

IN THE CROWN COURT AT WOOLWICH SITTING AT THE CENTRAL CRIMINAL COURT

Lady Justice May

R

-v-

ROBERT ADAMSKI

### SENTENCING REMARKS

Please stay seated until I ask you to stand.

There are 7 offences for which you are to be sentenced today: 2 offences of possession of a prohibited firearm, together with 1 offence of possession of a document for terrorist purposes contrary to section 58 of the Terrorism Act 2000 and 4 offences of dissemination documents providing assistance or encouragement to others in the commission of acts of terrorism contrary to section 2 of the TA 2006.

You were arrested on 11 July 2024. Your home address, which you shared with your wife, was searched. When they went in police found a 3D printer running, printing off the last but one plastic component of a semi-automatic weapon known as a FGC9 Mark II. An FGC 9 can hold between 17 and 25 bullets. A guide giving instructions as to how to print off and then assemble the FGC 9 was found on your phone and on a computer at your flat. An SD card in the printer had the necessary programme for printing off the components. The flat was hung about with neo-Nazi flags and posters.

A fully printed Harlot pistol was found on your desk. It had been assembled and held in place by cotton buds, rather than the required screws and other metal parts. There were no metal parts for the Harlot or the FGC9 found at the flat. Nor was there any ammunition found for either gun.

When both sets of plastic components were taken to the police lab, they were assembled using the necessary metal elements and could then be fired.

Counts 1 and 2 on the indictment related to the FGC9 and Harlot firearms; Count 3 concerned the FGC 9 Guide.

Examination of your phone and computer showed that you had set up a Telegram channel called Hope Die Last. The channel contained chats with others around offensive antisemitic, ultra right-wing material typically associated with far-right neo-Nazi groups. You posted such material on the channel yourself.

You also posted a number of publications which were terrorist in nature: Counts 4 and 5 – disseminating publications intending or being reckless as to whether an effect of your conduct would be to encourage the commission, preparation or instigation of terrorist acts – concerned 5 separate publications: The Great Replacement, by the man who shot and killed people at two mosques in New Zealand, Siege 4<sup>th</sup> Edn, Siege 666 Edition, Haters Handbook 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn and Acausal Terrorism

Counts 6 and 7 – disseminating publications intending or being reckless as to whether an effect of your conduct would be the provision of assistance in the commission, preparation or instigation of terrorist acts – concerned 10 publications:

- (i) NLM Kill Guide,
- (ii) Street\_terror\_manual;
- (iii) MMC-Manhunt Instructions;
- (iv) MMC-Cold Weapon;
- (v) Anarchist Cookbook;
- (vi) Chlorates\_From\_bleach\_and\_salt;
- (vii) Practical\_Scrap\_Metal\_Small\_Arms\_FSB\_Badger-Gun;
- (viii) Practical\_Scrap\_Metal\_Small\_Arms\_Break\_Barrel\_Shotgun\_Plans;
- (ix) Table Leg Typewriter;
- (x) Break Barrel Shotgun1.

You pleaded guilty shortly before trial to Counts 1 and 2, possession of a prohibited firearm. Your account at trial was that you meant to use the plastic guns as controllers in your video-gaming. In convicting you on Count 3 the jury clearly rejected that account. There was ample evidence at trial – including searches you had made online for the necessary metal parts and messages with an unidentified associate about making guns to avoid the gun laws here – that had you not been stopped by police you would have proceeded to make and complete fully-functional weapons, capable of firing real bullets.

There was no evidence at your flat or on your phone showing any plans for specific terrorist events, places, people, times. But there was evidence of a fascination with terrorist events involving shooting – in the US and New Zealand. And there were two messages of particular concern: You sent message saying “I will do piff paff in Czech like that guy in university” referring to an event which had indeed just taken place at a Czech university. Then there was this exchange with your wife – you forwarded to your wife a screenshot taken of a message on the Telegram Mordwaffen SS group calling for people to go and commit violence at a forthcoming Pride rally with the message “Do You Want”, to which she replied OK.

## **Reports**

I have seen and read these reports about you: a psychologist report from Dr Rajput assessing your cognitive abilities as falling within the low average range, a psych report from Dr Stammeijer dated 8 Jan 2026 and a PSR dated 21 November 2025.

