and Mr Perepilichnyy were</b></p> <p>13 <b>together the whole time.</b></p> <p>14 Q. There is on the face of it a credit card transaction in</p> <p>15 his name at that time. Isn't that a line of enquiry</p> <p>16 that might be pursued, because he may have gone to the</p> <p>17 Hotel Meurice and met somebody else?</p> <p>18 <b>A. Not now because there was no evidence that he was</b></p> <p>19 <b>murdered or poisoned.</b></p> <p>20 Q. Some of the analysis that has been done on the evidence</p> <p>21 that we have has identified some further lines of</p> <p>22 enquiry like for example the driver of the BMW that came</p> <p>23 to the estate. Do you know about this part of the</p> <p>24 evidence?</p> <p>25 <b>A. Yes, I think he has family on the estate.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p>	<p>1 Q. 21, volume 3. Do you see that document, it is a US</p> <p>2 Department of the Treasury document.</p> <p>3 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>4 Q. That is a document that shows on 20 December last year,</p> <p>5 so since the last hearing, Mr Pavlov, has been added by</p> <p>6 the US Department of the Treasury Office to the foreign</p> <p>7 assets control list, this is a list of people subject to</p> <p>8 restrictions under the Magnitsky law. One can see on</p> <p>9 here Mr Pavlov; is that right?</p> <p>10 <b>A. Yes, that is what is written, yes.</b></p> <p>11 Q. Were you aware of that until you were provided with this</p> <p>12 document in these proceedings?</p> <p>13 <b>A. No, sir, I wasn't.</b></p> <p>14 Q. You have been made aware I think of the name of</p> <p>15 Mr Lurakhmaev, that is a name that has been given to</p> <p>16 you, isn't it, as something to potentially investigate</p> <p>17 in this case, Valid Lurakhmaev.</p> <p>18 <b>A. Yes, that is a name I recognise.</b></p> <p>19 Q. If you look further into that bundle, please, at</p> <p>20 page 18, just go back a page, you will see the document</p> <p>21 in Russian and perhaps I can hand up, I don't know if</p> <p>22 I have a copy of it here -- just bear with me a second.</p> <p>23 We have a copy of a letter to the coroner that was</p> <p>24 written by Hermitage I think on 27 February, that</p> <p>25 explains who this individual is. I would just ask for</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p>



<p>1 that to be handed up. 2 (Handed) 3 Perhaps, sir, this could be perhaps put in the 4 bundle, I think the hope had been that the letter would 5 have gone in with the individual's photograph, it is 6 rather unclear otherwise. 7 If one looks at paragraph 9 and 10 of that letter. 8 Hermitage had written to the coroner expressing 9 a concern that according to Russian press reports, this 10 individual, Mr Tingaev, who was a witness in a case 11 against Mr Lurakhmaev, had died on a street in Moscow 12 relatively recently and that there were concerns about 13 what the true cause of his death had been. Is that 14 something that you were made aware of before you saw 15 this letter? 16 <b>A. No. No.</b> 17 Q. Generally, Mr Pollard, would you accept that when 18 Hermitage first tried to engage with Surrey Police very 19 soon after Mr Perepilichnyy died, Hermitage set out 20 various lines of enquiry that it felt should be 21 followed, I mean remembering back to 2012? 22 <b>A. I remember the letters but I haven't got it in front</b> 23 <b>of -- I know there was a letter outlining the background</b> 24 <b>of Mr Perepilichnyy, I wasn't -- without seeing the</b> 25 <b>letter again, I wasn't sure that that actually set</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 129</p>	<p>1 <b>any evidence of murder and poisoning, because obviously</b> 2 <b>in parallel to some of those other enquiries yourself</b> 3 <b>sir and Mr Travers commissioned further tests and we</b> 4 <b>have heard yesterday from those experts that those tests</b> 5 <b>have not changed or altered the opinion that was given</b> 6 <b>at the time, which led me to my conclusion at the time.</b> 7 Q. Where for example Hermitage were encouraging you to do 8 things like get the Russian judgments, that is something 9 that this coroner has now accepted that is now material 10 that is worth looking at. Is that right? 11 <b>A. Now has, that's correct.</b> 12 Q. My final area of questioning for you please, Mr Pollard. 13 On the last occasion, I asked you questions about the 14 manner in which the investigation of this man's death 15 had been handled in the early stages. We had 16 an exchange about whether or not, if this happened 17 again, what would happen. That is what I want to ask 18 you some questions about. 19 Obviously since the last hearing, we have all seen 20 extensive press coverage of what happened in Salisbury. 21 You must have been watching that coverage, Mr Pollard, 22 pretty closely, as we have all seen it extensive 23 coverage of the dreadful events in Salisbury and the 24 police response. You must have seen that footage of 25 lots and lots of police officers, lots and lots of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 131</p>
<p>1 <b>outlines of enquiry.</b> 2 Q. Certainly Hermitage were encouraging for example full 3 testing to be done and this to be treated as if it was 4 a full murder investigation from an earlier stage than 5 it actually became a murder investigation, do you 6 remember that chronology? 7 <b>A. They did invite both the coroner then, Mr Travers, and</b> 8 <b>Surrey Police, yes, to perhaps conduct detailed tests</b> 9 <b>given the background to Mr Perepilichnyy, that's</b> 10 <b>correct.</b> 11 <b>I think also Mr Gherson also made the same</b> 12 <b>representations or similar I think.</b> 13 Q. I don't want to go over it but we established last time 14 I think and you accepted fairly that the letter that 15 Hermitage wrote, the first letter, should have dealt 16 with quicker than it was and your team should have been 17 brought in quicker than it was. Is that what you said 18 last time? 19 <b>A. That's correct, yes.</b> 20 Q. Where we have landed now is that many of those lines of 21 enquiry, for example getting more material from the 22 French, getting the Russian judgments, things like that, 23 have now provided potentially helpful evidence for the 24 coroner. Isn't that right? 25 <b>A. Well not in relation to Mr Perepilichnyy and there being</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 130</p>	<p>1 forensic experts, people from overseas coming to 2 Salisbury to investigate that initial scene. That must 3 have made you draw comparisons with what happened in 4 this case? 5 <b>A. Not really. Because obviously those, or the footage</b> 6 <b>also depicted men and women in protective suits dealing</b> 7 <b>with a clearly dangerous unknown chemical or agent at</b> 8 <b>the time and of course those that came into contact with</b> 9 <b>Mr Skripal and his daughter also suffered symptoms that</b> 10 <b>required I think at some point 21 people receiving</b> 11 <b>treatment for various different reactions to that agent</b> 12 <b>which obviously is Novichok, so I think the two</b> 13 <b>circumstances are, well, distinctly different.</b> 14 Q. I think you were in court yesterday for the expert 15 evidence, weren't you? 16 <b>A. Yes.</b> 17 Q. I think you have heard the combined effect of the expert 18 evidence yesterday is, as far as we understand it, 19 experts are saying that the possibility that Novichok 20 was used on Mr Perepilichnyy remains in play? 21 <b>A. I thought Dr Rice excluded that, because he had spoke of</b> 22 <b>and you will have to correct me, was it</b> 23 <b>organophosphorous or whatever that agent is, he</b> 24 <b>discounted that.</b> 25 Q. Let me put it in a different way --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 132</p>

1 MS BARTON: Sir, this is -- I will be a little politer than  
 2 I was going to be. This is not the way to conduct  
 3 questioning on a completely false basis.  
 4 THE CORONER: I mean I am just assuming, Ms Barton, that we  
 5 are just getting towards the end of it which is why  
 6 I have not said anything.  
 7 Ms Hill, there is just a limit to the amount of  
 8 comparison we can do -- what is more helpful in a sense  
 9 is if --  
 10 MS HILL: I am on my very last question.  
 11 THE CORONER: Very last question.  
 12 MS BARTON: She may be sir, I am not complaining about the  
 13 comparison. I am complaining about the fact that she  
 14 completely misrepresented the evidence of the expert  
 15 yesterday, in saying that Novichok was still in play  
 16 when it was quite clear the evidence was it was not.  
 17 MR SKELTON: May I just clarify that, because I am not sure  
 18 I agree with Ms Barton's position precisely. It could  
 19 not be 100 per cent ruled out scientifically because the  
 20 testing was not possible to conduct, but Dr Rice's view  
 21 was on the balance of probabilities it was unlikely to  
 22 have been any form of nerve agent.  
 23 That was the position left yesterday.  
 24 MS HILL: My understanding of evidence, if I can summarise,  
 25 is possible but unlikely.

Page 133

1 MR SKELTON: I think that is fair.  
 2 MS HILL: I didn't mean to put it in any way higher than  
 3 that, possible but unlikely is what I understood the  
 4 evidence to be. I don't want to misrepresent it at all,  
 5 it is not intended to do it in that way at all.  
 6 The point I am still making, irrespective of the  
 7 Novichok element, is to ask you to reflect on how, and  
 8 I can put this final question to you, the coroner,  
 9 members of the public and my client could be satisfied  
 10 that if Alexander Perepilichnyy collapsed next week in  
 11 Surrey, things would be dealt with any differently.  
 12 Would that scene still be dealt with in the same way  
 13 again?  
 14 **A. Well, I think, I can't answer that because it is on**  
 15 **a case-by-case basis and you would have look at the**  
 16 **circumstances. I think I covered this again in my**  
 17 **evidence back in June. You have to look at each case on**  
 18 **its merits and subject to whatever visible signs there**  
 19 **were on the body would determine whether or not it was**  
 20 **suspicious or not and subject to that, if it was, then,**  
 21 **you know, if people were starting to fall ill like they**  
 22 **were in Salisbury, then the response would be like**  
 23 **Salisbury, but if they were not, it would probably still**  
 24 **be a suspicious death if there was injury on the body**  
 25 **and dealt with as a forensic Home Office post mortem**

Page 134

1 **from the get go.**  
 2 Q. On these facts, the facts that we have, if these exact  
 3 facts were replicated again in the future, you are  
 4 saying the response would likely be the same?  
 5 **A. If -- what I would say is if the information was known**  
 6 **about Mr Perepilichnyy from the get go, then yes, we**  
 7 **would have suspicions about his death based on that**  
 8 **information and we would then conduct at that stage the**  
 9 **forensic Home Office post mortem and conduct**  
 10 **an investigation from the off.**  
 11 MS HILL: Thank you, sir.  
 12 THE CORONER: Yes?  
 13 Questions from MS BARTON  
 14 MS BARTON: Mr Pollard, can I deal firstly with the issues  
 15 of the telephones. The telephones were seized I think  
 16 before you became SIO in respect of the investigation,  
 17 is that right?  
 18 **A. Yes, that's correct.**  
 19 Q. Can you think of any reason why any member of Surrey  
 20 Police would have telephoned Elmira Medynska?  
 21 **A. Not really, because -- no, I can't.**  
 22 Q. Can you think of any reason why Surrey Police would have  
 23 phoned anyone at MI6 or given them the telephones to  
 24 use?  
 25 **A. No, sir, I can't.**

Page 135

1 Q. Is it your view that Mr Perepilichnyy may have had  
 2 access to more than the two mobile phones that were  
 3 taken from him?  
 4 **A. No, I don't think that, sir.**  
 5 Q. Turning to the use of translators, can we look, please,  
 6 at how this took place.  
 7 Sir, I don't know whether I need to take you to the  
 8 original bundle but I am going to, for everyone's note,  
 9 original bundle, volume 2, tab 32, page 556.  
 10 Don't worry, Mr Pollard, I will read it out in full.  
 11 This is the Sussex Police policy file. Can you just  
 12 help us with -- what is a policy book in a major  
 13 investigation?  
 14 **A. It is where -- excuse me -- it is where the senior**  
 15 **investigating officer or his deputy or her deputy**  
 16 **records their decisions in relation to the direction and**  
 17 **progress of the investigation.**  
 18 Q. I am going to read out a policy decision you made,  
 19 policy decision number 32, date of the policy,  
 20 15 December 2012, timed at 12.10.  
 21 Officer making the policy decision was you,  
 22 DCI Pollard, officer making the entry DI Burden. The  
 23 policy is recorded as follows:  
 24 "Two officers have been identified in Thames Valley  
 25 Police that are Russian speakers to assist in

Page 136

<p>1 translation of Russian documents and other information                  2 received from the deceased's computer. DC Lennon is                  3 a DC from Newbury CID, she is CTC cleared 2015."                  4 That is a security vetting clearance, isn't it?                  5 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b>                  6 Q. "Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell is support staff at TVP who                  7 have standard police vetting. DC Lennon will review the                  8 documents first to see what they refer to, if sensitive                  9 then we will re-evaluate the level of vetting of staff."                  10 Just breaking that down, first of all, there would                  11 be a quick look to see whether there were documents                  12 which needed a required level of vetting before they                  13 could be viewed?                  14 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b>                  15 Q. If they didn't require a level of vetting, they would                  16 then be reviewed by both DC Lennon and                  17 Ekaterina Clarke-O'Connell?                  18 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  19 Q. You write in this policy log the justification:                  20 "If not sensitive, these staff will carry out                  21 translations of documents. Ekaterina will review social                  22 media and messages, if anything sensitive is found this                  23 will be reviewed. This enables the documents to be                  24 reviewed fast time by an officer who is CT cleared to                  25 allow initial assessment of the material. If sensitive,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 137</p>	<p>1 <b>messages, there was nothing in there whatsoever to</b>                  2 <b>suggest that he was being targeted, was a victim, or was</b>                  3 <b>going to be murdered. There was just nothing there.</b>                  4 Q. Mr Fear-Segal in the course of this morning put to                  5 Ms Clarke-O'Connell that this was a murder                  6 investigation. You were the SIO, as far as you were                  7 concerned was this ever in fact a murder investigation?                  8 <b>A. No, it was an unexplained death and I had two working</b>                  9 <b>hypotheses.</b>                  10 <b>One of which was that he died of an as yet unknown</b>                  11 <b>medical cause.</b>                  12 <b>The other hypothesis was that he had been murdered</b>                  13 <b>as a result of his involvement in the fraud.</b>                  14 <b>So it was an unexplained death but with two working</b>                  15 <b>hypotheses.</b>                  16 Q. Was there ever any positive evidence in this case of                  17 third party involvement in this death?                  18 <b>A. No, that was excluded by three pathologists, two Home</b>                  19 <b>Office forensic pathologists and Dr Ratcliffe, who</b>                  20 <b>conducted the first local post mortem.</b>                  21 Q. Was there ever in this case any positive evidence of                  22 Mr Perepilichnyy having been poisoned?                  23 <b>A. No, sir.</b>                  24 Q. Taking those two factors, was there any stage in your                  25 view in which it was appropriate to make this a murder</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p>
<p>1 then further work can be reviewed as who is appropriate                  2 to continue, depending on the nature of the documents."                  3 <b>A. That's correct.</b>                  4 Q. Is it apparent from the nature of that policy entry that                  5 it was simply one of the early steps in the                  6 investigation of the computer content?                  7 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  8 Q. I think what you said in your evidence earlier today,                  9 that it was just a start?                  10 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  11 Q. It is often said it is not where you start, it is where                  12 you finish, but since the original examination a number                  13 of additional messages have now been brought to your                  14 attention. That is right, isn't it?                  15 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b>                  16 Q. In your view, is any of that additional material                  17 probative of how Mr Perepilichnyy died?                  18 <b>A. No, sir, it isn't.</b>                  19 Q. So actually any material which wasn't flagged up to you                  20 by Ms Clarke-O'Connell or DC Lennon and which is now                  21 before this court makes no difference to your assessment                  22 of the case?                  23 <b>A. No, sir, it doesn't.</b>                  24 Q. Just very briefly say why that is?                  25 <b>A. Well, because having reviewed and read all those</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 138</p>	<p>1 investigation?                  2 <b>A. No, sir, there wasn't.</b>                  3 Q. You have heard a great deal more evidence since these                  4 inquest proceedings started, has anything that you have                  5 heard as an experienced SIO led you to believe that this                  6 should be opened as a murder investigation?                  7 <b>A. Definitely not, sir, no.</b>                  8 Q. Just turning lastly to where we are today, in respect of                  9 Mr Perepilichnyy, we have had a number of comparisons                  10 drawn in the course of this Inquest to the deaths of                  11 Mr Litvinenko and the attack upon the Skripals in                  12 Salisbury.                  13 The distinctions may be obvious, but would you as                  14 a senior officer just like to summarise for us why those                  15 cases would have been treated in an entirely different                  16 way to the case of Mr Perepilichnyy?                  17 <b>A. Well, clearly there was evidence of some form of poison,</b>                  18 <b>which in the case of Mr Litvinenko, he obviously became</b>                  19 <b>ill and deteriorated over a period of time but when the</b>                  20 <b>concerns around him and his status were raised, that is</b>                  21 <b>why that investigation was treated as a murder</b>                  22 <b>investigation when he subsequently passed away.</b>                  23 <b>And, equally, in Salisbury, there was markedly</b>                  24 <b>effects on Mr Skripal and his daughter, as well as the</b>                  25 <b>first responders that attended and it was very quickly</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 140</p>

<p>1 <b>established that some form of agent, chemical, whatever,</b>                  2 <b>was used and for those reasons that is why those</b>                  3 <b>investigations were conducted and are being conducted as</b>                  4 <b>they are.</b>                  5 Q. Was the fact that individuals who went to assist                  6 Mr Perepilichnyy, in particular those who were involved                  7 in giving him either mouth-to-mouth assistance or                  8 hands-on treatment, were not affected in any way, was                  9 that a factor which was relevant to your considerations?                  10 <b>A. Absolutely, yes.</b>                  11 Q. If we look at the two cases where there is either known                  12 or suspected Russian involvement in the deaths, both of                  13 those cases have very clearly involved, at a very early                  14 stage, an identifiable toxin?                  15 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  16 Q. In this case, several years after the death, with                  17 exhaustive testing, we are yet to identify any toxin in                  18 this case. That's right, isn't it?                  19 <b>A. Yes, that's correct, sir.</b>                  20 Q. Taking all of those factors together, are you satisfied                  21 that the investigation that you carried out was                  22 a reasonable and proportionate investigation into --                  23 what was the word you used -- an unexplained death?                  24 <b>A. Yes, I am entirely satisfied that the measures and lines</b>                  25 <b>of enquiry and extensive testing that was done in</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p>	<p>1 solicitor Peter Binning made contact on the 5th to                  2 inform us that Tatiana had agreed for the FLOs to attend                  3 her home at 5.00 pm to seize the electronic devices.                  4 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  5 Q. Then, overleaf, you will see on page 420, about halfway                  6 down, she talks about two iPhones and then there is                  7 reference to STO/1, which is one of the iPhones?                  8 <b>A. STO/2?</b>                  9 Q. STO/2, I'm sorry, and STO/3, another iPhone?                  10 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  11 Q. That is the point at which they are retrieved back from                  12 Mrs Perepilichnaya, I am not sure that we have a record                  13 of when they were given to her, do we?                  14 <b>A. I think -- I think it is in DC Burden's investigation</b>                  15 <b>log, the electronic log, I seem to think it may have</b>                  16 <b>been around either the -- between 14 and 16 November</b>                  17 <b>I think.</b>                  18 Q. It was within four days/six days of the death?                  19 <b>A. Yes, I understand.</b>                  20 Q. Maybe we can find that document.                  21 May I also, just while we are in the same bundle,                  22 ask you to look at some of the records from one of the                  23 phones at least.                  24 Could you look under tab 41, please. This is some                  25 of the analysis of the phones.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 143</p>
<p>1 <b>relation to my investigation was appropriate,</b>                  2 <b>proportionate and did not identify any evidence of</b>                  3 <b>murder or poison, sir. Entirely satisfied with that.</b>                  4 MS BARTON: Thank you.                  5 MR SKELTON: Sir, I wonder if it would assist if I just                  6 helped on the matter of the mobile phones.                  7 THE CORONER: Yes, if you have -- I wanted to ask about that                  8 but by all means.                  9 MR SKELTON: It is just a point, sir, about who had the                  10 mobile phones.                  11 THE CORONER: Yes, can you help with that and when.                  12 Further questions from MR SKELTON                  13 MR SKELTON: Mr Pollard, as we understood it, the mobile                  14 phones were taken by Surrey Police just after                  15 Mr Perepilichnyy died but were given back to                  16 Mrs Perepilichnaya for a period of time. Then it was                  17 left to the FLOs to negotiate with her as to their                  18 handover, after which they became formal exhibits. Is                  19 that right?                  20 <b>A. Yes, that's correct.</b>                  21 Q. If I can take you to police bundle 2, please, tab 26,                  22 please, page 419. This is a report of family liaison                  23 officers, DC Taylor and Button, dated 6 December --                  24 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  25 Q. -- 2012. You see that it is the first two lines,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 142</p>	<p>1 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  2 Q. I think we can see from page 750, top right, handwritten                  3 is "STO/2". That is the iPhone 5, isn't it?                  4 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  5 Q. These are messages on Mr Perepilichnyy's phone and on                  6 page 751 we can see some messages dated, first of all                  7 the penultimate one, timed at 12.10 pm on                  8 17 November 2012, "Vlad, what is your Skype?" And                  9 signed off Tatiana Perepilichnaya?                  10 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  11 Q. Of course it is not necessarily the case it did come                  12 from her but whoever has written this message has used                  13 her name, or at least Tatiana?                  14 MR MOXON BROWNE: She said in evidence it was her.                  15 MR SKELTON: Yes, so you can see the message signed off by                  16 her. Then if you go as it were further through the                  17 timeline, you go up to 19 November and you can start to                  18 see a number of messages from someone else, first                  19 saying, "How are you?" Another one saying, "Sasha                  20 forgive".                  21 <b>A. Yes.</b>                  22 Q. These are obviously translated from the Russian on the                  23 left-hand side, as you can see and then you see messages                  24 saying:                  25 "I got so sad during the last evening for some</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 144</p>

<p>1 reason before we parted, I tried to equalise the 2 situation but my emotions perhaps stronger than me, 3 I couldn't hide them, it is like I reached 4 an existentialist crisis, damn." 5 Then another one saying: 6 "Forgive me that I didn't answer and wasn't 7 answering all week. I was afraid that you won't 8 understand." 9 Then another one saying: 10 "Sasha, I will be a good girl." 11 THE CORONER: Those are all the 19th, aren't they? 12 MR SKELTON: They are all the 19th and it is important to 13 note they are in the inbox, so the second-to-last column 14 on the right-hand side says "Inbox". 15 <b>A. Yes.</b> 16 Q. So these are incoming messages? 17 <b>A. Yes.</b> 18 Q. Would you assume from the evidence that you heard from 19 Ms Medynska that they were the messages she was talking 20 about where she had sent him some messages after she 21 departed, asking to be forgiven, et cetera? 22 <b>A. Yes, I think she gave that evidence, yes.</b> 23 THE CORONER: I think you may be going to deal with this 24 Mr Skelton but if you go to the account on 13 July 2013, 25 that may help, if you tie it up with the content of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 145</p>	<p>1 to see if there a number that could be rung or so on? 2 <b>A. Yes.</b> 3 THE CORONER: That is one thing. 4 She said in evidence that the calls I think were on 5 the 12th and 13th, so that is not as it were with him 6 lying in the road. 7 <b>A. No.</b> 8 THE CORONER: But, that is one possibility, that is what she 9 said. The reason I draw your attention to this email 10 from her, do you have that? So July 2013, what she -- 11 do you see there is a sort of -- 12 <b>A. Sorry, hang on.</b> 13 THE CORONER: You have 329 in the bottom. 14 <b>A. Yes.</b> 15 THE CORONER: If you look in the middle of that page, there 16 is a five-line paragraph, do you see, where she says, 17 "In Paris I have very bad mood ..." 18 <b>A. Yes.</b> 19 THE CORONER: "... I was not very friendly with him. After 20 I leave Paris in Monday, 17 or 18 November, I write him 21 message in phone, 'Hi Alexander, how are you'. 22 It looks like, "Forgive, will be good girl". 23 Those are all in these for the 19th, aren't they, if 24 you actually look at -- 25 <b>A. Yes, they are.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 147</p>
<p>1 these texts. 2 MR SKELTON: Sir, would you like me to take Mr Pollard to 3 them. 4 THE CORONER: You don't have the Medynska supplementary 5 bundle there, do you have it? 6 <b>A. I haven't sir, no.</b> 7 MR SKELTON: Sir, you will find it in bundle 3, under tab 35 8 is the same document. 9 THE CORONER: All right, well I have it in the bundle of 10 documents for her, but if you can just -- 11 <b>A. Which tab, sorry?</b> 12 MR SKELTON: Bundle 3, tab 35, page 329 is the same document 13 as in the Medynska bundle. 14 <b>A. Yes. 329, yes? Page 329?</b> 15 THE CORONER: Yes. Yes. 16 It is only you were here for her evidence and 17 I think she was saying then that the calls were on 12 18 and 13 November, do you remember her saying that to us 19 now, some years on. 20 I mean the starting point I suppose is that I expect 21 you have come across it have you in cases, that if you 22 have an injured person or an unconscious person or 23 a dead person found in the middle of a road, and who is 24 not in the position to say who they are, it is not 25 unheard of is it for somebody to look at their telephone</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 146</p>	<p>1 THE CORONER: Then she says: 2 "Next day ..." 3 Imagine they were the 19th but anyway, she says: 4 "Next day, call me women from London and tell me 5 they find man in street with broken mobile. There was 6 my message. They tell me it was very intim letter so 7 they [I don't know if we are missing a bit off the 8 message but] T family." 9 Do you see? 10 <b>A. Yes, I do.</b> 11 THE CORONER: "... I tell them I can't help and ask how is 12 write my contact number in his mobile. At first 13 I didn't trust situation, what has happened and I think 14 that it is bad joke." 15 <b>A. Hmm.</b> 16 THE CORONER: So there is obviously an issue but there is 17 what she said over the link and there is this material 18 here and there is the contents of the messages as to 19 when she is speaking to anybody -- 20 <b>A. Yes.</b> 21 Q. -- on the phone, but it appears to be somebody speaking 22 in English with a number, they are not withholding the 23 number, she is not giving it here but do you remember 24 she said it was an English number? 25 <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 148</p>

1 THE CORONER: So there we are. But my question to you was  
 2 going to be and you have said it may be, if we look at  
 3 Mr Burden's log but my first question to you was, do you  
 4 know where on or about the 19th the phones would have  
 5 been. You can see why I am asking it, I want to know --  
 6 **A. I think by then they were with Mrs Perepilichnaya.**  
 7 THE CORONER: Right.  
 8 If they hadn't been, just suppose they were still  
 9 with the police, would that be altogether surprising if  
 10 a message comes in in a phone you have, and somebody was  
 11 to make an enquiry of her? I think you said you thought  
 12 it was. I just wonder about that, is that really so --  
 13 **A. Not really, because they would have obviously**  
 14 **established who he was, so they wouldn't have had**  
 15 **a cause to respond -- they shouldn't, anyway, have**  
 16 **any cause to respond to any messages come in on phones**  
 17 **that we have seized, having identified who he was.**  
 18 THE CORONER: Lest as it were anything she was saying in the  
 19 message --  
 20 **A. Yes.**  
 21 THE CORONER: -- prompted any question.  
 22 Anyway, you think it may be by the 19th and in fact  
 23 they have gone back?  
 24 **A. I am fairly sure they were definitely back by the 19th,**  
 25 **I am pretty confident about that, sir.**

Page 149

1 THE CORONER: Mr Skelton, I am sorry to interrupt but it  
 2 looks like we will have see if there is any reference in  
 3 Mr Burden's log.  
 4 Mr Moxon Browne is going to help us with the date  
 5 they were handed back.  
 6 MR MOXON BROWNE: I am sure that they were with  
 7 Mrs Perepilichnaya on the 19th, but I don't know when  
 8 they went to her but we might find that from Burden.  
 9 I wonder if I could draw your attention to another  
 10 document before we go on to that.  
 11 Mr Pollard, would you look at page --  
 12 THE CORONER: My attention or his?  
 13 MR MOXON BROWNE: Well I wanted the witness to look  
 14 actually, if that is possible.  
 15 THE CORONER: All right.  
 16 MR MOXON BROWNE: Arising out of this point. Perhaps you  
 17 sir, if you would prefer to do it that way.  
 18 THE CORONER: Let's do it that way and see.  
 19 MR MOXON BROWNE: 796 in bundle 2, the police bundle.  
 20 THE CORONER: I give in, what does it say? Do you have it  
 21 there?  
 22 **A. Which page, 7 --**  
 23 **Further questions from MR MOXON BROWNE**  
 24 MR MOXON BROWNE: 796, Suzy Leadbitter's timeline with the  
 25 phone calls on it.

