Claim No. KB-2022-003316
Claim No. KB-2022-003317
Claim No. KB-2022-003318
Claim No. KB-2022-003340
Claim No. KB-2022-003357
Claim No. KB-2022-003404

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE KING'S BENCH DIVISION MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

The Honourable Mr Justice Nicklin 21 October 2025

## 5



#### BETWEEN:

(1) BARONESS LAWRENCE OF CLARENDON OBS-2022-003316
(Claim No. KB-2022-003316)
(2) ELIZABETH HURLEY
(Claim No. KB-2022-003317)
(3) SIR ELTON JOHN CH CBE
(4) DAVID FURNISH
(Claim No. KB-2022-003318)
(5) SIR SIMON HUGHES
(Claim No. KB-2022-003340)
(6) PRINCE HARRY, THE DUKE OF SUSSEX
(Claim No. KB-2022-003357)
(7) SADIE FROST LAW

**Claimants** 

- and -

(Claim No. KB-2022-003404)

#### **ASSOCIATED NEWSPAPERS LIMITED**

Defendant

ORDER

**FURTHER** to the Order of 10 October 2025 whereby the Court directed a further Case Management Hearing fixed for 2 days on 10-11 November 2025

**AND UPON** the Court considering that it is likely that persons not taking part in the proceedings are likely to wish to attend the Case Management Hearing remotely and to make a transmission direction requests to enable them to do so

#### WITHOUT A HEARING IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Any request by a person not taking part in the proceedings ("an applicant") for a direction under s.85A(3)(b) Courts Act 2003 for permission to watch or listen to the Case

Management Hearing remotely ("a transmission direction request") must be made (in accordance with Paragraph 3 below) by **10am on Wednesday 5 November 2025**.

- 2. Any transmission direction request must be sent to <a href="kbjudgeslistingoffice@justice.gov.uk">kbjudgeslistingoffice@justice.gov.uk</a> and **must include** the following:
  - a. the full name of the applicant;
  - b. the email address of the applicant;
  - information as to whether the applicant would be located within the jurisdiction of England and Wales at all times when attending the Case Management Hearing remotely (if a transmission direction were to be made); and, if not, details of the applicant's location;
  - d. any information the applicant wishes to provide in support of the request, including in particular any reason(s) why it is contended that making such a direction would be in the interests of justice; and
  - e. a statement by the applicant in the following terms:

"I agree and undertake to the Court that, if permitted to attend the Case Management Hearing remotely, I will not make a recording, capture images, and/or broadcast any part of the proceedings. I understand that to do so may be an offence and/or contempt of court, punishable by imprisonment and/or a fine. I will abide by any directions given to me by the Court during the Case Management Hearing.

I agree and undertake to the Court that I will not provide the link that I am given to access the Case Management Hearing to any other person."

3. A transmission direction request that is not made by the deadline imposed by Paragraph 1 of this Order and/or does not comply with Paragraph 2 of this Order may be refused. Any transmission direction request made otherwise than in accordance with the directions in Paragraphs 1 and 2 **must** be made by way of Application Notice.

#### **REASONS**

- (A) The Court anticipates that, as has been the case with previous hearings in this litigation, there is likely to be interest from media representatives and members of the public in attending remotely the Case Management Hearing on 10-11 November 2025. To manage the process, I have again set out a straightforward procedure whereby anyone who wishes to attend the hearing remotely can make a transmission direction request by email.
- (B) The Court will not normally grant a transmission direction request in respect of an applicant who will not be in England & Wales during the Case Management Hearing. Anyone making a transmission direction request who will not be in England & Wales should provide information (pursuant to paragraph 2(d) above) as to why it would nevertheless be in the interests of justice to make a transmission direction order in his/her case.

(C) Late requests, because they cause disruption to the Court's work and utilise a disproportionate amount of the Court's limited resources are likely to be refused, unless there are compelling reasons why the applicant was unable to comply with the Court's directions.

### 21 October 2025