I have also read your letter to me, remorseful and insightful. You speak of your determination to turn these events into a force for good in your life going forward.

## **Dangerousness**

Notwithstanding what you have written in your letter I have no doubt that, taking what I have read in the reports together with the offences of which you were convicted, you are dangerous within the stat meaning of that term. But I also bear in mind what the probation and psychiatric reports have said: probation assesses the risk of serious harm as high but not very high or imminent and, rather confusingly, a low risk of further offending. The psychiatric report identifies a large number of protective factors in your case. I have also reflected on what you have said about your determination to use your time to good effect going forward, together with the huge amount of work you have already done leading to the numerous certificates which I have seen. You are clearly someone who is prepared to, and does, work hard.

All of this has persuaded me that although, having regard to the nature of the offences of which you have been convicted, I have concluded that the dangerousness provisions are met, it is not necessary for me to pass an extended sentence in your case. The risk you represent can be adequately dealt with by the length of the sentence which I shall pass, taken together with the notification provisions and the protective SCPO which I shall make.

## **The Sentencing guidelines**

There are Sentencing Guidelines applicable to all the offences for which you are to be sentenced. In arriving at sentence I have considered counsel's arguments as to which categories of harm and culpability apply to your offences. I have then thought of what features of your offending aggravate the offences, that is make them more serious, and what features about the offences, or about you, mitigate, that is reduce the sentence.

Both counsel agree that the offences fall into two groups for the purposes of arriving at an overall sentence: first the firearms and the FGC guide, counts 1 to 3, second the collection of offences of disseminating terrorist publications in counts 4 to 7.

Starting with the first group, the two firearms offences engage the minimum term provisions which require me to pass a sentence of at least 5 years for those. The s.58 offence under Count 3, involving the FGC9 Guide – engages the provisions for offenders of particular concern which, as I shall not pass an extended sentence, require me to add an extended licence period of 1 year to any sentence.

The offences under Counts 4-7 engage the same provisions for offenders of particular concern, requiring an extended 1 year licence.

For both groups I shall pass a sentence reflected in the lead sentence for one of the offences, and concurrent sentences for the rest. The lead sentence for each will be aggravated by the other offences. The lead sentences for both groups will run consecutively to each other. I have had in mind the requirement in the Totality guideline to stand back and consider the final overall sentence to ensure that it is just and proportionate to the whole of your offending.

### **The firearms and the FGC9 Guide: Counts 1-3**

The Harlot handgun and the FGC9 semi-automatic are both Type 1 weapons for which the max sentence is 10y. Minimum sentence provisions apply, as I have said.

Prosecution says that your conviction on Count 3, taken together with all the other evidence at trial shows that you intended the firearms to be used for a criminal purpose. The question for the jury on Count 3 was whether you knew that the FGC9 Guide was “likely to contain information of a kind likely to be useful to a person committing or preparing an act of terrorism”. The prosecution accepted that answering Yes to that question, as the jury clearly did when convicting you, cannot compel a finding that you intended to use the firearms in committing an act of terrorism. I have already noted the absence of any evidence of any developed plans or targeted action. I also note your confused and disorganised mental state at the time, as indicated in the psychiatric report. Having heard you give evidence and going back over my summary of the evidence given to the jury today, I am sure that you intended to use the firearms for a terrorist purpose at that time, though I suspect that you have moved far from that position now. Culp is therefore Cat A.

Turning to Harm, I have found that at the time you intended the firearms to be used for a criminal purpose so Harm logically falls into Cat 1. However given what I have noted about your mental state at the time, together with the absence of any developed plans for action, I place it at the lower end of the range.

Cat 1A has SP 8 years, range 7-10. The offending is aggravated by the fact that you had two weapons. s.69 of the Sentencing Act provides that an offence has a terrorist connection if it is, or takes place, in the course of terrorism OR is committed for the purposes of terrorism. I am sure that you possessed the gun parts for the purposes of terrorism, having regard to all the evidence of your far-right preoccupations and the content of your discussions on the Telegram channel which you set up and ran. I am accordingly satisfied that your possession of the guns had a terrorist connection. This feature, along with the manufacturing element to which the prosecution referred is taken into account in Count 3, and it is thus important not to double count by treating them also as aggravating the firearms offences. Against this are important mitigating features: at

age 29 you have never been in trouble with the police, here or elsewhere; the firearms were incomplete, you were in work and working hard. You also have some mental health difficulties, as noted in Dr Stammeijer's report, but these carry limited weight as mitigation, having already been accounted for in categorising the offences. Finally you are entitled to a discount of 10% for your plea shortly before trial to the two firearms offences.