Page 150

1 This is what I was referring to when I put the  
 2 questions to you and I had assumed it seems wrongly that  
 3 this is what you were basing your evidence on. Do you  
 4 have that?  
 5 **A. 796.**  
 6 MR MOXON BROWNE: Yes. You see the entry, on the extreme  
 7 left-hand side of that, it is page 6 of 8 of this  
 8 timeline, text message sent from Alexander to Elmira,  
 9 760 phone billing, that is STO/2 mobile phone. Message  
 10 unknown content to 38099 et cetera, that is Elmira's  
 11 telephone number. Message delivered at 13.53 after  
 12 a series of delivery failed messages.  
 13 My understanding was that in fact there were  
 14 a number of exchanges between Elmira and Alexander on  
 15 that morning and at least one of them is recorded here.  
 16 In other words there were exchanges on the day of  
 17 Mr Perepilichnyy's death?  
 18 **A. Yes, there were, yes.**  
 19 Q. Yes so there may have been exchanges on the 19th, and it  
 20 seems that in July 2013 Ms Medynska may have thought  
 21 that those messages were what gave rise to the calls she  
 22 received, but as far as the evidence was concerned, it  
 23 seems she was talking about this.  
 24 **A. I don't know about this but certainly there is a message**  
 25 **he sends, although it is undelivered, he failed -- he**

Page 151

1 **did send a message to her on the 10th.**  
 2 MR MOXON BROWNE: Well, sir, I started this hare running,  
 3 I was basing it on this document and I didn't have in  
 4 mind the other material that you have drawn attention  
 5 to.  
 6 THE CORONER: No. Well, there we are.  
 7 MS BARTON: Sir, may I raise just one more question with the  
 8 witness in light of reporting of his evidence. It is  
 9 clear that the press are not clear about his evidence,  
 10 so could I clarify it?  
 11 THE CORONER: Yes.  
 12 Further questions from MS BARTON  
 13 MS BARTON: Mr Perepilichnyy's case, you concluded that  
 14 there was no third party involvement in his death,  
 15 didn't you?  
 16 **A. Yes, sir.**  
 17 Q. It is reported by Buzzfeed:  
 18 "Perepilichnyy's case is closed concluding there was  
 19 no third party involvement into his death, but it has  
 20 been reopened post Salisbury and following a Buzzfeed  
 21 investigation led by Jane Bradley. Read that here."  
 22 Has the investigation been reopened?  
 23 **A. No, sir.**  
 24 THE CORONER: Who said it had been?  
 25 In here, nobody in here.

Page 152

<p>1 MS BARTON: No, that was my understanding, yes.                  2 THE CORONER: I haven't heard that. That has never been                  3 said, has it? Never.                  4 MS BARTON: No.                  5 MR SKELTON: Sir, I think that concludes Mr Pollard's                  6 evidence.                  7 THE CORONER: Thank you, Mr Pollard, very much. Thank you.                  8 <b>A. Thank you.</b>                  9 MR SKELTON: Sir, we have a small amount of evidence to                  10 read --                  11 THE CORONER: Yes.                  12 MR SKELTON: -- and then I think we should take a short                  13 break.                  14 THE CORONER: Yes, only because we just need to address --                  15 I mean we have dealt with a lot this week and I am                  16 grateful to everybody for their help but there are some                  17 other avenues that we have been pursuing and we just                  18 need I think to identify where we are on those but we                  19 will do that, we will have a break just before we do                  20 that.                  21 MR SKELTON: Thank you.                  22 MR WASTELL: Sir, just before we break, you will recall the                  23 request from Ms Hill that extracts from Mr Browder's                  24 second statement were read out, three or four                  25 paragraphs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 153</p>	<p>1 read, however irrespective of whether this evidence is                  2 read or not, I would like to make the coroner aware of                  3 my response to the following points in his statement.                  4 Mr Ismagilov made a number of spectacular allegations                  5 including that I was involved in the death of                  6 Edmond Safra, that I am an agent of both the CIA and MI6                  7 with the code name Solomon and that I was involved in                  8 the theft of \$4.8 billion from the International                  9 Monetary Fund. He wrongly suggests that in the Prevezon                  10 litigation I denied my own evidence under oath. He                  11 misquotes the ruling of Mr Justice Simon in Karpov v                  12 Browder. Mr Justice Simon did not conclude that I was                  13 a liar at all. He struck out a limited part of our                  14 pleaded justification defence and then struck out the                  15 remainder of Mr Karpov's libel claim as an abuse of                  16 process.                  17 "I have listed these points for the coroner because                  18 they are telling. They are exactly the same points that                  19 the Russian government has made against me through                  20 a variety of official sources to try and undermine my                  21 campaign to impose sanctions on Russian officials                  22 implicated in the torture and murder of                  23 Sergei Magnitsky. I give just two examples:                  24 "In December 2015 the Russian general prosecutor                  25 Yuri Chaika, in response to a video which had been</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p>
<p>1 THE CORONER: Yes.                  2 MR WASTELL: As a matter of record, Mr Browder adopted his                  3 second statement as his evidence on 8 June, it is                  4 page 73 of the transcript, so it already stands as                  5 evidence but we are, in the absence of objection, simply                  6 reading out the paragraph you requested.                  7 THE CORONER: Certainly, and can I just say this, that there                  8 were I think submissions, possibly made in writing, as                  9 to how much of various other statements should be read                  10 and I know that the point was made that if I decided                  11 I think that any of it should be read, then the whole                  12 statement should be read but that is the background to                  13 quite a lot that one might otherwise perhaps not have                  14 expected to have heard. I just say that but by all                  15 means I think it would be helpful if you then read those                  16 three paragraphs. I am very happy for that to be done                  17 and I think it should be done.                  18 Evidence of MR WILLIAM BROWDER (read)                  19 MR WASTELL: Sir, this is the second statement of                  20 William Browder dated 2 June 2017, picking it up at                  21 paragraph 35:                  22 "I have now seen a letter to the coroner from                  23 Rishat Ismagilov dated 8 May 2017, with answers to                  24 various questions posed to him by the coroner. The                  25 coroner has yet to rule on whether this evidence will be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 154</p>	<p>1 published online by a Russian anti corruption blogger                  2 exposing the corruption of his office and his sons wrote                  3 an open letter which was published in a Russian                  4 newspaper in which he criticised me and accused me of                  5 financing the documentary. Yesterday, he gave                  6 an interview to a state-controlled TV channel in which                  7 he accused me of working with the intelligence agencies.                  8 The fact that Mr Ismagilov's statement repeats the same                  9 points advanced by Russian officials such as General                  10 Prosecutor Chaika suggests to me that Mr Ismagilov is                  11 not making these assertion assertions independently, but                  12 under the direction of the Russian government.                  13 "I would also like to point out that Mr Ismagilov                  14 was a director of Quartel, which laundered over                  15 \$10 million from members of the KOCG. Mr Perepilichnyy                  16 of course had turned against the KOCG by his actions.                  17 "Having now read Mr Ismagilov's evidence to the                  18 coroner, I believe that he is not being honest about the                  19 death of Mr Perepilichnyy and knows more about the death                  20 than he is saying."                  21 MS HILL: Sir, might I just rise to indicate that your                  22 counsel has just read those various passages of                  23 Mr Browder's evidence. You will see he has referred in                  24 the evidence that was read at paragraph 37 to material                  25 from Mr Chaika, that is at the very back of the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 156</p>

<p>1 exhibits, I don't ask that to be read out but as that                  2 has been referred to it would be prudent for that to be                  3 formally admitted.                  4 MR WASTELL: Sir, I think technically it has already been                  5 formally admitted. The process we adopted in the                  6 inquest was that the witnesses would swear to the truth                  7 of their statements, which would of course include the                  8 exhibits.                  9 Sir, I think the request is for you to read it in                  10 your own time.                  11 THE CORONER: Certainly.                  12 MR WASTELL: Sir, that concludes the evidence and now time                  13 for a break.                  14 THE CORONER: Yes.                  15 (3.34 pm)                  16 (A short adjournment)                  17 (4.01 pm)                  18 MR SKELTON: Sir, I spoke too soon about the evidence being                  19 concluded. There are of course two statements from                  20 Mr Suter to be admitted in written evidence, pursuant to                  21 Rule 23 of the rules.                  22 The procedural position, as you have indicated                  23 previously in respect of other statements, is that                  24 written evidence may be admitted pursuant to                  25 Rule 23(1)(d), including evidence in admission form if</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 157</p>	<p>1 relation to a gelsemicine sample and also gym                  2 membership, none of which I think I need to trouble you,                  3 sir, with reading out.                  4 The second statement is dated 4 April 2018 and deals                  5 with a large number of matters, some of which are of                  6 more significance and I will address you on.                  7 The first matter it deals with is enquiries in                  8 respect of a BMW car, that is not necessary to discuss                  9 further.                  10 Secondly, the passenger manifest on Air France,                  11 again enquiries that need not be dealt with in more                  12 detail.                  13 Thirdly, CCTV from St George's Hill Estate. You                  14 will recall, sir, that requests were made to review the                  15 CCTV footage that Surrey Police had seized from the                  16 estate in the day after Mr Perepilichnyy died. Over                  17 a protracted period of time efforts were made to try and                  18 assess whether or not that CCTV footage could be                  19 reviewed by you or other persons with the technology to                  20 do so. The end result of that process was that it                  21 became apparent that it would be a futile exercise on                  22 the basis that a number of matters, but one of which was                  23 including the fact that the footage itself has no date                  24 and time and therefore even if it could be                  25 reconstructed, which is in itself highly questionable,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 159</p>
<p>1 it is unlikely to be disputed and before admitting such                  2 written evidence it is necessary to announce at the                  3 hearing the nature of the written evidence to be                  4 admitted, the full name of the maker of the written                  5 evidence and that any interested person may object to                  6 its admission and that any interested person is entitled                  7 to see a copy of any written evidence if he or she so                  8 wishes.                  9 These are two statements which are included within                  10 the bundle for this hearing and were circulated some                  11 time ago, and they are statements by the solicitor to                  12 the Inquest.                  13 Of course you do have discretion to call the witness                  14 rather than admitting the statements.                  15 THE CORONER: Yes. Well, no I think we will read them.                  16 Evidence of MR TIM SUTER (read)                  17 MR SKELTON: The first statement is dated 14 December 2017,                  18 it is from Timothy John Suter who is the solicitor to                  19 the Inquest. And it addresses the issues of firstly                  20 the Russian judgments, to which reference has been made                  21 during the course of this week's hearing, the Russian                  22 lawyers who were connected to those judgments, the                  23 tenancy that Mr and Mrs Perepilichnyy previously had and                  24 information on which was provided by Messrs Seddons                  25 solicitors, gym membership and some further enquiries in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 158</p>	<p>1 it would not be possible to attribute a particular time                  2 or moment to it and therefore forensic enquiries would                  3 not bear fruit.                  4 A small amount of footage was viewed and was seen to                  5 be irrelevant and I think some of the interested persons                  6 have also had access to the limited footage that we do                  7 have that we can date.                  8 Fourthly, enquiries with the Metropolitan Police                  9 Service and National Crime Agency. You initiated                  10 enquiries in writing with the MPS as to whether or not                  11 they held any information relating to the death of                  12 Mr Perepilichnyy that was not already available to you.                  13 The answer to that was no.                  14 Secondly, you made an enquiry of the NCA along the                  15 same lines and they provided some material which they                  16 thought may be of some relevance to your investigation.                  17 They were reviewed by your legal team and were                  18 considered to be irrelevant and therefore have not been                  19 adduced in evidence at this Inquest.                  20 The fifth matter was a request that you made of                  21 Buzzfeed, Buzzfeed having written an article last year                  22 called "Poison in the blood" which referred directly to                  23 Mr Perepilichnyy and made a number of assertions about                  24 the circumstances in which he may have died. You made                  25 a request of them for material which they had which</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 160</p>



<p>1 might be relevant to his death. That was rejected by 2 BuzzFeed and the basis of journalistic confidence, so no 3 material was forthcoming in that regard. 4 Sixthly, you made enquiries of a car hire company, 5 Sixt Car Hire, I need not trouble you with the details 6 of that. 7 Seventhly, you made an international request to the 8 Republic of Turkey, this was sent some time ago on 9 24 April 2017 and a letter was received from the Turkish 10 authorities which has been circulated to the interested 11 persons which says, and I quote: 12 "The investigation file is still pending, the work 13 aiming at finding the perpetrators still continues and 14 that within the scope of this there are no information 15 and documents on the investigation file regards the 16 incident of Alexander Perepilichnyy's being killed. The 17 share information is that there are no investigation 18 files and information/document at the offices of the 19 chief public prosecutors in relation to the named 20 persons." 21 In summary, they were responding to requests about 22 the suggestion that they may have in their possession 23 a hit list which had been associated with Mr Lurakhmaev, 24 and the answer was no. 25 A similar letter was sent to the Russian Federation,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 161</p>	<p>1 You initiated some further enquiries in respect of 2 Skype messages, those of course were the subject of the 3 hearing today and I need not trouble you with 4 an explanation of those. 5 You made a request of the French authorities, 6 another international request, which was relating again 7 to Mr Lurakhmaev and the alleged hit list. A response 8 to that is dated 2 March this year and has been 9 circulated to the interested persons, it is from 10 Gilles Laffont, captain of police in the post of the 11 criminal brigade and a judicial officer residing in 12 Paris. He says, and I quote: 13 "We have carried out further investigations 14 concerning the person Valid Lurakhmaev, and can say that 15 this individual is unknown to the tax services or French 16 banks. As far as the questions of the legal authorities 17 are concerned regarding the fact that Valid Lurakhmaev 18 is known to the media as having a link to the murder of 19 a Russian citizen committed in Nice, that Mr Lurakhmaev 20 has a house in France and that during a search of his 21 home a list of names including that of Mr Perepilichnyy 22 has been discovered." 23 That is a rather convoluted sentence, but I think 24 they are trying to summarise the questions that were 25 asked rather than the answers.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 163</p>
<p>1 and a reply to that letter was received 2 in December 2017, in which, and I quote, the Russian 3 authorities said: 4 "We acknowledge the explanation of the British 5 authorities according to which the request for 6 information as part of coroner's enquiry does not fall 7 under the current agreements." 8 But they nevertheless went on to agree to answer it 9 and they said: 10 "The Russian authorities can confirm that the main 11 investigative departments of the investigative committee 12 of the Federation is handling a criminal case in 13 relation to the internationally wanted 14 Valid Nasrudinovich Lurakhmaev, who is accused of 15 murder, attempted murder and other crimes not connected 16 with the death of Mr Perepilichnyy. There is no 17 information in the files of this criminal case to prove 18 that V Lurakhmaev was involved in the death of 19 Alexander Perepilichnyy." 20 They declined to give copies of those files. 21 You followed up that with a further request for 22 further details about Mr Lurakhmaev and indeed the 23 documents, but I understand that that request has not 24 been answered yet and it remains to be seen whether 25 an answer will be forthcoming in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 162</p>	<p>1 They go on say: 2 "It should be remembered that Valid Lurakhmaev was 3 targeted in France, I think by the authorities, during 4 an enquiry into the assassination in Paris in 2011 of 5 a Russian citizen Mr Lanin. The latter was killed on 6 disembarking from a flight. Two subjects were 7 identified and questioned, they were Chechen citizens of 8 which one had met Valid Lurakhmaev in Nice in 2011 9 before the murder. The searches undertaken as part of 10 this investigation did not reveal the existence of any 11 list of names whatever designating a potential list of 12 victims in which Mr Perepilichnyy was included. 13 "The English authorities also wish to know whether 14 our investigations could certify to the presence of 15 Valid Lurakhmaev in France in 2012, the year of 16 Mr Perepilichnyy's death." 17 The answer to that is, in summary, no." 18 Those were the three international letters of 19 enquiry which went off and have all been answered, but 20 with a follow up request made to the Russian authorities 21 which remains outstanding. 22 The final matters on which you sought to receive 23 further information were relating to the gentleman who 24 sat next to Mr Perepilichnyy on the flight back from 25 Paris. He was interviewed by the solicitor to the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 164</p>

<p>1 Inquiry and had no relevance evidence to give.                  2 A further enquiry was made of a Russian media                  3 publication, the Novaya Gazeta, for copies of emails and                  4 correspondence it may have in its possession that                  5 related to Mr Perepilichnyy and were recovered from                  6 an individual called Mr Pavlov. You received a reply                  7 from the Novaya Gazeta indicating that they were happy                  8 to assist you and just asked for more time to do so,                  9 that was on 15 March. They asked for a month, although                  10 in fact have not come back with answers to your requests                  11 as yet, although they have been chased. So that request                  12 remains outstanding.                  13 You also made enquiries of the Grosvenor House                  14 Suites in respect of a certain individual and the IPs                  15 have had copies of the responses to that and I need not                  16 trouble you with a discussion of that in any more                  17 detail.                  18 Lastly, you sought information from Eurostar and                  19 likewise you received the log of passengers on Eurostar                  20 and their details, which have also been circulated to                  21 the interested persons. I need not trouble you with                  22 those.                  23 That, sir brings you up to date with Mr Suter's                  24 evidence. I think there is a matter on which you would                  25 like to address the court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 165</p>	<p>1 that just as soon as I can.                  2 Then the other matter that I want to deal with is                  3 just the question of timetable.                  4 What I am going to propose at the moment -- there is                  5 a slight extension, as will be seen on this -- is that                  6 there be submissions in writing from interested persons                  7 by 4.00 pm on 14 May, by the same time on the 21st from                  8 my team as to conclusions. Then a date for oral                  9 submissions, if those are required, on 29 May.                  10 MR SKELTON: Yes.                  11 THE CORONER: That is what I am going to say for the moment.                  12 I think I am right, Ms Hill, that it may be that here we                  13 are at the end of this week that you want some time to                  14 reflect and you may put something in writing about the                  15 future, obviously I will look at that but as matters                  16 stand, that is what I am saying at the moment.                  17 Obviously I will look, I hope you will accept, very                  18 carefully at anything you say.                  19 MS HILL: Yes.                  20 THE CORONER: As you will understand, there is a sense in                  21 which in this life, and in litigation, every enquiry you                  22 make -- I mean I see it in the work I do, I am sure you                  23 do in yours every day, that every enquiry you make can                  24 in turn lead to lots of other enquiries you make and                  25 there comes a point where one has to draw a line under</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 167</p>
<p>1                  2 Housekeeping                  3 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you very much.                  4 First of all, Mr Skelton, as you know, I have                  5 already asked for any material the intelligence                  6 community in this country might hold that could bear on                  7 Mr Perepilichnyy's death. I have already indicated that                  8 nothing I had seen would materially assist me in this                  9 Inquest. I have already indicated that.                  10 MR SKELTON: Yes, sir.                  11 THE CORONER: All I say about that as a generality is that                  12 will be kept up to date, that review of that position.                  13 Secondly, I have written to the Home Secretary and                  14 interested persons have seen the letter, but about her                  15 review into 14 deaths and I have been told that that                  16 review will be concluded by the end of April and that                  17 I will be kept updated.                  18 Thirdly, as far as the United States are concerned,                  19 I have completed my enquiries into the matter that was                  20 drawn to my attention and I will update the position as                  21 I am able to, but I can't say more about that at the                  22 moment, save to say I have completed my enquiries into                  23 that.                  24 There is a ruling that is due about parts of                  25 documents that were read and so on and I shall deal with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 166</p>	<p>1 anything and there are other considerations about                  2 matters just coming to an end in a timely manner and                  3 this all dates back a long time.                  4 That said, I hope the enquiries that we have been                  5 making and we have made this week, as you have said                  6 earlier, I wouldn't have asked for them to be made                  7 unless I thought that they were worth making and I am                  8 grateful for all the help that I have had from                  9 everybody, the suggestions and so on. That is not said                  10 in a remotely critical way but it is just there is that                  11 aspect too. I will obviously look at very carefully, as                  12 I have at all the requests that everybody has made.                  13 MS HILL: That is understood, sir, thank you.                  14 I just wish to address you briefly myself on another                  15 matter, on the issue to do with the embassy press                  16 release, I do not know if now is the time to address you                  17 on that?                  18 THE CORONER: I saw that, didn't I?                  19 MS HILL: Might I make some submissions very briefly about                  20 that. You will see I handed up to a copy of a press                  21 release from the Russian embassy on 11 April. You can                  22 see, sir, I don't know if you have had a chance to read                  23 it, it makes a range of allegations under two perhaps                  24 broad headings.                  25 The first is a series of allegations about the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 168</p>

<p>1 nature of your process, effectively saying in terms that                  2 the process in question is being synchronised with                  3 a large scale anti Russian provocation by the British                  4 government and making variation allegations about the                  5 way in which your process has been conducted.                  6 THE CORONER: You are right, I am not stopping you. As you                  7 know, and I take the opportunity to say it, I mean the                  8 time that we are here and so on has been arranged a long                  9 time ago and was driven by the availability of advocates                  10 for interested persons. I am afraid there really isn't                  11 anything in that and the record shows why we are here                  12 and when we are here.                  13 MS HILL: Sir, yes. You will see that the press release                  14 goes on to make comments about the role of the British                  15 government in the proceedings and things of that nature.                  16 Perhaps more of a specific concern to my clients is what                  17 is said on the second page of the press release.                  18 I think in fact you have a one sheet copy, forgive me it                  19 is the paragraph that begins, "According to witness                  20 testimonies ..."                  21 I will just read this out if you find that part.                  22 THE CORONER: Yes.                  23 MS HILL: There a grave concern in my clients that what is                  24 being said in this paragraph is that the evidence of                  25 Mr Ismagilov that was adduced under Rule 23 is being</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 169</p>	<p>1 simply not a fair way of interpreting the status of that                  2 evidence.                  3 I do ask you to state clearly, as you previously did                  4 in your 23 June ruling, that you accept that this                  5 evidence is to be admitted but that in assessing the                  6 weight of it, as you have said, and perhaps I remind you                  7 in particular of what you said at page 166, that you                  8 acknowledge the points that were made by Hermitage as to                  9 his credibility, and the reasons why he may be writing                  10 to you in the terms that he has.                  11 I will be grateful for a direction to that effect,                  12 sir, so that there is no misunderstanding whatsoever                  13 about the status of that evidence going forward.                  14 THE CORONER: Yes.                  15 MR SKELTON: Sir, I think just on that point as Ms Hill                  16 rightly says, at page 166 of the transcript which you                  17 refer to from 23 June you did make it absolutely clear                  18 that that evidence was admitted as a matter of                  19 expediency because the witnesses would not attend and                  20 that you were to treat them with special caution because                  21 of those limitations. So I think to some extent the                  22 ruling has already been made and you need do no more                  23 than affirm your previous position.                  24 THE CORONER: Quite.                  25 MR SKELTON: In circumstances where the evidence is of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 171</p>
<p>1 misused by the embassy because it is being portrayed                  2 here as if it has been accepted, because what is said                  3 here is that there is documented confirmation of                  4 Mr Browder's relation to the MI6 and the CIA and makes                  5 various other allegations about him.                  6 The concern is that the statements adduced from                  7 Mr Ismagilov under Rule 23 are of course admitted by you                  8 under certain very clear procedural rules that you have                  9 set out in your rulings of 8 and 23 June.                  10 They have not been admitted under those elements of                  11 Rule 23 that would permit, for example, Mr Suter's                  12 evidence to be read because it is not disputed, they                  13 were admitted because Mr Ismagilov refuses to attend --                  14 THE CORONER: Quite.                  15 MS HILL: -- and you have made findings that he has no good                  16 reason for attending.                  17 You have also made findings in your ruling that you                  18 will in assessing the weight to be given to the evidence                  19 apply a test of special care, because he has not been                  20 tested in his evidence and he would have been had he                  21 attended.                  22 Sir, I do ask you to give a clear direction that                  23 there should be no misunderstanding about the status of                  24 that evidence, so that it cannot be represented as                  25 evidence that has been accepted by you as true. That is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 170</p>	<p>1 course controversial, which is the critical point.                  2 THE CORONER: Yes.                  3 Ms Hill, you have drawn this to my attention. I say                  4 for the sake of completeness that this is an independent                  5 investigation.                  6 The timing, as everybody who has been attending                  7 knows, has been arranged dependent upon when evidence                  8 could be obtained and when the advocates for the                  9 interested persons were available, that is the second                  10 thing to say.                  11 Thirdly, I endorse what you have said and as you                  12 have indicated, Mr Ismagilov's evidence it is not                  13 accepted evidence. As I have already indicated I shall                  14 look at it and shall have to look at it with special                  15 care for all the reasons that I have already said and                  16 that you have drawn attention to.                  17 I hope that deals with that.                  18 MS HILL: Thank you, sir, it does.                  19 There is just one other very short matter if I may.                  20 I have already raised it with counsel. On several                  21 occasions during the course of questions this week,                  22 there has been a shorthand used for the 230 million                  23 fraud, the shorthand that has been used is "the                  24 Hermitage fraud". That is an unhelpful shorthand and                  25 I just ask in further submissions and further questions</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 172</p>

1 that all counsel take care not to express it in that  
2 way.  
3 THE CORONER: Yes.  
4 MS HILL: Of course that is not an entirely accurate way of  
5 describing it, it was a fraud against Hermitage and so  
6 perhaps if some care of that language.  
7 THE CORONER: I can see why we have slipped into that but  
8 I think that is a point well made.  
9 MS HILL: Thank you.  
10 THE CORONER: Anything else for now? No. Good.  
11 Thank you all very much indeed for all your help  
12 I am very grateful to everybody.  
13 MR SKELTON: Thank you, sir.  
14 (4.24 pm)  
15 (The Inquest adjourned)  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Page 173

1 I N D E X  
2  
3 Evidence of DC SNEZHANNA LENNON .....2  
4 (read)  
5 MS EKATERINA CLARKE-O'CONNELL .....7  
6 (sworn)  
7 Questions from MR WASTELL .....7  
8 Questions from MR FEAR-SEGAL .....45  
9 Questions from MS HILL .....61  
10 Questions from MR BEGGS .....75  
11 Questions from MS BARTON .....76  
12 DS IAN POLLARD (sworn) .....78  
13 Questions from MR SKELTON .....78  
14 Questions from MR MOXON BROWNE .....91  
15 Questions from MR FEAR-SEGAL .....95  
16 Questions from MS HILL .....109  
17 Questions from MS BARTON .....135  
18 Further questions from MR SKELTON .....142  
19 Further questions from MR MOXON BROWNE .....150  
20 Further questions from MS BARTON .....152  
21 Evidence of MR WILLIAM BROWDER .....154  
22 (read)  
23 Evidence of MR TIM SUTER (read) .....158  
24  
25 Housekeeping .....166

