

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thu Nov 21 2024 10:54:15 GMT
Subject: RE: Death of Lisa Gale Regulation 28 Report (ref: [REDACTED])

This Message Is from an External Sender

This message came from outside Bristol City Council, think before opening attachments or clicking links

Dear [REDACTED]

I hope you're well.

Please see The Royal College of Pathologists' (RCPATH) response below:

With regards to the RCPATH document on The Communication of Critical and Unexpected Pathology results, this document is published as 'advice to pathologists' and is offered as a basis on which pathologists can construct their own local guidelines after discussion with relevant stakeholders. It is clearly stated that it is vital that this document is seen as guidance for pathology providers to set their own criteria on how, when, and why particular laboratory results are required to be communicated to clinical professionals in an expedited manner. Whilst recommendations are made within the Appendix on cut offs which can be used, it is recommended that individual cut offs are agreed locally with clinicians, and this could be for a variety of clinical conditions with might include pregnancy. The need to agree local cut offs with clinicians will be emphasised in the next revision of this document.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Senior Professional Guidelines Officer

The Royal College of Pathologists
6 Alie Street, London, E1 8QT
Tel: 02 07451 6704

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]