

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE  
 QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION  
 MEDIA & COMMUNICATIONS LIST  
 THE HON MR JUSTICE WARBY  
 SITTING AT THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE**

**CASE No: HQ14X01162**

**THURSDAY 19 APRIL 2018**

**BETWEEN**

**GEORGE GALLOWAY**

Applicant

**-and-**

**AISHA ALI-KHAN**

Respondent

---

**Order for Committal**

---

**UPON** the Application of the Applicant/ Defendant by Notice dated 20 February 2018 for the committal of the Respondent/ Claimant for contempt of court in respect of 26 breaches of undertakings given by her to the Court on 20 June 2016 and 27 October 2017 (“the Undertakings”) as set out in the Annex to the Application Notice (“Annex”)

**AND UPON** the court having adjourned until 19 April 2018 the issue of what penalty to impose upon the Respondent following the Respondent admitting in court that she had acted in breach of the Undertakings and the Court deciding, for reasons given in its extempore judgment, that it should accept and act on the Respondent’s admissions

**AND UPON** the Court having regard to the orders made on 22 March 2018 and 17 April 2018

**UPON READING** the Respondent’s first affidavit dated 17 April 2018 and its exhibits, and a draft second Affidavit dated 19 April 2018 signed by the Respondent relating to her means

**AND UPON** the undertaking by Counsel to verify by affidavit the information contained in her draft second affidavit

**AND UPON** hearing Mr Adam Speker of Counsel for the Applicant and Mr Jude Bunting of Counsel for the Respondent at the adjourned hearing

**IT IS ORDERED** that:-

1. Pursuant to CPR 32.21, the Respondent’s first affidavit dated 17 April 2018 is not open to public inspection unless the court orders otherwise. A non-party may apply to vary this order on notice to the parties.

2. The Respondent has permission to rely upon her draft second affidavit dated 19 April 2018.
3. For her contempt the Respondent stand committed to prison for a total period of 12 weeks.
4. The Respondent pay the Applicant's costs of and occasioned by the Application such costs to be subject to detailed assessment on the standard basis.
5. Pursuant to paragraph 14 of the *Practice Direction: Committal for Contempt of Court – Open Court* dated 26 March 2015, a transcript of the Judgment dealing with sentencing shall be prepared on an expedited basis, at public expense.

**Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of April 2018**

---

**ANNEX TO APPLICATION NOTICE  
GROUNDS FOR DEFENDANT'S COMMITTAL APPLICATION AGAINST THE  
CLAIMANT/RESPONDENT PURSUANT TO CPR r.81.10(3)(a)**

---

**Breach 1**

1. The Respondent/Claimant ("Ms Ali-Khan") breached undertakings she gave to the Court as recorded within the preamble of the Order of Mr Justice Warby dated 20 June 2016 ("the Warby Undertaking") and Schedule 1 of the Order of Sir David Eady dated 27 October 2017 ("the Eady Undertakings) by:-
  - 1.1. On 14 October 2017 publishing or causing to be published online at the URL <https://womenunited1blog.wordpress.com/2017/10/14/how-to-survive-the-mother-of-all-legal-cases/> an article which (a) referred to libel proceedings brought by Ms Ali-Khan against the Applicant/Defendant ("Mr Galloway") and (b) contained written references to Mr Galloway.
  - 1.2. The publication of a reference to the libel litigation in the article was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any further public statement about the litigation (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.
  - 1.3. The publication of references to Mr Galloway in the article was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
  - 1.4. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway in the article was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

**Breach 2**

2. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-
  - 2.1. On 28 October 2017 publishing or causing to be published online at the URL <https://womenunited1blog.wordpress.com/2017/10/28/report-parliamentary-sexual-harassment-what-a-joke/> an article which contained written references to Mr Galloway.

- 2.2. The publication of references to Mr Galloway in the article was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 2.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 3**

#### 3. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 3.1. On 28 October 2017 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet which, using the words "*when I complained to my ex boss, his mate 4 30 years*", made reference to Mr Galloway.
- 3.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 3.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save for immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 4**

#### 4. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 4.1. On 28 October 2017 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet which displayed screenshots of a letter of complaint dated 16 July 2014 that she had purportedly sent to Mr Galloway.
- 4.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.