Page 174

A				
<b>A3</b> 71:4	<b>acting</b> 95:10 98:12 111:7	<b>advised</b> 33:2 73:17	108:4,11,12,21 163:7	<b>apologise</b> 105:18
<b>abilities</b> 18:11	<b>action</b> 88:24 117:21	<b>advising</b> 25:15	<b>allocate</b> 81:5	<b>apparent</b> 84:17,18 85:19,20 138:4 159:21
<b>ability</b> 99:4	<b>actions</b> 32:13,17 80:23 81:2,4 156:16	<b>advocates</b> 169:9 172:8	<b>allocated</b> 5:13	<b>appear</b> 32:23 40:9 60:25 66:11 107:16 108:7
<b>able</b> 30:5 52:15 57:17 66:5 121:17 123:1,25 124:2,12 166:21	<b>activities</b> 13:20 29:25 56:9 57:13 70:14,16 91:9	<b>affairs</b> 45:3,4	<b>allow</b> 137:25	<b>appeared</b> 4:16,17 38:19,20 40:2,22 85:23 90:2 93:7
<b>abnormal</b> 13:22	<b>affirm</b> 171:23	<b>afraid</b> 116:3 145:7 169:10	<b>allowed</b> 3:15	<b>appears</b> 22:9 23:22 31:19 37:1 86:6,9 148:21
<b>absence</b> 154:5	<b>agencies</b> 156:7	<b>ago</b> 4:10 13:12 26:13 27:8 91:18 158:11 161:8 169:9	<b>altering</b> 131:5	<b>application</b> 7:12,14
<b>absent</b> 122:10	<b>Agency</b> 160:9	<b>agent</b> 75:21 132:7 132:11,23 133:22 141:1 155:6	<b>altogether</b> 149:9	<b>apply</b> 170:19
<b>absolutely</b> 58:17 141:10 171:17	<b>added</b> 64:10 128:5	<b>agree</b> 44:19 133:18 162:8	<b>amount</b> 40:12 70:14 113:5,10 126:2 133:7 153:9 160:4	<b>appreciate</b> 21:6 57:24
<b>abstracted</b> 10:18 22:21	<b>addition</b> 81:18	<b>agreed</b> 143:2	<b>amounted</b> 50:9	<b>approach</b> 66:15,16 123:15
<b>abuse</b> 155:15	<b>additional</b> 120:3 138:13,16	<b>agreement</b> 2:25 59:2 100:6	<b>analysing</b> 61:13	<b>appropriate</b> 65:25 87:24,25 88:8 89:1 138:1 139:25 142:1
<b>academic</b> 7:15	<b>address</b> 87:14 89:23 153:14 159:6 165:25 168:14,16	<b>agrees</b> 60:12,20	<b>analysis</b> 83:18,18 86:23 126:20 143:25	<b>approved</b> 125:13
<b>accept</b> 54:2 96:20 97:10 98:5 101:10 101:16 102:1 108:15,22 110:2 110:22 111:6,17 112:15 129:17 167:17 171:4	<b>addresses</b> 74:3 158:19	<b>agriculture</b> 37:16	<b>analyst</b> 81:16,18	<b>April</b> 1:1 58:6 159:4 161:9 166:16 168:21
<b>accepted</b> 101:2 130:14 131:9 170:2,25 172:13	<b>adds</b> 63:11	<b>aiming</b> 161:13	<b>analytical</b> 81:17 84:8	<b>area</b> 95:5 109:17 131:12
<b>access</b> 4:4 11:8 86:2 115:3 122:5 136:2 160:6	<b>adduced</b> 125:9 160:19 169:25 170:6	<b>Air</b> 159:10	<b>Andrei</b> 20:4 65:3	<b>areas</b> 88:2 109:6
<b>accessed</b> 45:24	<b>adjoined</b> 78:23 173:15	<b>airport</b> 92:1	<b>Andrey</b> 19:25	<b>arisen</b> 91:16 125:22
<b>accessing</b> 86:11	<b>adjournment</b> 67:13 105:19 106:6 157:16	<b>Akhmad</b> 65:16	<b>announce</b> 1:20 158:2	<b>Arising</b> 150:16
<b>accidentally</b> 87:5	<b>administering</b> 18:19	<b>Alex</b> 31:3	<b>ANPR</b> 127:11	<b>arranged</b> 169:8 172:7
<b>accorded</b> 98:22	<b>admission</b> 1:23 157:25 158:6	<b>Alexander</b> 2:13 6:24 17:12 20:23 43:10 53:6,16 63:9 98:2 125:11 134:10 147:21 151:8,14 161:16 162:19	<b>answer</b> 46:22 93:24 134:14 145:6 160:13 161:24 162:8,25 164:17	<b>article</b> 39:18 117:12,18,24 122:14,15 160:21
<b>account</b> 34:5 37:6 145:24	<b>admit</b> 1:18	<b>Agreements</b> 162:7	<b>answered</b> 46:2 162:24 164:19	<b>articles</b> 14:18
<b>accounts</b> 18:5 33:8 33:22 42:13 44:25 58:11	<b>admitted</b> 157:3,5 157:20,24 158:4 170:7,10,13 171:5 171:18	<b>agrees</b> 60:12,20	<b>answering</b> 145:7	<b>asked</b> 2:24 3:5 10:17 17:11,25 18:4 19:5 27:21 46:11,15 47:10 48:25 49:13 50:5 54:11,18 57:15 61:8 62:8 65:1,4 67:15 82:15 87:10
<b>accurate</b> 173:4	<b>admission</b> 1:23 157:25 158:6	<b>agreements</b> 162:7	<b>answers</b> 21:1 44:17 154:23 163:25 165:10	
<b>accused</b> 156:4,7 162:14	<b>admission</b> 1:23 157:25 158:6	<b>agrees</b> 60:12,20	<b>anti</b> 156:1 169:3	
<b>acknowledge</b> 162:4 171:8	<b>admission</b> 1:23 157:25 158:6	<b>agreements</b> 162:7	<b>antidotes</b> 18:22 39:2	
<b>acted</b> 102:16	<b>admission</b> 1:23 157:25 158:6	<b>agreements</b> 162:7	<b>anybody</b> 3:2 6:12 44:22 94:10 99:10 148:19	
	<b>admission</b> 1:23 157:25 158:6	<b>agreements</b> 162:7	<b>anyway</b> 25:8 72:5 96:5 148:3 149:15 149:22	
	<b>admission</b> 1:23 157:25 158:6	<b>agreements</b> 162:7	<b>Apart</b> 9:15	

87:16 97:13 98:19	<b>attached</b> 4:3	60:10,13 61:10	133:12 135:13,14	45:16 61:7 79:19
100:13 101:19,21	<b>attack</b> 140:11	67:19 77:4,13	142:4 152:7,12,13	79:25 80:4 112:10
102:23 103:4,8	<b>attempt</b> 29:24,25	90:18 93:20	153:1,4 174:10,16	<b>betrayed</b> 26:12
107:19,22,25	51:22 113:5	104:12 111:12	174:19	27:8
109:25 113:18	<b>attempted</b> 51:21	117:19 121:16	<b>Barton's</b> 133:18	<b>better</b> 64:5
114:5,9 116:24	162:15	127:21 128:11,14	<b>base</b> 101:23	<b>beyond</b> 43:20 46:7
127:16,18 131:13	<b>attend</b> 143:2	129:14 155:2	<b>based</b> 2:5 6:19	104:14 107:14
163:25 165:8,9	170:13 171:19	<b>awareness</b> 116:17	71:18 100:24	<b>big</b> 16:4 112:7
166:5 168:6	<b>attended</b> 3:22		111:2 135:7	<b>bigger</b> 73:25
<b>asking</b> 45:12 49:20	140:25 170:21	<b>B</b>	<b>basically</b> 47:19	<b>Bill</b> 33:3
50:3,8 79:11	<b>attending</b> 170:16	<b>back</b> 11:9,20 12:17	57:9	<b>billing</b> 151:9
99:18 113:15,21	172:6	12:21 33:8 40:7	<b>basing</b> 151:3 152:3	<b>billion</b> 35:8 57:18
145:21 149:5	<b>attention</b> 25:22,23	42:9 43:21 45:23	<b>basis</b> 1:19 73:22	58:2 155:8
<b>aspect</b> 168:11	28:17 39:8 53:11	66:12,14 72:13	99:24 133:3	<b>bin</b> 47:3
<b>assassination</b> 164:4	95:11,19 96:10	76:21 77:22 80:6	134:15 159:22	<b>Binning</b> 143:1
<b>assassins</b> 18:20	98:11,16,21 99:7	81:4 83:20 84:13	161:2	<b>bit</b> 12:14 54:1
<b>assertion</b> 156:11	99:13,22 101:13	85:23 86:14 87:2	<b>bear</b> 109:13,14	69:12,20,20
<b>assertions</b> 43:5,20	102:2,8,11,15	88:22 95:25 99:19	112:5 116:4,6	105:22 148:7
156:11 160:23	103:20 105:5	106:3,19,21,25	128:22 160:3	<b>bitty</b> 69:12
<b>assess</b> 24:4 66:21	107:9 123:8	107:9 113:18	166:6	<b>blackmail</b> 17:11
159:18	138:14 147:9	127:15,16 128:20	<b>bearing</b> 88:15 89:4	20:9 49:13
<b>assessing</b> 40:13	150:9,12 152:4	129:21 134:17	<b>began</b> 29:17	<b>blogger</b> 156:1
170:18 171:5	166:20 172:3,16	142:15 143:11	<b>BEGGS</b> 36:3 43:23	<b>blood</b> 160:22
<b>assessment</b> 137:25	<b>attributable</b> 111:21	149:23,24 150:5	44:8,18 75:1,2,24	<b>BMW</b> 126:22 159:8
138:21	<b>attribute</b> 160:1	156:25 164:24	174:9	<b>body</b> 134:19,24
<b>assets</b> 128:7	<b>attributed</b> 29:11	165:10 168:3	<b>beginning</b> 97:15	<b>book</b> 136:12
<b>assigned</b> 82:13	<b>August</b> 1:14 4:21	<b>background</b> 51:2	<b>begins</b> 169:19	<b>border</b> 59:12,24
112:8	8:11 24:22 26:21	67:16 83:2 89:7	<b>behalf</b> 45:13 61:5	100:19
<b>assist</b> 10:19 136:25	79:24 120:4	94:5 129:23 130:9	75:2 85:6 97:22	<b>born</b> 10:7,8
141:5 142:5 165:8	<b>authorities</b> 17:19	154:12	109:3 116:23	<b>bottom</b> 8:7 29:5
166:8	27:23 28:13 30:16	<b>backup</b> 89:21	<b>Belarus</b> 71:25 72:3	31:1,5 34:12 41:3
<b>assistance</b> 9:15	53:24 54:24 104:3	<b>bad</b> 147:17 148:14	<b>belief</b> 8:14 79:20,25	102:25 103:1
26:4 76:12 78:25	104:7,10,14	<b>bags</b> 92:12	80:4	147:13
83:9 122:7 141:7	161:10 162:3,5,10	<b>balance</b> 133:21	<b>believe</b> 8:5,15 11:4	<b>box</b> 62:15 63:2
<b>assisting</b> 2:11 5:9	163:5,16 164:3,13	<b>bank</b> 18:4 33:8,21	11:16,17 14:10	106:9
9:23	164:20	34:5 35:6 36:1	15:21,24 26:5	<b>brackets</b> 71:25
<b>associated</b> 161:23	<b>authority</b> 83:6	37:6 44:25 57:7	38:22 39:6 50:24	<b>Bradley</b> 152:21
<b>assume</b> 37:5,7 40:5	<b>availability</b> 169:9	57:19 70:12	52:23,24 64:9	<b>break</b> 67:8,9
67:18 68:21	<b>available</b> 62:1 71:5	<b>banks</b> 18:6 33:22	75:20 76:18 99:5	105:15 106:2
145:18	79:6,7 121:21,22	163:16	103:9 115:3 118:9	153:13,19,22
<b>assumed</b> 151:2	122:2 160:12	<b>banner</b> 27:17	140:5 156:18	157:13
<b>assuming</b> 133:4	172:9	<b>Barrington</b> 82:23	<b>believed</b> 1:15 3:6	<b>breaking</b> 137:10
<b>assumption</b> 42:22	<b>avenues</b> 115:13	82:23	<b>belong</b> 1:16 3:6	<b>bribe</b> 60:3 74:15
70:8	153:17	<b>Barton</b> 54:5,10	42:13 45:2	101:4
<b>assumptions</b> 37:10	<b>aware</b> 8:16 14:23	76:1,2 78:10	<b>belongs</b> 37:5	<b>bribes</b> 43:14
<b>attach</b> 81:10	15:1,6,20 56:10	109:16,22 133:1,4	<b>best</b> 8:13 36:9,13	<b>brief</b> 16:18

<b>briefed</b> 2:22,23 97:19 112:1	118:15 124:4,6 128:19 129:4	<b>careful</b> 14:14 102:8	120:13,25 165:14	14:8 36:14 90:23
<b>briefing</b> 3:4 13:24 67:16	136:8,9 142:21	<b>carefully</b> 102:14 167:18 168:11	170:8	112:2 132:13
<b>briefly</b> 1:10 15:21 46:4 70:9 80:6	143:21 146:5,7,9	<b>carried</b> 3:24 77:11	<b>certainly</b> 46:20	134:16 160:24
89:23 138:24	146:12,13 150:19	88:9 141:21	48:11 73:2 104:12	171:25
168:14,19	150:19 158:10	163:13	112:1 117:20	<b>citizen</b> 163:19
<b>brigade</b> 163:11	<b>bundles</b> 61:18	<b>carry</b> 19:5 85:5	130:2 151:24	164:5
<b>bring</b> 3:15 18:20	116:12	102:23 123:17	154:7 157:11	<b>citizens</b> 164:7
95:19 96:9 98:11	<b>Burden</b> 93:21	137:20	<b>certificate</b> 7:9	<b>civil</b> 49:2,18
99:22 102:2,10	136:22 150:8	<b>cart</b> 123:18	<b>certify</b> 164:14	<b>civilian</b> 2:19 8:24
<b>bringing</b> 49:1,17	<b>Burden's</b> 143:14	<b>case</b> 9:17,25 10:16	<b>cetera</b> 81:15 145:21	45:8 47:11 49:16
50:8 103:19	149:3 150:3	12:18,19 13:24	151:10	76:7 97:24
<b>brings</b> 165:23	<b>business</b> 4:18 37:16	14:15,18,23 15:2	<b>Chaika</b> 155:25	<b>claim</b> 155:15
<b>Bristol</b> 124:1,9	37:22 38:3,14,21	15:18 28:10 29:20	156:10,25	<b>claiming</b> 92:18
<b>British</b> 93:2,11,13	40:14 43:11 51:24	30:7,10 48:21,24	<b>chain</b> 69:8,18	<b>clarified</b> 29:24
94:22 162:4 169:3	79:8	71:17 76:5,15	<b>chance</b> 168:22	127:9
169:14	<b>businesses</b> 7:7,8	80:22 84:17 85:19	<b>changed</b> 90:15	<b>clarify</b> 78:24 85:1
<b>broad</b> 64:13 168:24	89:8	94:7 101:15	131:5	111:14 133:17
<b>broadly</b> 119:4	<b>businessman</b> 44:23	107:16 113:3	<b>channel</b> 156:6	152:10
<b>broken</b> 148:5	<b>Button</b> 142:23	114:3 128:17	<b>chaotic</b> 41:18	<b>clarifying</b> 79:5
<b>brother-in-law</b>	<b>Buzzfeed</b> 152:17,20	129:10 132:4	<b>chapter</b> 125:10	<b>Clarke-O'Connell</b>
52:19	160:21,21 161:2	134:17 138:22	<b>Charles</b> 92:1	1:9 2:18 7:17,18
<b>brought</b> 95:10	<b>C</b>	139:16,21 140:16	<b>chased</b> 165:11	7:21,22 8:1,16
98:21 102:15	<b>calculated</b> 21:20	140:18 141:16,18	<b>chat</b> 17:14	45:12 54:11 61:2
105:4 107:9 123:8	<b>calendar</b> 17:25	144:11 152:13,18	<b>Chechen</b> 164:7	95:8 97:24 98:21
130:17 138:13	<b>call</b> 48:10 49:8	162:12,17	<b>checking</b> 29:17	99:4,11 100:3
<b>Browder</b> 33:3	51:12 59:7 81:14	<b>case-by-case</b>	<b>chemical</b> 132:7	101:20 102:10,13
73:17 154:2,18,20	148:4 158:13	134:15	141:1	103:4,19 107:8,14
155:12 174:20	<b>called</b> 8:16 26:12	<b>cases</b> 53:8 140:15	<b>chief</b> 161:19	108:11 111:12
<b>Browder's</b> 153:23	52:8 160:22 165:6	141:11,13 146:21	<b>choose</b> 96:12	137:6,17 138:20
156:23 170:4	<b>calls</b> 93:14,19	<b>catch</b> 59:18	<b>chose</b> 88:24	139:5 174:4
<b>Browne</b> 87:10	94:12,20 146:17	<b>categories</b> 18:14	<b>Christmas</b> 11:16	<b>Clarke-O'Conne...</b>
91:14,15 95:3	147:4 150:25	<b>category</b> 33:7	12:20	55:15 103:14
122:4 144:14	151:21	<b>cause</b> 85:11 86:25	<b>chronological</b> 73:2	<b>clean</b> 71:6
150:4,6,13,16,19	<b>campaign</b> 155:21	99:19 109:15,18	<b>chronologically</b>	<b>clear</b> 3:11 4:8 16:8
150:23,24 151:6	<b>capabilities</b> 18:15	129:13 139:11	58:21	19:20 23:18 38:11
152:2 174:13,18	<b>capable</b> 120:14	149:15,16	<b>chronology</b> 73:23	38:17 41:21 44:15
<b>building</b> 47:7	<b>Capital</b> 15:7 17:19	<b>causes</b> 94:7	130:6	45:23 55:17 73:7
<b>bullet</b> 54:18	28:12 30:15	<b>caution</b> 171:20	<b>CIA</b> 155:6 170:4	73:22 74:4 81:22
<b>bundle</b> 1:12 7:24	<b>captain</b> 163:10	<b>caveat</b> 90:24	<b>CID</b> 2:5,8 3:22 6:19	88:4 99:17 109:8
28:25 29:3,4	<b>car</b> 159:8 161:4,5	<b>CCTV</b> 127:2,8	137:3	111:18 126:11
34:10 49:8,8,9	<b>card</b> 18:7 33:22	159:13,15,18	<b>circuit</b> 120:24	133:16 152:9,9
61:18 63:2 79:12	126:3,14	<b>cent</b> 133:19	<b>circular</b> 123:15	170:8,22 171:17
97:17 116:5	<b>care</b> 170:19 172:15	<b>central</b> 108:2	<b>circulated</b> 158:10	<b>clearance</b> 137:4
	173:1,6	<b>certain</b> 46:16 83:23	161:10 163:9	<b>cleared</b> 137:3,24
		85:4 95:18 118:9	165:20	<b>clearer</b> 118:6
			<b>circumstances</b> 2:12	<b>clearly</b> 4:15 69:25

82:3 87:9,9 94:2 132:7 140:17 141:13 171:3 <b>click</b> 4:5 <b>client</b> 54:14 134:9 <b>clients</b> 169:16,23 <b>clock</b> 105:17 <b>closed</b> 152:18 <b>closely</b> 64:15 114:6 127:1 131:22 <b>clumsy</b> 120:23 <b>coach</b> 127:3,5,6 <b>code</b> 155:7 <b>codes</b> 18:7 33:22 <b>cold</b> 48:20 <b>collapsed</b> 134:10 <b>colleague</b> 61:10 64:8 68:4,11 69:19 77:6 <b>colleagues</b> 68:7 112:3 <b>college</b> 7:12 <b>column</b> 145:13 <b>combination</b> 34:6 <b>combined</b> 113:11 132:17 <b>come</b> 9:25 11:5,10 12:17,21 13:23 23:23 36:15 51:6 62:9 94:6 144:11 146:21 149:16 165:10 <b>comes</b> 30:19 60:22 61:17 76:9 116:12 149:10 167:25 <b>coming</b> 4:3 28:6 48:19 66:17 70:3 127:3 132:1 168:2 <b>commas</b> 51:6 <b>comment</b> 97:7 <b>comments</b> 169:14 <b>commissioned</b> 85:5 96:7 131:3 <b>committed</b> 163:19 <b>committee</b> 29:16 162:11	<b>common</b> 110:11 <b>communicating</b> 111:9 <b>communication</b> 75:20 <b>communications</b> 71:1,7,20 <b>community</b> 166:6 <b>companies</b> 7:3,5 14:6 15:7 34:14 34:20 103:6 <b>company</b> 15:9 35:1 39:11,11 52:14 103:5 113:16 117:14 126:10 161:4 <b>compare</b> 5:3,23 63:7 68:11 <b>comparing</b> 68:14 <b>comparison</b> 133:8 133:13 <b>comparisons</b> 132:3 140:9 <b>compiled</b> 77:12,12 99:6 <b>complaining</b> 133:12,13 <b>complete</b> 41:11,14 <b>completed</b> 5:12 115:7 166:19,22 <b>completely</b> 133:3 133:14 <b>completeness</b> 172:4 <b>complex</b> 89:7 <b>complicated</b> 89:6 <b>composite</b> 71:7 <b>comprehensive</b> 88:11 <b>computer</b> 1:15 3:6 3:7,17 4:2,7,19 5:16 6:17,24 8:18 11:1 12:10 13:17 16:10,16,22 18:8 21:6,13 23:20 26:3 33:17 34:11 35:24 37:4,7	38:14 39:1,13 40:9,11,16,20 41:15,20 45:17 46:11 48:17 52:11 58:9 61:10 62:2,4 70:6 77:2,5,12 78:7 79:9 83:1,3 83:10,18 84:20 85:7 86:3 87:6,9 87:14 88:2,5,20 89:13 95:12,17 96:8,8 98:1,6 106:11,17 110:1 112:7,20,22 114:16 116:18 119:23 120:5,17 137:2 138:6 <b>computers</b> 87:19 <b>concentrating</b> 66:25 <b>concepts</b> 28:20 <b>concern</b> 18:2 33:10 33:19 129:9 169:16,23 170:6 <b>concerned</b> 54:3 74:20 88:10 91:10 108:3 109:8 120:10 139:7 151:22 163:17 166:18 <b>concerning</b> 6:13 107:6 163:14 <b>concerns</b> 17:20 27:25 129:12 140:20 <b>conclude</b> 155:12 <b>concluded</b> 85:8 86:24 90:5,6 152:13 157:19 166:16 <b>concludes</b> 153:5 157:12 <b>concluding</b> 152:18 <b>conclusion</b> 90:12 94:6 123:10 131:6 <b>conclusions</b> 90:16	167:8 <b>conduct</b> 29:25 111:3 130:8 133:2 133:20 135:8,9 <b>conducted</b> 1:16 29:15 79:4 139:20 141:3,3 169:5 <b>conducting</b> 15:20 88:13 91:2 <b>confess</b> 95:7 <b>confidence</b> 161:2 <b>confident</b> 149:25 <b>confidentiality</b> 2:25 <b>confidently</b> 104:23 <b>confirm</b> 3:1 4:11 4:24 5:1,18,19 6:9 162:10 <b>confirmation</b> 170:3 <b>confirmed</b> 6:8 <b>conflation</b> 28:20 <b>confused</b> 95:8 <b>conjunction</b> 119:16 <b>connected</b> 3:17 12:8 15:23 158:22 162:15 <b>connection</b> 2:6 3:1 3:14 5:5 <b>connections</b> 15:12 <b>consider</b> 40:21 76:22 <b>considerations</b> 141:9 168:1 <b>considered</b> 54:8 87:25 160:18 <b>consistent</b> 41:19 69:17 77:20 <b>Constable</b> 2:4,8 <b>construed</b> 89:6 <b>contact</b> 2:14 6:11 6:12 78:1 132:8 143:1 148:12 <b>contacted</b> 2:9,14 10:17 85:14 <b>contain</b> 44:1 84:20 <b>contained</b> 1:15	6:25 82:25 84:5 88:3 96:10 98:16 <b>contains</b> 51:15 <b>contemporaneous</b> 43:24 44:6 <b>content</b> 5:25 17:8 53:11 82:9 83:24 87:21 114:14 138:6 145:25 151:10 <b>contents</b> 32:18 82:25 84:5,19 85:6 90:10 95:17 97:7 112:7 148:18 <b>context</b> 11:19 13:25 23:14,19 25:23 26:4 30:16 35:14 35:23 37:1,14 69:10 77:24 118:9 119:15 <b>continue</b> 138:2 <b>continues</b> 161:13 <b>continuity</b> 3:19 81:22 <b>contradict</b> 12:3 <b>contribute</b> 83:15 <b>control</b> 22:2 23:12 52:1 128:7 <b>controlled</b> 51:25 <b>controversial</b> 172:1 <b>conventional</b> 82:10 <b>conversation</b> 23:19 113:20,21 <b>converse</b> 111:14 <b>convoluted</b> 163:23 <b>copied</b> 28:21 <b>copies</b> 5:7 13:3 28:10 29:6 30:7 30:10 61:17,19 71:1 80:24 162:20 165:3,15 <b>copy</b> 1:23 4:22 5:1 5:2,4,16,20,22 27:1 32:7 82:1 83:20 84:7,9,10 84:11,15 85:17
---	---	---	---	---



86:13,25 87:2,4,5 89:21 106:13,25 115:21 116:10 122:18 128:22,23 158:7 168:20 169:18 <b>coroner</b> 1:5,25 15:14 23:10,13 24:1,17,25 25:2 36:10,19 38:11,17 39:16 44:6,13,19 50:4 55:7,13,18 56:16 58:14 60:17 60:19 61:22 67:9 71:11,13 72:5 74:6,21 78:12,16 89:20 92:24 93:5 96:13,17,24 97:1 97:5 104:24 105:20 106:1 114:11 123:20,21 123:25 125:9 127:5 128:23 129:8 130:7,24 131:9 133:4,11 134:8 135:12 142:7,11 145:11 145:23 146:4,9,15 147:3,8,13,15,19 148:1,11,16 149:1 149:7,18,21 150:1 150:12,15,18,20 152:6,11,24 153:2 153:7,11,14 154:1 154:7,22,24,25 155:2,17 156:18 157:11,14 158:15 166:3,11 167:11 167:20 168:18 169:6,22 170:14 171:14,24 172:2 173:3,7,10 <b>coroner's</b> 121:16 122:17 125:9 162:6 <b>coronial</b> 121:15	<b>correct</b> 6:7 8:10,12 9:9 10:6 11:2 12:9,11 17:16 18:16 19:14 25:19 42:22 46:13 48:12 48:23 54:15,16,23 68:8 70:15 76:6 76:23 77:3,25 79:17,18 80:11 81:23,24 83:5,7 85:13,18 86:14,19 87:7 89:22 90:8,9 92:11,14 93:17 96:16 97:3 99:9 99:24 101:12 102:4 103:10 106:17,23 107:4 107:12 112:16,21 114:8 117:8 118:23 120:8 121:9 123:23 124:16 126:6 130:10,19 131:11 132:22 135:18 137:14 138:3,15 141:19 142:20 <b>corrected</b> 61:25 <b>correspond</b> 22:23 55:23 <b>correspondence</b> 17:18 27:21 31:3 53:19,20 104:2,4 111:9 165:4 <b>corruption</b> 156:1,2 <b>cost</b> 113:16,22 <b>counsel</b> 156:22 172:20 173:1 <b>count</b> 107:18 <b>Counter</b> 2:10 83:12 83:15 106:18 <b>counteracted</b> 31:15 <b>countering</b> 18:21 <b>countermanding</b> 54:15 <b>countries</b> 123:7 <b>country</b> 89:9 166:6	<b>couple</b> 75:2 91:18 <b>course</b> 1:24 28:24 55:13 70:4 82:15 87:23 89:16 92:2 93:14 94:2 102:19 104:2 107:19 109:12 114:11 116:18 119:19 132:8 139:4 140:10 144:11 156:16 157:7,19 158:13,21 162:25 163:2 170:7 172:1 172:21 173:4 <b>court</b> 7:20 10:4 28:10 29:8 30:7 30:10 31:16,21 32:25 53:8 86:25 91:19 115:21 117:10 132:14 138:21 165:25 <b>courtroom</b> 7:23 <b>courts</b> 31:5 <b>coverage</b> 131:20,21 131:23 <b>covered</b> 86:5 109:4 114:17,23 134:16 <b>cow</b> 26:12 27:8 52:9 <b>credibility</b> 171:9 <b>credit</b> 18:7 33:22 126:3,14 <b>crime</b> 3:23 5:11 50:18 51:5,7 80:8 82:5 83:9,22 108:9,12,21 110:8 160:9 <b>crimes</b> 162:15 <b>criminal</b> 49:2,3,18 50:9 60:3 81:21 101:5 110:12,15 162:12,17 163:11 <b>criminality</b> 89:5 <b>criminals</b> 49:19 <b>crisis</b> 145:4 <b>criteria</b> 76:16	<b>critical</b> 168:10 172:1 <b>criticise</b> 99:10 <b>criticised</b> 156:4 <b>criticising</b> 64:3 <b>criticism</b> 58:17 <b>CT</b> 137:24 <b>CTC</b> 137:3 <b>current</b> 115:2,7 162:7 <b>currently</b> 2:5 6:19 115:3,4 <b>cursor</b> 41:20 <b>custody</b> 93:16 <hr/> <b>D</b> <b>D</b> 116:6 174:1 <b>D172</b> 116:7 <b>D52</b> 118:1 <b>dairy</b> 7:1,2,3,5 <b>damn</b> 145:4 <b>dangerous</b> 132:7 <b>Daphne</b> 86:7 <b>data</b> 37:5 40:12 62:22 65:23 75:5 114:9 115:3,11,13 120:9 121:6 <b>date</b> 2:17 22:5,10 22:20 24:1,3,6,23 26:16 29:10 30:19 30:20 39:19,21 58:5,10 79:15 96:3 116:14 118:11 119:22 120:1 136:19 150:4 159:23 160:7 165:23 166:12 167:8 <b>dated</b> 1:14 8:11 16:11 20:17 21:11 26:21 41:7 72:15 79:24 80:2 142:23 144:6 154:20,23 158:17 159:4 163:8 <b>dates</b> 6:1,5,8,9	11:12,16 25:3 40:6 117:23 168:3 <b>dating</b> 45:4 <b>daughter</b> 132:9 140:24 <b>day</b> 2:19 61:1 63:20 72:20 82:7 91:24 92:1,2,17 148:2,4 151:16 159:16 167:23 <b>days</b> 6:3 12:12,20 12:25 15:25 91:18 92:15 112:9 143:18 <b>days/six</b> 143:18 <b>DC</b> 1:8,14 2:2,3,9 2:14,17 4:23,25 5:8 6:4,11 10:17 10:23 11:4,12,19 12:2 13:16 22:15 61:10 68:5 74:22 93:21 95:17 97:22 99:5 115:24 137:2 137:3,7,16 138:20 142:23 143:14 174:3 <b>DCI</b> 136:22 <b>de</b> 92:1 <b>dead</b> 14:9 146:23 <b>deal</b> 26:6 42:1 47:21 76:2 87:12 109:16 116:9 118:16 135:14 140:3 145:23 166:25 167:2 <b>dealing</b> 1:14 5:5 132:6 <b>dealings</b> 89:9 <b>deals</b> 159:4,7 172:17 <b>dealt</b> 40:19 114:22 130:15 134:11,12 134:25 153:15 159:11 <b>death</b> 2:12 18:20 67:1 78:22 85:10
--	--	---	--	--

