



IN THE COURT OF APPEAL, CIVIL DIVISION

REF: CA-2025-001140-A

The Secretary of State for Work and Pensions –v– TR



CA-2025-001140-A

ORDER made by the Rt. Hon. Lord Justice Dingemans

On reconsideration of the decision of Lord Justice Dingemans dated 3 June 2025, pursuant to CPR 52.24(6), on the papers and without an oral hearing.

Decision:

1. The application for reconsideration of the order refusing anonymity is to be listed to be heard before at least two Lord/Lady Justices with a time estimate of 2 hours.
2. Until the determination of the application, or further order in the meantime: (1) The Appellant's name is to be withheld from the public and must not be disclosed in any proceedings in open court; (2) There is to be substituted for all purposes in these proceedings in place of references to the Appellant by name, and whether orally or in writing, references to the letters TR, and the application for reconsideration is to be listed as TR v the Secretary of State for Work and Pensions; (3) there must be no publication of the identity of the Appellant or of any matter likely to lead to the identification of the Appellant in any report of, or otherwise in connection with, these proceedings; and (4) a non-party may not obtain or inspect a copy of any statement of case or any other document filed with the Court and to which they may have access pursuant to CPR 5.4A-D or otherwise, unless it has been produced or edited so as to comply with this order and any subsequent direction made by the Court.
3. The appellant is, by 4 pm on Friday 4 July 2025, to make a further statement providing the date and details of the last contact with her ex-partner and any other information which she may be advised to provide.
4. The appellant is to serve notice of the application for anonymity and the hearing date on the media (via the Media Lawyers Association notice system) so that the media can, if so advised, attend to make representations.
5. Any interested party, whether or not a party to the proceedings, may apply to the Court to vary or discharge this Order, provided that any such application is made on 7 days' notice to the Appellant's solicitor.
6. Pursuant to the 'Practice Guidance: Publication of Privacy and Anonymity Orders' issued by the Master of the Rolls dated 16 April 2019 a copy of this Order shall be published on the Judicial Website of the High Court of Justice (www.judiciary.uk). For that purpose, a court officer will send a copy of the order by email to the Judicial Office at judicialwebupdates@judiciary.uk.

Reasons

I refused the appellant's application for anonymity by order dated 3 June 2025. This was because although it was apparent that the appellant has, because of her past history, real concerns about the effect of being named and also has physical and mental health conditions, on the evidence then before me the public interest in open and transparent hearings outweighed those private interests of the appellant, see generally XXX v Camden LBC [2020] EWCA Civ 1468; [2020] 4 WLR 165.

The appellant has sought an order for reconsideration, and has filed additional witness evidence. I have directed an oral hearing so that the court can consider the further evidence, and any additional evidence served pursuant to this order. I have made provision for notification to media organisations, and for an order for anonymity pending the hearing of the application for reconsideration. The appellant ought to be aware that anonymity might not be ordered at the hearing either for the application or for the appeal.

Signed: BY THE COURT

Date: 23 June 2025

Notes

- (1) Rule 52.24(6) allows a party to request a decision of a single judge made without a hearing (other than a decision determining an application for permission to appeal) to be reconsidered.
- (2) The reconsideration will be determined by the same or another judge on paper without an oral hearing, except that the judge determining the reconsideration on paper may direct that the reconsideration be determined at an oral hearing, and must so direct if the judge is of the opinion that the reconsideration cannot be fairly determined on paper without an oral hearing.
- (3) If, on reconsideration on the papers, the judge refuses the application, there is no further right to an oral hearing or right of appeal (see section 58 (2) of the Senior Courts Act 1981).

Case Number: **Error! Reference source not found.**