- 4.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save for immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 5**

5. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 5.1. On 9 December 2017 publishing or causing to be published online, by way of retweeting a tweet from the Twitter user "Left Over", a tweet which read "*Hoveman was George Galloway's Chief of Staff when he was MP for Bradford West*"
- 5.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 5.3. The republication of "Left Over"'s tweet, which contained reference to Mr Galloway, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by 'retweeting' on Twitter or 'sharing' on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 5.4. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 6**

6. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 6.1. On 29 October 2017 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet which contained the words "*Rob Hoveman, George Galloway's then parliamentary chief of staff, demanded 2 know no of exes & identity of my son's dad*".

- 6.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 6.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save for immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 7**

7. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 7.1. On 29 October 2017 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet which contained the words "*When I tried to complain of harassment, Parliament told me to write to Member (GG) meaning all communications wud [sic] go thru RobHoveman himself*".
- 7.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway ('GG') on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 7.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save for immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 8**

8. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 8.1. On 29 October 2017 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet which contained the words "*RobHoveman used parliamentary resources tryin2 shut me down, called me liar & told Alias Yousaf2 harass my family for password to GG email ac*".
- 8.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway ('GG') on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any

way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.

- 8.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save for immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 9**

9. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 9.1. On 29 October 2017 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet which contained the words "*Rob Hoveman was paid by public funds to pursue his obsessive agenda against me – which he would always sign a 'on behalf of George Galloway'.*"
- 9.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 9.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save for immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 10**

10. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 10.1. On 10 November 2017 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet which contained the words "*Appalled and disgusted that @UK Labour have shortlisted anti Semite and harasser of women Naz Khan/Nasreen Khan as a potential for Cllr for Little Horton! She was Respect Women's Officer and George Galloway's right hand woman for years!*".
- 10.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.

- 10.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save for immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 11**

11. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 11.1. On 12 November 2017 publishing or causing to be published online at the URL <https://womenunited1blog.wordpress.com/2017/11/12/bradfords-recurring-anti-semitism-nightmare-2/> an article which contained written references to Mr Galloway.
- 11.2. The publication of references to Mr Galloway in the article was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 11.3. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 12**

12. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 12.1 On 19 November 2017 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet which contained the words "*The woman in question even gave false statements to court to help my ex employer George Galloway & kept sending me messages bragging about the sex she was having & telling me to have anal sex – does that sound like a victimised woman in distress?*"
- 12.2 The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 12.3 The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save for immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

**Breach 13**

13. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 13.1. On 5 December 2017 publishing or causing to be published online, in response to a direct question from the Twitter user "SuedByGalloway" asking about Mr Galloway's prospective readmission to the Labour Party, a tweet that stated "*The @UK Labour should not allow \*alleged\* rape apologists, misogynists or anti-Semites to join no matter who or what they stood for in the past. #simples*".
- 13.2. The publication of such a statement in a tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.
- 13.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway in the tweet was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 13.4. The publication of a tweeted response to a tweet concerning Mr Galloway was in contravention of paragraph 3 of the Eady Undertakings, not to comment on, promote or endorse any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 13.5. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway in the tweet was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

**Breach 14**

14. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 14.1 On 7 December 2017 publishing or causing to be published online, a tweet which contained the words "*If @UKLabour let George back in, all the stress, trauma and bullying I was subjected to while I fought to clear my name for 4 years will have been for nothing @jeremycorbyn.*"
- 14.2 The publication of such a statement in a tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.

- 14.3 The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway in the tweet was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.