90:4,23 91:25 92:9,15,16 94:6 94:24 109:15,18 118:12,20 129:13 131:14 134:24 135:7 139:8,14,17 141:16,23 143:18 151:17 152:14,19 155:5 156:19,19 160:11 161:1 162:16,18 164:16 166:7 <b>deaths</b> 109:12 140:10 141:12 166:15 <b>deceased</b> 3:7 13:8 42:14 44:5 98:2 <b>deceased's</b> 6:17 137:2 <b>December</b> 2:7,16 6:3,6,6,21,22 11:14,15 16:11 39:20 43:24 67:23 112:4 117:24,25 122:12,16,19 128:4 136:20 142:23 155:24 158:17 162:2 <b>decide</b> 59:21 <b>decided</b> 154:10 <b>decision</b> 63:19 68:17 136:18,19 136:21 <b>decisions</b> 77:1 101:23 136:16 <b>declined</b> 162:20 <b>deemed</b> 109:22 <b>deeper</b> 77:18 <b>defence</b> 155:14 <b>definitely</b> 68:13 123:21 140:7 149:24 <b>degree</b> 121:5 <b>delayed</b> 1:3 <b>delivered</b> 151:11 <b>delivery</b> 151:12	<b>denied</b> 155:10 <b>departed</b> 91:25 145:21 <b>department</b> 8:23 128:2,6 <b>departments</b> 162:11 <b>dependent</b> 172:7 <b>depending</b> 138:2 <b>depends</b> 13:13 23:14 102:17 <b>depicted</b> 132:6 <b>deputy</b> 136:15,15 <b>describe</b> 28:10 70:22 84:21 86:8 <b>described</b> 11:12 12:5 47:11,23 72:2 85:25 92:19 92:23 93:1 111:23 113:20 <b>describes</b> 13:17 <b>describing</b> 173:5 <b>designating</b> 164:11 <b>destroyed</b> 87:4 107:2 <b>detail</b> 13:23 63:18 67:18 79:3 90:2 114:10 123:22 124:2 127:7 159:12 165:17 <b>detailed</b> 43:11 63:22,23 106:9,12 130:8 <b>details</b> 15:22 22:2 28:18 34:5 64:3 72:9 161:5 162:22 165:20 <b>detective</b> 1:9 2:4 80:19 90:13 96:14 <b>detectives</b> 96:15 <b>detention</b> 59:12,23 100:19 <b>deteriorated</b> 140:19 <b>determine</b> 54:12 96:11 134:19	<b>development</b> 121:10 <b>devices</b> 3:15 112:24 113:2 114:13 143:3 <b>DI</b> 136:22 <b>diagram</b> 58:23 <b>died</b> 14:2 91:11 94:7 118:12 129:11,19 138:17 139:10 142:15 159:16 160:24 <b>difference</b> 97:8 138:21 <b>differences</b> 63:8 <b>different</b> 12:18 13:4 38:5 42:13 44:25 60:8 66:15 71:8,19 73:23 76:7 118:16 121:8 127:6 132:11,13 132:25 140:15 <b>differently</b> 134:11 <b>difficult</b> 54:9 57:21 58:19 69:10 <b>difficulties</b> 69:4 <b>direct</b> 3:10 42:6 43:7 53:15 78:1 <b>direction</b> 136:16 156:12 170:22 171:11 <b>directly</b> 28:2 109:14 160:22 <b>director</b> 52:13 156:14 <b>disagrees</b> 60:21 <b>disc</b> 82:25 85:20,25 86:15 106:13,14 106:16 <b>disclosure</b> 5:13 26:18 <b>discounted</b> 132:24 <b>discovered</b> 100:17 100:20 101:3 105:5 117:3 163:22	<b>discretion</b> 158:13 <b>discs</b> 84:6,19 85:20 85:24 87:9 88:7 <b>discuss</b> 3:2 159:8 <b>discussed</b> 68:16 <b>discussing</b> 68:7 <b>discussion</b> 165:16 <b>disembarking</b> 164:6 <b>disjointed</b> 69:9 <b>disk</b> 18:5 82:22 <b>disposal</b> 47:3 <b>disposed</b> 47:5 <b>dispute</b> 125:5 <b>disputed</b> 1:20 158:1 170:12 <b>disputes</b> 118:4 <b>disrespect</b> 111:18 <b>distant</b> 118:11 <b>distinctions</b> 140:13 <b>distinctly</b> 132:13 <b>divided</b> 68:25 <b>divider</b> 36:20 <b>divine</b> 52:16 <b>Dmitry</b> 65:9 117:4 <b>doc</b> 103:15,16 <b>document</b> 7:25 16:17 19:16 20:2 28:11,15,21 32:7 32:8 34:17,25 35:5,10,21 43:24 43:25 44:7 46:16 48:17 56:25 57:18 62:25 64:2 66:5 71:4,16 77:13 78:7 81:8,12 103:19 104:8,15 104:19,25 105:1,2 105:3,5 116:18 117:25 124:17 128:1,2,4,12,20 143:20 146:8,12 150:10 152:3 <b>documentary</b> 127:10 156:5 <b>documentation</b>	18:1 29:21 118:4 127:22 <b>documented</b> 170:3 <b>documents</b> 1:15 4:14 5:5 6:23 8:17 9:24 10:21 10:25 11:6 13:5 13:10,13 14:16 15:16 19:10 29:8 29:10 30:10 31:5 31:15,16,21 32:10 33:15,25 34:2,5 35:24 36:6,14 37:15,23 38:7,18 40:18 45:8,21 51:6,6,10 52:12 52:16 53:15 55:4 55:23 56:19 58:20 64:17 66:19 68:22 73:9 80:20,23,24 81:2,11,15 87:8 87:10 88:20 106:14 111:22 137:1,8,11,21,23 138:2 146:10 161:15 162:23 166:25 <b>documents/email...</b> 41:7 <b>doing</b> 1:20 10:19 11:3 15:15 43:5 64:6,16 68:4 115:4 119:9 120:24 <b>dollar</b> 57:18 <b>dollars</b> 35:8 58:1 <b>domain</b> 117:15 <b>domestically</b> 90:21 <b>dossier</b> 68:2 <b>doubt</b> 46:6 99:4 <b>download</b> 6:23 62:12 98:6 <b>downloaded</b> 62:7 77:5 98:1 120:14 120:18 <b>downloading</b> 83:10
---	--	--	---	---

<b>downloads</b> 3:6	<b>effectively</b> 84:9	108:10	<b>entry</b> 73:7 113:3	76:3 78:19,20
<b>Dr</b> 132:21 133:20	85:9 86:12 111:7	<b>encouraging</b> 130:2	136:22 138:4	79:2,6,7 86:5
139:19	114:4 169:1	131:7	151:6	87:21 88:16 89:5
<b>draw</b> 99:13 132:3	<b>effects</b> 140:24	<b>encrypted</b> 88:7	<b>equalise</b> 145:1	90:16 91:7,10,16
147:9 150:9	<b>effort</b> 74:15	<b>encryption</b> 19:1	<b>equally</b> 140:23	91:19 92:12,21
167:25	<b>efforts</b> 159:17	<b>endorse</b> 172:11	<b>equipment</b> 3:6	94:2 96:2 99:12
<b>drawn</b> 99:7 140:10	<b>eight</b> 71:24	<b>engage</b> 129:18	<b>equivalent</b> 7:10	100:4,6 102:7,18
152:4 166:20	<b>either</b> 14:24 25:14	<b>engines</b> 51:4	<b>especially</b> 29:23	104:18,23 106:10
172:3,16	45:22 47:4 55:5	<b>English</b> 7:13 10:20	<b>essentially</b> 45:21	106:11 109:10
<b>dreadful</b> 131:23	65:5 90:21 94:21	10:20 20:19,21,22	48:18 68:18,24	111:17 113:12
<b>drew</b> 101:13	107:2 120:15	21:21 26:7,18	112:16 118:18	115:16,20,25
<b>drive</b> 18:6 83:20	141:7,11 143:16	38:9 93:7 116:16	<b>establish</b> 66:9	116:8 117:11
84:16 85:2 86:23	<b>Ekaterina</b> 1:9 2:18	148:22,24 164:13	108:16	118:22,23 119:6
87:1	7:16,18,21,25	<b>enquiries</b> 2:11	<b>established</b> 124:11	119:10,18 123:4
<b>driven</b> 169:9	54:11 55:15 97:24	84:14 85:5 104:13	130:13 141:1	123:12,16 125:3
<b>driver</b> 126:22	100:3 107:8,14	121:14 123:6,13	149:14	125:20,23 126:3
<b>drives</b> 4:3	137:6,17,21 174:4	131:2 158:25	<b>estate</b> 126:23,25	126:18,20,24
<b>DS</b> 78:15 174:11	<b>elaborate</b> 49:5	159:7,11 160:2,8	159:13,16	127:1,9,11,14
<b>due</b> 5:24 162:25	<b>electronic</b> 3:15	160:10 161:4	<b>et</b> 81:15 145:21	130:23 131:1
166:24	143:3,15	163:1 165:13	151:10	132:15,18 133:14
<b>duties</b> 6:9 47:16,17	<b>element</b> 96:22	166:19,22 167:24	<b>euros</b> 74:11,14	133:16,24 134:4
<b>dutifully</b> 55:22	134:7	168:4	<b>Eurostar</b> 65:23	134:17 138:8
<b>Dzhirsa</b> 115:19	<b>elements</b> 119:21	<b>enquiry</b> 80:22 81:3	165:18,19	139:16,21 140:3
116:1 117:7,13	170:10	81:5 85:21 90:21	<b>evening</b> 144:25	140:17 142:2
118:5 122:22	<b>Elmira</b> 65:20	90:25 123:5,13,18	<b>event</b> 89:17 94:12	144:14 145:18,22
	135:20 151:8,14	126:15,22 129:20	125:8	146:16 147:4
<b>E</b>	<b>Elmira's</b> 151:10	130:1,21 141:25	<b>events</b> 69:19 86:9	151:3,22 152:8,9
<b>E</b> 174:1	<b>else's</b> 37:6	149:11 160:14	131:23	153:6,9 154:3,5
<b>earlier</b> 41:17 52:2	<b>email</b> 17:14 18:1	162:6 164:4,19	<b>everybody</b> 153:16	154:18,25 155:1
57:10 62:20 87:16	147:9	165:2 167:21,23	168:9,12 172:6	155:10 156:17,23
114:17 117:10	<b>emails</b> 75:20	<b>ensued</b> 84:22	173:12	156:24 157:12,18
130:4 138:8 168:6	110:14 121:2	<b>ensure</b> 5:14 88:6	<b>everyday</b> 47:20	157:20,24,25
<b>early</b> 91:25 117:20	165:3	113:16	<b>everyone's</b> 136:8	158:2,3,5,7,16
120:2 123:9	<b>embassy</b> 168:15,21	<b>entered</b> 106:22	<b>evidence</b> 1:7,11,18	160:19 165:1,24
131:15 138:5	170:1	<b>entire</b> 77:4	1:21 2:2 3:20	169:24 170:12,18
141:13	<b>emergency</b> 92:19	<b>entirely</b> 4:17 38:3	8:17 11:5 17:11	170:20,24,25
<b>ease</b> 123:1	<b>emotions</b> 145:2	38:19 140:15	20:9 26:23 36:4,5	171:2,5,13,18,25
<b>easily</b> 121:21	<b>employ</b> 47:21	141:24 142:3	36:9,13 38:11,17	172:7,12,13 174:3
<b>easy</b> 122:4	<b>employed</b> 47:22	173:4	42:6 44:3 45:16	174:20,21
<b>EDAD</b> 28:12 29:11	76:7	<b>entirety</b> 90:19	46:15,18 48:16	<b>evidential</b> 44:9
30:15 31:3 53:20	<b>employee</b> 2:19,24	111:16 125:10	49:1,13 51:21	54:7
72:16	8:24	<b>entitled</b> 1:23 6:16	54:2,12,17 55:9	<b>exact</b> 2:17 4:11 5:1
<b>Edmond</b> 155:6	<b>employees</b> 29:17	54:14 158:6	55:16 59:3 60:14	5:20,24 6:1 11:15
<b>effect</b> 83:25 85:24	<b>enable</b> 86:2 94:11	<b>entries</b> 5:7 17:25	61:9 62:1 65:2	25:3 120:1 135:2
88:1 113:11	<b>enables</b> 137:23	45:5 70:23 72:2	66:10 67:18 68:24	<b>exactly</b> 2:23 4:15
132:17 171:11	<b>encapsulated</b> 108:8	<b>entrusted</b> 102:9,14	74:8,21 75:10,17	21:15 42:14 44:8

45:1 62:10,19 63:19 92:5 96:25 111:15 119:3 155:18 <b>examination</b> 3:7 4:8,19 5:16 16:10 16:22 46:11 48:18 58:9 64:20 87:15 95:12 102:21 110:1,22 114:13 138:12 <b>examinations</b> 83:22 127:13 <b>examine</b> 88:1 96:23 112:6 <b>examined</b> 4:6 77:6 77:7 83:1 84:11 88:5 90:1 <b>examining</b> 75:10 83:10 87:18 114:12 <b>example</b> 22:17 35:16 43:12 46:9 46:15 48:25 51:5 52:12,18 59:10,24 60:2 96:15 97:5 110:4,15 118:11 121:1,17 122:20 125:24 126:22 130:2,21 131:7 170:11 <b>examples</b> 155:23 <b>Excel</b> 4:13 6:22 13:7 17:17 51:11 <b>exchange</b> 29:19 113:8,9 114:6 131:16 <b>exchanged</b> 92:2 <b>exchanges</b> 92:5 120:25 151:14,16 151:19 <b>excluded</b> 132:21 139:18 <b>excuse</b> 80:18 136:14 <b>exercise</b> 159:21	<b>exercises</b> 69:6 <b>exhausted</b> 115:13 <b>exhaustive</b> 141:17 <b>exhaustively</b> 57:15 <b>exhibit</b> 4:24 5:17 5:21 6:3 16:23 81:25 82:1,2,3,4 82:17,20 83:17 84:9 85:16 <b>exhibited</b> 78:8 90:11 92:9 <b>exhibits</b> 80:9 81:18 81:20,22,24 82:6 82:6,10 87:1 106:22 142:18 157:1,8 <b>existence</b> 122:9 164:10 <b>existentialist</b> 145:4 <b>expect</b> 146:20 <b>expectation</b> 76:19 84:7 <b>expected</b> 98:13 154:14 <b>expediency</b> 171:19 <b>expensive</b> 113:19 <b>experience</b> 9:14,20 10:1 48:14 54:7 <b>experienced</b> 140:5 <b>expert</b> 24:4 44:2 132:14,17 133:14 <b>experts</b> 131:4 132:1 132:19 <b>explain</b> 80:7,12 82:19 87:15 118:21 <b>explained</b> 3:4,8,18 74:2 95:16 119:11 127:15 <b>explains</b> 34:17 128:25 <b>explanation</b> 162:4 163:4 <b>explore</b> 46:3 <b>exposing</b> 156:2 <b>express</b> 173:1	<b>expressed</b> 54:22 98:3 <b>expressing</b> 129:8 <b>extension</b> 167:5 <b>extensive</b> 86:19 123:11 131:20,22 141:25 <b>extent</b> 77:16 171:21 <b>extort</b> 113:5 <b>extortion</b> 74:15 <b>extortionate</b> 51:22 <b>extortionist</b> 51:25 <b>extra</b> 64:11 <b>extract</b> 115:19 <b>extracted</b> 21:8 <b>extracts</b> 153:23 <b>extraordinarily</b> 36:4 <b>extreme</b> 29:20 151:6 <hr/> <b>F</b> <hr/> <b>face</b> 126:14 <b>facie</b> 44:3 <b>fact</b> 42:9 71:18 76:7 83:14 92:3 94:21 108:17 110:7 118:25 133:13 139:7 141:5 149:22 151:13 156:8 159:23 163:17 165:10 169:18 <b>factor</b> 141:9 <b>factors</b> 139:24 141:20 <b>facts</b> 135:2,2,3 <b>factual</b> 89:7 <b>failed</b> 151:12,25 <b>failures</b> 86:10 <b>fair</b> 44:4 48:1 67:18 68:6 106:12,24 134:1 171:1 <b>fairly</b> 126:11 130:14 149:24 <b>fairness</b> 86:19	<b>fake</b> 49:1,18 <b>fall</b> 134:21 162:6 <b>falls</b> 25:17 <b>false</b> 133:3 <b>falsified</b> 31:15 <b>familiar</b> 29:8 32:25 47:16 88:11 <b>family</b> 40:11 44:24 57:5 79:8 126:25 142:22 148:8 <b>far</b> 55:9 67:18 74:20 77:4 78:6 88:10 91:10 120:9 121:22 132:18 139:6 151:22 163:16 166:18 <b>farming</b> 37:22 38:5 <b>fast</b> 137:24 <b>fault</b> 45:22 <b>fear</b> 40:22 <b>Fear-Segal</b> 45:11 45:12 50:8 54:8 55:12,14,20 56:18 60:18,22 95:4,6,7 96:20 97:4 105:17 106:8 108:25 110:19 139:4 174:7,14 <b>fears</b> 122:11 <b>feature</b> 60:12 <b>featured</b> 108:22 <b>February</b> 128:24 <b>fed</b> 4:4 <b>Federation</b> 29:17 161:25 162:12 <b>feel</b> 41:11,14 57:16 <b>feeling</b> 12:19 <b>fellow</b> 61:13 <b>felt</b> 65:25 87:25 88:7,20 98:22 129:20 <b>fifth</b> 35:5 160:20 <b>figure</b> 21:16 52:11 52:18 58:24 113:17 <b>figures</b> 108:2	<b>file</b> 4:7 22:14,14 23:15 51:10,14,14 62:8 66:22 136:11 161:12,15 <b>files</b> 4:5,5,6,14 6:23 7:9 11:8,9 12:10 13:2 22:11 23:21 24:7 41:17 62:7 161:18 162:17,20 <b>fill</b> 120:5 <b>filled</b> 7:11 120:20 <b>final</b> 73:7 79:15,16 89:23 131:12 134:8 164:22 <b>finally</b> 19:5 43:16 <b>finance</b> 18:8 <b>financial</b> 29:25 35:24 38:2 91:8 <b>financing</b> 156:5 <b>find</b> 13:14 20:20 27:16 39:4 56:7 57:3 62:17 64:22 70:19,22 71:10 72:1,6 73:9,11 75:10 85:14 86:25 99:16 110:4 143:20 146:7 148:5 150:8 169:21 <b>finding</b> 161:13 <b>findings</b> 170:15,17 <b>fine</b> 55:2 106:1 <b>finish</b> 105:20 138:12 <b>finished</b> 68:22 <b>finishes</b> 31:15 <b>firm</b> 29:24 <b>first</b> 20:9 24:3,6 28:10 31:19 34:2 37:1 48:9,11 50:14,18 72:13,14 75:8 79:10,12 80:6,12 85:1 93:7 97:20 101:15 106:8 129:18 130:15 137:8,10
--	---	---	---	---

139:20 140:25	<b>forces</b> 83:13,22	100:4 108:20	45:7,10 46:10	<b>Gilly</b> 4:21 5:16
142:25 144:6,18	<b>foreign</b> 38:8 128:6	115:17,18 116:1	61:3 64:17 66:12	<b>girl</b> 145:10 147:22
148:12 149:3	<b>forensic</b> 3:5 46:11	125:12 137:22	66:14 77:18 78:24	<b>give</b> 8:17 22:5 26:4
158:17 159:7	46:16 48:17 58:9	146:23	78:25 90:6 104:21	32:8 59:3 65:9
166:4 168:25	77:22 78:7 84:20	<b>four</b> 6:3 12:12	107:13 109:12	71:6,12 78:19
<b>firstly</b> 135:14	86:2,6 87:8 95:12	83:13 143:18	111:4 115:5	83:2 100:6 104:18
158:19	106:16,17 132:1	153:24	119:22 123:22	104:23 150:20
<b>five</b> 4:10 13:11,11	134:25 135:9	<b>fourth</b> 34:19 72:4	124:1 125:19	155:23 162:20
83:15	139:19 160:2	<b>Fourthly</b> 160:8	126:21 128:19	165:1 170:22
<b>five-line</b> 147:16	<b>forensically</b> 83:24	<b>fragmentary</b> 36:6	131:3 138:1	<b>given</b> 2:7 3:12,16
<b>flag</b> 13:19 43:2	84:3	44:11	142:12 144:16	5:20,22,25 11:6,7
57:12 76:16 114:5	<b>forgive</b> 114:17	<b>France</b> 93:16	150:23 152:12	12:5 13:18,24
<b>flagged</b> 76:19,21	120:8 144:20	159:10 163:20	158:25 159:9	16:8,13 17:3
77:20 110:24	145:6 147:22	164:3,15	162:21,22 163:1	18:10,13 19:6,25
122:12 138:19	169:18	<b>fraud</b> 15:6 54:4	163:13 164:23	22:23 32:10 33:25
<b>flagging</b> 64:7 77:17	<b>forgiven</b> 145:21	59:4 91:2 100:8	165:2 172:25,25	58:5 64:21 66:1,5
<b>flight</b> 164:6,24	<b>form</b> 4:13 17:6,7	103:7,25 104:3	174:17,18,19	66:6 67:3,16
<b>FLOs</b> 142:17 143:2	83:19 133:22	108:2,18 139:13	<b>futile</b> 159:21	78:20 81:13 83:6
<b>focus</b> 66:10 101:25	140:17 141:1	172:23,24 173:5	<b>future</b> 56:24 135:3	83:8,17,19 84:10
<b>focusing</b> 66:2 80:8	157:25	<b>frauds</b> 57:8	167:15	88:16 89:6 94:22
95:21	<b>formal</b> 122:6	<b>free</b> 63:15,16		119:7 128:15
<b>folder</b> 18:7 28:11	142:18	<b>French</b> 38:9,9	<b>G</b>	130:9 131:5
28:16 30:11 32:11	<b>formally</b> 157:3,5	56:20,21 103:16	<b>gap</b> 120:6	135:23 142:15
53:20 68:18 70:23	<b>format</b> 51:13	103:22,25 105:3	<b>gaps</b> 120:10,19,20	143:13 170:18
<b>folders</b> 4:7 33:23	<b>formats</b> 13:4	107:8 123:23	120:22 121:4	<b>gives</b> 102:7
73:1 111:22	<b>formed</b> 43:16	124:7 130:22	<b>gather</b> 105:23	<b>giving</b> 36:5 141:7
<b>follow</b> 22:3 50:11	<b>forming</b> 79:4	163:5,15	<b>gathered</b> 119:18	148:23
164:20	<b>forth</b> 44:11 86:20	<b>Friday</b> 1:1	<b>Gaulle</b> 92:1	<b>glean</b> 104:9
<b>followed</b> 3:25 4:12	<b>forthcoming</b> 161:3	<b>friend</b> 43:25	<b>Gazeta</b> 165:3,7	<b>go</b> 13:14,16 19:18
82:11,12 122:14	162:25	<b>friendly</b> 147:19	<b>GCSE</b> 7:10	20:23 22:14 24:9
122:23 123:9	<b>fortnight</b> 66:11	<b>front</b> 7:23 12:10	<b>gelsemicine</b> 159:1	25:12 28:18 29:7
129:21 162:21	<b>forward</b> 8:6 64:21	29:1 79:12 129:22	<b>general</b> 14:12	30:22 33:8 34:10
<b>following</b> 6:25 17:3	171:13	<b>frozen</b> 58:11	15:10 40:16	38:1 41:1 44:6
19:6 24:15 63:20	<b>found</b> 1:11 7:9,11	<b>fruit</b> 7:2 160:3	155:24 156:9	62:8 63:10,11
82:7 92:17 97:22	8:18 14:9,11	<b>FSB</b> 125:12,14	<b>generality</b> 166:11	64:21 67:17 71:23
115:5 152:20	15:16 20:8,11	<b>fulfilled</b> 96:20	<b>generally</b> 35:25	72:13 73:8 74:6
155:3	21:23 25:22 26:6	<b>full</b> 1:21 87:7 130:2	129:17	76:21 99:16,19
<b>follows</b> 136:23	28:2 32:7 33:15	130:4 136:10	<b>gentleman</b> 14:2	104:14,15 105:24
<b>food</b> 37:23	33:17 34:1 35:5	158:4	65:11 164:23	110:1 114:10
<b>foot</b> 69:3 124:23	39:12,16 42:1,6	<b>fully</b> 111:1 112:1	<b>gentleman's</b> 14:3	119:3 126:5
<b>footage</b> 131:24	42:11,11 51:20	<b>function</b> 95:15,22	<b>George's</b> 159:13	128:20 130:13
132:5 159:15,18	53:15 55:23 59:1	95:24 96:4,21	<b>getting</b> 36:3 41:11	135:1,6 144:16,17
159:23 160:4,6	59:6,10,13,24	97:9,10,14 98:5	41:14 130:21,22	145:24 150:10
<b>football</b> 44:24	60:2 62:17 68:9	<b>Fund</b> 155:9	133:5	164:1
<b>footer</b> 110:14	68:15 69:12 71:22	<b>further</b> 6:12 25:12	<b>Gherson</b> 130:11	<b>goes</b> 27:24 52:5
<b>force</b> 76:8,8	72:11 73:8 88:22	27:24 37:14 42:25	<b>Gilles</b> 163:10	53:18 62:16

