ANNEX A

REGULATION 28: REPORT TO PREVENT FUTURE DEATHS (1)

NOTE: This form is to be used **after** an inquest.

	REGULATION 28 REPORT TO PREVENT FUTURE DEATHS		
	THIS REPORT IS BEING SENT TO:		
	1. Sheffield Health and Social Care Trust		
1	CORONER		
	I am Alexandra Pountney, assistant coroner, for the coroner area of South Yorkshire (West District)		
2	CORONER'S LEGAL POWERS		
	I make this report under paragraph 7, Schedule 5, of the Coroners and Justice Act 2009 and Regulations 28 and 29 of the Coroners (Investigations) Regulations 2013.		
3	INVESTIGATION and INQUEST		
	On 20 September 2022 an investigation was commences into the death of Gareth Etchells-Height born on 13 July 1979. The investigation concluded at the end of the inquest on 10 October 2023. The conclusion of the inquest was a narrative one and read:-		
	Following a deterioration in his mental health, Gareth Micheal Etchells-Heights died at the Wainwright Centre, 48 Wainwright Crescent, Sheffield, where he was found to be support staff with a ligature for the formation of the Gareth intended to take his own life. There were various missed opportunities during Gareth's care, and his death was contributed to by a missed opportunity to communicate to him that he would not be discharged from the Wainwright Centre on 25 April 2022. The cause of death was:		
	(1)(a) Asphyxiation by ligature		
4	CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE DEATH		
	In January 2022, Gareth's mental health began to deteriorate culminating in an incident on 17 February 2022 when he was attended up by the British Transport Police at Sheffield Train Station and placed on a s.136, meaning that he was removed from the train station to a place of safety at the Longley Centre in Sheffield.		
	Gareth was then subject to an assessment under the Mental Health Act between 23:05 hours on 17/02/2022 and 03:15 hours on 18/02/2022. During this assessment, Gareth was presenting with symptoms of psychosis, including delusional and persecutory thoughts.		

	was long standi	It that was made of Gareth was that it was unclear whether the psychosis ng, or drug induced, and that Gareth was at risk of death by r retaliatory action.	
	es where there was a query about whether the psychosis was drug sual practice would be to monitor for 2 -3 days if drug induced was not done on 18/02/2022.		
	before leaving a worried about h Gareth for asse	or the remainder of the morning in the s.136 suite at the Longley Centre at approximately 11:30 hours. At approximately 14:30 Gareth phone HTT is own safety. By 16:16 hours on 18/02/2022, the police had re-referred ssment at the Longley Centre using their s.136 powers. He was then re- een 14:00 and 19:45 hrs on 19/02/2022.	
	progressed to id markedly differe	as taken by the assessing team was that Gareth's paranoid beliefs had dentifying individuals colluding against him and that his " <i>presentation was</i> <i>ent</i> " to the previous assessment. It was assessed that the risk of <i>harm was very high and … that the only option was to admit to hospital</i> <i>MHA</i> ."	
	inpatient until 2 Crescent. It sho	n admitted to Maple Ward on 19 February 2022 and remained an 2 nd March 2022, when he was moved to a step-down bed at Wainwright ould be noted that Gareth's section expired on 18 th March 2022, and so the final few days as a voluntary inpatient.	
	on 24 April 202	condition continued to deteriorate until in the early hours of the morning 2, he tied a ligature early hours of the morning crescent Before Gareth died, he wrote a collection of notes were referred tes.	
5	CORONER'S CONCERNS		
	During the course of the inquest the evidence revealed matters giving rise to concern. I my opinion there is a risk that future deaths could occur unless action is taken. In the circumstances it is my statutory duty to report to you.		
	The MATTERS OF CONCERN are as follows. –		
	1. Discha	rge and safety netting	
	sufficie the disc	charge report for Gareth did not contain details of his diagnosis or nt information about high-risk behaviours/triggers. The information within charge report was not fit for purpose and did not provide for an accurate andover to new healthcare professionals.	
	2. Review	of the medical notes	
	medica There v healthc	vas wholesale inconsistency in healthcare professionals reviewing I notes before appointments, assessments, or handovers for Gareth. vas no written guidance on this issue and it lead to Gareth being seen by are professionals who did not have an up-to-date understanding of s condition and mental state.	

	3. Failure to update risk assessment		
	5. I and to update lisk assessment		
	There was a failure to update Gareth's risk assessment, which at the date of his death was last updated on 7 April 2022. Gareth's presentation had materially changed since 7 April 2022, and so the risk assessment effectively became redundant by virtue of the failure to update it. This impacted upon the ability of those caring for Gareth to identify and recognise changes in his behaviour that were triggers for acute mental health crisis or suicidal behaviours. In evidence it became apparent that the Trust did not have a system in place for routinely checking and updating the risk assessments.		
	4. Record Keeping		
	There was a failure generally to keep proper records. It became clear as the evidence progressed that many of the record entries did not accurately or fully reflect the interactions with Gareth. There is no audit system in place to check the records.		
6	ACTION SHOULD BE TAKEN		
	In my opinion action should be taken to prevent future deaths and I believe your organisation have the power to take such action.		
7	YOUR RESPONSE		
	You are under a duty to respond to this report within 56 days of the date of this report, namely by 15 January 2024. I, the coroner, may extend the period.		
	Your response must contain details of action taken or proposed to be taken, setting out the timetable for action. Otherwise, you must explain why no action is proposed.		
8	COPIES and PUBLICATION		
	I have sent a copy of my report to the Chief Coroner and to the Interested Persons.		
	I am also under a duty to send a copy of your response to the Chief Coroner and all interested persons who in my opinion should receive it.		
	I may also send a copy of your response to any other person who I believe may find useful or of interest. In this case I have sent a copy of this report to the CQC, the Lo Authority and South Yorkshire Integrated Care Board.		
	The Chief Coroner may publish either or both in a complete or redacted or summary form. He may send a copy of this report to any person who he believes may find it useful or of interest.		
	You may make representations to me, the coroner, at the time of your response, about the release or the publication of your response.		
9	Phintmap		
	HMAC Alexandra Pountney 20 November 2023		