### **Breach 15**

15. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 15.1. On or around 8 December 2017 publishing, causing to be published, permitting to be published and/or being (and/or agreeing to be) a joint signatory of an open letter to the members of the Labour Party's National Executive Committee (a) opposing Mr Galloway's readmission to the Labour party (b) making a number of allegations against Mr Galloway, including that he is sexist, homophobic, misogynistic and a "shill for dictators", such a letter being published online at [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Hp\\_nXqx0\\_2w1UmO9wBYpOn1dy3WdcGHenXshgc7q5sc/mobilebasic](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Hp_nXqx0_2w1UmO9wBYpOn1dy3WdcGHenXshgc7q5sc/mobilebasic).
- 15.2. The publication of such a letter was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.
- 15.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway in the letter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 15.4. The act of being, and/or agreeing to be, a co-signatory to the letter was in contravention of paragraph 3 of the Eady Undertakings, not to comment on, promote or endorse (including, but not limited to, by way of 'liking' on Twitter or Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 15.5. The act of agreeing to be a co-signatory was in contravention of paragraph 4 of the Eady Undertakings not to encourage third parties to publish any reference, whether express or implied, to the Defendant.
- 15.6. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway in the letter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save Ms Ali-Khan's immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

**Breach 16**

16. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 16.1. On 9 December 2017 publishing or causing to be published online, by way of retweeting a tweet from the Twitter user "Bob from Brockley", a tweet entitled "*NEW POST: Eight reasons Galloway shouldn't be allowed back into Labour*" which linked to a blog which contained various allegations against Mr Galloway, including that he "belonged on the far right", was "a rape apologist", a "bully" and a "shill for dictators".
- 16.2. The publication of the tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.
- 16.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 16.4. The republication of "Bob from Brockley's" tweet, which contained reference to Mr Galloway, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by 'retweeting' on Twitter or 'sharing' on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 16.5. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

**Breach 17**

17. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 17.1. On 11 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online, by way of retweeting a tweet from the Twitter user Jasna Badzak, a tweet which read "*Just sitting across the antisemite @georgegalloway in the dermatology clinic of the Chelsea Hospital. Guess what? He doesn't like to be told to his face that antisemitism is not acceptable. Went off ranting*"
- 17.2. The publication of the tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in

contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.

- 17.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 17.4. The republication of Jasna Badzak's tweet, which contained reference to Mr Galloway, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by 'retweeting' on Twitter or 'sharing' on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 17.5. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 18**

18. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 18.1. On 30 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online, by way of retweeting a tweet from the Twitter user "(((Me)))", a tweet which read "*George Galloway is now instructing solicitors. Obviously not his usual ones they were sent to prison for fraud. I can only assume George had paid that tax bill he tried to dodge & paid that large libel bill for telling lies about his assistant*"
- 18.2. The publication of the tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.
- 18.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.

- 18.4. The republication of “(((Me)))”’s tweet, which contained reference to Mr Galloway, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by ‘retweeting’ on Twitter or ‘sharing’ on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 18.5. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway’s legal advisers.

### **Breach 19**

19. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 19.1 On 30 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online, by way of retweeting a tweet from the Twitter user and comedian David Badiel a tweet which read *“I know it’s a lot to expect, but considering George’s extreme conviction that he knows exactly who I am what I think and how I’m doing professionally, I think he might at least once in these fucking tweets remember the second D”*. Attached to the tweet are screenshots of various tweets which refer to Mr Galloway.
- 19.2 The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 19.3 The republication of Mr Baddiel’s tweet, which contained reference to Mr Galloway, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by ‘retweeting’ on Twitter or ‘sharing’ on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 19.4 The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway’s legal advisers.

### **Breach 20**

20. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 20.1 On 30 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online, by way of retweeting a tweet from the Twitter user Steve Cooke a tweet which read *“I just ran a Twitter search for all your references to Galloway, George,*

*GeorgeGalloway, GG and even gorgeous since 2009 and you've barely mentioned him before the last couple of days".*

- 20.2 The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 20.3 The republication of Mr Cooke's tweet, which contained reference to Mr Galloway, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by 'retweeting' on Twitter or 'sharing' on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 20.4 The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 21**

21. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 21.1 On 30 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online, by way of commenting on a tweet from the Twitter user Jonathan Mock a tweet which read "*I believe there is a ceramic vase in a charity shop that may be the one thing on this planet not to be sued by George Galloway*". Ms Ali-Khan commented by using the "rolling around with tears of laughter emoticon".
- 21.2 The publication of the tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.
- 21.3 The publication of a tweeted response to a tweet concerning Mr Galloway was in contravention of paragraph 3 of the Eady Undertakings, not to comment on, promote or endorse any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.