169:14	<b>gym</b> 158:25 159:1	15:9,12,14 16:5	130:15 131:7	<b>hole-punch</b> 49:11
<b>going</b> 18:17 28:5	<hr/> <b>H</b> <hr/>	61:9 66:9 74:8	171:8 172:24	50:15
29:12 36:7 38:24	<b>half</b> 13:11 35:7	85:3 87:21 91:21	173:5	<b>holiday</b> 12:16
41:12,15 42:9,12	57:18 58:2 68:25	99:12,24 101:7	<b>Hermitage's</b> 67:22	<b>HOLMES</b> 81:3,9
42:17 43:21 44:20	77:6,6	111:11,17 115:16	<b>Hi</b> 147:21	81:13 82:7
45:2,2,3,20 46:7,8	<b>halfway</b> 57:6 143:5	125:3 131:4	<b>hide</b> 145:3	<b>home</b> 81:3 116:22
55:10 58:15 65:9	<b>Hampshire</b> 83:14	132:17 140:3,5	<b>high</b> 3:22 83:8,22	116:25 117:3,18
67:9 68:19 77:14	<b>hand</b> 5:12 80:24	145:18 153:2	125:7	117:24 134:25
77:22 79:3 97:8	116:9 128:21	154:14	<b>higher</b> 134:2	135:9 139:18
105:14,20 106:2	<b>handed</b> 5:8 27:2	<b>hearing</b> 90:1	<b>highlight</b> 17:20	143:3 163:21
109:16 110:4	61:21 71:13,15	111:20 119:8	<b>highlighted</b> 56:23	166:13
123:17 133:2	129:1,2 150:5	127:23 128:5	114:8	<b>honest</b> 11:22 14:5
136:8,18 139:3	168:20	131:19 158:3,10	<b>highly</b> 159:25	53:1,10 55:11
145:23 149:2	<b>handled</b> 131:15	158:21 163:3	<b>Hill</b> 61:4,5,23 67:5	156:18
150:4 167:4,11	<b>handling</b> 162:12	<b>hearings</b> 71:3	67:15 71:12,15	<b>hope</b> 7:24 94:18
171:13	<b>handover</b> 142:18	82:15 90:5	72:6 74:24 105:24	98:5 109:7 129:4
<b>good</b> 13:10 59:9	<b>hands-on</b> 141:8	<b>heavily</b> 40:13	109:1,2,3,21	167:17 168:4
145:10 147:22	<b>handwritten</b> 144:2	<b>held</b> 9:3 86:1	113:23,25 120:16	172:17
170:15 173:10	<b>hang</b> 147:12	160:11	120:22 122:6	<b>hoping</b> 24:5 28:17
<b>Gordeeva</b> 65:18	<b>happen</b> 131:17	<b>help</b> 10:4,21 17:21	123:21 124:5	<b>horse</b> 123:19
<b>government</b> 155:19	<b>happened</b> 15:10,25	26:23 27:25 30:5	127:6 133:7,10,24	<b>hospital</b> 92:20
156:12 169:4,15	16:6 47:1 68:22	36:7 37:14 61:23	134:2 135:11	94:15
<b>grateful</b> 48:15	84:12 106:10	62:11 71:16,20	153:23 156:21	<b>hotel</b> 124:1,9,14
153:16 168:8	131:16,20 132:3	136:12 142:11	159:13 167:12,19	125:6,15 126:1,4
171:11 173:12	148:13	145:25 148:11	168:13,19 169:13	126:17
<b>gratitude</b> 74:9	<b>happens</b> 89:13	150:4 153:16	169:23 170:15	<b>hours</b> 47:20 63:20
113:8	<b>happy</b> 154:16	168:8 173:11	171:15 172:3,18	64:11 82:4
<b>grave</b> 169:23	165:7	<b>helped</b> 55:10	173:4,9 174:8,15	<b>house</b> 14:10 75:18
<b>great</b> 81:23 99:11	<b>hard</b> 18:5 19:23	112:12 142:6	<b>Hills</b> 113:21	75:21 83:4 127:3
140:3	23:16 25:14,16	<b>helpful</b> 71:10	<b>hire</b> 161:4,5	127:6 163:20
<b>green</b> 29:6 30:23	52:3 55:6 83:20	110:21 111:25	<b>history</b> 18:1,18,21	165:13
<b>Grosvenor</b> 165:13	84:16 85:2 86:23	130:23 133:8	39:2	<b>Housekeeping</b>
<b>ground</b> 109:4 119:3	87:1 106:13,13	154:15	<b>hit</b> 161:23 163:7	166:2 174:22
<b>group</b> 50:19 51:5,7	<b>hare</b> 152:2	<b>helpfully</b> 20:7	<b>Hmm</b> 13:13 20:13	<b>houses</b> 127:5
65:22,24 72:1,6	<b>HDD</b> 18:5	<b>helps</b> 65:22 117:23	21:10 24:13 26:8	<b>HR</b> 47:21
72:10,19 108:9,12	<b>head</b> 125:14	<b>Hermitage</b> 15:7	26:10 28:14 30:4	<b>HSBC</b> 32:14
108:21 110:8,12	<b>heading</b> 84:23	17:19 27:22 28:3	31:2,14,18 32:15	<b>HTCU</b> 3:23
110:15 111:8	114:20,24	28:13,19 29:18	33:1,12,16 34:21	<b>human</b> 42:18
<b>groups</b> 49:4	<b>headings</b> 20:7,8	30:2 32:13 52:14	36:23 37:25 39:14	<b>hypothesis</b> 139:12
<b>guess</b> 21:22 25:6	168:24	53:16,19,24 54:4	42:5 43:18 50:13	<b>hypothesises</b> 139:9
28:18 34:7 46:17	<b>health</b> 18:2 33:10	54:24 56:11 61:5	50:20 53:17,25	139:15
49:23 56:6,9 70:2	33:18	67:19 100:8 103:6	63:3,6 72:12,17	<hr/> <b>I</b> <hr/>
74:16,16	<b>hear</b> 1:8 6:14 7:16	103:9 108:3 109:4	75:14 103:18	<b>Ian</b> 1:10 78:4,15
<b>guest</b> 125:16	45:20 46:24 125:1	109:8 112:2	148:15	174:11
<b>guests</b> 125:8	<b>heard</b> 2:13 10:23	122:12,18 128:24	<b>hold</b> 115:3 166:6	<b>ID</b> 29:10 32:25
<b>guidance</b> 89:2		129:8,18,19 130:2	<b>holder</b> 30:14	

<b>idea</b> 27:13	157:7	67:19 73:23 76:20	<b>interested</b> 1:22	3:2,9,10,20 5:6
<b>identifiable</b> 141:14	<b>included</b> 62:13	77:10 78:6 93:15	37:11 44:23 55:24	6:10,13 9:23
<b>identified</b> 56:20	87:24 100:21	94:11,22 96:12	56:7 158:5,6	29:15 48:5,9,11
73:19,24 74:13	158:9 164:12	104:7,9,10,21	160:5 161:10	54:4,7 56:6,12
98:15 110:7,10	<b>includes</b> 30:2	108:10,20 124:1	163:9 165:21	64:17 77:15 78:20
118:8 126:21	<b>including</b> 155:5	135:5,8 137:1	166:14 167:6	78:21,22 79:4
136:24 149:17	157:25 159:23	158:24 160:11	169:10 172:9	80:9,10,13 82:9
164:7	163:21	161:14,17 162:6	<b>interesting</b> 68:15	82:14 83:19 85:8
<b>identify</b> 28:8 32:12	<b>incoming</b> 145:16	162:17 164:23	69:24,25	85:9 88:10 89:18
34:25 49:24 57:8	<b>incongruous</b> 35:23	165:18	<b>international</b>	91:2 94:8 99:20
112:10 141:17	<b>incorporated</b>	<b>information/doc...</b>	121:23 155:8	102:19 104:5,11
142:2 153:18	103:11	161:18	161:7 163:6	104:12 108:16
<b>ignorance</b> 31:25	<b>incorrect</b> 31:12	<b>informed</b> 2:10 3:13	164:18	109:9,19 112:12
32:3	<b>independent</b> 172:4	<b>initial</b> 115:7 132:2	<b>internationally</b>	116:19,25 117:21
<b>ill</b> 134:21 140:19	<b>independently</b>	137:25	90:22 162:13	119:18 122:25
<b>image</b> 83:24 84:4,5	156:11	<b>initially</b> 20:11	<b>internet</b> 3:14,18	123:23 130:4,5
84:20 85:15,22	<b>index</b> 81:8	<b>initiated</b> 160:9	18:1,18,21 39:2	131:14 135:10,16
86:2,6,21 87:8	<b>indexer</b> 81:7,15	163:1	39:17 40:3 122:1	136:13,17 138:6
106:16,17 114:16	<b>indexers</b> 80:17	<b>injured</b> 146:22	<b>interpret</b> 119:9	139:6,7 140:1,6
<b>imaged</b> 84:3	<b>indexing</b> 80:14,25	<b>injury</b> 134:24	<b>interpretation</b>	140:21,22 141:21
<b>Imagine</b> 148:3	81:1	<b>innocent</b> 94:13	119:14	141:22 142:1
<b>immediately</b> 92:9	<b>indicate</b> 64:14	<b>input</b> 112:19	<b>interpreting</b> 171:1	143:14 152:21,22
<b>implemented</b>	156:21	<b>inquest</b> 8:4 28:24	<b>interrogated</b> 84:16	160:16 161:12,15
110:23	<b>indicated</b> 157:22	78:23 82:15 85:11	85:2	161:17 164:10
<b>implicated</b> 113:17	166:7,9 172:12,13	87:23 90:5 107:20	<b>interrogation</b> 53:3	172:5
155:22	<b>indicating</b> 94:10	140:4,10 157:6	<b>interrupt</b> 150:1	<b>investigations</b> 3:24
<b>importance</b> 81:23	165:7	158:12,19 160:19	<b>interview</b> 53:3	5:11 10:19 76:13
<b>important</b> 81:19	<b>individual</b> 81:13	166:9 173:15	156:6	111:4 141:3
99:3 112:10 123:3	128:25 129:10	<b>Inquiry</b> 119:19	<b>interviewed</b> 164:25	163:13 164:14
123:11 145:12	163:15 165:6,14	165:1	<b>intim</b> 148:6	<b>investigative</b> 29:16
<b>impose</b> 155:21	<b>individual's</b> 110:16	<b>insignificant</b> 126:1	<b>intimates</b> 18:2	48:3 64:8 95:15
<b>impression</b> 36:25	129:5	<b>inspection</b> 77:11	<b>intimating</b> 33:9,18	95:24 96:3,21
37:21 40:7 41:4	<b>individually</b> 108:23	<b>inspectorate</b> 29:18	<b>intimidation</b> 17:12	97:9 98:5 162:11
43:17 44:21 45:7	<b>individuals</b> 65:23	<b>instruct</b> 71:18	20:10 49:14	162:11
70:5,17 92:20,24	81:10 108:1	<b>instructed</b> 5:6	<b>inverted</b> 51:5	<b>investigator</b> 3:22
<b>impressions</b> 41:25	110:12 113:1	<b>instruction</b> 88:4	<b>investigate</b> 24:7	36:5 42:10 56:25
42:25	123:7 125:5 141:5	<b>instructions</b> 3:11	42:20 57:14 89:10	57:12 58:19
<b>inaccessible</b> 107:3	<b>industry</b> 7:2	88:9	96:22 125:18	<b>investigators</b> 25:18
<b>inadmissible</b> 44:3	<b>inevitable</b> 110:3	<b>insurer</b> 45:13	128:16 132:2	28:17 64:18 76:21
<b>inappropriate</b>	<b>inevitably</b> 111:10	<b>integrity</b> 3:19 99:4	<b>investigated</b> 84:15	77:23
109:22	<b>inexpert</b> 44:10,11	99:11	103:25 111:1	<b>investigatory</b> 9:12
<b>inbox</b> 145:13,14	<b>influenced</b> 90:15	<b>intelligence</b> 94:23	<b>investigating</b> 76:4	9:14,21 32:20
<b>incident</b> 5:10 80:8	<b>inform</b> 88:23 143:2	156:7 166:5	76:8 77:10,14	37:12 43:1
80:20 161:16	<b>information</b> 3:1,20	<b>intended</b> 109:18	78:2,3 108:5	<b>Investment</b> 103:2
<b>incidents</b> 69:19	4:1,15,16 7:1,8	134:5	126:8 136:15	<b>invite</b> 130:7
<b>include</b> 33:7 80:14	27:19 39:11 48:19	<b>intents</b> 85:10	<b>investigation</b> 2:12	<b>invited</b> 2:16

<b>invoices</b> 13:4 37:18	42:11 43:2 66:20	<b>kept</b> 84:8 121:15	110:15 111:15	35:11,12 37:1
<b>involve</b> 16:15	76:10 88:15	166:12,17	112:13 117:17	42:12,17 43:3
122:22,22	<b>John</b> 158:18	<b>key</b> 34:11 112:11	121:16 123:5	44:25 57:20 58:1
<b>involved</b> 3:11 10:16	<b>joke</b> 148:14	<b>keyword</b> 19:5,20	126:23 127:12	58:4 70:14 81:3
42:7 54:3 55:6,6	<b>Jones</b> 2:9,17 4:21	51:3 102:22	128:21 129:23	113:10 159:5
56:8 57:21 71:17	4:23,25 5:8,16 6:5	107:13 108:7	134:21 136:7	169:3
80:13 93:20 103:7	6:11 10:17 97:22	<b>Khamidov</b> 65:16	148:7 149:4,5	<b>larger</b> 28:25
111:7 117:14	<b>Jones's</b> 2:14	<b>Khamidov's</b> 110:5	150:7 151:24	<b>lastly</b> 32:23 140:8
141:6,13 155:5,7	<b>journalistic</b> 161:2	110:17	154:10 164:13	165:18
162:18	<b>judge</b> 23:16 25:14	<b>kill</b> 125:12	166:4 168:16,22	<b>late</b> 1:5,17 67:20
<b>involvement</b> 6:10	25:16 52:4 55:6	<b>killed</b> 161:16 164:5	169:7	82:23
139:13,17 141:12	57:10,13,22	<b>kind</b> 28:4 44:24	<b>knowing</b> 102:1,4	<b>launder</b> 29:24 30:3
152:14,19	<b>judging</b> 40:12	49:22 55:3 56:8	115:17	<b>laundered</b> 156:14
<b>involving</b> 15:7 28:2	<b>judgment</b> 115:21	57:13 70:13	<b>knowledge</b> 8:13	<b>laundering</b> 13:20
35:4 70:14 75:21	116:15 122:9	<b>kindly</b> 1:25	14:12,17 15:11	42:8 52:15 53:24
<b>iPhone</b> 143:9 144:3	<b>judgments</b> 115:19	<b>Klyuev</b> 50:18,23	43:11 54:7 79:19	54:25 56:12
<b>iPhones</b> 143:6,7	116:1,16 118:3	51:5,7 65:10	79:25 80:4	<b>Laundry</b> 28:13,19
<b>IPs</b> 124:18 165:14	121:18,21 122:3	108:9,12,20,21	<b>known</b> 15:21 49:20	<b>law</b> 32:1,3 128:8
<b>irrelevant</b> 160:5,18	130:22 131:8	110:8	110:17 135:5	<b>lawful</b> 43:5
<b>irrespective</b> 134:6	158:20,22	<b>knew</b> 16:1 49:17	141:11 163:18	<b>lawsuits</b> 122:21
155:1	<b>judicial</b> 163:11	50:25 58:10 92:3	<b>knows</b> 156:19	<b>lawyer</b> 33:2 73:11
<b>Ismagilov</b> 52:12	<b>juicy</b> 59:8	94:14 103:5	172:7	73:16
154:23 155:4	<b>July</b> 145:24 147:10	<b>know</b> 9:17 11:5,16	<b>KOCG</b> 127:20	<b>lawyers</b> 71:17
156:10,13 169:25	151:20	12:4,18 14:10,11	156:15,16	158:22
170:7,13	<b>June</b> 20:17,20	14:11 15:21,23,24	<b>Kovtun</b> 117:4,7,13	<b>lead</b> 167:24
<b>Ismagilov's</b> 156:8	21:11 23:23 24:1	15:25 16:3 21:21	117:20 122:22	<b>Leadbitter's</b>
156:17 172:12	24:16 71:24 72:2	22:7 25:16 27:11		150:24
<b>isolated</b> 118:13	72:6 79:17 84:14	28:5,19 30:19	<b>L</b>	<b>leader</b> 80:15,25
119:15	84:18 115:1	32:10,16 33:5,6	<b>Laffont</b> 163:10	81:1
<b>isolation</b> 118:18	118:14,24 123:4	38:9 39:21 40:10	<b>laid</b> 29:8	<b>learned</b> 43:25
<b>issue</b> 74:7 89:20	125:10 127:9,15	41:20 42:15 43:3	<b>landed</b> 121:7	58:14 109:17
109:14 120:7	127:16,23 134:17	43:8,13 45:3 47:1	130:20	122:17
148:16 168:15	154:3,20 170:9	47:3 48:21,24,24	<b>language</b> 2:15 93:7	<b>learning</b> 109:13
<b>issued</b> 7:10	171:4,17	49:4 50:21 51:4	97:2 104:1 112:19	<b>learnt</b> 15:11
<b>issues</b> 109:14	<b>Justice</b> 125:11	53:8 54:18 57:11	173:6	<b>leave</b> 10:9 47:20
120:13 125:22	155:11,12	57:19,21 58:12,13	<b>languages</b> 6:20	95:4 126:10
135:14 158:19	<b>justification</b> 137:19	61:6 62:6,7,9 64:5	38:8	147:20
<b>item</b> 68:18	155:14	65:11,17 66:20,24	<b>Lanin</b> 164:5	<b>led</b> 131:6 140:5
<b>items</b> 41:21,22	<b>K</b>	67:21,22 68:5,21	<b>lapse</b> 5:24	152:21
53:18 71:21 111:8	<b>Karpov</b> 155:11	68:23 70:10,15	<b>laptop</b> 83:24 84:3,4	<b>left</b> 14:10 82:6
<b>J</b>	<b>Karpov's</b> 155:15	71:4 72:22 77:9	84:12 112:17	133:23 142:17
<b>jail</b> 63:10	<b>Katy</b> 2:18,22 3:5,12	78:3 93:24 94:3,3	114:14 115:17,18	<b>left-hand</b> 144:23
<b>Jane</b> 152:21	3:16 6:11	94:20,25 95:1,2	115:21,23 116:2	151:7
<b>job</b> 8:21 9:3,5,19	<b>keep</b> 5:22 7:22	99:12,21 101:1,1	120:12,21	<b>legal</b> 59:11 100:18
10:20 19:2 25:17	<b>Kent</b> 83:15	102:4 104:19	<b>laptops</b> 83:23	122:7 160:17
		108:1 109:3	<b>large</b> 7:23 34:8	163:16



<b>leisure</b> 40:15	<b>line</b> 24:14 31:6 41:6	143:15,15 149:3	45:21 53:14,22	<b>M</b>
<b>Lennon</b> 1:8,14 2:2	90:21 126:15	150:3 165:19	57:7 62:2,12 66:4	<b>Magnitsky</b> 15:18
2:3,4 4:23 6:4,15	167:25	<b>logistics</b> 47:23	66:8,11 67:2	19:15 39:12,18
6:16,18 10:23	<b>line-by-line</b> 64:6	<b>logs</b> 127:2,7	68:19,23 71:3	40:4 128:8 155:23
11:4,12,19 12:2	<b>lines</b> 71:24 80:22	<b>London</b> 148:4	72:21,22 98:16	<b>mail</b> 17:14
13:16 22:15,16	90:25 123:5,17	<b>long</b> 20:23 26:13	111:16 113:4	<b>main</b> 38:14,20 70:6
37:15 61:10 68:5	126:21 129:20	27:8 63:11 168:3	116:16 124:19	75:8 162:10
74:18 77:7 95:17	130:20 141:24	169:8	127:11	<b>major</b> 5:11 76:13
97:25 99:5 113:11	142:25 160:15	<b>longer</b> 8:18 12:14	<b>looking</b> 16:14	80:7 81:3 82:5
137:2,7,16 138:20	<b>link</b> 85:25 117:6	64:1 86:7 87:3,5	17:10 19:9 20:9	136:12
174:3	148:17 163:18	<b>look</b> 12:10 13:21,21	21:6,11 22:21	<b>maker</b> 158:4
<b>lessons</b> 109:13,17	<b>linked</b> 18:8,18	16:7,18 17:25	23:3 27:15 33:21	<b>making</b> 25:5 47:22
<b>Lest</b> 149:18	<b>links</b> 108:6 117:3	18:13 22:15,24	34:4 36:16 37:4	93:18 123:6 134:6
<b>let's</b> 13:19 36:12	117:16	23:15 24:6,17,23	49:10 51:15 56:3	136:21,22 156:11
59:7 69:16 97:17	<b>Lipkin</b> 117:11	25:20 27:21 30:21	61:11 62:22,23	168:5,7 169:4
150:18	121:18	31:22 37:20 41:21	66:13 68:5 75:5	<b>male's</b> 93:11
<b>letter</b> 121:23	<b>list</b> 4:7 19:7 33:25	42:10 43:1 46:14	89:5 95:13,23	<b>man</b> 44:24 148:5
122:18 128:23	46:9 64:23 108:7	46:15 48:25 49:6	106:11 110:24	<b>man's</b> 109:15
129:4,7,15,23,25	110:6,13,20 124:8	49:13 50:12 52:4	111:8 112:17,18	131:14
130:14,15 148:6	124:23 125:16	53:13 55:2,21	112:20 113:1	<b>manage</b> 47:19
154:22 156:3	128:7,7 161:23	56:6,14,18 57:1,2	127:1 131:10	105:21
161:9,25 162:1	163:7,21 164:11	62:15,25 64:8,10	<b>looks</b> 4:24 5:18	<b>management</b> 8:23
166:14	164:11	64:15,20,23 66:21	8:12 17:5 18:24	17:19 47:12,15
<b>letters</b> 129:22	<b>listed</b> 7:13 18:4	69:2 70:25 73:11	21:14 24:25 49:23	<b>manager</b> 10:17
164:18	38:12,18 108:13	74:21 77:18,18	129:7 147:22	80:14,18,18 81:5
<b>level</b> 67:16 137:9	155:17	83:7 87:18 88:2	150:2	<b>managing</b> 82:10
137:12,15	<b>listen</b> 14:21	88:19,21 89:2,12	<b>Lose</b> 63:13	<b>manifest</b> 159:10
<b>liaise</b> 116:22	<b>listening</b> 44:16 55:8	89:16 90:8 95:17	<b>loss</b> 114:9 121:6	<b>manner</b> 102:7
<b>liaising</b> 117:2	<b>lists</b> 124:13	95:19 96:8,8,23	<b>lost</b> 87:4 107:2,18	111:21 131:14
<b>liaison</b> 142:22	<b>literally</b> 51:13	97:17,20 98:24	<b>lot</b> 53:1 71:3 72:14	168:2
<b>liar</b> 155:13	<b>litigation</b> 49:1,18	99:18 100:13,14	72:20 109:4,25	<b>March</b> 163:8 165:9
<b>libel</b> 155:15	155:10 167:21	101:19 102:21,25	111:19 118:6	<b>marked</b> 71:9 80:25
<b>life</b> 14:4 20:24	<b>little</b> 12:14 44:11	103:4,8,13 105:11	153:15 154:13	<b>markedly</b> 140:23
40:22 43:19 45:13	133:1	107:6,7,10,17	<b>lots</b> 60:8 131:25,25	<b>matches</b> 18:14
47:20 66:3 89:8	<b>Litvinenko</b> 125:11	114:6,19 116:11	131:25,25 167:24	<b>material</b> 4:13 13:16
122:11 167:21	125:12 140:11,18	118:18 126:7	<b>loud</b> 44:10	61:14 62:1 64:15
<b>life'</b> 63:16	<b>live</b> 1:8 3:20 6:14	127:12 128:19	<b>lunch</b> 105:19	66:12,14 68:2
<b>light</b> 66:15 109:10	7:16 10:9 16:3	134:15,17 136:5	<b>Luncheon</b> 106:6	70:7 76:20 77:12
110:4 121:6 152:8	63:15	137:11 141:11	<b>Lurakhmaev</b> 19:22	84:23 85:2,12
<b>likewise</b> 165:19	<b>loaded</b> 4:2	143:22,24 146:25	65:4,7 128:15,17	86:6,11,18,21
<b>limit</b> 133:7	<b>loans</b> 7:6	147:15,24 149:2	129:11 161:23	88:6,22 89:17
<b>limitations</b> 171:21	<b>local</b> 6:19 139:20	150:11,13 167:15	162:14,18,22	95:24 98:1,6
<b>limited</b> 103:2	<b>locate</b> 82:16 86:13	167:17 168:11	163:7,14,17,19	101:21 110:24
114:12 155:13	86:20 87:4	172:14,14	164:2,8,15	114:4,12 121:10
160:6	<b>located</b> 86:18	<b>looked</b> 10:25 21:8	<b>Lviv</b> 7:11	121:11 123:22
<b>limits</b> 110:3	<b>log</b> 127:10 137:19	23:19 25:8,11	<b>lying</b> 147:6	

