



Dr Roy Palmer
Assistant Coroner for City of London

	<p>REGULATION 28 REPORT TO PREVENT FUTURE DEATHS</p> <p>THIS REPORT IS BEING SENT TO: Mr Tom Cahill Head Office, Hertfordshire Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust The Colonnades, Beaconsfield Road, Hatfield, Herts AL10 8YE</p>
1	<p>CORONER</p> <p>I am Dr Roy Palmer, Assistant Coroner for City of London</p>
2	<p>CORONER'S LEGAL POWERS</p> <p>I make this report under paragraph 7, Schedule 5, of the Coroners and Justice Act 2009 and regulations 28 and 29 of the Coroners (Investigations) Regulations 2013. http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2009/25/schedule/5/paragraph/7 http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukSI/2013/1629/part/7/made</p>
3	<p>INVESTIGATION and INQUEST</p> <p>On 12/05/2016 I commenced an investigation into the death of Nathan Anthony Lowe, 45. The investigation concluded with a medical cause of death given as 1a) multiple injuries including skull fracture. At the inquest held on 19 August 2016, the conclusion was Suicide.</p>
4	<p>CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE DEATH</p> <p>Nathan LOWE was discharged from a Section 2 Mental Health Act Order and from Hospital on or around 9th October 2015. He was to be followed up in the community by a psychiatric nurse. The last "face to face" contact between the patient and nurse was on 10th March 2016. Mr Lowe fell to his death in central London on 12th May 2016. The psychiatric nurse made several attempts to contact Mr Lowe between 10th March and 12th May including a referral to the multidisciplinary team and through the Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements team</p>
5	<p><u>CORONER'S CONCERNS</u></p> <p>During the course of the inquest the evidence revealed matters giving rise to concern. In my opinion there is a risk that future deaths will occur unless action is taken. In the circumstances it is my statutory duty to report to you.</p> <p>The MATTERS OF CONCERN are as follows. – Whether or not more should have been done to make contact with the patient between 10th March and 12th May given the nature of his illness and the fact of his non-compliance with follow up. Such consideration is relevant to a Coroner's duty in connection with the prevention of future deaths</p>