### **Breach 22**

22. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Eady Undertakings by:-

- 22.1. On 30 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online, a link to/snippet from an article in the Jewish Chronicle newspaper headed “*George Galloway launches defamation action against Jon Lansman over ‘antisemitism’ claim*” in a tweet she posted. Above the link/snippet, Ms Ali-Khan had commented “*Oh puhlease!!!*” and added emoticons of an individual slapping their head.
- 22.2. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 22.3. The republication of the snippet from the Jewish Chronicle, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by ‘retweeting’ on Twitter or ‘sharing’ on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 22.4. The publication of a comment on the Jewish Chronicle snippet/article was a breach of paragraph 3 of the Eady Undertakings, not to comment on, promote or endorse any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 22.5. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway’s legal advisers.

### **Breach 23**

23. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 23.1. On 30 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online, by way of retweeting a tweet from the Twitter user and political activist Jon Lansman, a tweet which read “*Solidarity with @Baddiel – since he’s a Jew who’s talked about being non-Zionist, there’s no possible reason to call him a “vile Israel-fanatic” other than antisemitism. There clearly should be no place for @georgegalloway in @UKLabour or in the employment of @talkRADIO*”
- 23.2. The publication of the tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.

- 23.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 23.4. The republication of Mr Lansman's tweet, which contained reference to Mr Galloway, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by 'retweeting' on Twitter or 'sharing' on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.
- 23.5. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

#### **Breach 24**

24. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 24.1. On 31 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online, retweeted a tweet from Twitter user/journalist Nick Cohen headed "*Why I feel sorry for George Galloway*". The tweet went on to read "*It should be the biggest party of his life, but Labour's left has turned away from the Fedora-ed firebrand*" and links to a blog Mr Cohen about Mr Galloway.
- 24.2. The publication of the tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.
- 24.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 24.4. The republication of Mr Cohen's tweet, which contained reference to Mr Galloway, was in contravention of paragraph 2 of the Eady Undertakings, not to republish (including, but not limited to, by 'retweeting' on Twitter or 'sharing' on Facebook) any statement made by a third party which refers to Mr Galloway.

- 24.5. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 25**

25. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 25.1. On 31 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet that read, "*@georgegalloway @gordonP18 and @jeremycorbyn George, you and your thugs spent YEARS tormenting me trying 2 destroy my chances of earning a livelihood. You falsely branded me a child sex molester in the press, had me followed 2 the school I was teaching in, sent threatening emails 2my headteacher or at least got someone to do it*".
- 25.2. The publication of the tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.
- 25.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
- 25.4. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.

### **Breach 26**

26. Ms Ali-Khan breached the Warby Undertaking and Eady Undertakings by:-

- 26.1. On 31 January 2018 publishing or causing to be published online a tweet that read, "*@krissi55 @georgegalloway and 11 others George, and others on his behalf did the same to MANY MANY people – ask @SamayyaAfzal or @helenpidd for instance. George even got his lackey Rob Hoveman to illegally obtain my Islamic documents & send to the @guardian so they'd sack Helen. Why is he crying crocodile tears now?*" This was followed by an emoticon of an individual with a puzzled face.
- 26.2. The publication of the tweet was in contravention of the Warby undertaking, not to make any public statement defaming or disparaging Mr Galloway (save as required by law). By virtue of this contravention, the publication was also in

contravention of paragraph 6 of the Eady Undertakings, to comply with the Warby Undertaking.

- 26.3. The publication of a reference to Mr Galloway on Twitter (by way of retweeting) was in contravention of paragraph 1 of the Eady Undertakings, not to publish in any way (including online) any reference, whether express or implied, to Mr Galloway.
  
- 26.4. The publication of information relating to Mr Galloway on Twitter was in contravention of paragraph 5 of the Eady Undertakings, not to disclose any information relating to Mr Galloway or the litigation to third parties, save immediate family, legal advisers, the courts and Mr Galloway's legal advisers.