124:11 130:21 131:9 137:25 138:16,19 148:17 152:4 156:24 160:15,25 161:3 166:5 <b>materially</b> 166:8 <b>materials</b> 29:20 <b>matter</b> 34:9 36:8 60:17,23 75:15 91:16 92:24 93:5 100:12 110:11 113:23 114:2 117:14 118:24 142:6 154:2 159:7 160:20 165:24 166:19 167:2 168:15 171:18 172:19 <b>matters</b> 9:4 44:16 60:11,11,20,24 78:25 87:12,15,18 87:23 89:10 96:10 98:21 99:8,14,23 101:9,14 102:3,10 103:8 159:5,22 164:22 167:15 168:2 <b>maximum</b> 12:22 <b>mean</b> 15:24 20:4 22:17 23:4 36:18 39:4 40:14 43:8 47:18 48:21 50:23 56:13 57:25 58:24 65:3,5 66:20,24 87:7 93:6 94:13 102:17 114:11 129:21 133:4 134:2 146:20 153:15 167:22 169:7 <b>meaning</b> 64:10,12 <b>means</b> 19:9 28:20 49:19 51:9,17 93:6 107:5,13 142:8 154:15	<b>meant</b> 110:23 111:10 <b>measures</b> 141:24 <b>media</b> 14:18,23 15:1,10 137:22 163:18 165:2 <b>medical</b> 139:11 <b>Medynska</b> 65:20 91:17 92:15 125:25 135:20 145:19 146:4,13 151:20 <b>meeting</b> 122:19 <b>member</b> 81:1,7 97:25 127:20 135:19 <b>members</b> 108:12 110:11 134:9 156:15 <b>membership</b> 158:25 159:2 <b>memory</b> 13:10 36:5 39:21 88:13 <b>men</b> 132:6 <b>mention</b> 33:3 53:19 73:17 <b>mentioned</b> 21:19 37:17 52:21 57:9 62:19 65:11 66:19 81:10 92:16 104:4 110:18 <b>mentioning</b> 30:8 43:3 53:9,19 54:19 55:4 68:14 <b>mentions</b> 59:23 <b>merits</b> 134:18 <b>message</b> 20:16,18 20:20 21:11,12 23:2 24:16 26:7 26:14,18 27:4,5,9 29:9,13 30:6,9 31:12,19 32:24 62:18 63:5 64:7,9 69:8,18 72:8 73:10,19 100:20 101:3 113:15	118:13 119:1,2,15 144:12,15 147:21 148:6,8 149:10,19 151:8,9,11,24 152:1 <b>messages</b> 13:3,3 20:12 21:8,23 22:5,10,21,22 23:22 25:20 28:25 29:3 30:13 31:20 54:2,23 61:25 62:13,13 69:7,9 69:14 70:22,23,24 71:19,19,25 72:2 72:8,10,15,20 73:1,22,24 76:15 76:16 90:2,6,8,10 90:15,18 91:3,4 92:2,18 95:11,14 96:7 97:6 98:19 118:8,10,16 119:1 120:2,4,18 121:6 137:22 138:13 139:1 144:5,6,18 144:23 145:16,19 145:20 148:18 149:16 151:12,21 163:2 <b>Messrs</b> 158:24 <b>met</b> 2:17,18,20 76:16,20 112:3 126:17 164:8 <b>metal</b> 35:7,16 <b>methods</b> 18:19 <b>Metropolitan</b> 160:8 <b>Meurice</b> 126:1,4,17 <b>MI6</b> 94:23 135:23 155:6 170:4 <b>middle</b> 27:4,7 146:23 147:15 <b>Milena</b> 65:18 <b>milk</b> 7:1 37:22 <b>milking</b> 26:12 27:7 52:8 <b>million</b> 58:1 60:4	74:9,14 75:19 101:5 103:7,25 107:7 108:2,17 113:7,18 156:15 172:22 <b>millions</b> 29:21,22 <b>mind</b> 12:18 88:4,15 89:4,16 112:5 152:4 <b>Mine</b> 63:23 <b>minimise</b> 59:4 100:7 119:13 <b>minimising</b> 119:5 <b>minutes</b> 67:8 105:23 <b>misquotes</b> 155:11 <b>misrepresent</b> 134:4 <b>misrepresented</b> 133:14 <b>missed</b> 5:14 111:10 114:6 <b>missing</b> 41:17 45:22 84:23 106:13,15 110:5 148:7 <b>mistake</b> 24:18 <b>mistyped</b> 25:6 <b>misunderstanding</b> 170:23 171:12 <b>misused</b> 170:1 <b>mixed</b> 22:12 <b>mobile</b> 22:22 92:8 136:2 142:6,10,13 148:5,12 151:9 <b>moment</b> 10:1 11:10 13:23 95:21 104:17 160:2 166:22 167:4,11 167:16 <b>Monday</b> 4:21 6:5 6:21 147:20 <b>Monetary</b> 155:9 <b>money</b> 13:19 21:21 22:3 28:5,13,19 35:7 42:7,7,12,18 43:3 45:1 52:14	53:24 54:25 56:12 57:8,20 58:4 63:19 70:14 72:9 113:6,10 126:2 <b>month</b> 165:9 <b>months</b> 118:20 <b>mood</b> 147:17 <b>morning</b> 1:7 88:16 91:25 99:13,25 112:16 115:16 139:4 151:15 <b>mortem</b> 134:25 135:9 139:20 <b>Moscow</b> 72:16 129:11 <b>Mosow</b> 72:18 <b>mouth-to-mouth</b> 141:7 <b>move</b> 97:4 101:25 <b>movements</b> 14:9 25:16 <b>moves</b> 22:1 23:3,5 23:7,8,11 72:10 <b>Moving</b> 27:15 40:7 <b>Moxon</b> 87:10 91:14 91:15 95:3 122:4 144:14 150:4,6,13 150:16,19,23,24 151:6 152:2 174:13,18 <b>MPS</b> 160:10 <b>murder</b> 48:11 123:12,17 127:14 130:4,5 131:1 139:5,7,25 140:6 140:21 142:3 155:22 162:15,15 163:18 164:9 <b>murdered</b> 90:14,17 91:5 125:21 126:19 139:3,12 <b>mutual</b> 122:6 <hr/> <b>N</b> <hr/> <b>N</b> 174:1 <b>name</b> 1:21 2:13 3:9
---	---	--	--	---

7:12,20 19:15,21 19:25 20:1,4 28:16 35:17,20 37:17 52:25 65:2 65:7 81:11 110:5 110:17 125:15,17 126:15 128:14,15 128:18 144:13 155:7 158:4 <b>named</b> 35:1 81:12 161:19 <b>names</b> 13:20 15:12 19:20 37:17 54:20 55:5 56:13 64:23 65:9 66:18 81:9 103:5 108:7,13,19 108:21,23 110:7,9 110:13,16,19 111:19 124:8,21 163:21 164:11 <b>Nasrudinovitch</b> 162:14 <b>National</b> 160:9 <b>native</b> 2:15 6:20 10:4 <b>natural</b> 42:16 94:7 <b>nature</b> 1:21 42:20 56:4 79:3 87:17 104:5 122:7 127:21 138:2,4 158:3 169:1,15 <b>NCA</b> 160:14 <b>necessarily</b> 44:15 73:2 144:11 <b>necessary</b> 99:16 158:2 159:8 <b>need</b> 16:18 20:24 20:25 23:5,10,11 27:5 57:7 61:24 67:7 77:19 104:14 110:15 111:3 123:5 136:7 153:14,18 159:2 159:11 161:5 163:3 165:15,21 171:22	<b>needed</b> 12:17 13:21 85:25 137:12 <b>needs</b> 32:22 <b>negotiate</b> 59:11 100:17 142:17 <b>neither</b> 108:6 123:9 <b>nerve</b> 133:22 <b>never</b> 9:10,11 15:9 24:5 86:18 96:4 106:21 153:2,3 <b>nevertheless</b> 162:8 <b>new</b> 123:21 <b>Newbury</b> 6:19 137:3 <b>news</b> 14:20,21 15:3 92:7 122:14 <b>newspaper</b> 14:13 117:12 156:4 <b>Newsrucom</b> 33:5 74:3 <b>Nice</b> 163:19 164:8 <b>Nigel</b> 2:9 97:22 <b>Nikolai</b> 124:23 125:13 <b>nominal</b> 81:14 <b>non-financial</b> 36:5 <b>normal</b> 4:17 40:21 56:5 <b>note</b> 19:17,23 24:6 32:21 34:9 35:18 51:16 54:18 60:24 65:22 99:3 122:17 123:3 125:9 136:8 145:13 <b>notebook</b> 5:7 <b>noted</b> 46:17 50:1,6 53:10 54:21 56:9 56:14 59:8 74:5 <b>notes</b> 5:8,8,12 25:4 46:24 47:1 <b>noticeably</b> 93:9 <b>noticed</b> 39:8 40:24 52:21 <b>noting</b> 64:16 <b>Novaya</b> 165:3,7 <b>November</b> 112:4	143:16 144:8,17 146:18 147:20 <b>Novichok</b> 132:12 132:19 133:15 134:7 <b>number</b> 17:10 20:17 25:20 30:13 32:8,11,21 33:9 33:14 56:20,23 72:3 81:14 93:16 98:17 107:18 116:6 136:19 138:12 140:9 144:18 147:1 148:12,22,23,24 151:11,14 155:4 159:5,22 160:23 <b>numbers</b> 8:7 18:7 28:18 33:22 <b>numerous</b> 3:24,24 7:3	42:16 43:22 47:6 49:22 55:3,24 56:5,7 60:8 62:6 64:17,18 74:5 76:10 77:16,19 81:19 85:4 88:18 88:23 90:1 100:24 101:20 102:7,13 105:24 108:19 110:18,19 111:11 112:6 114:14 117:19 120:20 123:3 131:1,19 132:5,12 140:18 144:22 148:16 149:13 167:15,17 168:11 <b>occasion</b> 119:5 120:11,25 123:14 131:13 <b>occasions</b> 3:24 82:3 172:21 <b>occupy</b> 81:19 <b>October</b> 39:24 40:4 120:6 <b>odd</b> 69:9 <b>offend</b> 44:22 <b>offered</b> 83:9 <b>office</b> 80:14,18,18 81:3,4 82:5 116:22,25 117:3 117:18,25 128:6 134:25 135:9 139:19 156:2 <b>officer</b> 5:9 9:8,10 9:11 61:11 68:5 78:2,3,21 81:19 81:24,25 82:4,6 88:17 119:4 136:15,21,22 137:24 140:14 163:11 <b>officer's</b> 4:22 6:4 <b>officers</b> 3:11 47:19 92:8 93:19 110:4 110:23,25 111:7	112:8,17 114:3 131:25 136:24 142:23 <b>offices</b> 67:22 122:20 161:18 <b>official</b> 64:13 124:6 155:20 <b>officially</b> 104:1 <b>officials</b> 155:21 156:9 <b>Okay</b> 16:24 26:20 26:22 27:2 29:2 35:4 40:1 41:23 45:14 46:1 78:13 100:10 <b>Olga</b> 65:14 <b>once</b> 15:9 68:14 76:19 <b>ones</b> 38:5 55:24 <b>ongoing</b> 114:21 <b>online</b> 156:1 <b>onwards</b> 20:10 82:18 <b>open</b> 22:13 70:21 121:25 156:3 <b>opened</b> 4:5 7:24 51:13 140:6 <b>operation</b> 46:7 86:7 125:12 <b>opinion</b> 37:3,9,10 44:2 54:13,15,22 55:10 79:5 131:5 <b>opinions</b> 44:2,10 <b>opportunity</b> 87:22 90:7,20 169:7 <b>options</b> 115:6 <b>oral</b> 167:8 <b>order</b> 3:10,19 41:18 51:7 66:21 69:17 73:2 86:1 111:24 <b>ordered</b> 97:5 <b>ordinary</b> 3:21 92:13 <b>organised</b> 49:3,19 50:18 51:5,7
<b>O</b>				
	<b>O'Connell</b> 61:23 67:15 71:16 72:24 87:16 113:11 118:8 <b>O'Connell's</b> 116:8 <b>oath</b> 155:10 <b>object</b> 1:22,24 158:5 <b>objection</b> 154:5 <b>obligation</b> 58:19 <b>observer</b> 24:25 <b>obtain</b> 121:17 123:1 124:1,12 <b>obtained</b> 7:6 65:24 90:6 115:11 123:22 172:8 <b>obtaining</b> 119:23 <b>obvious</b> 39:7 49:25 50:6,9 102:6 103:21 140:13 <b>obviously</b> 10:9 15:9 22:13 24:3,11 25:22 30:19 38:6			

108:9,12,21 110:8 110:12,14 <b>organising</b> 59:20 <b>organophospher...</b> 132:23 <b>orientate</b> 71:21 <b>original</b> 44:21 45:21 61:18,18 71:9 84:12 85:8 85:17 86:23 87:1 90:16 116:12 136:8,9 138:12 <b>outcome</b> 89:18 <b>outlines</b> 130:1 <b>outlining</b> 129:23 <b>outside</b> 3:3 12:8 24:25 81:5 <b>outstanding</b> 164:21 165:12 <b>outwith</b> 109:20 <b>overall</b> 40:7 41:25 70:5,9,17 71:21 90:12 <b>overleaf</b> 143:5 <b>overseas</b> 132:1 <b>Owen</b> 125:11 <b>owned</b> 6:24 7:3 98:1,6	79:15,16,16,23,24 80:3 82:18 84:24 87:14 88:12 97:17 98:24 99:1 102:22 103:13 105:12 107:17 114:19 116:4,13 118:15 122:18 124:4,5,6 124:13 127:24,25 128:20,20 136:9 142:22 143:5 144:2,6 146:12,14 147:15 150:11,22 151:7 154:4 169:17 171:7,16 <b>pages</b> 61:1 <b>pagination</b> 29:5 <b>paid</b> 7:5 39:7 <b>paper</b> 23:2 <b>papers</b> 16:2 122:14 <b>paragraph</b> 20:10 20:16 26:2,6 28:8 32:9 33:13,25 34:24 36:16,16 37:20 38:1,12,19 39:15 41:3 42:1 43:6,16 69:2 75:8 75:16 82:18 97:20 98:24 99:1 105:11 118:19 129:7 147:16 154:6,21 156:24 169:19,24 <b>paragraphs</b> 36:22 57:2 153:25 154:16 <b>parallel</b> 131:2 <b>Pardon</b> 113:24 <b>parent/guardian'</b> 7:14 <b>Paris</b> 126:11 147:17,20 163:12 164:4,25 <b>part</b> 34:7 39:5,6 66:20 69:6,22 73:25 76:4,25 96:14 116:7 126:2	126:10,23 155:13 162:6 164:9 169:21 <b>parted</b> 145:1 <b>particular</b> 56:3 72:20 73:1 79:8 80:8 82:16 102:22 104:15 115:6 121:23 122:9 125:8 141:6 160:1 171:7 <b>particularly</b> 100:18 127:3 <b>partitions</b> 19:1 <b>partly</b> 63:25 <b>parts</b> 77:6 166:24 <b>party</b> 139:17 152:14,19 <b>pass</b> 27:1 81:15 <b>passages</b> 156:22 <b>passed</b> 21:2 37:11 42:24 81:4 82:24 140:22 <b>passenger</b> 159:10 <b>passengers</b> 165:19 <b>passing</b> 32:19 77:9 <b>pathologists</b> 139:18 139:19 <b>Patrushev</b> 124:23 125:13 <b>Pause</b> 42:4 73:6 124:4 <b>Pavlov</b> 20:4 65:3 107:23 110:20 128:5,9 165:6 <b>Pavlov's</b> 127:17 <b>pay</b> 20:24 21:16 25:21,23 28:17 29:21,23 53:11 63:16 <b>PC</b> 4:23 6:4,15,16 6:18 22:16 37:15 74:18 <b>PDF</b> 4:14 6:23 7:9 51:11 <b>pending</b> 161:12	<b>penultimate</b> 144:7 <b>people</b> 13:20 25:18 47:21 82:13 85:4 88:5,13,18 110:5 124:8,13 128:7 132:1,10 134:21 <b>people's</b> 108:23 <b>perceived</b> 53:4 <b>Perepilichnaya</b> 83:4 84:13 142:16 143:12 144:9 149:6 150:7 <b>Perepilichnyy</b> 1:16 2:13 6:24 7:4,13 8:19 11:1 17:12 17:18 27:12,22 29:11 42:6 43:10 52:8 53:6 54:3 56:21 59:2 67:17 72:16 90:3,14 94:4 98:2,7 100:5 100:17 101:4 103:16 104:20 108:6,16 113:6 118:5 119:23 122:10 124:14 125:7,25 126:12 129:19,24 130:9 130:25 132:20 134:10 135:6 136:1 138:17 139:22 140:9,16 141:6 142:15 156:15,19 158:23 159:16 160:12,23 162:16,19 163:21 164:12,24 165:5 <b>Perepilichnyy's</b> 21:9 26:9 33:10 33:18 35:20,24 37:2 38:14,20 43:19 45:13 51:24 52:19 58:11 66:3 70:6 78:22 83:4 85:9 89:8 91:24 92:8 94:24 106:10	116:2 144:5 151:17 152:13,18 161:16 164:16 166:7 <b>perform</b> 97:13 <b>performing</b> 46:6 95:14,22,24 96:3 98:4 <b>period</b> 6:21 105:21 140:19 142:16 159:17 <b>periods</b> 12:16 <b>permission</b> 10:18 <b>permit</b> 170:11 <b>perpetrators</b> 161:13 <b>person</b> 1:22 42:18 44:5,23 56:8 81:12 92:23,25 93:18 94:13 146:22,22,23 158:5,6 163:14 <b>person's</b> 81:11 <b>personally</b> 37:6 78:1 <b>personnel</b> 80:13 97:24 <b>persons</b> 159:19 160:5 161:11,20 163:9 165:21 166:14 167:6 169:10 172:9 <b>perspective</b> 88:6 90:13 <b>perspectives</b> 60:8 <b>pertinently</b> 103:4 <b>Peter</b> 143:1 <b>phone</b> 21:8 22:9,22 37:4 61:20 62:4 62:23 72:3 92:17 93:15 112:18,21 112:23 144:5 147:21 148:21 149:10 150:25 151:9,9 <b>phoned</b> 92:25
<b>P</b>				
<b>page</b> 8:6,6 16:9 24:9,10,11 29:4,6 29:7 30:21,21,22 30:22,23,23,24 31:10 33:11 34:10 34:23 40:25,25 41:1 46:23 48:17 49:6,7,8 50:12 53:13 55:22 56:14 56:14,16,19,19 57:6 62:15,16 63:1,4 64:22,24 69:3,3 70:20,24 70:24 71:23 72:1 72:4,13,14,14 73:3 75:7,9 79:14				

135:23 <b>phones</b> 21:9 62:6 92:9 93:24 94:21 94:22 112:21 120:3,13 121:1,2 136:2 142:6,10,14 143:23,25 149:4 149:16 <b>photocopy</b> 83:25 84:1 <b>photograph</b> 129:5 <b>photos</b> 13:4 <b>phrase</b> 28:19 <b>physical</b> 127:10 <b>physically</b> 51:13 <b>Piatov</b> 19:25 65:1 <b>pick</b> 51:17 <b>picked</b> 74:7,10 75:16 77:1 113:3 113:12 <b>picking</b> 154:20 <b>picture</b> 22:18 41:11 41:14,20 43:21 73:25 <b>piece</b> 58:24 95:9 <b>place</b> 12:12 58:22 82:9 92:5 93:14 112:2 121:14 136:6 <b>placed</b> 106:15 110:3 111:22 <b>plain</b> 93:18 <b>plan</b> 88:1,7,8,11,14 99:17 <b>planning</b> 75:18 <b>play</b> 94:13 132:20 133:15 <b>played</b> 9:25 <b>playing</b> 95:8 <b>pleaded</b> 155:14 <b>please</b> 50:12 53:13 55:21 61:16 63:1 64:21,23 69:2 70:20,25 71:20,23 75:8 79:13 102:25 103:13 105:11	107:17 114:19 118:15 119:21 124:4 127:23,25 128:19 131:12 136:5 142:21,22 143:24 <b>pm</b> 63:20 67:14 106:5,7 143:3 144:7 157:15,17 167:7 173:14 <b>pocket</b> 5:7 <b>point</b> 36:11 44:18 48:25 49:6,11 50:14 53:13 55:14 55:21 57:3 67:2 81:20 93:22 94:3 94:4,8,9 100:19 110:18 117:6,15 117:19 120:17,17 132:10 134:6 142:9 143:11 146:20 150:16 154:10 156:13 167:25 171:15 172:1 173:8 <b>pointed</b> 51:23 <b>pointing</b> 57:9 <b>points</b> 54:18 76:2 116:21,24 117:2 155:3,17,18 156:9 171:8 <b>poison</b> 123:12 140:17 142:3 160:22 <b>poisoned</b> 125:21 126:19 139:22 <b>poisoning</b> 127:14 131:1 <b>poisons</b> 18:19,22 39:2 <b>police</b> 2:5,8,8,11,20 3:23 6:8,18,19 8:22,25 9:1,8,10 9:11 26:18 32:9 45:22 46:9 47:15 47:19,19 57:14	67:20 76:8 80:25 81:7 88:16 93:16 93:22,25 94:3,15 97:23 106:19,20 106:21 109:9 116:23 124:7 129:18 130:8 131:24,25 135:20 135:22 136:11,25 137:7 142:14,21 149:9 150:19 159:15 160:8 163:10 <b>policing</b> 83:6 <b>policy</b> 80:22 136:11 136:12,18,19,19 136:21,23 137:19 138:4 <b>politer</b> 133:1 <b>Pollard</b> 1:10 54:8 55:14 60:12,23 78:4,4,14,15,19 91:13,15 95:7 97:4 98:12 101:25 104:17 105:12,14 106:2,8 109:3,25 116:22 123:14 124:7,17 129:17 131:12,21 135:14 136:10,22 142:13 146:2 150:11 153:7 174:11 <b>Pollard's</b> 64:14 115:24 153:5 <b>pool</b> 62:22 121:10 <b>poor</b> 18:2 33:10,18 <b>portrayed</b> 170:1 <b>posed</b> 154:24 <b>position</b> 54:9 86:17 115:2 119:13,16 119:20 133:18,23 146:24 157:22 166:12,20 171:23 <b>positions</b> 80:12 <b>positive</b> 139:16,21 <b>possession</b> 83:3	86:16 161:22 165:4 <b>possibility</b> 132:19 147:8 <b>possible</b> 23:13 69:25 70:4,25 112:6 114:20 115:14 116:14 133:20,25 134:3 150:14 160:1 <b>possibly</b> 13:6 14:1 14:10 15:5 24:24 25:21 44:14 62:24 66:4 98:15 154:8 <b>post</b> 134:25 135:9 139:20 152:20 163:10 <b>potential</b> 25:11 108:6 115:6 164:11 <b>potentially</b> 81:21 99:8,14,22 100:12 101:14 102:3 128:16 130:23 <b>practice</b> 88:14 <b>practices</b> 43:12 <b>precious</b> 35:7,16 <b>precisely</b> 133:18 <b>predominantly</b> 7:1 38:8 <b>prefer</b> 150:17 <b>preparation</b> 63:19 <b>prepared</b> 3:8 71:17 92:4 111:2 <b>preparing</b> 46:23 <b>presence</b> 164:14 <b>present</b> 86:17 112:11 <b>presented</b> 3:8 22:11 41:18 81:25 101:24 111:2 125:20 <b>preserve</b> 3:19 <b>press</b> 109:21 129:9 131:20 152:9 168:15,20 169:13	169:17 <b>pressure</b> 113:9 <b>presumably</b> 16:14 18:6,25 25:4,25 27:18 45:4 56:23 85:15 96:17 102:9 <b>presumed</b> 70:15 <b>pretty</b> 22:24 94:13 112:14 131:22 149:25 <b>preventing</b> 109:12 <b>Prevezon</b> 155:9 <b>previous</b> 30:21 171:23 <b>previously</b> 30:12 157:23 158:23 171:3 <b>price</b> 75:22 113:7 <b>prima</b> 44:3 <b>prior</b> 2:14,20 48:6 48:19 90:3 <b>prison</b> 20:23 51:21 63:11 <b>probabilities</b> 133:21 <b>probably</b> 19:24 21:20 23:25 24:6 25:21,23 27:9 31:22 32:11 35:17 47:2 49:25 51:12 54:20 55:11,18 57:15 59:7 63:23 63:23 66:24 70:15 105:21,23 107:18 117:8 125:13 134:23 <b>probative</b> 91:10 138:17 <b>problem</b> 97:2 <b>problems</b> 86:11 <b>procedural</b> 157:22 170:8 <b>proceedings</b> 1:3 50:9 59:12 60:3 81:21 100:18 101:5 104:22
--	---	--	---	--

127:22 128:12 140:4 169:15 <b>process</b> 62:21 77:4 77:9 80:8 84:21 115:4 121:14,15 155:16 157:5 159:20 169:1,2,5 <b>processed</b> 80:9 <b>processes</b> 82:10,11 82:12 115:8 <b>produce</b> 4:23 5:17 11:9 38:15,21 <b>produced</b> 7:3 82:22 100:24 <b>products</b> 7:2 37:23 81:17 <b>profession</b> 48:13 <b>profile</b> 125:7 <b>progress</b> 115:6 119:22 136:17 <b>prompted</b> 90:21 149:21 <b>proper</b> 87:2 104:18 <b>properly</b> 88:14 <b>property</b> 75:17 <b>proportionate</b> 141:22 142:2 <b>propose</b> 109:5 167:4 <b>prosecutor</b> 155:24 156:10 <b>prosecutors</b> 161:19 <b>protecting</b> 17:21 28:1 <b>protection</b> 43:14 <b>protective</b> 132:6 <b>protocol</b> 3:25 <b>protracted</b> 159:17 <b>prove</b> 31:6,16 162:17 <b>provide</b> 46:8 81:17 90:16 108:11 113:10 <b>provided</b> 4:20,22 5:2,15,19 9:16 16:21 54:13 67:20	68:2 76:17 78:24 84:6 95:20 104:21 127:22 128:11 130:23 158:24 160:15 <b>provides</b> 76:12 <b>provocation</b> 169:3 <b>provocative</b> 32:13 32:17 <b>prudent</b> 157:2 <b>public</b> 117:15 134:9 161:19 <b>publication</b> 165:3 <b>publicly</b> 121:21 122:2 <b>published</b> 156:1,3 <b>Pugh</b> 86:5 115:1 <b>Pugh's</b> 114:18 <b>pulled</b> 39:10 <b>purchase</b> 75:18 <b>purely</b> 9:17 <b>purpose</b> 64:11 79:1 <b>purposes</b> 5:13 8:3 84:8 85:10 <b>pursuant</b> 157:20,24 <b>pursued</b> 126:16 <b>pursuing</b> 123:5,6 123:12 153:17 <b>put</b> 19:10 22:20 24:3,21 25:12,25 27:17 28:16 39:24 44:14 47:2 51:4 56:5 59:5,14,25 60:1,11,24 69:10 69:24 71:8 75:22 77:23 82:9 86:1 86:24 92:12 96:13 100:9,18 101:2,7 112:15 113:9 117:11 118:9 119:5 120:10,23 123:14,18 125:4 129:3 132:25 134:2,8 139:4 151:1 167:14 <b>putting</b> 23:3 36:24	88:14 119:4,15 123:18 <hr/> <b>Q</b> <b>qualified</b> 54:6,9 64:18 88:14 89:10 <b>quality</b> 109:9 <b>Quartel</b> 34:14 35:1 55:25 56:10,21 103:1,17 104:4,20 105:1,3 107:8 156:14 <b>question</b> 3:13 5:6 6:2,11 14:25 18:24 29:12 38:16 44:21 50:11 56:17 60:16,18 77:19 79:5 85:23 93:25 95:21 102:1,12 113:2 133:10,11 134:8 149:1,3,21 152:7 167:3 169:2 <b>questionable</b> 159:25 <b>questioned</b> 164:7 <b>questioning</b> 13:14 60:22 109:4,6,17 125:4,23,24 131:12 133:3 <b>questions</b> 7:19 16:14,15,25 37:10 45:10,11,12 46:2 46:22 54:14 61:4 61:5,6 65:1 67:6 67:15 69:4 73:16 75:1,2 76:1 77:19 78:11,18 79:1,11 91:14 95:5,6 101:21 105:24 107:19,22,25 109:2,3,6,13,21 109:25 114:9 121:13 127:16,18 131:13,18 135:13 142:12 150:23 151:2 152:12	154:24 163:16,24 172:21,25 174:6,7 174:8,9,10,12,13 174:14,15,16,17 174:18,19 <b>quick</b> 105:15 137:11 <b>quicker</b> 130:16,17 <b>quickly</b> 102:21 112:6,14 140:25 <b>quietly</b> 63:15 <b>quite</b> 7:23 14:14 24:20,22 34:8 50:4 63:14 72:14 89:7 93:5 99:17 106:9 112:7,12 113:18 118:11 123:11 125:5 133:16 154:13 170:14 171:24 <b>quote</b> 31:12,25 32:2 161:11 162:2 163:12 <hr/> <b>R</b> <b>R4H</b> 61:16 <b>raise</b> 80:22 152:7 <b>raised</b> 97:19 117:21 140:20 172:20 <b>raises</b> 81:2 <b>random</b> 22:12 41:19,22 <b>range</b> 168:23 <b>Ratcliffe</b> 139:19 <b>raw</b> 95:24 <b>re-evaluate</b> 137:9 <b>re-examination</b> 6:16 <b>reach</b> 59:2 100:5 <b>reached</b> 106:24 145:3 <b>reactions</b> 132:11 <b>read</b> 2:2 6:15 14:15 14:18,22 16:1 20:17 27:5 29:12 30:12 36:16,22	42:2,3,4 61:24 74:21 80:21 88:19 90:15 96:5 113:14 118:25 136:10,18 138:25 152:21 153:10,24 154:9 154:11,12,15,18 155:1,2 156:17,22 156:24 157:1,9 158:15,16 166:25 168:22 169:21 170:12 174:3,20 174:21 <b>reading</b> 52:11 113:6,8,15 154:6 159:3 <b>ready</b> 29:19 <b>realise</b> 52:24 <b>realised</b> 85:15 87:2 111:11 <b>really</b> 25:6 32:18 36:8 41:22 44:12 60:18,19,20 87:15 89:4,7 100:1 104:18 111:9 119:11 126:9 132:5 135:21 149:12,13 169:10 <b>reason</b> 5:21 6:7 12:3,17 85:6 87:3 99:3,18 107:25 113:22 118:24 135:19,22 145:1 147:9 170:16 <b>reasonable</b> 89:3 141:22 <b>reasons</b> 36:15 102:6 103:21 141:2 171:9 172:15 <b>rebuild</b> 86:20 <b>recall</b> 2:17,23 4:6 4:10,15 14:1,4,5 14:15 16:4,17 20:3 26:14 27:19 32:16,18 35:20,22
--	--	--	--	---