The third offence in this first group of offences concerns the FGC9 Guide. The section 58 offence carries a max of 15 years. Both counsel agree that culp falls into Cat B of the relevant guideline, as there is no evidence of your intending or planning a "specific terrorist act". Your counsel argues that Harm falls into Cat 3 as the FGC9 Guide did not provide instruction for specific terrorist activity, pointing to the case of Ninemae [2025] EWCA Crim 984. Had I been considering Count 3 on its own then such considerations would incline me to place it into Cat B3 as your counsel suggests. . I note that Cat B3 has SP of 3y with a range of 2-5years. However, because the section 58 offence is entirely intertwined with your printing and possession of the FGC9, the categorisation of this offence taken alone is of very limited assistance in arriving at the overall sentence for this first group of offences.

As the s.58 offence engages the offender of particular concern provisions, and has a higher maximum sentence, I shall pass a lead sentence on Count 3 which takes account of the two firearms offences, passing concurrent sentences for those. The sentence for the s.58 offence will as a result be well outside the range for a B3 offence. I will adjust it downwards for totality, given that it will run consecutively to the sentence passed on the second group of offences.

### **Disseminating terrorist publications : Counts 4-7**

Each of the dissemination offences carries a max 15 years. The prosecution invites me to find to the criminal standard that you intended to encourage or assist others to engage in terrorist activity when you posted or passed on the publications and manuals online. But the offence is committed by reckless dissemination also. The evidence amply demonstrated your far right interests and preoccupations at that time but I note, again, the absence of any developed plans for action, or discussions with others about their plans, save for the two messages I have already referred. I am sure that you had a fixed interest (your counsel characterised it as nihilistic) at that time in the far-right aims and ideals but cannot be sure that you intended to encourage or assist others to engage in terrorist activity when you passed on the material, as opposed to doing so recklessly. Counsel are agreed that Harm falls into Cat 2.

B2 has a SP of 4y range 3-5. The prosecution accept that though there were a number of publications, other cases show a far more significant volume. Accordingly the sentence must reflect the fact that you disseminated multiple publications but is not aggravated

significantly. Also accept that although Telegram is an encrypted channel, it is a mainstream one such that it cannot be said you used it specifically to avoid detection. There are no other aggravating features. Mitigation is as before: no previous offending, in work, some mental health challenges, though limited, useful rehabilitation work done already in custody.

## **Sentence**

Stand up please

The sentence on Count 3 will be one of 13 years, being 12 years with a 1 year extended licence. There will be concurrent sentences on each firearms offence of 9 years, reduced from 10 for your plea.

The sentence on each of counts 4-7 will be one of 4 years, being 3 years plus one year extended licence, concurrent with each other but consecutive to the sentence on Count 3.

I have reduced both lead sentences to take account of totality.

The total sentence is one of 17 years being 15 years custody with a 2 year extended licence. You will serve 2/3 of that time before the Parole Board will consider whether you may be released. Time on remand will be taken into account. If you are released you will be on licence for the rest of the 15 year sentence plus two further years. If the Parole Board does not decide you should be released before serving the full term then you will be released after 15 years and you will then be subject to a two year licence period. There will be rules for your licence period which you will have to obey, if you break any, or commit any further offences you can be returned to custody.

## *Ancillary orders*

Notification provisions mean that you will be subject to notification requirements for 30 years

Deprivation order for list of items

There will be a Serious Crime Prevention Order to last for 5 years, to include the extra-territorial provision at 9.5. The order is to start upon your release from custody, counsel to agree an estimated start date at 2/3 of the sentence, taking account of time already served on remand. Start date can of course be altered on application to the court.

There will be a surcharge order in the appropriate amount

Dr Stammeijer's report is to go with Mr Adamski to custody.