37:18 39:6 43:7 51:12 53:1,2,10 57:22 65:21 66:24 67:1 74:17 93:11 153:22 159:14 <b>receive</b> 29:22 80:19 81:25 164:22 <b>received</b> 78:6 85:21 92:17 101:17 104:22 118:20 137:2 151:22 161:9 162:1 165:6 165:19 <b>receiving</b> 132:10 <b>recognise</b> 128:18 <b>recollect</b> 28:15 115:17 <b>reconstructed</b> 159:25 <b>record</b> 35:6 74:17 81:12,22 84:9 87:1 143:12 154:2 169:11 <b>recorded</b> 53:23 60:5 97:14 136:23 151:15 <b>records</b> 5:7 6:9 136:16 143:22 <b>recover</b> 115:13 <b>recovered</b> 86:18 165:5 <b>ref</b> 56:20 103:16 104:20 <b>refer</b> 26:11 28:11 32:22,23 35:18 56:25 137:8 171:17 <b>reference</b> 30:3 32:10 39:12 116:5 119:1 143:7 150:2 158:20 <b>references</b> 31:20 60:10 <b>referred</b> 29:10 69:13 70:13 82:17 156:23 157:2	160:22 <b>referring</b> 28:12 29:14 30:6 31:5 32:13 53:23 54:24 59:16 120:22 151:1 <b>refers</b> 16:25 26:11 30:15 34:19 <b>reflect</b> 104:17 109:10 134:7 167:14 <b>reflection</b> 101:16 <b>refresh</b> 88:12 <b>refuses</b> 170:13 <b>regard</b> 64:6 91:8 118:10,21 161:3 <b>regarding</b> 37:24 73:17 163:17 <b>regardless</b> 67:3 83:21 <b>regards</b> 161:15 <b>region</b> 75:19 <b>register</b> 82:2,7 <b>registers</b> 81:2 <b>regulation</b> 109:11 109:23 <b>rejected</b> 161:1 <b>relate</b> 9:4 59:16 109:18 <b>related</b> 7:8 13:8 26:3 34:3 36:1 57:8 165:5 <b>relates</b> 33:8 <b>relating</b> 7:1 38:15 39:11 86:6 104:11 160:11 163:6 164:23 <b>relation</b> 24:14 27:24 39:9 47:12 90:23 104:6 108:15 109:11 117:21 118:13 119:21 130:25 136:16 142:1 159:1 161:19 162:13 170:4	<b>relationship</b> 57:4 <b>relative</b> 123:1 <b>relatively</b> 40:21 129:12 <b>release</b> 168:16,21 169:13,17 <b>relevance</b> 91:4 160:16 165:1 <b>relevant</b> 4:18 46:18 81:11 91:6 99:8,8 99:14,22 100:12 101:14,14 102:3 123:13 141:9 161:1 <b>relied</b> 85:2 <b>remain</b> 63:15 95:7 120:11 <b>remainder</b> 120:21 155:15 <b>remains</b> 109:8 119:17,20 132:20 162:24 164:21 165:12 <b>remedied</b> 120:15 <b>remember</b> 3:10 4:4 4:12,16 5:24 6:1,2 7:22 11:15,15,25 12:16 17:23,24 23:2 26:14 31:25 32:2 34:6 35:10 35:11 36:10 37:15 43:9 44:16 46:14 48:12 51:25 53:5 55:1,3 92:21 93:3 93:4 100:16 101:9 113:8 115:20,21 116:2,17,23 117:4 120:1 123:14 126:2 127:17 129:22 130:6 146:18 148:23 <b>remembered</b> 25:3 164:2 <b>remembering</b> 129:21 <b>remind</b> 100:2 171:6	<b>remit</b> 25:17 109:20 <b>remotely</b> 168:10 <b>renting</b> 75:17 <b>reopen</b> 79:1 <b>reopened</b> 152:20 152:22 <b>repeat</b> 14:25 38:16 41:13 56:15 61:7 102:12 109:5 <b>repeats</b> 156:8 <b>replicated</b> 135:3 <b>reply</b> 20:25 162:1 165:6 <b>reply/decision</b> 22:3 <b>report</b> 4:22,25 5:1 5:3 6:4 11:9 12:2 16:25 19:10,18 20:6,7 24:9,11,12 24:15,15,18,20 25:4,12,25 27:18 33:11 34:24 39:3 39:25 40:25 41:1 44:21 46:23 52:7 54:13 55:15 59:6 59:14,25 61:16,19 61:24 62:15 77:12 77:13 81:9 88:22 88:23 95:19 96:6 97:15,17 98:4,15 98:16,18,20 99:13 99:15,16,21 100:9 100:21,24 101:7 101:13,17,18 102:2,16,18 103:14 107:10 109:12 115:24 122:21 124:7 125:11 142:22 <b>reported</b> 10:25 38:2 101:23 117:12 152:17 <b>reporting</b> 27:6 95:25 152:8 <b>reports</b> 80:20 86:24 99:6 111:2,5 129:9	<b>representations</b> 130:12 <b>represented</b> 117:2 170:24 <b>represents</b> 73:22 <b>Republic</b> 161:8 <b>request</b> 18:10,13 29:18 97:22 121:23 153:23 157:9 160:20,25 161:7 162:5,21,23 163:5,6 164:20 165:11 <b>requested</b> 4:12 5:4 5:12 42:14 154:6 <b>requests</b> 17:21 27:25 159:14 161:21 165:10 168:12 <b>require</b> 121:22 137:15 <b>required</b> 77:21 78:25 132:10 137:12 167:9 <b>research</b> 14:22 39:6 <b>researchers</b> 92:4 <b>residing</b> 163:11 <b>resource</b> 8:22 47:12,15 <b>resources</b> 76:12 <b>respect</b> 53:11 54:5 135:16 140:8 157:23 159:8 163:1 165:14 <b>respond</b> 149:15,16 <b>responders</b> 140:25 <b>responding</b> 161:21 <b>response</b> 111:4 131:24 134:22 135:4 155:3,25 163:7 <b>responses</b> 165:15 <b>responsibility</b> 32:4 32:4 <b>rest</b> 24:7 105:15
--	--	---	--	--

<b>restrictions</b> 128:8	107:11 116:4	<b>rum</b> 44:11	140:23 152:20	<b>scientifically</b>
<b>result</b> 77:21 125:23	117:16 118:22	<b>run</b> 5:11 44:9	<b>sample</b> 159:1	133:19
139:13 159:20	121:8 123:2	<b>rung</b> 147:1	<b>sanctions</b> 155:21	<b>scope</b> 109:23
<b>resulting</b> 99:5	124:19 128:9	<b>running</b> 72:14	<b>Sasha</b> 144:19	161:14
<b>results</b> 20:6 68:12	130:24 131:10	152:2	145:10	<b>scrupulous</b> 43:4
77:15	135:17 138:14	<b>Russia</b> 10:7,8,10	<b>sat</b> 164:24	<b>search</b> 4:9,11 17:11
<b>retain</b> 5:2,4	141:18 142:19	16:3,4 29:16	<b>satisfied</b> 82:12	17:17 18:1,4,10
<b>retained</b> 88:7 89:17	144:2 146:9 149:7	121:18 122:11,21	88:13,18 104:8	18:18 20:1 33:21
<b>retrievable</b> 17:14	150:15 167:12	<b>Russian</b> 2:15 10:4	134:9 141:20,24	34:3,7,11,15,20
<b>retrieve</b> 18:10	169:6	10:21 14:2,3 15:6	142:3	35:1,4 38:24 39:5
<b>retrieved</b> 41:7	<b>right-hand</b> 145:14	20:12,21 21:3,4	<b>satisfying</b> 104:5	39:9,11,17 40:3,8
143:11	<b>rightly</b> 171:16	21:11 22:8,21	<b>save</b> 166:22	50:14 51:4,16
<b>returned</b> 84:13	<b>ring</b> 94:14	23:2 29:17 38:6,6	<b>saw</b> 37:23 70:12	55:23 56:24 65:25
<b>returning</b> 78:19	<b>rise</b> 36:3 43:23	38:7,10 43:11	73:2 75:17 129:14	77:21 108:7
<b>reveal</b> 127:13	151:21 156:21	49:3,19 50:24	168:18	163:20
164:10	<b>Rishat</b> 26:12 27:7	52:14 61:13 63:25	<b>saying</b> 23:15 32:16	<b>searched</b> 108:20
<b>review</b> 1:15,16 4:13	27:11 52:8,12	76:15 88:19 89:13	38:10 50:5 54:16	<b>searches</b> 18:6 19:21
14:19,19,24 15:4	53:7 154:23	89:14 96:13,17	72:25 90:24 91:7	51:3 102:22 110:8
15:15,20 38:13	<b>road</b> 146:23 147:6	110:24 112:19	93:3,11 96:21	164:9
85:6 95:13,23	<b>role</b> 46:2 47:10	115:25 116:10	110:14 113:14	<b>searching</b> 19:6 51:9
137:7,21 159:14	48:3 59:4 64:13	125:5,8 128:21	115:2 117:13	107:14
166:12,15,16	64:13 76:15,25	129:9 130:22	120:16 123:16	<b>seat</b> 78:16
<b>reviewed</b> 4:16	81:19 95:8 100:7	131:8 136:25	132:19 133:15	<b>second</b> 22:22 32:7
90:10 102:18,19	127:17 169:14	137:1 141:12	135:4 144:19,19	49:11 72:14 79:23
118:8 137:16,23	<b>roles</b> 82:13	144:22 155:19,21	144:24 145:5,9	84:24 87:13 98:11
137:24 138:1,25	<b>room</b> 3:12,13,13,14	155:24 156:1,3,9	146:17,18 149:18	102:25 103:14
159:19 160:17	3:16,17 11:6 12:6	156:12 158:20,21	156:20 167:16	116:4,6 119:23
<b>reviewing</b> 4:1 13:2	70:2 80:20	161:25 162:2,10	169:1	128:22 153:24
13:10,16 14:16	<b>rooms</b> 5:11 17:14	163:19 164:5,20	<b>says</b> 2:3 11:19 12:2	154:3,19 159:4
114:4	<b>roubles</b> 20:25	165:2 168:21	23:11 24:19 30:7	169:17 172:9
<b>revisit</b> 85:11 87:22	21:16,19 38:6	169:3	30:14 53:14 54:16	<b>second-to-last</b>
<b>revisited</b> 89:18	59:19 62:17 63:5	<b>Russian/Ukrainian</b>	55:14,15 73:15	145:13
127:15	63:17 72:8 74:13	6:20 97:23 98:7	97:20 103:15,21	<b>secondary</b> 7:10
<b>Rice</b> 132:21	<b>roughly</b> 21:21 75:6	<b>Russians</b> 29:21	105:14 125:21	<b>seconded</b> 6:2,5
<b>Rice's</b> 133:20	75:7		145:14 147:16	97:25
<b>right</b> 8:7,24 9:8,22	<b>round</b> 116:10	<b>S</b>	148:1,3 161:11	<b>Secondly</b> 159:10
10:5 11:20 12:5	<b>RTB/408</b> 82:17	<b>sad</b> 144:25	163:12 171:16	160:14 166:13
12:13 16:16 19:11	<b>rule</b> 1:19 109:20	<b>sadly</b> 82:23	<b>Sazonov</b> 61:11	<b>seconds</b> 22:10
19:13 20:14 26:23	154:25 157:21,25	<b>safe</b> 47:22 63:16	62:17 63:8,18	<b>Secretary</b> 166:13
29:5 33:17 34:12	169:25 170:7,11	<b>safe/free</b> 20:24	112:18 113:12	<b>section</b> 7:14 38:24
42:8,19 43:1,17	<b>ruled</b> 133:19	<b>safety</b> 17:21 27:25	<b>Sazonov's</b> 61:19	39:1,4,9
55:16 62:11 67:11	<b>rules</b> 157:21 170:8	<b>Safra</b> 155:6	74:22	<b>SECTU</b> 2:10,16,24
68:12 70:10 72:21	<b>rules</b> 157:21 170:8	<b>sake</b> 172:4	<b>scale</b> 169:3	3:3,12 9:16 45:23
74:11 82:13,13	<b>ruling</b> 39:5 155:11	<b>Salisbury</b> 131:20	<b>scan</b> 7:9	45:24 47:2,4 76:9
85:4 86:17 96:25	166:24 170:17	131:23 132:2	<b>scene</b> 132:2 134:12	76:12 83:8,11,12
99:25 106:3,4	171:4,22	134:22,23 140:12	<b>school</b> 7:10	83:21 84:2 85:14
	<b>rulings</b> 170:9			



85:23 86:1,5,7,9 87:3,3 106:20,25 <b>secure</b> 47:6 82:5 127:11 <b>secured</b> 127:10 <b>security</b> 47:3 137:4 <b>Seddons</b> 158:24 <b>see</b> 1:13,23 7:24 8:6 16:10 17:22 19:10 19:15 22:8 24:14 24:17 26:5 27:6 28:16 31:1,8,13 31:17 33:13,20 34:12,22 35:14,16 36:10,12,24 41:6 41:9 42:17 44:9 46:23 48:17 49:22 50:15,18 51:17 57:6 61:16 62:5 62:18 63:7 66:17 66:23 69:8,12 70:3,13 71:23,24 72:8,13,16 73:13 73:24 74:6,16 75:8,13 79:14,15 82:16,17 84:23 85:16 88:3 90:20 92:3 98:3,13 105:20 108:8,9,14 111:3 114:24 115:15 120:8 125:15 128:1,8,20 137:8,11 142:25 143:5 144:2,6,15 144:18,23,23 147:1,11,16 148:9 149:5 150:2,18 151:6 156:23 158:7 167:22 168:20,22 169:13 173:7 <b>seeing</b> 16:17 26:24 28:15 35:10,11,20 43:9 53:2,5 129:24 <b>seek</b> 99:16 104:15	<b>seeking</b> 59:3 100:7 119:13 <b>seen</b> 16:2,19 31:22 39:10 40:22 42:12 44:23 52:25 69:15 69:16,19,20,21,22 101:22 102:6 117:18 118:3 124:17 131:19,22 131:24 154:22 160:4 162:24 166:8,14 167:5 <b>seize</b> 143:3 <b>seized</b> 92:8 93:21 135:15 149:17 159:15 <b>self-encrypting</b> 106:14 <b>send</b> 25:18 29:20 152:1 <b>sends</b> 151:25 <b>senior</b> 78:2,21 136:14 140:14 <b>sense</b> 22:18 23:17 44:13 55:7 66:13 70:7,9 81:20 94:4 110:11 133:8 167:20 <b>sensitive</b> 137:8,20 137:22,25 <b>sent</b> 28:6 124:18 145:20 151:8 161:8,25 <b>sentence</b> 163:23 <b>separate</b> 23:21 38:3 38:13,19 109:23 <b>separately</b> 16:19 70:1 <b>September</b> 32:24 73:8,10,12,13,15 80:2 <b>sequence</b> 22:8,9,13 22:15,18 <b>sergeant</b> 80:19 <b>Sergei</b> 15:18 19:15 40:4 155:23	<b>series</b> 28:24 31:20 45:8 69:18 86:8 118:3,4 122:21 124:13 151:12 168:25 <b>serious</b> 118:21 <b>seriously</b> 63:10 102:9,14 <b>server</b> 86:1,4,10 <b>servers</b> 86:7,20 106:25 <b>Service</b> 160:9 <b>services</b> 9:17 92:19 163:15 <b>set</b> 2:23 3:11 20:8 58:20 76:16 95:18 115:5 129:19,25 170:9 <b>setting</b> 108:19,23 <b>Seventhly</b> 161:7 <b>share</b> 161:17 <b>shareholder</b> 52:13 <b>sheet</b> 169:18 <b>short</b> 23:24 67:13 94:23 105:21 120:24 153:12 157:16 172:19 <b>shortcoming</b> 108:15 <b>shorter</b> 63:23 <b>shortfall</b> 108:24 <b>shorthand</b> 54:25 172:22,23,24 <b>shortly</b> 97:4 101:25 <b>show</b> 6:5 17:18 28:22 54:16 55:1 60:9 101:10,11 <b>showed</b> 59:1 127:1 <b>showing</b> 34:11 <b>shown</b> 60:13 61:16 101:21 <b>shows</b> 128:4 169:11 <b>sicknesses</b> 47:20 <b>side</b> 70:13 144:23 145:14 151:7 <b>sign</b> 2:24 41:21	<b>signature</b> 8:9 <b>signed</b> 44:1 79:16 79:23 80:3 144:9 144:15 <b>significance</b> 74:2 111:19 124:21,24 159:6 <b>significant</b> 40:17 48:14 58:3 68:10 90:13 113:5 127:3 <b>signposts</b> 88:21 89:15 <b>signs</b> 134:18 <b>silly</b> 22:1 23:3,7 72:9 <b>silo</b> 111:7 <b>similar</b> 3:25 17:15 18:8 21:14 25:24 30:16 57:23 62:22 63:16 70:9 119:1 130:12 161:25 <b>similarly</b> 34:19 <b>Simon</b> 155:11,12 <b>simply</b> 25:11,17 32:19 37:11 44:20 64:7 138:5 154:5 171:1 <b>single</b> 51:14 66:4 <b>singled</b> 23:15 <b>sinister</b> 4:17 <b>SIO</b> 3:9 135:16 139:6 140:5 <b>sir</b> 1:7,13,18 2:3 6:14 7:16 36:3,9 43:23 44:20 54:5 55:12,20 60:23 61:3 65:22 67:6 71:3,15 74:24 78:11,14,17 79:18 79:21 80:1,5 81:24 85:13 89:22 90:9 91:12,20 92:22 95:4 96:16 97:3,12 102:12 105:16 109:1,7,16 109:21 114:15	116:20 119:17 123:3 124:22,25 125:2,21 127:15 128:13 129:3 131:3 133:1,12 135:11,25 136:4,7 137:5 138:18,23 139:23 140:2,7 141:19 142:3,5,9 146:2,6,7 149:25 150:17 152:2,7,16 152:23 153:5,9,22 154:19 156:21 157:4,9,12,18 159:3,14 165:23 166:10 168:13,22 169:13 170:22 171:12,15 172:18 173:13 <b>sit</b> 63:10 78:16 <b>site</b> 121:25 <b>situation</b> 145:2 148:13 <b>six</b> 38:12,18 <b>Sixt</b> 161:5 <b>sixth</b> 34:22,25 <b>Sixthly</b> 161:4 <b>Skelton</b> 78:14,18 78:19 89:23 91:13 105:16,19,23 106:9 133:17 134:1 142:5,9,12 142:13 144:15 145:12,24 146:2,7 146:12 150:1 153:5,9,12,21 157:18 158:17 166:4,10 167:10 171:15,25 173:13 174:12,17 <b>skills</b> 85:5 <b>skip</b> 18:17 <b>Skripal</b> 132:9 140:24 <b>Skripals</b> 140:11 <b>Skype</b> 13:3 28:11
---	--	---	---	--

28:25 29:3,9,10 30:11,14 32:23,24 53:20 54:2,23 61:25 62:13 70:23 70:24 71:18 72:25 73:19 74:3 90:2 97:6 101:3 118:25 120:1,3,18 121:5 121:11 144:8 163:2 <b>Skypes</b> 52:22 53:2 53:22 58:15 59:1 59:10 60:9,12 74:6 100:4 101:10 112:23 113:7,13 120:12 <b>SL/1</b> 4:24 5:22 6:4 6:15 <b>SL/2</b> 5:17 6:14 <b>slight</b> 167:5 <b>slightly</b> 63:21 66:15 95:7 <b>slipped</b> 173:7 <b>small</b> 29:5 73:24 153:9 160:4 <b>SMS</b> 21:8 <b>Snezhanna</b> 1:14 2:2,4 4:23 6:4 97:25 174:3 <b>social</b> 137:21 <b>solicitor</b> 4:21 5:15 65:24 121:17 143:1 158:11,18 164:25 <b>solicitors</b> 158:25 <b>solid</b> 105:17 <b>Solomon</b> 155:7 <b>solutions</b> 114:20 <b>somebody</b> 25:15 57:1 126:17 127:2 146:25 148:21 149:10 <b>someone's</b> 37:4 <b>sons</b> 156:2 <b>soon</b> 5:12 129:19 157:18 167:1	<b>sorry</b> 1:5 30:10 38:16 41:13 49:10 50:11 56:15,18 59:18 72:18 73:12 73:14 74:11 84:4 86:2 93:23 98:25 102:12 105:13,14 105:17 114:1 120:23 121:9 124:5 125:1,2 127:25 143:9 146:11 147:12 150:1 <b>sort</b> 12:15 13:2 18:7 33:22 37:17 37:22 43:8 51:25 52:5 55:4,4 123:20 127:7 147:11 <b>sorts</b> 42:21 57:23 <b>sought</b> 60:4 101:6 164:22 165:18 <b>sound</b> 11:20 12:13 <b>sounds</b> 23:16 25:15 <b>sources</b> 71:8 73:23 155:20 <b>south-east</b> 2:10 83:12,13,15 106:18 <b>spare</b> 58:15 <b>speak</b> 55:7,18 56:21 58:15 <b>speaker</b> 6:20 10:4 61:13 96:14 98:8 <b>speakers</b> 136:25 <b>speaking</b> 92:20 97:23 148:19,21 <b>special</b> 170:19 171:20 172:14 <b>specialist</b> 83:18 <b>specific</b> 11:12 105:2 169:16 <b>specifically</b> 4:3 14:22 15:17 43:9 92:16 122:23 <b>spectacular</b> 155:4	<b>speculation</b> 36:7 <b>speculative</b> 36:4 <b>spent</b> 75:4 126:1 <b>spider</b> 58:23 <b>split</b> 77:5 <b>spoke</b> 73:16 74:13 88:18 92:23 121:18 132:21 157:18 <b>spoken</b> 33:2 73:10 93:9 96:17 <b>sports</b> 44:24 <b>spreadsheets</b> 4:14 6:16,22,25 13:7 17:17 <b>St</b> 159:13 <b>staff</b> 7:5 8:25 47:19 81:1,7 97:25 137:6,9,20 <b>staffing</b> 47:23 <b>stage</b> 58:17 93:20 99:19 104:14 105:8,9,9 111:3,4 115:7 123:7 127:12 130:4 135:8 139:24 141:14 <b>stages</b> 117:20 123:9 123:10 131:15 <b>stand</b> 167:16 <b>standalone</b> 3:16 <b>standard</b> 137:7 <b>stands</b> 154:4 <b>start</b> 1:10 79:11 89:11 96:6 138:9 138:11 144:17 <b>started</b> 101:15 140:4 152:2 <b>starting</b> 1:5 41:3 134:21 146:20 <b>starts</b> 31:12 103:15 <b>state</b> 7:20 171:3 <b>state-controlled</b> 156:6 <b>statement</b> 1:13 2:6 7:25 8:3,13 11:18	16:21 20:8,11 22:6 24:1,11,18 24:21 26:2 28:9 32:9 33:7 34:1,25 35:6,18 36:17,19 36:22,24 37:8,21 39:3,15 40:8,20 42:2 49:9 51:23 52:7 63:1 64:22 69:3 70:21 74:22 78:8 79:14,16,19 79:23 80:2,7 81:8 81:13 82:1,18 84:21,24 87:14 89:23 90:11 94:10 98:23 99:23 105:11 107:6 114:18 115:1 118:7 119:7,7 153:24 154:3,12 154:19 155:3 156:8 158:17 159:4 <b>statements</b> 28:4 36:1 37:18 57:7 57:19 66:18 70:12 78:24 79:10 80:7 80:20 87:13 154:9 157:7,19,23 158:9 158:11,14 170:6 <b>States</b> 166:18 <b>station</b> 6:19 <b>status</b> 140:20 170:23 171:1,13 <b>stayed</b> 47:2,4 <b>staying</b> 124:9,13 125:6 <b>stenographer</b> 105:15 <b>stenographers</b> 67:10 <b>Stepanov</b> 19:21 65:12 73:17 107:20 110:19 <b>Stepanova</b> 65:14 <b>steps</b> 138:5	<b>sterile</b> 3:13 <b>STO/1</b> 143:7 <b>STO/2</b> 21:9 92:10 94:11 143:8,9 144:3 151:9 <b>STO/3</b> 92:10 143:9 <b>stolen</b> 15:8 42:7 <b>stopping</b> 169:6 <b>storage</b> 82:5 <b>store</b> 82:3 106:22 <b>stored</b> 18:5,6,7 33:23 <b>straight</b> 94:20 <b>strange</b> 29:22 <b>strategy</b> 3:7 4:8,12 4:19 5:16,18,20 5:22,25 13:17,23 16:7,10,13,22 17:1,4 24:15 25:8 27:24 33:9 34:11 39:1 46:12,16 48:18 58:9 64:20 66:1,10 76:17,20 77:22 78:7 87:15 87:17,22 88:1,20 95:12,18 96:9,11 98:10,20,22 99:7 99:17 100:14 101:18 102:21 103:20 105:6 110:1,6,23 111:6 112:11 <b>street</b> 14:11 129:11 148:5 <b>streets</b> 47:22 <b>stronger</b> 145:2 <b>struck</b> 155:13,14 <b>structure</b> 111:6 <b>stuff</b> 107:8 112:13 <b>sub</b> 4:7 <b>subject</b> 53:3 81:16 89:17 122:6 128:7 134:18,20 163:2 <b>subjects</b> 164:6 <b>submission</b> 109:11 109:19
---	--	--	--	--

<b>submissions</b> 109:23 154:8 167:6,9 168:19 172:25	106:24 161:21 164:17	<b>swear</b> 157:6	<b>talks</b> 122:10 143:6	40:8,19 50:14
<b>submitted</b> 4:25	<b>summer</b> 109:5	<b>Swindon</b> 2:5	<b>targeted</b> 139:2	55:24 56:24 70:19
<b>subsequent</b> 88:23 99:20 105:8,10 110:9 118:25	<b>sums</b> 34:8 42:12,17 44:25 57:20,23 58:4	<b>Swiss</b> 28:12 29:20 30:15 31:21 53:24 54:4,24 58:11 104:3,7,10,11,12 104:13,22	164:3	87:7,12 89:8 91:4 104:5,25 123:4,6 127:10 169:1 171:10
<b>subsequently</b> 14:24 15:4 127:13 140:22	<b>Superintendent</b> 1:10	<b>Switzerland</b> 17:20 27:23 29:16 30:2 59:3 100:7 103:24	<b>task</b> 2:6,22 6:2 42:20 89:6 97:1 102:8,13 112:8,13	<b>terribly</b> 113:23
<b>substance</b> 18:20	<b>supplementary</b> 1:12 146:4	<b>sworn</b> 7:18 78:15 174:5,11	<b>tasked</b> 4:1 58:18 81:17	<b>Terrorism</b> 2:10 83:12,16 106:19
<b>sudden</b> 23:5,8,11 25:16	<b>support</b> 137:6	<b>symptoms</b> 132:9	<b>tasks</b> 5:13	<b>test</b> 170:19
<b>suffered</b> 132:9	<b>suppose</b> 47:17 91:1 146:20 149:8	<b>synchronised</b> 169:2	<b>Tatiana</b> 143:2 144:9,13	<b>tested</b> 170:20
<b>sufficient</b> 89:1,15	<b>sure</b> 11:24 13:13 14:1 37:18 40:10 40:11 47:22 50:11 60:16,19 116:14 120:16,21 121:15 129:25 133:17 143:12 149:24 150:6 167:22	<b>system</b> 81:3 82:5,8 86:12	<b>tax</b> 29:18 163:15	<b>testimonies</b> 169:20
<b>sufficiently</b> 89:10	<b>surname</b> 50:24	<hr/> <b>T</b> <hr/>	<b>Taylor</b> 142:23	<b>testing</b> 130:3 133:20 141:17,25
<b>suggest</b> 28:4 46:8 66:10 75:11 96:2 97:8 98:3 100:5 125:25 139:2	<b>surprising</b> 149:9	<b>T</b> 148:8	<b>team</b> 32:20 37:12 43:1 64:14 76:4 77:10,14 80:14,25 81:1,6 96:14 114:5 130:16 160:17 167:8	<b>tests</b> 123:11 130:8 131:3,4
<b>suggested</b> 58:14 67:7 91:5 100:3 113:4	<b>Surrey</b> 45:22 46:8 67:20 76:10 77:11 83:14 93:22,25 106:19,20,21 116:23 129:18 130:8 134:11 135:19,22 142:14 159:15	<b>tab</b> 1:11 7:24 16:9 33:11 36:21 79:13 79:23 80:2 89:24 90:11 136:9 142:21 143:24 146:7,11,12	<b>tech</b> 3:23 83:8,22	<b>text</b> 13:3 20:12,16 20:20 21:23 23:14 24:16 26:7 30:17 31:1,6 36:18 49:22,22 52:4,25 62:13 70:22,23 71:19,25 72:10 92:2 115:23 118:10,16 151:8
<b>suggesting</b> 49:16 54:22 99:10 100:20 101:3 103:6 119:8,12	<b>Surrey/Sussex</b> 2:11 97:23	<b>table</b> 98:13	<b>technical</b> 16:15 18:24 19:2,3 51:2 88:6 95:5	<b>texts</b> 59:15,19 146:1
<b>suggestion</b> 51:24 74:9 161:22	<b>suspected</b> 141:12	<b>tactics</b> 49:3	<b>technically</b> 76:9 157:4	<b>Thames</b> 2:8,19 3:23 6:8,18 8:22 9:1 76:10 83:14 136:24
<b>suggestions</b> 168:9	<b>suspensions</b> 135:7	<b>tail</b> 98:24 99:1	<b>technology</b> 159:19	<b>thank</b> 1:25 27:3,10 27:14 31:24 32:6 38:23 45:10 61:2 61:22 64:19 67:5 71:14 74:24 75:24 78:10,12,17 79:22 87:11 91:13 95:3 108:25 109:1 135:11 142:4 153:7,7,8,21 166:3 168:13 172:18 173:9,11 173:13
<b>suggests</b> 27:24 96:3 119:10 155:9 156:10	<b>suspicious</b> 42:17 43:9 49:23 91:8 94:5 134:20,24	<b>take</b> 32:3,4,17 36:11 45:7 49:23 54:10 68:10 79:10 88:24 105:15 106:2 110:18 136:7 142:21 146:2 153:12 169:7 173:1	<b>Telegraph</b> 117:12 117:23 122:15	<b>theft</b> 155:8
<b>suitable</b> 88:8	<b>Sussex</b> 83:13 136:11	<b>taken</b> 7:6 8:18 10:25 22:9 24:11 52:2 59:20 72:19 73:4,21 83:2 90:7 102:9 121:14 136:3 142:14	<b>telephone</b> 61:11,14 62:3,18 68:6 93:15 120:19 146:25 151:11	<b>thing</b> 22:25 43:8
<b>Suites</b> 165:14	<b>Suter</b> 124:12 157:20 158:16,18 174:21	<b>talk</b> 113:7	<b>telephoned</b> 135:20	
<b>suits</b> 132:6	<b>Suter's</b> 165:23 170:11	<b>talking</b> 12:22 28:12 30:15 145:19 151:23	<b>telephones</b> 114:15 135:15,15,23	
<b>sum</b> 35:7,11,12 37:1 58:1 60:4 101:5 107:5	<b>Suzy</b> 150:24		<b>tell</b> 8:21 39:15 57:17 116:14 148:4,6,11	
<b>summarise</b> 44:22 76:3 86:23 106:12 106:18 133:24 140:14 163:24			<b>telling</b> 96:24 155:18	
<b>summarised</b> 57:4			<b>tenancy</b> 158:23	
<b>summarising</b> 95:14			<b>term</b> 34:20 47:16	
<b>summary</b> 86:9 91:7			<b>terms</b> 4:19 19:6,7 19:20 25:8 34:3 34:11,11,15 35:2 35:5 39:10,12	

46:24 47:3 51:16 52:5 58:23 98:11 98:13 103:14 112:10 121:7 123:3 147:3 172:10 <b>things</b> 42:10,21 46:16 48:13 88:21 95:18 98:14 100:15 101:10 110:24,25 111:10 111:15 112:5 115:10,18 120:24 121:2 123:25 127:20 130:22 131:8 134:11 169:15 <b>think</b> 6:7 11:13,18 11:22,22 12:14 13:8 14:5,14,20 15:1 20:2,11 21:12,19 27:4,23 29:13 30:5,9 34:6 35:17 37:20 38:8 39:12,17 40:10 42:16,19 44:13 45:15,20 46:25 47:10 48:1,7,16 50:4 51:22 52:21 54:5,13,20 56:2 57:5,15 58:9 59:15,20 60:5,14 60:23 61:17 62:11 63:2,10,14 64:9 65:2,4 67:7 68:13 68:24 70:19,21,23 71:1 72:1,19 73:5 73:7,11 74:2,5,12 75:4,4 83:3 85:14 87:8 88:25 89:9 89:11,14 90:7 92:3,10 93:13,18 94:19 96:6 98:23 99:10,12 101:15 103:10,24 105:14 105:19 107:18	110:2,17 111:21 111:23 112:5,9,23 114:23 115:25 116:7,21 117:10 117:25 120:5,6 121:6,25 122:8,16 123:3 124:11 125:3,4 126:25 128:14,24 129:4 130:11,12,14 132:10,12,14,17 134:1,14,16 135:15,19,22 136:4 138:8 143:14,14,15,17 144:2 145:22,23 146:17 147:4 148:13 149:6,11 149:22 153:5,12 153:18 154:8,11 154:15,17 157:4,9 158:15 159:2 160:5 163:23 164:3 165:24 167:12 169:18 171:15,21 173:8 <b>thinking</b> 11:19 27:19 105:18 <b>third</b> 22:22 23:2 34:17 41:3 71:23 72:1 80:2 103:1 139:17 152:14,19 <b>Thirdly</b> 159:13 166:18 172:11 <b>thorough</b> 64:2 <b>thought</b> 12:12 34:8 42:16,23,25 68:9 69:23,25 71:20 83:20 84:5 85:21 86:15 88:4 89:2 89:20 99:17 115:23 122:2 132:21 149:11 151:20 160:16 168:7 <b>threat</b> 25:9,11,15	40:23 49:3,23,24 50:6,10 51:21 52:3 59:12 74:13 119:11 <b>threats</b> 13:19 17:11 20:9 40:19 49:1 49:13 57:3 75:11 89:5 118:22 119:6 119:10 <b>threats/intimidat...</b> 27:17 <b>three</b> 6:3 20:11 28:8 60:10,24 66:7 69:14 71:25 72:8,10 78:24 101:9 113:1 139:18 153:24 154:16 164:18 <b>Thursday</b> 6:6,22 <b>tie</b> 145:25 <b>TIM</b> 158:16 174:21 <b>time</b> 2:7,20 3:21 4:8,20,25 5:2,4,9 5:15,19,21,23,24 5:25 9:3 12:3 15:24 20:23 21:20 23:24 24:12 25:5 26:13 27:6,8 30:1 40:2,15 46:18 50:25 51:4 53:9 56:10,11 61:15 63:11 66:17,25 73:14 74:16 79:6 79:8 87:25 88:24 89:3 90:18 93:14 93:25 94:23 99:15 100:25 101:17,24 103:9 105:21,25 107:10 117:13 118:3 119:16 120:7 124:14 125:6,13 126:13 126:15 127:4 130:13,18 131:6,6 132:8 137:24 140:19 142:16	157:10,12 158:11 159:17,24 160:1 161:8 165:8 167:7 167:13 168:3,16 169:8,9 <b>timed</b> 136:20 144:7 <b>timeframe</b> 12:15 67:4 <b>timeline</b> 71:1,21 92:4 144:17 150:24 151:8 <b>timely</b> 168:2 <b>times</b> 73:12 111:14 113:4 123:20 <b>timetable</b> 167:3 <b>timing</b> 172:6 <b>Timothy</b> 158:18 <b>Tingaev</b> 129:10 <b>tinned</b> 7:2 <b>today</b> 45:16 69:15 79:1 96:5 97:19 100:22 101:20 111:11,17 138:8 140:8 163:3 <b>today's</b> 119:22 <b>told</b> 11:8 14:1,3,6,8 14:12 37:3,4 48:2 51:20 66:1 91:17 91:24 92:15 98:23 107:15 114:22 116:15 166:15 <b>tomorrow</b> 21:1 69:16 <b>top</b> 21:11 29:7 63:4 144:2 <b>topic</b> 27:15 33:21 <b>topics</b> 41:25 <b>torture</b> 155:22 <b>total</b> 107:5 <b>totality</b> 119:6 120:9 <b>totally</b> 45:23 <b>touch</b> 40:8 66:15 116:21 <b>toxin</b> 141:14,17 <b>toxins</b> 18:19 39:2 <b>traces</b> 40:14	<b>Trading</b> 103:2 <b>trained</b> 24:5 42:10 49:24 <b>training</b> 9:12 <b>transaction</b> 35:7 107:7 126:4,14 <b>transactions</b> 38:2,4 57:10,19 <b>transcript</b> 60:9,14 60:25 154:4 171:16 <b>transfer</b> 22:2 72:9 <b>transferred</b> 86:11 <b>transfers</b> 28:5 <b>translate</b> 10:20 23:6,9 42:11 63:14 76:15 88:19 96:7 <b>translated</b> 20:18 21:13 28:24 64:1 90:7,19 95:23 98:14,19 120:4 144:22 <b>translates</b> 21:15 63:8 <b>translating</b> 45:8 <b>translation</b> 9:18,23 10:22 18:11,14 20:22 21:17 23:13 46:7 63:4,21 64:2 64:7,13 95:22 97:9,13,18 137:1 <b>translations</b> 22:23 46:9 97:5 137:21 <b>translator</b> 9:21 42:24 46:3 54:6 64:5 95:10,16 96:4,7 97:7,16,20 98:4,12 105:4 <b>translators</b> 88:25 89:14 136:5 <b>travel</b> 65:23 <b>Travers</b> 130:7 131:3 <b>Treasury</b> 128:2,6 <b>treat</b> 171:20
---	--	--	--	---

<b>treated</b> 130:3 140:15,21	34:14,14 41:25 52:2 56:5,5 57:2	115:12 121:24 127:17 133:24	<b>Valid</b> 19:22 65:4,7 128:17 162:14	<b>voluntarily</b> 83:3
<b>treatment</b> 132:11 141:8	66:2,7,14 67:1,25 75:4 76:2 77:5	151:13 153:1	163:14,17 164:2,8 164:15	<hr/> <b>W</b> <hr/>
<b>triage</b> 3:5	84:19 85:20 86:10	<b>understood</b> 4:9	<b>Valley</b> 2:8,20 3:23	<b>Wages</b> 7:5
<b>trick</b> 94:13	88:25 92:8 103:5	38:13 68:20 77:22	6:8,18 8:22 9:1	<b>want</b> 17:7 20:21,24
<b>tried</b> 112:2 129:18 145:1	108:1 112:8,17,20 119:21 120:18	82:25 84:2 101:17	76:10 83:14	29:6 30:22 42:1,3
<b>trigger</b> 13:18 16:19 16:25 54:19 66:19	127:5 132:12	134:3 142:13	136:24	46:3 54:16 55:16
<b>trouble</b> 69:6 159:2 161:5 163:3	136:2,24 139:8,14	168:13	<b>value</b> 44:9	63:15 78:16 88:12
165:16,21	139:18,24 141:11	<b>undertaken</b> 164:9	<b>variation</b> 169:4	91:15 94:20 98:11
<b>true</b> 8:13 79:19,25 80:3 129:13	142:25 143:6	<b>undertaking</b> 69:6	<b>variety</b> 155:20	119:3 130:13
170:25	155:23 157:19	<b>undertook</b> 11:13	<b>various</b> 7:2,6 80:12	131:17 134:4
<b>trust</b> 148:13	158:9 164:6	<b>unexplained</b> 139:8	87:17 116:21,24	149:5 167:2,13
<b>truth</b> 157:6	168:23	139:14 141:23	121:18 129:20	<b>wanted</b> 88:2 98:18
<b>try</b> 70:25 86:20,20 86:25 112:6,10	<b>type</b> 11:9 14:13 42:13 58:23 80:10	<b>unforeseeable</b> 86:8	132:11 154:9,24	112:13 142:7
118:20 119:14	<hr/> <b>U</b> <hr/>	<b>unfortunate</b> 86:8 114:15	156:22 170:5	150:13 162:13
155:20 159:17	<b>UK</b> 7:12 10:9,12,13 10:14 75:17	<b>unfortunately</b> 86:4 86:14,22 115:14	<b>veg</b> 7:3	<b>warrant</b> 42:25
<b>trying</b> 36:9,10,13 44:3,4 58:23 59:2	<b>UKk532</b> 32:24	<b>unheard</b> 146:25	<b>vegetables</b> 37:23	<b>wasn't</b> 35:2 41:21
59:11 60:2 62:21	<b>Ukraine</b> 7:11	<b>unhelpful</b> 44:4 172:24	<b>vehicle</b> 52:15	42:20 51:16 61:15
66:9 73:5 100:5	<b>unclear</b> 52:4 129:6	<b>Unit</b> 2:10 3:23 83:9	<b>version</b> 5:17 16:11 21:3,4 63:24 71:5	85:22 86:21 91:2
100:17 101:4	<b>unconscious</b> 146:22	83:12,16,22	116:1	92:7 93:20 94:7,9
115:13 119:9	<b>undelivered</b> 151:25	106:19	<b>versions</b> 20:1 22:8	94:9 106:22
120:23 163:24	<b>underlay</b> 114:12	<b>United</b> 166:18	<b>vetting</b> 137:4,7,9 137:12,15	111:12 114:8
<b>Tuesday</b> 117:10	<b>undermine</b> 155:20	<b>unknown</b> 32:7 132:7 139:10	<b>victim</b> 139:2	115:14 120:7
<b>Turkey</b> 161:8	<b>underpins</b> 54:17	151:10 163:15	<b>victims</b> 164:12	121:25 123:8
<b>Turkish</b> 161:9	<b>underscores</b> 44:18	<b>unlawful</b> 43:6	<b>video</b> 155:25	127:11 128:13
<b>turn</b> 8:6 29:4 33:11 70:20 75:7 114:19	<b>understand</b> 45:15 45:18,25 47:8,8	<b>unsurprising</b> 46:24	<b>view</b> 3:1 22:13 38:1 55:11 68:10 79:4	129:24,25 138:19
118:15 124:4	51:19,19 58:20	<b>unusual</b> 5:10 13:19	98:1,6 115:10	140:2 145:6
127:23 167:24	60:16 62:11,21	<b>update</b> 115:9 116:24 117:17,24	133:20 136:1	<b>Wastell</b> 1:7 2:3 7:19,20 15:18
<b>turned</b> 156:16	67:5 72:25 82:22	166:20	138:16 139:25	23:18 24:9 25:4
<b>turning</b> 20:6 136:5 140:8	84:10 103:21	<b>updated</b> 116:25 166:17	<b>viewed</b> 6:22 137:13 160:4	36:9,13,21 44:20
<b>turns</b> 127:4	105:7 112:19	<b>upshot</b> 86:12	<b>viewing</b> 17:14	45:10 46:2,22
<b>TV</b> 16:2 156:6	113:13,14 118:2	<b>use</b> 40:14,16,16,20 43:14 49:20 88:25	<b>visible</b> 134:18	47:10 48:2,16
<b>TVP</b> 2:9 137:6	121:22 126:12	89:1,14 94:11	<b>visit</b> 67:22	51:20 53:14,22
<b>twice</b> 68:14	132:18 143:19	96:22 135:24	<b>visitor</b> 127:2,7	54:1 72:19 78:11
<b>two</b> 1:8 12:23,24,25 15:23 21:23 28:20	145:8 162:23	136:5	<b>Vlad</b> 144:8	153:22 154:2,19
29:6 30:22 34:2	167:20	<b>UTC</b> 30:1	<b>Vladen</b> 19:21 65:12 107:20	157:4,12 174:6
	<b>understanding</b> 56:2 111:19	<hr/> <b>V</b> <hr/>	<b>voice</b> 7:22 93:2,12	<b>watching</b> 131:21
	112:15 113:10	<b>v</b> 155:11 162:18	<b>volume</b> 114:20 122:17 127:24	<b>way</b> 4:17 15:25 17:5 22:11 23:4,9
			128:1 136:9	46:17 47:17 48:10

100:18 101:4	164:13 168:14	<b>world</b> 12:8	141:16 146:19	146:18
107:9 110:22	<b>wishes</b> 109:9 158:8	<b>worry</b> 136:10	<b>yellow</b> 31:7,8,9	<b>13.53</b> 151:11
115:9 116:9	<b>withholding</b> 148:22	<b>worth</b> 21:18 131:10	<b>yesterday</b> 131:4	<b>131</b> 61:1
119:10 120:23	<b>witness</b> 6:14 7:16	168:7	132:14,18 133:15	<b>133</b> 61:1
132:25 133:2	34:24 36:21 43:25	<b>wouldn't</b> 18:25	133:23 156:5	<b>135</b> 174:16
134:2,5,12 140:16	44:13 49:9 60:25	20:3 22:15 25:3	<b>you'</b> 147:21	<b>136</b> 61:1
141:8 150:17,18	63:1,2 64:22 67:6	37:6 39:21 43:13	<b>Yuri</b> 155:25	<b>13th</b> 92:17 93:1
168:10 169:5	69:3 70:20 71:12	46:19 58:13 62:9		147:5
171:1 173:2,4	78:14 88:15 94:10	66:5 122:4 149:14		<b>14</b> 48:17 49:6,7,8
<b>ways</b> 18:21 23:5	112:16 118:7	168:6	<b>Z</b>	88:12 143:16
44:18,22 49:25	129:10 150:13	<b>wound</b> 85:10	<b>0</b>	158:17 166:15
52:2 98:17	152:8 158:13	<b>write</b> 137:19	<b>1</b>	167:7
<b>wealth</b> 37:2	169:19	147:20 148:12		<b>140041</b> 103:15,16
<b>web</b> 17:14 18:6	<b>witness's</b> 55:10	<b>writing</b> 46:25 154:8	<b>1</b> 1:12 16:14 49:8	104:9
<b>websites</b> 45:5	<b>witnesses</b> 1:9	160:10 167:6,14	60:4 63:2 74:9,14	<b>142</b> 174:17
<b>week</b> 12:20,21,21	125:24 157:6	171:9	79:13,14 97:17	<b>15</b> 50:12,16 98:24
12:22 117:10	171:19	<b>written</b> 1:7,11,21	101:5 113:7,18	99:1 102:22
134:10 145:7	<b>woman</b> 99:11	16:19 26:7 38:7	114:20 118:15	107:17 136:20
153:15 167:13	<b>women</b> 132:6 148:4	39:20 55:22 78:7	122:17	165:9
168:5 172:21	<b>wonder</b> 142:5	104:8 116:8	<b>1.00</b> 105:16	<b>150</b> 30:21,24 31:10
<b>week's</b> 158:21	149:12 150:9	128:10,24 129:8	<b>1.02</b> 106:5	174:18
<b>weeks</b> 12:23,24,25	<b>wondering</b> 55:8,9	144:12 157:20,24	<b>10</b> 18:18 39:1,4	<b>150s</b> 30:22
66:2,7,14 67:1,25	<b>word</b> 4:14 6:23	158:2,3,4,7	67:8 84:24 105:18	<b>151</b> 29:4,6
75:4	13:5 17:17 19:10	160:21 166:13	105:23 129:7	<b>152</b> 174:19
<b>weight</b> 170:18	19:15 50:23 54:19	<b>wrong</b> 24:23 26:24	156:15	<b>154</b> 174:20
171:6	96:4,22 97:18	61:25 106:20	<b>10.00</b> 1:2	<b>1557</b> 6:18
<b>welfare</b> 17:21	141:23	<b>wrongly</b> 151:2	<b>10.06</b> 73:12	<b>158</b> 174:21
27:25	<b>wording</b> 29:23	155:9	<b>10.18</b> 1:4	<b>16</b> 143:16
<b>went</b> 46:9 47:1	<b>words</b> 4:11 13:18	<b>wrote</b> 40:2,5 68:15	<b>100</b> 133:19	<b>166</b> 171:7,16
66:12,14 85:22	16:19 56:3 66:19	107:9 122:18	<b>103-9757</b> 32:8	174:22
86:4 87:2 127:7	79:2 93:13 112:11	130:15 156:2	<b>104</b> 114:19,24	<b>17</b> 6:6,21 8:11
141:5 150:8 162:8	151:16		<b>109</b> 174:15	11:13 20:10 24:22
164:19	<b>work</b> 8:22,22 9:12	<b>X</b>	<b>10th</b> 92:16 152:1	82:18 144:8
<b>weren't</b> 67:19	9:14,21 10:18	<b>X</b> 174:1	<b>11</b> 16:11 18:24	147:20
116:21 132:15	11:3,6,8,13 13:8		79:24 168:21	<b>18</b> 28:11 29:9 30:13
<b>whatsoever</b> 139:1	67:25 68:4 83:25	<b>Y</b>	<b>11.00</b> 73:13,15	30:14,14 46:23
171:12	86:19 114:21	<b>yeah</b> 15:5 74:1	<b>11.45</b> 67:12	53:13 72:15 73:3
<b>whilst</b> 46:6	138:1 161:12	<b>year</b> 7:15 57:4	<b>11.52</b> 105:17	80:2 97:17 118:20
<b>wider</b> 11:18 23:18	167:22	75:12 78:20,23	<b>12</b> 39:9 50:14 79:24	122:19 128:20
77:23	<b>workable</b> 85:16,16	79:2,17,24 82:16	146:17	147:20
<b>widow</b> 75:3	<b>worked</b> 3:22 5:10	85:14 115:1 120:4	<b>12.00</b> 105:18	<b>18.1</b> 20:16
<b>wife</b> 26:9	12:4 14:6 48:5	125:10 128:4	<b>12.08</b> 67:14	<b>19</b> 56:14,16,19
<b>William</b> 154:18,20	68:6 84:3	160:21 163:8	<b>12.10</b> 136:20 144:7	103:13 105:11
174:20	<b>working</b> 70:1 139:8	164:15	<b>12th</b> 92:16 93:1	144:17
<b>Wiltshire</b> 2:5	139:14 156:7	<b>years</b> 4:10 13:11	147:5	<b>19th</b> 145:11,12
<b>wish</b> 116:10 125:18	<b>works</b> 98:10	24:22 44:1 66:7	<b>13</b> 1:1 145:24	147:23 148:3

149:4,22,24 150:7 151:19	<b>22nd</b> 24:19,21 <b>23</b> 118:19 125:10 157:21 169:25 170:7,9,11 171:4 171:17	<b>4</b>	75:19 87:14 142:23 151:7 <b>6,000</b> 21:16,17 51:22 <b>61</b> 174:8 <b>621</b> 61:18 <b>625</b> 31:10 <b>62H</b> 118:15 <b>644</b> 61:18 62:15,16 <b>645</b> 62:16
<b>2</b>	<b>23(1)(d)</b> 1:19 157:25 <b>230</b> 103:7,25 108:2 108:17 172:22 <b>239</b> 116:13 <b>24</b> 63:20 64:11 80:3 161:9 <b>25</b> 26:6 <b>26</b> 20:17,20 21:11 24:1 26:2 58:6 142:21 <b>26th</b> 24:16 <b>27</b> 69:2 128:24 <b>28</b> 4:21 26:21 109:11,23 <b>29</b> 28:8 30:12 79:17 167:9 <b>29(ii)</b> 32:9	4 7:24 16:9,14 33:11 36:20,21 79:16 124:4,6,13 159:4 <b>4.00</b> 167:7 <b>4.01</b> 157:17 <b>4.24</b> 173:14 <b>4.8</b> 155:8 <b>40</b> 38:1 <b>41</b> 43:6,16 143:24 <b>419</b> 142:22 <b>420</b> 143:5 <b>45</b> 174:7 <b>4579</b> 30:14 <b>47</b> 42:1 <b>48</b> 63:1,4 69:3,3 70:20,24 <b>49</b> 70:20,24 73:3	<b>7</b> 7 17:25 33:9,14 98:24 99:1 150:22 174:4,6 <b>73</b> 154:4 <b>75</b> 174:9 <b>750</b> 144:2 <b>751</b> 144:6 <b>76</b> 174:10 <b>760</b> 151:9 <b>78</b> 174:11,12 <b>796</b> 150:19,24 151:5
<b>2.00</b> 21:1 63:20 <b>2.05</b> 106:3 <b>2.23</b> 106:7 <b>20</b> 6:6,22 11:14 128:4 <b>2002</b> 10:11 <b>2011</b> 24:2,16 26:21 28:11 29:9 30:8,8 32:24 35:6 41:8 58:6 73:8 118:12 118:14 164:4,8 <b>2012</b> 1:17 2:7,16 6:3,6,6,21,22 7:15 9:3 11:14,19,20 11:22 12:2 16:11 39:24 40:4 41:8 41:12,15 43:24 67:20,23 112:4 117:13,24 118:12 120:2,6,6 122:12 122:19 129:21 136:20 142:25 144:8 164:15 <b>2013</b> 11:18,21 145:24 147:10 151:20 <b>2015</b> 137:3 155:24 <b>2017</b> 1:14 4:21 8:11 24:22 154:20,23 158:17 161:9 162:2 <b>2018</b> 1:1 159:4 <b>21</b> 117:25 127:24 128:1 132:10 <b>21st</b> 167:7 <b>22</b> 23:23 24:16 71:24 72:2,6	<b>3</b> <b>3</b> 80:2 82:18 89:24 90:11 127:24 128:1 146:7,12 <b>3.34</b> 157:15 <b>3.44</b> 126:3 <b>30</b> 22:10 39:24 40:4 <b>300,000</b> 20:25 21:16 59:16,19 63:17 <b>307</b> 122:18 <b>31</b> 1:14 <b>32</b> 33:25 34:24 38:12,19 136:9,19 <b>329</b> 146:12,14,14 147:13 <b>33</b> 36:16,16,19,22 <b>34</b> 36:21,22 <b>35</b> 146:7,12 154:21 <b>37</b> 156:24 <b>38099</b> 151:10 <b>39</b> 37:20	<b>5</b> <b>5</b> 1:11 17:10 24:14 48:25 49:6,11 75:19 79:23 117:24 122:16 144:3 <b>5.00</b> 143:3 <b>5.2</b> 116:12 <b>5.2/329</b> 116:5 <b>5.28.59</b> 30:1 <b>50</b> 39:15 <b>500</b> 58:1 107:7 <b>52</b> 8:6 <b>54</b> 24:9,11 33:11 34:23 40:25 <b>55</b> 40:25,25,25 41:1 75:7 <b>556</b> 136:9 <b>58</b> 16:9 <b>59</b> 34:10 64:22,24 <b>5th</b> 143:1	<b>8</b> <b>8</b> 18:4 33:21 55:21 105:12 151:7 154:3,23 170:9 <b>8/1</b> 29:3
		<b>6</b>	<b>9</b> 9 18:17 38:24 125:10 129:7 <b>91</b> 174:13 <b>95</b> 174:14
		<b>6</b> 6 17:17 32:24 53:13 61:1 67:23 73:8 73:10,12,13,15	